

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Resuscitation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitationEUROPEAN
RESUSCITATION
COUNCIL

Letter to the Editor

Reply to: The role of extracorporeal life support in patients with hypothermic cardiac arrest



Sir,

Thank you for the opportunity to reply to Dr. Sylwester Kosiński and colleagues' discussion of our systematic review¹. We welcome and appreciate the feedback and additional insights into our study.

The primary objective of our systematic review was to assess the current state of evidence for the effect of extracorporeal membrane oxygen-assisted CPR (ECPR) on survival with good neurologic recovery after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA), regardless of etiology. We thank Dr. Kosiński for highlighting the recent study by Pasquier et al. published in *Resuscitation* in a similar timeframe to our systematic review and the identification that hypothermic cardiac arrest warrants specific consideration with ECPR use given the greater potential for neurologic recovery².

We agree that there may be select subgroups that could potentially derive increased benefit from ECPR. To this end, we reported the etiology of arrest for included cohort studies ($n=12$) in our publication and also for the remainder of included studies ($n=63$ case series)¹. Likewise, we intentionally did not use measures of central tendency (such as mean or median) and instead reported ranges to emphasize the degree of heterogeneity between studies. It is likely that the variation in the etiology of arrest, as well as a number of other uncontrolled confounders inherent in the case series study design contributed significantly to this heterogeneity.

Furthermore, as Dr. Kosiński and colleagues appropriately highlighted, hypothermia is a unique etiology of cardiac arrest. In this situation it is quite possible that ECPR is a treatment for the underlying etiology of the arrest, as opposed to a supportive measure to facilitate other forms of resuscitation. As such it becomes difficult to determine a comparator group against which to evaluate the impact of ECPR in this scenario. However, the original study referenced by the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation explicitly stated that patients must have suffered deep hypothermia (core temperature $<28^{\circ}\text{C}$) and temperatures in the study ranged from 17.1 to 25.0°C ^{3,4}. The patients were also quiet young, with a mean age (SD) of 25.2 (± 9.9). These results leave us with the same question that many clinicians face when treating a patient with ECPR; do these patients survive because of the use of ECPR or, was their

premorbid condition so favourable that, they were able to survive despite the use of ECPR?

Interestingly, the two case series in question involved sample sizes of 10 and 17 patients^{5,6}. These small sample sizes suggest that these unique, favourable scenarios may occur very infrequently. Regardless, in order to confirm that a specific etiology of OHCA arrest may derive a greater benefit from ECPR than other causes, conducting future studies with larger sample sizes and appropriate control groups, be it conventional CPR or less invasive warming methods, remains essential.

In summary, we believe the conclusions stated in our systematic review are appropriately conservative, emphasize the broad variability in patient selection criteria, and highlight the general lack of consistency in ECPR protocols. We thank Dr. Kosiński and colleagues for their insightful letter and agree with the need to define specific subgroups in future studies to better identify target populations that may derive the greatest benefit from ECPR.

Financial support

None.

Conflict of interest

None.

REFERENCES

1. Beyea MM, Tillmann BW, Iansavichene AE, Randhawa VK, Van Aarsen K, Nagpal AD. Neurologic outcomes after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation assisted CPR for resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients: a systematic review. *Resuscitation* 2018;130:146–58.

2. Pasquier M, Hugli O, Paal P, et al. Hypothermia outcome prediction after extracorporeal life support for hypothermic cardiac arrest patients: the HOPE score. *Resuscitation* 2018;126:58–64.
3. Walpoth BH, Walpoth-Aslan BN, Mattle HP, Radanov BP, Schroth G, Schaeffler L, et al. Outcome of survivors of accidental deep hypothermia and circulatory arrest treated with extracorporeal blood warming. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1500–5.
4. Soar J, Perkins GD, Abbas G, Alfonzo A, Barelli A, Bierens JJ, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2010 Section 8. Cardiac arrest in special circumstances: electrolyte abnormalities, poisoning, drowning, accidental hypothermia, hyperthermia, asthma, anaphylaxis, cardiac surgery, trauma, pregnancy, electrocution. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:1400–33.
5. Darocha T, Kosinski S, Jarosz A, Sobczyk D, Galazkowski R, Piatek J, et al. The chain of survival in hypothermic circulatory arrest: encouraging preliminary results when using early identification, risk stratification and extracorporeal rewarming. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* 2016;24:85.
6. Daubin C, Lehoux P, Ivascau C, Tasle M, Bousta M, Lepage O, et al. Extracorporeal life support in severe drug intoxication: a retrospective cohort study of seventeen cases. *Crit Care* 2009;13:R138.

Michael M. Beyea^{a,b,*}

^a*Division of Emergency Medicine, Western University, London, ON, Canada*

^b*Critical Care Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre, Western University, London, ON, Canada*

Bourke W. Tillmann
Department of Critical Care Medicine, Sunnybrook Health Sciences, Toronto, ON, Canada

A. Dave Nagpal
Critical Care Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre, Western University, London, ON, Canada

* Corresponding author at: Critical Care Medicine, London Health Sciences Centre, Victoria Hospital, Rm D2-528, 800 Commissioners Rd East, London, ON, N6A 3K7, Canada.
E-mail address: mbeyea@uwo.ca (M. Beyea).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.10.027>

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.