OBJECTIVE
To evaluate the feasibility of routine outpatient management after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP). Prostate cancer is indeed the second most common cancer in men. Surgical technics have evolved from open surgery to robot-assisted surgery with a reduction of postoperative complications. Such technical improvements associated with modern anesthesia allow outpatient surgery in various types of procedures.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
After approval of the IRB, this observational prospective and monocentric study was performed in the urology unit at Rennes University Hospital between December 2015 and October 2017. All patients scheduled for RARP performed by one experienced surgeon were consecutively included. The possibility of discharge was evaluated using the Post Anesthesia Discharge Scoring System (PADSS) score until patients had a score of 9 or higher allowing their discharge. Risk factors of delayed discharge were secondarily assessed.

RESULTS
Ninety-seven patients scheduled for RARP performed by one experienced surgeon were consecutively included. Only 1 patient had a PADSS score ≥ 9 the day of the surgery (day 0). Seventy-four percent of the patients achieved discharge criteria 1 day after surgery whereas, 33% and 66% of the population was effectively discharged on day 2 and day 3, respectively. Patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at day 1 experienced significantly less postoperative nausea and vomiting than patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at day 2 or 3 (7% vs 28%, P = .01).

CONCLUSION
Outpatient RARP was not feasible in most patients. However, routine discharge at day 1 seems conceivable. Improving the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting may even allow outpatient management. This progress remains to be confirmed by further studies.
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surgery. In a study published in 2015, 5 cases of colectomy were performed in outpatient, no patients were readmitted and all patients were highly satisfied. With regard to RARP, only few studies have tested the feasibility of outpatient procedure. A very small study (11 patients) published in 2010 compared a group of outpatients to a group of inpatients after RARP and showed a comparable postoperative pain control rate and overall satisfaction rate in both groups. To our knowledge, the feasibility of RARP as an outpatient procedure has not been shown in large numbers of patients. Therefore, the objective of our study was to evaluate the feasibility of routine outpatient management after RARP in a large cohort of patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This feasibility study was an observational, prospective, and monocentric study. This study was performed in the urology unit at Rennes University Hospital between December 2015 and October 2017, after approval of the IRB (00010254 – 2016 – 061). According to the French law, the ethics committee (Comité d’éthique de la Société Française d’Anesthésie-réanimation [CERAR]) waived written informed consent as it was a noninterventional study.

PATIENTS
All RARP performed by one experienced surgeon (SV) on adult patients were consecutively included. Noninclusion criteria were RARP performed by another surgeon. Exclusion criterion was the noncompliance with the previously defined anesthesia protocol.

ANESTHESIA PROTOCOL
Patients did not receive any premedication. Intraoperative protocol associated: (1) induction with propofol, remifentanil using target controlled intravenous anesthesia and cisatracurium, (2) adapted antibioprophylaxis as recommended, (3) maintenance with sevoflurane, target controlled intravenous remifentanil, and cisatracurium as needed. Analgesia and antiemetic prophylaxis were provided with dexamethasone (8 mg, single dose), ketamine (bolus 0.2 mg/kg then 0.1 mg/kg/h intraoperatively), lidocaine (bolus 1.5 mg/kg then 1.5 mg/kg/h intraoperatively). At the end of the surgery, patients without contraindication received paracetamol (1 g), ketoprofen (50 mg), and nefopam (20 mg). Postoperative analgesia associated morphine titration in postanesthesia care unit (PACU) and then, oral ketoprofen (50 mg/8 h), paracetamol (1 g/6 h), and nefopam (20 mg/h). Oral oxycodone (5 mg/4 h) was used as rescue analgesia. Antiemetic treatment (ondansetron) was prescribed if needed. Liquid and food were allowed upon return to the urology unit. Patients were advised to chew gum. Adequate thromboprophylaxis was prescribed.

OUTCOME MEASURES
The main objective of the study was to evaluate the feasibility of outpatient management after RARP. The possibility of discharge was evaluated using the PADSS score (Post Anesthesia Discharge Scoring System), with 5 criteria (vital signs, activity, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), pain, surgical bleeding) (annex 1). PADSS score was assessed one a day from D0 (day of surgery) until patients had a score of 9 or higher allowing their discharge.

Secondary objectives were the assessment of postoperative ileus duration (defined by absence of flatus/stool and the time to first flatus/stool was recorded), quality of analgesia (evaluated by cumulative analgesics consumption), and postoperative complications. Postoperative complications were defined using the Clavien-Dindo classification and included surgical revision, death, unscheduled intensive care unit admission, surgical site infection occurring within 30 days after surgery (purulent drainage from the superficial or deep incision; organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue; abscess or other evidence of infection during reoperation or radiologic examination, signs or symptoms of infection [pain, redness, fever]), pulmonary infection (defined by fever, cough, oxygen requirement and lung abnormalities on the chest X-ray) or urinary infection (defined by fever, irritative and obstructive urinary function signs (urinary frequency, retention and burning) and urine bacteria count ≥10⁴ cfu/mL) renal failure (according to kidney disease: improving global outcome (KDIGO) criteria: increase plasma creatinine ≥ 3 mg/L in 48 hours or increase in plasma creatinine ≥ 1.5 times the baseline over the last 7 days or diuresis < 0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 hours), stroke (defined by clinically evident temporary or permanent new neurologic focal deficit and confirmed by a radiologic examination) acute coronary syndrome (defined by chest pain with ST-segment modification in an electrocardiogram and elevation of troponin), acute limb ischemia (defined by pain, pallor, pulseless, perishing cold, paresthesia, and paralysis). We also hypothesized that we would be able to identify risk factors for prolonged hospitalization (past Day 0).

DATA COLLECTION
Aside from demographic data, we also collected the following data during the surgery: duration of surgery, bleeding and number of red blood cells transfused, completion of lymph node dissection and/or nerve preservation, intraoperative complications (defined by any deviation from the ideal intraoperative course between skin incision and skin closure for surgical complications and between induction and recovery for anesthetic complications), and use of drains. Postoperative data were length of stay in PACU, discharge of PACU after 5:00 PM, cumulative opioid consumption in PACU, cumulative analgesics consumption during hospitalization, date of first liquid, first food, first ambulation and peripheral catheter removal, date of first flatus or stools, PONV and
cumulative ondansetron consumption. Postoperative complications, PADSS score, needing of nurse interventions (different from usual monitoring defined as follows: heart rate, blood pressure, temperature, pain assessment by numerical pain scale, diuresis, aspect of the urine every 6 hours) with or without medical call, medical intervention or deviations to standard protocol, and date of discharge (surgeon’s decision) were also recorded. The date of bladder catheter’s removal was not part of the data collection as it was systematically removed on the sixth postoperative day during an outpatient consultation.

STATISTICS
All analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4. and all tests were considered statistically significant at  \( P \leq .05 \). Quantitative variables were described as follows: n, mean ± standard deviation. For qualitative variables, the size (n) and percentage (%) were presented for each category. Comparisons between groups were performed as follows: for quantitative variables, patients were compared by a Student’s t test when the distribution follows a normal distribution or by nonparametric tests of Mann-Whitney Wilcoxon otherwise; for qualitative variables, patients were compared by parametric tests of \( \chi^2 \) or nonparametric Fisher when the number is less than 5.

RESULTS
Between December 2015 and October 2017, 122 patients who underwent RARP by one experienced surgeon (SV) were included. As shown Figure 1, 97 patients were included in the analysis.

The mean age of the population was 62.8 ± 5.5 years. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score was 2 for 62% of patients and only 1% had an ASA score of 3. The most common comorbidity was high blood pressure (31%). Demographics are described Table 1. The duration of the surgery was 2.5 hours ± 0.4. Three intraoperative complications were reported: a severe bradycardia requiring atropine administration, a difficult intubation, and a minor subcutaneous emphysema that did not necessitate the interruption of the procedure.

Table 1. Preoperative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.6 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney diseases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory diseases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver diseases</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular diseases</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary diseases</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood disorders</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic platelet antiaggregant treatment</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic anticoagulant treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.
Patient characteristics are described by mean ± standard deviation (SD) for quantitative values and n and percentage for qualitative values with n global = 97.
Nurses’ interventions, more than just the usual monitoring, for 76%. The vast majority of the patients (99%) walked on discharge criteria at D1 and 26% at D2. While 74% of the patients had discharge criteria 1 day after surgery, 33% and 66% of them were effectively discharged on day 2 and day 3, respectively. There were no 30-day readmissions.

First liquid (h+ PACU discharge) 1.5 ± 1.7
First food (h+ PACU discharge) 5.6 ± 3.6
First flatus
D0 4 (4%)
D1 74 (76%)
D2 19 (20%)
Chewing-gum protocol 40 (41%)
First ambulation
D0 1 (1%)
D1 96 (99%)
Perfusion catheter removal
D0 1 (1%)
D1 89 (92%)
D2 7 (7%)
Postoperative protocol application 62 (64%)
Oxycodone consumption (mg) 1.5 ± 3.6
Ketoprofen consumption (mg) 57.2 ± 80.0
Paracetamol consumption (g) 4.4 ± 2.0
Nefopam consumption (mg) 20.8 ± 31.1
Postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo classification)
Grade 1 2 (2%)
Renal failure 12 (12%)
PONV 0
Grade 2 0
Grade 3 0
Grade 4 0
Grade 5

When comparing patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at D1 and patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at D2 or D3, the preoperative data were comparable except for the BMI which was significantly higher in patients with PADSS score ≥ 9 at D1 than patients with PADSS score ≥ 9 at D2 or D3 (26.1 ± 3.1 vs 24.1 ± 2.6; P = .0046). The intraoperative data were also comparable. Postoperatively, patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at D1 experienced significantly less PONV than patients with a PADSS score ≥ 9 at D2 or D3 (7% vs 28%, P < .01). Analgesic consumption was comparable. We also observed more nurses intervention in patients with PADSS score ≥ 9 at D2 or 3 (80% vs 24%; P < .0001), more medical call (40% vs 10%; P = .0015), medical interventions (32% vs 4%; P = .0007) and more deviations to standard protocol (32% vs 11%; P = .0260).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, after a RARP only 1 patient achieved discharge criteria at D0. However, 74% of the patients had discharge criteria at D1 and 26% at D2.

The International Association for Ambulatory Surgery does not define the types of surgery eligible for ambulatory. Improvements in surgical and anesthetic techniques allow outpatient surgery for many types of surgery. For example, in orthopedics, outpatient surgery was described for anterior cervical discectomy with a success rate of 90%. Indeed, 27 out of the 30 patients studied were discharged the same day of surgery with a satisfaction rate of 9.6/10. Several studies also reported cases of total hip arthroplasty in outpatients. For example, den Hartog et al showed that 24 patients out of the 27 studied were discharged the same day of the surgery without complications or readmission, the other 3 patients were not discharged due to PONV and/or dizziness. A retrospective study compared 63,424 total hip arthroplasty surgeries with a hospital stay of 1-5 days with 420 outpatient total hip replacement surgeries and found no difference in terms of complications or readmission. In abdominal surgery, fast-track recovery program have long been developed and a recent article described 5 patients with a colectomy were discharged within 12 hours after the surgery.

Regarding radical prostatectomy, length of stay after prostatectomy has rapidly evolved in the recent years: a systematic review reported 7.87 days for open surgery, 6.09 days for laparoscopic surgery and 3.85 days for RARP in 2014. In 2016, a study comparing RARP to open surgery reported length of stay as short as 1.55 days and 3.27 days, respectively. Previous publications have already evaluated the feasibility of outpatient RARP. In 2010, a first study performed RARP in 11 outpatients without complications and with an excellent satisfaction.
In our study, overall incidence of PONV was steeper head-down position and prolonged pneumoperitoneum in robotic surgery, with 33% of PONV after RARP versus more recently, 1 study had shown a higher rate of PONV in laparoscopic surgery compared to open surgery. More recently, 1 study had shown a higher rate of PONV in robotic surgery, with 33% of PONV after RARP versus 16% after laparoscopic prostatectomy, probably due to steeper head-down position and prolonged pneumoperitoneum. In our study, overall incidence of PONV was 12%. Our protocol included PONV prophylaxis with intraoperative dexamethasone and postoperative ondansetron if needed. The lower incidence of PONV observed in our study was probably due to the prophylaxis. However, despite this protocol, up to 28% of the patient with delayed discharge reported PONV, suggesting intensification of the prophylaxis may be required.

In our experience, late PACU discharge after 5 PM happened in 54% of the patients. Late return from PACU preclude any chance of D0 discharge and outpatient management in French institutions. Indeed, according to the French definition of outpatient surgery and because of the closing constraints of the outpatient surgery department, patients have to leave the hospital before 9:00 PM. However, the definition of outpatient surgery varies widely in the literature. In France, the code of public health defines outpatient surgery as a hospital stay of less than or equal to 12 hours not including a night in hospital. The International Association for Ambulatory Surgery defines true ambulatory surgery as a discharge during the time frame of one working day (6-8 hours) with no overnight stay and ambulatory surgery with extended recovery with a stay for 1 night postoperatively in a hospital facility (overall stay up to 23 hours). However, previous studies on outpatient RARP did not specify whether it was true ambulatory or an ambulatory surgery with extended recovery, for example, Berger et al compared outpatient and inpatient group after RARP but in the inpatient group, 87% of the population were discharged the same day of surgery and the other stayed overnight with a mean length of stay of 14 hours for the outpatient group. In our study, the PADSS score at D1 was not evaluated in the morning but rather in the afternoon. Therefore, it could be considered that most of our patients were likely to be ready for discharge within the 24 postoperative hours and were eligible for outpatient discharge with extended recovery.

In order to achieve outpatient RARP, we applied recommendations for enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS). ERAS combines pre-, intra-, and postoperative measures adapted to the surgery to counteract or minimize the deleterious effects of surgery and/or anesthesia. ERAS was initially implemented in colorectal surgery and is now developed for various types of surgeries. Guidelines for colorectal surgery combine among other things, preoperative information, a reduce preoperative fasting (6 hours for solids and 2 hours for liquids) with administration of carbohydrate-rich isotonic fluids, intraoperative monitoring fluid administration based, hypothermia and PONV prevention and postoperative multimodal analgesia privileging nonopioid drugs, adequate thomboprophylaxis, enforced patient mobilization, and early oral feeding. These guidelines could apply for RARP. However, specific guidelines remain to be published. Opioid-free anesthesia seems to demonstrate a decrease in postoperative pain and PONV which could also allow an earlier discharge of patients. In our study, preoperative counseling was probably missing and could improve earlier discharge when implemented.

Our study has some limitations. It is an observational and monocentric study. However, all patients received a predefined standard anesthesia protocol. All RARP were performed by a single and experienced surgeon. Discharge was only considered on PADSS score and PADSS score may have missed clinical or institutional limitations that preclude early discharge. Indeed, items like comfort, willingness and/or readiness to go home, fatigue, eating well, ileus, etc. could also be determinant for discharge.

In conclusion, discharge at D0 after RARP was not feasible in our study. Discharge at D1 was however possible. It is a significant improvement over an effective discharge at D3. Reinforcing PONV prophylaxis and institution organization would probably allow earlier discharge and probably outpatient management. In this context, an opioid-free anesthesia could be proposed and needs to be further studied.
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EDITORIAL COMMENT

We congratulate the authors on the largest published prospective study of outpatient robot-assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP) to date. Through this well-designed feasibility study, authors found that outpatient RARP was not feasible in most patients, identifying postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) as the most frequent complication. Authors successfully standardized discharge criteria according to Post Anesthesia Discharge Scoring System (PADSS) and performed thorough assessment of perioperative and postoperative outcomes.

Prior studies have demonstrated the feasibility of outpatient RARP. One recent retrospective study found no difference in complications between patients discharged on postoperative day (POD)10 versus POD1 with the limitation that cases lacked nodal dissection.1 The earliest prospective study demonstrated high satisfaction on validated surveys in a preselected cohort of 11 patients who underwent extraperitoneal RARP.2 Another prospective study matched an outpatient group of 30 patients to an inpatient group who met inclusion criteria revealing no difference in patient satisfaction and short-term functional outcomes.3 This current study built upon prior work by increasing sample size, utilizing an ERAS protocol, standardizing discharge criteria using PADSS, and assessing multiple outcomes including 30-day complications. A few things may be improved upon for future study including enforcement of preoperative counseling and elimination of opioids. Such improvements may increase the feasibility of outpatient discharges for select patients after RARP.

In our experience, successful outpatient RARP required 5 components: (1) extensive preoperative counseling, (2) preoperative inclusion criteria for consideration of outpatient discharge, (3) collaboration with anesthesiology department to enforce a standardized perioperative ERAS protocol, (4) early ambulation and opioid-free multimodal pain management, and (5) standardization of postoperative discharge criteria.

Routine opioid administration has been eliminated from our RARP care pathway, resulting in reduced PONV. Indeed, this study identifies PONV as the main risk factor for delayed discharge, with supporting findings that patients who met PADSS discharge criteria on POD1 experienced less PONV than those who met criteria on POD2/3. The link between opioids and PONV is well-established by the Apfel score that calculates 24-hour PONV risk based on 4 factors: gender, smoking status, history of motion sickness, and use of postoperative opioids.4
Patients benefit from greater utilization of prophylactic antie-\text{metics and perioperative multimodal pain strategy (IV Tylenol/ Ketolorac/Gabapetin/Lidocaine)}, which may be easily incorpo-\text{rated into a well-designed ERAS pathway.}

Collaboration with anesthesia department is critical to create an ERAS pathway and formulate inclusion criteria that address age, American Society of Anesthesiologists score, BMI, cardiac history, hypercoagulable/bleeding disorders, and major surgical history. Outpatient RARP should be reserved for patients with low perioperative mortality risk, and we encourage preoperative patient risk-stratification as done by prior studies confirming feasibility of outpatient RARP.\textsuperscript{3} Furthermore, patients meeting entry criteria should be preoperatively counseled regarding high likelihood of POD0 discharge, taking into consideration the influence of social and cultural factors on patient preferences. Effective management of patient expectations may boost patient motivation and minimize postoperative anxiety regarding discharge.
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