

- meta-analysis of randomized trials; *BJU Int.* 2004;93:485–490. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15008714>.
5. Shelley MD, Mason MD, Kynaston H. Intravesical therapy for superficial bladder cancer: a systematic review of randomised trials and meta-analyses. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2010;36:195–205. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2009.12.005>.
 6. Malmström P-U, Sylvester RJ, Crawford DE, et al. An individual patient data meta-analysis of the long-term outcome of randomised studies comparing intravesical mitomycin C versus bacillus Calmette-Guérin for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2009;56:247–256. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2009.04.038>.
 7. Sanofi Pasteur. Sanofi Pasteur Statement on Discontinuation of BCG. <http://www.sanofipasteur.ca/node/50701>. Accessed January 8, 2017.
 8. Meeks JJ, Lerner SP, Svatek RS. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin manufacturing and SWOG S1602 intergroup clinical trial. *J Urol.* 2017;197:538–540. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2016.12.024>.
 9. Veeratterapillay R, Heer R, Johnson MI, Persad R, Bach C. High-risk non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer-therapy options during intravesical BCG shortage. *Curr Urol Rep.* 2016;17:68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11934-016-0625-z>.
 10. Mostafid AH, Palou Redorta J, Sylvester R, Witjes JA. Therapeutic options in high-risk non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer during the current worldwide shortage of bacille Calmette-Guérin. *Eur Urol.* 2015;67:359–360. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.11.031>.
 11. Brausi M, Morselli S. The treatment of Ta-T1 bladder cancer in the era of worldwide BCG shortage; *Minerva Urol Nefrol.* 2016;68:192–193. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26982374>.
 12. Davies BJ, Hwang TJ, Kesselheim AS. Ensuring access to injectable generic drugs – the case of intravesical BCG for bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:1401–1403. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1615697>.
 13. Messing EM. The BCG shortage. *Bladder Cancer (Amsterdam, Netherlands).* 2017;3:227–228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/BLC-179018>.
 14. Carrol N Application of Segmented Regression Analysis to the Kaiser Permanente Colorado Critical Drug Interaction Program. <http://denversug.org/presentations/2008CODay/ANL-Carroll.pdf>. Published 2008. Accessed January 8, 2017.
 15. Pugliese JM, Greene RN, Peterson AC. Intravesical therapy use in the high risk patient: practice patterns in an equal access healthcare institution before and after national guidelines; *Can J Urol.* 2009;16:4927–4931. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20003671>.
 16. Lambert EH, Pierorazio PM, Olsson CA, Benson MC, McKiernan JM, Poon S. The increasing use of intravesical therapies for stage T1 bladder cancer coincides with decreasing survival after cystectomy. *BJU Int.* 2007;100:33–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2007.06912.x>.
 17. Strobe SA, Ye Z, Hollingsworth JM, Hollenbeck BK. Patterns of care for early stage bladder cancer. *Cancer.* 2010;116:2604–2611. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25007>.
 18. Spencer BA, McBride RB, Hershman DL, et al. Adjuvant intravesical bacillus calmette-guérin therapy and survival among elderly patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *J Oncol Pract.* 2013;9:92–98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JOP.2011.000480>.
 19. Zhu S, Tang Y, Li K, et al. Optimal schedule of bacillus calmette-guerin for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of comparative studies. *BMC Cancer.* 2013;13:332. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-332>.
 20. Rentsch CA, Birkhäuser FD, Biot C, et al. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin strain differences have an impact on clinical outcome in bladder cancer immunotherapy. *Eur Urol.* 2014;66:677–688. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.02.061>.
 21. Hoffbauer SL, Shariat SF, Chade DC, et al. The Moreau Strain of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) for high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: an alternative during worldwide BCG shortage? *Urol Int.* 2016;96:46–50. doi:10.1159/000440701.
 22. Southwest Oncology Group. S1602: Different Strains of BCG With or Without Vaccine in High Grade Non-Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer. [ClinicalTrials.gov. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03091660](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03091660).
 23. Prasanna T, Craft P, Balasingam G, Haxhimolla H, Pranavan G. Intravesical gemcitabine versus intravesical bacillus calmette-guérin for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: an evaluation of efficacy and toxicity. *Front Oncol.* 2017;7:260. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2017.00260>.
 24. Jones G, Cleves A, Wilt TJ, Mason M, Kynaston HG, Shelley M. Intravesical gemcitabine for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;1 CD009294. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009294.pub2>.
 25. Burke JM, Lamm DL, Meng M V, et al. A first in human phase I study of CG0070, a GM-CSF expressing oncolytic adenovirus, for the treatment of nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 2012;188:2391–2397. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.07.097>.
 26. Dinney CPN, Fisher MB, Navai N, et al. Phase I trial of intravesical recombinant adenovirus mediated interferon- α 2b formulated in Syn3 for Bacillus Calmette-Guérin failures in nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 2013;190:850–856. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2013.03.030>.
 27. Kamat AM, Flaig TW, Grossman HB, et al. Expert consensus document: consensus statement on best practice management regarding the use of intravesical immunotherapy with BCG for bladder cancer. *Nat Rev Urol.* 2015;12:225–235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrurol.2015.58>.

EDITORIAL COMMENT



We commend the authors on their recent publication on trends in BCG utilization during periods of temporary supply shortages. Notably, the study compares the periods 2004-2012 versus 2013-2015, which does not include the most recent announcement in 2016 by Sanofi to exit the market completely. This begs the question: if temporary shortages decrease utilization growth by half, then what will be the impact of a complete market exit?

BCG is uniquely vulnerable to manufacturing shortages.¹ First, since it is a vaccine derived from a living organism, it is regulated as a biologic. To account for inherent variability in batches, special measures must be taken to ensure homogeneous identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency. Second, unlike traditional vaccines, BCG is delivered intravesically and manufactured quantities must be relatively large. When combined with high frequency of treatments in induction/maintenance regimens and expanding indications in nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer, the market is predisposed to shortages. Lastly, the fact that BCG was discovered over 100 years ago makes it difficult to escape generic pricing. Thus, it is easy to see why manufacturers have struggled to cover higher cost structures involved in producing it. Although the SWOG trial, S1602, was developed in response to the recent shortage and is performing necessary work in comparing BCG strain efficacy, it is unclear how introducing the Tokyo strain in North America would circumvent the issues outlined here and prevent a future exit.

Regulatory reform must necessarily be part of the solution. The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 was intended to enable quick approval for generic biologics (so-called “biosimilars”), but the first drug was not approved until 2015. Recently, the FDA expanded this program with an emphasis on time-to-market. Additionally, a FDA Drug Shortages Task Force was established to explore manufacturing issues like this one; however, BCG is not on the official drug shortages list. It is a mystery why – the authors of this study have clearly

demonstrated the impact of shortages on clinical practice, and it would behoove regulators to pay attention.

Jathin Bandari, Avinash Maganty, Benjamin J.

Davies, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center,
Department of Urology, Pittsburgh, PA

Reference

1. Bandari J, Maganty A, MacLeod LC, et al. Manufacturing and the market: Rationalizing the shortage of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin. *Eur Urol Focus* 2018. pii: S2405-4569(18)30166-4.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.056>
UROLOGY 124: 125–126, 2019. © 2018 Elsevier Inc.

AUTHOR REPLY



We appreciate the authors' insightful commentary on our recent study examining trends in BCG utilization during national supply shortages. We agree that the impact of even just temporary supply interruptions on BCG utilization is impressive. Certainly, exploring the impact of Sanofi's recent complete market exit is a worthwhile endeavor. However, due to a requisite time lag to allow maturation of data in the NCDB and other large administrative and population-based datasets, a more contemporary analysis is not yet feasible. Thus, while we anticipate that the recent cessation of BCG production by Sanofi may have profound effects on BCG treatment patterns, the necessary data to empirically study this question is not yet available. For this reason, we elected instead to explore the impacts of prior BCG supply

interruptions, in hopes that prior trends might inform the current discourse about ongoing BCG supply shortages.

The authors also share valuable insight into the challenges faced by BCG manufacturers and the market forces that lead to supply shortages. We agree that even if scientifically validated, an additional strain of BCG (Tokyo) entering the marketplace may ultimately suffer from the same issues facing current BCG manufacturers, and would likely not be a panacea for this challenging drug shortage issue. Thus, in our manuscript we highlight the importance of exploring potential alternatives to BCG. However, none of these appears ripe for immediate widespread clinical use, and BCG is likely to continue as a mainstay of NMIBC treatment for the foreseeable future. Thus, addressing BCG supply remains a high priority for our bladder cancer patients.

We agree wholeheartedly that regulatory reform is a requisite and central component in addressing BCG shortages. We applaud the authors for their role in bringing BCG supply issues into the national spotlight.¹ Hopefully our current work can help frame these drug shortages into a real-world context that can ultimately be used to advocate for durable change.

Abhinav Khanna, Robert Abouassaly, Glickman

Urologic and Kidney Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

Reference

1. Davies BJ, Hwang TJ, Kesselheim AS. Ensuring access to injectable generic drugs - the case of intravesical BCG for bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:1401–1403. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1615697>.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.057>
UROLOGY 124: 126, 2019. © 2018 Elsevier Inc.