Clinical paper

Variation in outcomes among 24/7 percutaneous coronary intervention centres for patients resuscitated from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest

Bryn E. Mumma [a,*], **Machelle D. Wilson** [b], **María F. García-Pintos** [a], **Pablo J. Erramouspe** [a], **Daniel J. Tancredi** [c]

[a] Department of Emergency Medicine, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, United States
[b] Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, United States
[c] Department of Pediatrics, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA, United States

Abstract

Background: Patients treated at 24/7 percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) centres following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) have better outcomes than those treated at non-24/7 PCI centres. However, variation in outcomes between 24/7 PCI centres is not well studied.

Objectives: To evaluate variation in outcomes among 24/7 PCI centres and to assess stability of 24/7 PCI centre performance.

Methods: Adult patients in the California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development Patient Discharge Database with a "present on admission" diagnosis of cardiac arrest admitted to a 24/7 PCI centre from 2011 to 2015 were included. Primary outcome was good neurologic recovery at hospital discharge. Secondary outcomes were survival to hospital discharge, cardiac catheterisation, and DNR orders within 24 h. Data were analysed using mixed effects logistic regression models. Hospitals were ranked each year and overall.

Results: Of 27,122 patients admitted to 128 24/7 PCI centres, 41% (11,184) survived and 27% (7188) had good neurologic recovery. Adjusted rates of good neurologic recovery (18%–39%; p,0.001), survival (32%–51%; p < 0.0001), cardiac catheterisation (11%–49%; p < 0.0001) and DNR orders within 24 h (4.8%–49%; p < 0.0001) varied between 24/7 PCI centres. For the 26 hospitals with mean good neurologic rankings in the top or bottom tenth during 2011–2013, 14 (54%) remained in their respective tenth for 2014–2015.

Conclusion: Significant variation exists between 24/7 PCI centres in good neurologic recovery following OHCA and persists over time. Future studies should evaluate hospital-level factors that contribute to these differences in outcomes between 24/7 PCI centres.

Keywords: Cardiac arrest, Outcomes, 24/7 PCI centre

Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is the leading cause of death from cardiovascular disease in the USA, with approximately 350,000 cases of EMS-assessed cardiac arrest in adults annually.[1] Overall survival to hospital discharge is 10.8%, with even fewer patients experiencing good neurologic recovery.[1] Among all hospitals, rates of survival to hospital discharge following OHCA vary from 14 to 42%. These differences persist even after adjustment for patient characteristics and varying across geographic regions, suggesting differences in prehospital and post-arrest care.[2,3]

Patients treated at hospitals with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) capability following resuscitation from OHCA have better

---

outcomes than those treated at hospitals without PCI capability.[4–6] To improve outcomes, regionalised care at cardiac resuscitation centres aligned with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) centres with 24/7 PCI capability; targeted temperature management for all arrest rhythms; and deferred prognostication for at least 72 h post-arrest is recommended by current guidelines for post-arrest care.[7,8] However, in critical illnesses similar to OHCA such as trauma[9] and stroke,[10] variation in outcomes exists even among hospitals with specialised capabilities. Whether variation in outcomes also exists specifically among 24/7 PCI centres for patients admitted following OHCA remains unknown.

Our objectives were to evaluate variation in good neurologic recovery, survival, cardiac catheterisation, and "do not resuscitate" (DNR) orders within 24 h among 24/7 PCI centres and to assess the stability of 24/7 PCI centres' performance over time. We also sought to determine whether hospital-level rates of cardiac catheterisation and "do not resuscitate" (DNR) orders within 24 h were associated with these outcomes. We hypothesised that hospitals would exhibit consistent variation in outcomes over time, and that hospital-level rates of cardiac catheterisation and DNR orders within 24 h would both be associated with patient outcomes at hospital discharge.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a retrospective cohort study involving adult patients in the California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) Patient Discharge Database. This California Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects approved this study, and our Institutional Review Board deemed it exempt from review.

Population and setting

The OSHPD Patient Discharge Database includes all inpatient hospitalisations in California. All acute care hospitals in California are required by law to submit information on every inpatient admission. Veterans Affairs and military facilities are exempt. The data contained in the OSHPD database are very robust and widely used for research.[11–14] Variables included in the OSHPD database include demographics, payer, "do not resuscitate" (DNR) orders within 24 h of admission, diagnosis codes (up to 25), procedure codes (up to 21), "present on admission" qualifier codes, and hospital discharge disposition. Each patient in the OSHPD Patient Discharge Database is assigned a record linkage number, which is a unique alphanumeric value based on the Social Security Number that allows multiple encounters from the same patient to be identified.

Experimental protocol

We included all adult patients (≥18 years) in the OSHPD Patient Discharge Database with a "present on admission" diagnosis of cardiac arrest (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] 427.5) admitted from the emergency department to a 24/7 PCI centre from 1/1/2010 to 9/30/2015. A 24/7 PCI centre was defined as a hospital with 24/7 percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) capability. Data on 24/7 PCI capability were acquired from the American Heart Association via the Cardiovascular Research Foundation website.[15] Patients with

missing predictor variable(s) or outcome(s) were excluded. Detailed information regarding the data collected and data cleaning protocol has been previously published.[5]

The OSHPD Hospital Annual Utilisation Data was queried for intensive care unit census days, general acute care bed days, teaching hospital status, and level 1 or 2 trauma centre status for each 24/7 PCI centre annually. We excluded 24/7 PCI centres missing one or more years of data during 2011–2015, including hospitals that opened or closed during this period.

Key outcome measures

Our primary outcome was good neurologic recovery at hospital discharge. Good neurologic recovery was defined as discharge to home, residential care facility, prison, jail, or another hospital for non-acute/non-skilled care; or leaving against medical advice. All other dispositions, including death, were considered not to represent good neurologic recovery.[16,17] Our secondary outcomes were survival to hospital discharge, performance of cardiac catheterisation during the index hospitalisation, and DNR orders within 24 h of admission. Survival at hospital discharge and DNR orders within 24 h were obtained directly from the OSHPD database. Cardiac catheterisation procedures were identified using ICD-9-CM codes in any of the up to 21 diagnostic codes included in the OSHPD database.

Data analysis

Summary statistics were calculated for each variable. Continuous data were reported as the median with interquartile ranges. A mixed effects logistic regression model was fit with random intercepts for hospital to determine whether there was significant between-hospital variability after adjusting for measured patient and hospital characteristics included as fixed effects. Patient characteristics included age, sex, race, ethnicity, ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation, and insurance type. Hospital characteristics included intensive care unit census days, general acute care bed days, teaching hospital status, and level 1 or 2 trauma centre status. The models were fit both on the pooled (overall) dataset to provide the most robust overall assessment of between-hospital variation and on annual datasets to facilitate assessment of the stability of hospital relative performance. We report the variance component that reflects the normal distribution from which random effects were drawn along with the p-value for the test of the null hypothesis that the variance was zero.

Hospital-level adjusted proportions and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for each outcome. Hospitals were ranked from one to 128 each year and overall for each outcome. The difference between each hospital's highest lowest ranks during the five-year period was calculated and plotted against its overall rank in Bland–Altman plots for good neurologic recovery and survival. Spearman correlation tests were used to evaluate the correlation between hospital-level adjusted overall rates of cardiac catheterisation and DNR orders within 24 h and adjusted overall rates of good neurologic recovery and survival.

Results

Of 43,909 OSHPD records with a "present on admission" diagnosis of cardiac arrest, we excluded a total of 16,787 records for a final study population of 27,122 unique patients treated at 128 24/7 PCI centres (Fig. 1). The median patient age was 66 years (55, 78) and 59%

Fig. 1 – Flow diagram of OSHPD study population.
OSHPD = Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development; PCI = Percutaneous coronary intervention.

(16,078) of patients were male. Approximately one-third of patients (8725; 32%) had a ventricular rhythm, half of whom (4278/8725; 49%) underwent cardiac catheterisation. Approximately one-quarter (7023/27,122; 26%) had a diagnosis of myocardial infarction, of whom 55% (3841/7023) underwent cardiac catheterisation. Approximately one-quarter (7188; 27%) experienced good neurologic recovery at hospital discharge. (Table 1) 24/7 PCI centres characteristics are shown in Table 2.

Between 24/7 PCI centres, unadjusted rates of good neurologic recovery ranged from 13% to 51% and rates of survival ranged from 26% to 66%. Adjusted rates of good neurologic recovery ranged from 18% to 39% (p < 0.0001; Fig. 2a). Similarly, adjusted rates of survival ranged from 32% to 51% (p < 0.0001; Fig. 2b). Adjusted rates of cardiac catheterisation (11%–49%; p < 0.0001) and DNR orders within 24 hs (4.8%–49%; p < 0.0001) also varied between 24/7 PCI centres (Fig. 2c–d). Adjusted rate of cardiac catheterisation (correlation coefficient 0.41; p < 0.0001; Fig. 3), but not of DNR orders within 24 h (correlation coefficient 0.034; p = 0.7), was associated with good neurologic recovery. Similar results were seen for survival (correlation coefficient 0.46, p < 0.001 for cardiac catheterisation; and −0.13, p = 0.16 for DNR orders within 24 h).

For good neurologic recovery, of the 13 hospitals whose mean rankings for 2011–2013 were in the top tenth, seven (54%) remained in the top tenth when computing mean ranking from 2014 to 2015. Similarly, seven of the 13 (54%) hospitals whose mean rankings for 2011–2013 were in the bottom tenth remained in the bottom tenth when computing the mean ranking from 2014 to 2015. For survival, two of 14 (14%) hospitals whose mean rankings for 2011–2013 were in the top tenth remained in the top tenth when computing the mean ranking from 2014 to 2015; 7/14 (50%) remained in the top quarter. Four of the 13 (31%) hospitals whose mean rankings for 2011–2013 were in the bottom tenth remained in the bottom tenth when computing mean ranking from 2014 to 2015; 9/13 (69%) remained in the bottom quarter.

Discussion

Our results highlight important and stable variations in both clinical practice and outcomes after OHCA between 24/7 PCI centres in a similar geographic region after adjusting for measured patient and hospital characteristics. We found stable performance among hospitals in the top and bottom tenth for adjusted rates of good neurologic recovery and survival, which aligns with a similar study in trauma centres which showed recent performance predicts future performance.[9] Our results also suggest that additional unmeasured

Table 1 – Patient demographic and clinical characteristics (n = 27,122).

Characteristic	N (%)
Demographics	
Age[a]	66 (55, 78)
Male sex	16,078 (59%)
White race	18,234 (67%)
Hispanic ethnicity	5909 (22%)
Private insurance	5472 (21%)
Medicare insurance	14,853 (55%)
Government insurance/self-pay	6797 (25%)
Clinical characteristics	
VF/VT rhythm	8725 (32%)
Myocardial infarction	7023 (26%)
Good neurologic recovery	7188 (27%)
Survival to hospital discharge	11,184 (41%)
DNR within 24 h	5199 (19%)
Cardiac catheterisation	6314 (23%)

VF/VT = ventricular fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia; DNR = do not resuscitate.
[a] Data presented as median (25th, 75th percentiles).

Table 2 – Hospital characteristics (n = 128).

Characteristic	N (%) or median (25th%-tile, 75th median (25th–75th percentile)
Teaching hospital	19 (15%)
Level 1–2 trauma center	39 (30%)
ICU census days (per year)	5813 (3422–8099)
General licensed bed days (per year)	108,580 (81,531–143,524)
Inpatient cardiac catheterisation volume (per year)	492 (309–714)
Total volume of OHCA patients 2011–2015	192 (135–275)

ICU = intensive care unit; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

factors are contributing to patient outcomes. Differences in both clinical care and organisational culture between hospitals have been associated with both mortality and disease-specific outcomes in other diseases,[18–21] and may have a similar influence in OHCA.

In our study, cardiac catheterisation rates were associated with both survival and good neurologic recovery. Notably, our data showed a linear relationship between hospital-level adjusted rates of cardiac catheterisation and good neurologic recovery, without evidence of "overuse" of cardiac catheterisation being associated with poorer outcomes (Fig. 3). These findings align with previous data showing an association between early PCI and lower short- and long-term mortality and better neurological outcomes.[22–25] While cardiac catheterisation and PCI may directly cause this increase in favourable outcomes by restoring coronary flow in patients with critical coronary

lesions,[23,26] they may also be a surrogate marker for more aggressive post-arrest care. Other post-arrest interventions associated with improved outcomes in patients resuscitated from OHCA include targeted temperature management,[16,25] seizure detection,[27] and avoidance of hyperoxia.[28] Availability and implementation of these interventions may also vary between 24/7 PCI centres.

The overall rate of cardiac catheterisation and the rate of cardiac catheterisation in patients with myocardial infarction following OHCA in our study was lower than expected given current American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines. Current guidelines provide a Class I recommendation for emergent coronary angiography in OHCA patients with suspected cardiac aetiology of arrest and ST elevation on their electrocardiogram and a Class II recommendation for emergent coronary angiography in similar


Fig. 2 – a–d Variation between 24/7 PCI centers in good neurologic recovery at hospital discharge (a), survival at hospital discharge (b), performance of cardiac catheterisation (c), and "do not resuscitate" orders within 24 h (d).

Fig. 3 – Correlation between adjusted rates of cardiac catheterisation procedures and good neurologic recovery among 24/7 PCI centers.

patients without ST elevation on their electrocardiogram.[7] Notably, the 23% overall rate of cardiac catheterisation was stable over the five-year period [data not shown] despite many local emergency medical services agencies in the state implementing protocols for the transport of OHCA patients with prehospital return of spontaneous circulation to 24/7 PCI centres. While these patients may benefit from other resources such as critical care and targeted temperature management available at 24/7 PCI centres, our data suggest the potential benefits of cardiac catheterisation are not fully realised in our population. Public reporting of PCI outcomes may also influence the decision to perform cardiac catheterisation in post-OHCA patients, as it is difficult to perform adequate risk adjustment for cardiac arrest in these models.[29,30]

Interestingly, in our study, rates of DNR orders within 24 h– which varied nearly ten-fold between hospitals– were not associated with survival or good neurologic recovery at hospital discharge. The variation we found aligns with prior studies showing variation between hospitals in DNR ordering practices,[31–33] but our lack of association with outcomes contrasts with prior studies focused on in-hospital cardiac arrest,[32,33] severe sepsis,[34] and severe traumatic brain injury.[31] Lack of association with outcomes may indicate that physicians are appropriately using early DNR orders in patients with underlying terminal illnesses or clearly non-survivable conditions.[7] It may also indicate that physicians are appropriately interpreting DNR orders as a directive to not initiate cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the event of a recurrent cardiac arrest and not a directive to withhold other treatments or interventions, in contrast to prior studies.[32–34]

The observed variation in cardiac catheterisation and early DNR orders may represent differential uptake of evidence-based practices at 24/7 PCI centres. DNR orders within 24 h may represent early prognostication and DNR order discussions with families, in contrast to current guidelines which recommend deferring prognostication until 72 h for most patients resuscitated from OHCA.[7] Prior studies identified limited awareness of recommended practice, disagreement with the supporting literature, lack of institutional protocols, and limited equipment and personnel

resources as reasons for low adherence to evidence-based practices in temperature management following OHCA,[35] and these reasons likely apply to cardiac catheterisation and other aspects of post-OHCA care. Future work is needed to determine which aspects of variation in clinical care affect patient outcomes and should be targeted in future interventions to improve post-OHCA care.

Organisational culture, defined as a set of shared values, beliefs, and assumptions within an organisation that influences how people within that organisation behave,[36] affects clinical care decisions.[37] Organisational culture is associated with performance in disease processes that overlap with OHCA, including acute myocardial infarction[19,21,38,39] acute ischaemic stroke,[40] and critical illness.[41] Clinicians' perception of futility in the care of patients resuscitated from OHCA was a barrier to implementation of specialised post-OHCA care at several hospitals[37] and may contribute to the variation in outcomes seen in our study. Future studies should evaluate differences in organisational culture between 24/7 PCI centres with differential outcomes.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Our analyses were limited by the data contained in the OSHPD database. We identified patients using a "present on admission" code for cardiac arrest, and we were unable to independently verify the accuracy of ICD-9-CM codes in the hospital electronic medical records at all 24/7 PCI centres statewide. However, the number of patients we included from the OHSPD database with a "present on admission" code for cardiac arrest (ICD-9-CM 427.5) is consistent with the expected number of OHCA admissions based on existing literature.[2,42] We used discharge disposition as a surrogate for good neurologic recovery. Our classification aligns with the definitions of good neurologic recovery used in previous studies of post-cardiac arrest patients[43,44] and correlates with the Cerebral Performance Category score.[45,46] We were unable to adjust for

prehospital arrest characteristics that are associated with outcomes.[47,48] We were also unable to evaluate the relationship between outcomes and certain procedures such as targeted temperature management and electroencephalogram, as very few patients [7.3% (1,987/27,122) and 3.5% (962/27,122), respectively] were reported to have received them.

Conclusion

Rates of good neurological recovery, survival, cardiac catheterisation and DNR order within 24 h of admission after OHCA vary considerably among 24/7 PCI centres in California. Overall rate of cardiac catheterisation following OHCA was low, with 4.5-fold variation between 24/7 PCI centres. Future studies should evaluate additional, unmeasured factors in patient care and organisational culture that may contribute to these important differences in outcomes between 24/7 PCI centres.

Source of support

Conflict of interest declaration

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. All authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2018 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018;137:e67–e492.
2. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. JAMA 2008;300:1423–31.
3. Wang HE, Devlin SM, Sears GK, et al. Regional variations in early and late survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2012;83:1343–8.
4. Mumma BE, Diercks DB, Wilson MD, Holmes JF. Association between treatment at an ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction center and neurologic recovery after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Am Heart J 2015;170:516–23.
5. Casey SD, Mumma BE. Sex, race, and insurance status differences in hospital treatment and outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2018;126:125–9.
6. Tranberg T, Lippert FK, Christensen EF, et al. Distance to invasive heart centre, performance of acute coronary angiography, and angioplasty and associated outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide study. Eur Heart J 2017;38:1645–52.
7. Callaway CW, Donnino MW, Fink EL, et al. Part 8: post-cardiac arrest care: 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. Circulation 2015;132:S465–82.
8. Nichol G, Aufderheide TP, Eigel B, et al. Regional systems of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2010;121:709–29.
9. Glance LG, Mukamel DB, Osler TM, Dick AW. Ranking trauma center quality: can past performance predict future performance? Ann Surg 2014;259:682–6.
10. Bettger JP, Thomas L, Liang L, et al. Hospital variation in functional recovery after stroke. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 201710:.
11. Danielsen B, Castles AG, Damberg CL, Gould JB. Newborn discharge timing and readmissions: California, 1992–1995. Pediatrics 2000;106:31–9.
12. Marcin JP, Li Z, Kravitz RL, Dai JJ, Rocke DM, Romano PS. The CABG surgery volume-outcome relationship: temporal trends and selection effects in California, 1998–2004. Health Serv Res 2008;43:174–92.
13. Crisham Janik MD, Newman TB, Cheng YW, Xing G, Gilbert WM, Wu YW. Maternal diagnosis of obesity and risk of cerebral palsy in the child. J Pediatr 2013;163:1307–12.
14. Hsia RY, Srebotnjak T, Kanzaria HK, McCulloch C, Auerbach AD. System-level health disparities in California emergency departments: minorities and Medicaid patients are at higher risk of losing their emergency departments. Ann Emerg Med 2012;59:358–65.
15. Cardiovascular Research Foundation, Cath Lab Global Directory, (Accessed 3 October 2016, at, https://www.tctmd.com/cathlabmap.).
16. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med 2002;346:557–63.
17. Raina KD, Callaway C, Rittenberger JC, Holm MB. Neurological and functional status following cardiac arrest: method and tool utility. Resuscitation 2008;79:249–56.
18. Shafi S, Barnes SA, Rayan N, et al. Compliance with recommended care at trauma centers: association with patient outcomes. J Am Coll Surg 2014;219:189–98.
19. Webster TR, Curry L, Berg D, Radford M, Krumholz HM, Bradley EH. Organizational resiliency: how top-performing hospitals respond to setbacks in improving quality of cardiac care. J Healthc Manag 2008;53:169–81.
20. Ukawa N, Tanaka M, Morishima T, Imanaka Y. Organizational culture affecting quality of care: guideline adherence in perioperative antibiotic use. Int J Qual Health Care 2015;27:37–45.
21. Bradley EH, Curry LA, Spatz ES, et al. Hospital strategies for reducing risk-standardized mortality rates in acute myocardial infarction. Ann Intern Med 2012;156:618–26.
22. Jentzer JC, Scutella M, Pike F, et al. Early coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention are associated with improved outcomes after out of hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2018;123:15–21.
23. Hollenbeck RD, McPherson JA, Mooney MR, et al. Early cardiac catheterization is associated with improved survival in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest without STEMI. Resuscitation 2014;85:88–95.
24. Geri G, Dumas F, Bougouin W, et al. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with improved short- and long-term survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2015;8.
25. Stub D, Hengel C, Chan W, et al. Usefulness of cooling and coronary catheterization to improve survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Am J Cardiol 2011;107:522–7.
26. Dumas F, Bougouin W, Geri G, et al. Emergency percutaneous coronary intervention in post-cardiac arrest patients without ST-segment elevation pattern: insights from the PROCAT II registry. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2016;9:1011–8.
27. Knight WA, Hart KW, Adeoye OM, et al. The incidence of seizures in patients undergoing therapeutic hypothermia after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. Epilepsy Res 2013;106:396–402.
28. Kilgannon JH, Jones AE, Shapiro NI, et al. Association between arterial hyperoxia following resuscitation from cardiac arrest and in-hospital mortality. JAMA 2010;303:2165–71.
29. Strom JB, McCabe JM, Waldo SW, et al. Management of patients with cardiac arrest complicating myocardial infarction in New York before and after public reporting policy changes. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2017;10.
30. Peberdy MA, Donnino MW, Callaway CW, et al. Impact of percutaneous coronary intervention performance reporting on cardiac resuscitation centers: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2013;128:762–73.

31. Dean D, Martinez MS, Newgard CD. Variability in early do not attempt resuscitation orders among patients with serious traumatic brain injury. Acad Emerg Med 2015;22:54–60.

32. Richardson DK, Zive D, Daya M, Newgard CD. The impact of early do not resuscitate (DNR) orders on patient care and outcomes following resuscitation from out of hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2013;84:483–7.

33. Fendler TJ, Spertus JA, Kennedy KF, Chan PS. Association between hospital rates of early Do-Not-Resuscitate orders and favorable neurological survival among survivors of inhospital cardiac arrest. Am Heart J 2017;193:108–16.

34. Sarkari NN, Perman SM, Ginde AA. Impact of early do-not-attempt-resuscitation orders on procedures and outcomes of severe sepsis. J Crit Care 2016;36:134–9.

35. Bigham BL, Dainty KN, Scales DC, Morrison LJ, Brooks SC. Predictors of adopting therapeutic hypothermia for post-cardiac arrest patients among Canadian emergency and critical care physicians. Resuscitation 2010;81:20–4.

36. Ravasi D, Schultz M. Responding to organizational identity threats: exploring the role of organizational culture. Acad Manag J 2006;49:433–58.

37. Donnino MW, Rittenberger JC, Gaieski D, et al. The development and implementation of cardiac arrest centers. Resuscitation 2011;82:974–8.

38. Bradley EH, Roumanis SA, Radford MJ, et al. Achieving door-to-balloon times that meet quality guidelines: how do successful hospitals do it? J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:1236–41.

39. Curry LA, Spatz E, Cherlin E, et al. What distinguishes top-performing hospitals in acute myocardial infarction mortality rates? A qualitative study. Ann Intern Med 2011;154:384–90.

40. Olson DM, Constable M, Britz GW, et al. A qualitative assessment of practices associated with shorter door-to-needle time for thrombolytic therapy in acute ischemic stroke. J Neurosci Nurs 2011;43:329–36.

41. Southworth SL, Henman LJ, Kinder LA, Sell JL. The journey to zero central catheter-associated bloodstream infections: culture change in an intensive care unit. Crit Care Nurse 2012;32:49–54.

42. Liu JM, Yang Q, Pirrallo RG, Klein JP, Aufderheide TP. Hospital variability of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival. Prehosp Emerg Care 2008;12:339–46.

43. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med 2002;346:557–63.

44. Stub D, Smith K, Bray JE, Bernard S, Duffy SJ, Kaye DM. Hospital characteristics are associated with patient outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Heart 2011;97:1489–94.

45. Rittenberger JC, Guyette FX, Tisherman SA, DeVita MA, Alvarez RJ, Callaway CW. Outcomes of a hospital-wide plan to improve care of comatose survivors of cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2008;79:198–204.

46. Rittenberger JC, Raina K, Holm MB, Kim YJ, Callaway CW. Association between cerebral performance category, modified rankin scale, and discharge disposition after cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2011;82:1036–40.

47. Liu JM, Yang Q, Pirrallo RG, Klein JP, Aufderheide TP. Hospital variability of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival. Prehosp Emerg Care 2008;12:339–46.

48. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2010;3:63–81.