



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Lung Cancer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/lungcan

Review

Optimizing the sequencing of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)

Ana C.Z. Gelatti^{a,d,*}, Alexander Drilon^b, Fernando C. Santini^c

^a Grupo Oncoclínicas, Porto Alegre, Brazil

^b Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, USA

^c Hospital Sírio-Libanês, Oncology Center, Sao Paulo, Brazil

^d Grupo Brasileiro de Oncologia Torácica (GBOT), Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

JEL Classification:
systemic treatments

Keywords:
Non-small cell lung cancer
Epidermal growth factor receptor
Tyrosine kinase inhibitor
Sequencing
Exon 19 deletion
Exon 21 mutation

ABSTRACT

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer, accounting for 80–85% of cases. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations are observed in approximately 40% and 20% of patients with NSCLC in Asian and non-Asian populations, respectively.

First-generation (gefitinib, erlotinib) and second-generation (afatinib, dacomitinib) EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have been standard-of-care (SoC) first-line treatment for patients with sensitizing EGFR mutation positive advanced NSCLC following Phase III trials versus platinum-based doublet chemotherapy. However, most patients treated with first-line first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs develop resistance. Osimertinib, a third-generation, central nervous system active EGFR-TKI which potently and selectively inhibits both EGFR-TKI sensitizing (EGFR_m) and the most common EGFR T790 M resistance mutations, has shown superior efficacy versus first-generation EGFR-TKIs (gefitinib / erlotinib). Osimertinib is now a treatment option for patients with advanced NSCLC harboring EGFR_m in the first-line setting, and treatment of choice for patients with T790 M positive NSCLC following disease progression on first-line EGFR-TKIs. The second-generation EGFR-TKI dacomitinib has also recently been approved for the first-line treatment of EGFR_m positive metastatic NSCLC.

There remains a need to determine appropriate sequencing of EGFR-TKIs in this setting, including EGFR-TKIs as monotherapy or in combination with other TKIs / signaling pathway inhibitors. This review considers the evolving role of sequencing treatments to maximize benefits for patients with EGFR_m positive advanced NSCLC.

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality globally, with approximately 1.7 million deaths attributed to the disease each year [1]. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer, accounting for approximately 80–90% of all lung cancers [2]. Historically, first-line treatment for advanced NSCLC was broadly confined to platinum-based chemotherapy. The discovery of targetable oncogenic mutations revolutionized treatment choices for NSCLC, yet refinement of NSCLC classification by biomarker target is

still developing. ASCO recommends that all patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma be screened for *EGFR*, *ALK*, *ROS1* and *BRAF* mutations irrespective of clinical characteristics. Patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma should also be screened for *RET*, *HER2*, *KRAS*, *MET*, and *NTRK* by multiplex genetic sequencing (next-generation sequencing) wherever feasible, which is quickly becoming a standard approach to screening for oncogenic targets [2,3].

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations are observed in approximately 40% and 20% of patients with NSCLC in Asian and non-Asian populations, respectively [4]. EGFR mutations are located in the

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CNS, central nervous system; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; ddPCR, droplet digital polymerase chain reaction; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; EGFR_m, EGFR-TKI sensitizing mutation; Ex19del, exon 19 deletion; HR, hazard ratio; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NC, non-calculable; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PPA, positive percentage agreement; RCT, randomized control trial; SoC, standard of care; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: anagelatti@yahoo.com.br (A.C.Z. Gelatti).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2019.09.017>

Received 2 July 2019; Received in revised form 18 September 2019; Accepted 22 September 2019

0169-5002/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

tyrosine kinase domain and result in increased kinase activity of the EGFR, leading to sustained activation of signaling pathways and continued cell proliferation [5]. The most common EGFR mutations are deletions in exon 19 (Ex19del) or exon 21 L858R point mutation [5].

Phase III trials comparing first-generation (gefitinib, erlotinib) and second-generation (afatinib, dacomitinib) EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy established first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs as standard-of-care (SoC) for patients with EGFR-mutated advanced NSCLC [6–14]. More recently, osimertinib, a third-generation, central nervous system (CNS)-active EGFR-TKI which potently and selectively inhibits both EGFR-TKI sensitizing (EGFRm) and EGFR T790 M resistance mutations [15–19], has shown superior progression-free survival (PFS) compared with standard EGFR-TKI (gefitinib / erlotinib) [19], resulting in osimertinib becoming an additional first-line treatment option for EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC [2,3,20,21]. In the second-line setting, following disease progression on first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs, osimertinib is treatment of choice for patients whose tumors harbor acquired EGFR resistance mutation T790 M [2,16,20].

Treatment of EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC has more therapeutic options than ever before, particularly with regards to choice of first-line EGFR-TKI. Herein, we review first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs, including acquired resistance mechanisms, and consider the evolving role of third-generation EGFR-TKIs, and other emerging therapeutic approaches in order to optimize the sequencing of EGFR-TKIs.

2. First- / second-generation EGFR-TKI therapy and resistance

2.1. Efficacy of first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs

In patients diagnosed with EGFRm positive NSCLC, first-generation reversible EGFR-TKIs provide superior efficacy versus platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (Fig. 1; Table A.1) [6–11]. Despite initial responses, most patients treated with first-generation EGFR-TKIs eventually develop resistance [22,23]. The second-generation EGFR-TKIs, afatinib and dacomitinib have shown, *in vitro*, that they bind selectively and irreversibly to the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR (HER1), HER2,

and HER4 receptors, and certain EGFR mutants (including, Ex19del, L858R and T790 M) to inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis in tumor cells that overexpress these receptors [24–26]. Afatinib has also demonstrated efficacy in patients with the uncommon EGFR mutations G719X, L861 G and S768I [27,28]. As with first-generation EGFR-TKIs, afatinib treatment showed superior efficacy versus platinum-based doublet chemotherapy in patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC (Fig. 1; Table A.1) [13,29]. In head-to-head trials versus gefitinib, second-generation EGFR-TKIs showed improved PFS (Fig. 1; Table A.1), and in the case of dacomitinib, improved overall survival (OS; median 34.1 months versus 26.8 months; hazard ratio [HR] 0.76, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.58–0.99; p = .044); OS was not considered to be statistically significant in this case due to the hierarchical approach to hypothesis testing in the study design, meaning that no formal testing of OS was conducted since the formal comparison of ORR between the treatment arms was not statistically significant [30,31]. However, the irreversible binding of second-generation EGFR-TKIs has led to increased toxicity, with dose reductions occurring in 39–52% and 66% of patients treated with afatinib and dacomitinib, respectively [14,32,33]. Despite exhibiting promising anti-EGFR T790 M activity *in vitro*, afatinib and dacomitinib are unsuccessful in overcoming T790M-mediated resistance in the clinical setting [34–36] because the therapeutic threshold for clinical efficacy is unachievable in humans due to dose-limiting toxicity associated with non-selective inhibition of wild-type EGFR [15]. In line with resistance to first-generation EGFR-TKIs, T790 M is the most common resistance mechanism, present in approximately 50% of progressive cases [32,37–44].

2.2. Mechanisms of resistance to first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs

Acquired mutations in the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain, the activation of bypass signaling pathways, and phenotypic or histologic transformation have been identified as mechanisms of acquired resistance to first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs [45,46]. Of the acquired EGFR mutations that can desensitize tumors to erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib and dacomitinib, the EGFR T790 M mutation is the most common, ranging from 36 to 69% in resistant cases [22,38,45,47–50]. T790 M mutation results in the substitution of threonine to methionine




Fig. 1. Median PFS with first-line EGFR-TKI treatment in EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC and the proportion of patients who received subsequent systemic treatment [6–12,19,29,32,33,102,103,138–142].

*As a proportion of patients who discontinued EGFR-TKI at data cut-off; treatment presumed systemic when details not provided; however, some references are not clear in this respect. Note, due to the differences in trial designs and patient populations, cross-trial comparisons should be interpreted with caution.

at the “gatekeeper” amino acid position 790 on exon 20 of EGFR, causing conformational change, which leads to steric hindrance and reduces the binding activity of first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs [23,51]. Furthermore, the T790 M mutation of EGFR may restore the affinity of the mutant receptor for ATP, thus reducing the potency of competitive EGFR-TKIs [52].

De novo T790 M mutations have been found to co-exist at a low frequency in EGFR-TKI treatment-naïve patients with EGFRm positive NSCLC [53,54]; these are rarely seen by standard genotyping methods, occurring in 3.5% of patients [55]. However, with the introduction of highly sensitive assays, the frequency of *de novo* T790 M mutation in EGFR-TKI-naïve patients has been shown to range from 22% to 80% [56–60]. In a meta-analysis of 1462 patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC across 22 studies, pre-treatment *de novo* T790 M mutation-positive status in TKI-naïve patients, was associated with decreased PFS (HR 2.23, $p < .001$) and OS (HR 1.55, $p = .003$) with EGFR-TKI therapy (erlotinib or gefitinib) compared with pretreatment T790 M mutation-negative status [61]. There is evidence that germline T790 M mutations may be present in up to 50% of all patients with *de novo* T790 M [62]. This has implications both around appropriate treatment sequencing and the screening of family members, but must also be considered with the caveat that false positive results may occur [63]. The prevalence of germline T790 M mutations is currently being studied in the INHERIT trial (NCT01754025) [64].

Bypass resistance mechanisms utilize alternative cellular pathways and activating downstream signal transduction, thereby facilitating tumor cell growth and survival. *MET* gene amplification, *HER2* gene amplification, and *PI3KCA* gene mutations are most frequently observed [22,38,65,66]. Changes in tumor phenotype at disease progression have also been reported, with up to 14% of EGFR-TKI resistant tumors showing transformation to small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) [22]. Rarely, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition can occur [67]. Other rare mechanisms of resistance to EGFR-TKIs include acquired receptor tyrosine kinase fusions and *BRAF* kinase fusions [68–70].

Yu and colleagues performed targeted next-generation sequencing in EGFR-TKI-naïve EGFRm positive lung cancer. Concurrent *HER2* amplification, *MET* amplification, or *TP53* mutations were associated with a shorter time to progression and OS on EGFR-TKI therapy [71]. Thus, identification of these concurrent mutations early in the treatment pathway may help tailor personalized treatment options for these patients by adopting new therapeutic strategies from the outset to overcome primary resistance.

3. Third-generation EGFR-TKI therapy at acquired resistance

3.1. T790 M resistance mutation

Third-generation EGFR-TKIs can effectively target both EGFRm and T790 M resistance mutations, while sparing activity of wild-type EGFR [15,17–19,72–80]. Osimertinib is now globally recommended for the treatment of patients with T790 M NSCLC following disease progression on EGFR-TKI [20,21]. Table A.2 summarizes third-generation EGFR-TKIs that are approved and in clinical development.

In the AURA program of clinical trials, once-daily dosing of 80 mg osimertinib consistently showed clinical benefit in patients with T790 M NSCLC following disease progression on first or second-generation EGFR-TKIs [47,79,81]. In the Phase III AURA3 trial, objective response rate (ORR) by investigator assessment was 71% (95% CI: 65–76) and median PFS was significantly longer with osimertinib (10.1 months) than platinum-based doublet chemotherapy (4.4 months) (HR 0.30, 95% CI: 0.23–0.41; $p < .001$) [79]. These clinical trial data are supported by a large, global observational study of more than 3000 patients with T790 M NSCLC and disease progression on prior EGFR-TKI (ASTRIS), in which osimertinib treatment showed an ORR of 57%

(95% CI: 55–58) and a median PFS of 11.0 months (95% CI: 10.6–11.1). Furthermore, in AURA3, osimertinib demonstrated clinically meaningful and durable CNS responses; CNS ORR 54–70% [17,82].

3.2. T790 M testing and failure rates

Current guidelines recommend T790 M testing at clinical progression on a first-line EGFR-TKI, using tissue biopsy, plasma circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) testing or both [3,21]. Because successful tissue biopsy is often not feasible, plasma testing should be considered a viable approach as the method is time effective and has little impact on patient morbidity. Unlike conventional tissue biopsies, liquid biopsies can circumvent tumor heterogeneity and quantify the proportion of mutated gene copies, which can be beneficial when monitoring disease response and in predicting early treatment failure [83,84]. However, T790 M detection rates are often lower with plasma samples than with adequate tissue or cytology samples as ctDNA testing relies on DNA being shed from the tumor [85–88]. Indeed, high rates of false negative ctDNA T790 M have been observed across the AURA clinical trial program: positive percentage agreement (PPA) was 51% in AURA3 [44,79,89,90]. This highlights the need for high DNA concentrations in plasma samples and for assays with greater sensitivity when analyzing plasma ctDNA samples for T790 M, such as droplet digital polymerase chain reaction assay [91]. For patients with a negative plasma T790 M result, it is recommended to reflex test a tissue-based specimen [92].

There is some preliminary evidence to suggest that T790 M detection may be lower in the real-world setting compared with the clinical setting [93], possibly due to poor quality specimens, pre-analytical issues or limited access to liquid biopsies. Further research and development are therefore required to improve the quality and utility of liquid biopsies in clinical practice.

Tissue re-biopsy is not feasible for up to 20% of patients with progression on an EGFR-TKI, due to the risk of complications, lack of consent for the procedure, poor performance status of the patient or inaccessibility of the tumor [94–96]. In patients for whom T790 M testing (plasma or tissue) is performed, not all will receive a result for reasons such as insufficient tissue, false-negative on plasma test or test limitations [97]. Recently, in a prospective Japanese study in 236 patients with EGFRm positive NSCLC and disease progression on first- or second-generation EGFR-TKI, 13% of patients ($n = 31$) had not been tested for T790 M mutations after disease progression. Of the 199 patients who were tested for T790 M, 31% of patients tested positive. Of note, 50 patients underwent second re-biopsy and eight had third re-biopsy, which led to T790 M mutation detected in an additional 12 patients [93]. In line with these findings, a US study of electronic health records showed that following first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs, only 28% of those tested for EGFR mutations had a T790 M positive status [98]. In both of these studies, the majority (> 90%) of patients who were T790 M positive, received osimertinib as their subsequent treatment [93,98]. There remains limited evidence to guide treatment for patients who are T790 M negative, or do not receive a valid result and therefore their T790 M status remains unknown.

3.3. Uncommon EGFR mutations

Uncommon *EGFR* mutations in exons 18, 20 and 21, including L861X, G719X and S768I, represent approximately 10% of *EGFR* mutations [99]. In an open-label Phase II study ($n = 35$), osimertinib demonstrated efficacy in patients with NSCLC with uncommon *EGFR* mutations other than exon 19 deletion, L858R, T790 M and insertion in exon 20; partial responses were reported in seven (78%) patients with L861Q mutation, ten (53%) patients with G719X mutation and three (38%) patients with S768I mutation [100].

3.4. Proportion of patients who receive second-line treatment after first- / second-generation EGFR-TKIs

We reviewed the rates of subsequent therapies following discontinuation reported in randomized control trials (RCTs) of EGFR-TKIs in the first-line EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC setting (Table A.1).

For first-generation EGFR-TKIs (gefitinib / erlotinib / icotinib), the second-line systemic treatment rate was 47–82% (Table A.1). For second-generation EGFR-TKIs (afatinib / dacomitinib), the rate was 58–78%. Among RCTs that reported the types of subsequent treatment received, the majority received chemotherapy after EGFR-TKI therapy [6,7,10–13,101–103]. In the NEJ002 trial, 20 of 114 patients did not receive any subsequent regimens after first-line gefitinib due to a poor performance status, interstitial lung disease, exacerbation of co-morbidities, and patient preference [7]. There were very limited reports of T790 M testing rates.

RCTs provide a good indicator of the rate of subsequent treatment for patients who discontinue first-line EGFR-TKIs. However, these are not representative of the real world, as patients enrolled into RCTs are usually healthier (performance status < 2 and good organ function), do not have symptomatic brain metastases and are monitored well as per protocol. As a result, in real-world practice, the proportion of patients receiving second-line treatment can be lower. In a German study investigating patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC, 30% of patients did not reach second-line therapy [104]. However, in a US study only 38% of patients with NSCLC treated with a first- or second-generation EGFR-TKI received a subsequent treatment [98]. In a recent analysis of treatment patterns from the US Flatiron Electronic Health Record-derived database, 44% of patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC received second-line treatment [55].

It should be noted that when many of the RCTs listed here were undertaken, T790 M testing was not routine and third-generation EGFR-TKIs were unavailable as a post-first-line EGFR-TKI treatment option. In fact, among patients in the RCT chemotherapy arms, a higher proportion received subsequent treatment, mainly cross-over to second-line EGFR-TKI therapy [8–12,102,103].

In the best-case scenario, approximately 50% of patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC who start with first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs will be T790 M positive at disease progression and eligible to receive second-line osimertinib. However, some of these patients would not receive valid results, which may reduce the T790 M positive rate to as low as 30%, as reported by Seto and colleagues [93]. Furthermore, 18–53% of patients (based on RCT data), may not receive any second-line treatment. A composite estimate of the proportion of patients who receive first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs but do not receive osimertinib after progression could be as high as approximately 70%, meaning only 30% of patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC may ever receive the clinical benefit of osimertinib if treated in the second line (Fig. 2).

4. Third-generation EGFR-TKI therapy as first-line treatment

The selective nature of third-generation EGFR-TKIs, makes them an attractive therapeutic option in the first-line EGFRm positive NSCLC setting. The efficacy and safety of first-line osimertinib in advanced EGFRm positive NSCLC was recently assessed against the first-generation EGFR-TKIs erlotinib or gefitinib (standard EGFR-TKI) in the global Phase III FLAURA trial [19]. FLAURA achieved its primary endpoint, with osimertinib demonstrating PFS superiority over standard EGFR-TKI (median PFS, 18.9 versus 10.2 months, HR 0.46; 95% CI: 0.37–0.57, $p < .001$). The PFS benefit was consistent across all subgroups and was similar in patients with (HR 0.47) and without known CNS metastases (HR 0.46) at study entry. Response rates did not differ significantly between the treatment groups (80% with osimertinib and 76% with standard EGFR-TKI); however, the median duration of response was longer in patients treated with osimertinib (17.2 versus 8.5

months). At data cut-off, OS data were immature (25%) and were not statistically significant; however, they suggest a favorable trend for patients treated with osimertinib (HR 0.63, 0.45–0.88; $p = .0068$). PFS2 results are a good surrogate for OS and were non-calculable (NC) (95% CI: 23.7–NC) for osimertinib versus 20.0 months (95% CI: 18.2–NC), HR 0.58 (95% CI: 0.44–0.78; $p = .0004$) for standard EGFR-TKI [19]. Based on these findings, osimertinib is approved in the US, EU and Japan for the first-line treatment of patients with EGFRm (Ex19del / L858R) positive advanced NSCLC [105,106].

At present, the optimal therapeutic strategy for osimertinib and its positioning within the current sequential treatment paradigm is not definitive. When considering a first-line EGFR-TKI, several factors must be considered. Certainly, giving osimertinib in the first-line setting would provide every eligible patient with EGFRm positive NSCLC the chance to benefit from the improved efficacy (as shown in FLAURA) versus erlotinib or gefitinib, along with the known reduced risk of CNS progression associated with osimertinib treatment.


A second consideration would be that multiple exposures to systemic agents, as in the multiple EGFR-TKI sequencing strategy, can lead to increasing heterogeneity and genomic complexity in tumors, resulting in a poorer response with later lines of therapy [107]. Consequently, a patient may derive greater benefit from a more potent EGFR-TKI administered in the first-line setting rather than in the second-line or later.

A third consideration is the high risk of CNS metastases among patients with EGFRm positive NSCLC [108,109]. Thus, demonstrable efficacy and safety of EGFR-TKI therapy in patients with EGFRm positive NSCLC and CNS metastases is particularly relevant. Both AURA3 and FLAURA reported greater CNS efficacy with osimertinib versus platinum-pemetrexed and standard EGFR-TKI (gefitinib / erlotinib), respectively [17,18]. In FLAURA, CNS PFS in patients with measurable and / or non-measurable CNS lesions was not reached with osimertinib (95% CI: 16.5 months–NC) and was 13.9 months (95% CI: 8.3 months–NC) with standard EGFR-TKI (HR 0.48; 95% CI: 0.26–0.86; $p = .014$ [nominally statistically significant]). Moreover, CNS ORR were significantly higher in patients with one or more measurable CNS lesions (91% versus 68%; odds ratio, 4.6; 95% CI: 0.9–34.9; $p = .066$) and in patients with measurable and / or non-measurable CNS lesions (66% and 43%; odds ratio, 2.5; 95% CI: 1.2–5.2; $p = .011$) versus standard EGFR-TKI, and the probability of experiencing a CNS progression event was consistently lower with osimertinib [18].

Currently, no direct comparable data for second- versus third-generation EGFR-TKIs exists in the first-line setting. Moreover, OS data for both AURA3 and FLAURA are immature [19,79]. Interestingly, in the post-progression analysis of FLAURA, the PFS benefit with osimertinib versus standard EGFR-TKI was maintained throughout all time-to-event post-progression endpoints: PFS HR 0.46, time to first subsequent treatment HR 0.51, PFS2 HR 0.58, and time to second subsequent treatment 0.60 [110]. This step-wise increase of the statistically significant hazard ratios HRs provides confidence in the interim OS data. Nevertheless, the final OS results are eagerly awaited.

The position of osimertinib in the treatment pathway may also be dictated by the resistance mechanisms identified in the first-line setting and the available treatment options following progression. Very limited data are available for resistance mechanisms to osimertinib as first-line therapy but preliminary data from AURA (first-line cohort) and FLAURA suggest similar resistance mechanisms to those observed with osimertinib in the second-line T790 M setting (Fig. 3), and also to first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs, with the exception of T790 M mutation, of which there was no evidence [111,112]. Further research into resistance mechanisms to first-line osimertinib are ongoing with the ELIOS (NCT03239340) trial [113].

Given the dearth of post-osimertinib treatment options, there is an argument that greater survival benefit may be derived from the sequential initiation of EGFR-TKIs rather than initiating osimertinib in the first-line setting. However, the PFS benefit with osimertinib



EGFR-TKI treatment

demonstrated as preserved throughout time-to-event post-progression endpoints versus standard EGFR-TKIs in the first-line setting must be noted. Furthermore, the majority of patients with progression on standard EGFR-TKIs receive chemotherapy.

5. Emerging approaches to targeting EGFR-mutant NSCLC

It is critical that we explore novel approaches to overcome acquired resistance mechanisms to EGFR-TKIs and to determine the appropriate sequencing of therapy to prolong patient survival.

The acquired C797S mutation, which blocks the covalent binding of second- and third-generation EGFR-TKIs to the ATP-binding site, has been identified as a potential resistance mechanism for irreversible third-generation EGFR-TKIs. In the post-second-line T790 M setting, data have indicated that the C797S mutation is acquired while retaining both EGFR^R and T790 M mutations [114–116]. The emergence of this tertiary acquired mutation that mediates resistance to all known third-generation EGFR-TKIs is driving the development of fourth-generation EGFR-TKIs. EAI001, EAI045, and JBJ-04-125-02 are allosteric EGFR inhibitors that target T790 M and C797S EGFR mutants, and have demonstrated initial efficacy in the preclinical setting [117–119]. Importantly, C797S acquisition after first-line osimertinib only co-exists with EGFR^R (since osimertinib prevents T790 M resistance). Moreover, in-vitro modelling supports the potential use of osimertinib in combination with first-generation EGFR-TKIs to target EGFR^R / C797S resistance when T790 M and C797S mutations occur in *trans* allelic context [120].

As new resistance mechanisms to EGFR-TKIs come to light, it is becoming clear that the inhibition of EGFR alone may not be sufficient for sustained antitumor activity. Combined MET and EGFR inhibition to target EGFR-TKI acquired resistance driven by MET amplification is a compelling therapeutic approach, with Phase Ib studies in patients with EGFR^R positive NSCLC and MET positive acquired resistance demonstrating promising safety, tolerability, and preliminary activity of osimertinib (TATTON, NCT02143466) [121] or gefitinib (NCT02374645) [122] in combination with the MET-TKI savolitinib. This approach provided the basis for the ongoing Phase II SAVANNAH (NCT03778229) trial, which will investigate the efficacy of osimertinib

Fig. 2. Approximation of proportion of patients with EGFR^R positive advanced NSCLC treated with first- / second-generation EGFR-TKIs and who go on to receive osimertinib as second-line therapy [6–12,19,93,95,98,102,103,111,138–140,143–145].

*Based on the proportion of patients who discontinued first-line EGFR-TKIs in randomized-controlled trials and who received subsequent systemic treatment: 47–82%

†Based on real-world evidence studies indicating the proportion of patients who received an EGFR T790 M test: FLATIRON (30%) and REMEDY (97% of patients with samples collected)

‡Based on a meta-analysis of literature indicating a prevalence of 50% (Wang et al. BMC 2018), and real-world evidence studies indicating a lower than expected T790 M positive rate: FLATIRON (28%) and REMEDY (31%)

§Based on real-world evidence studies, the vast majority of patients who test positive for T790 M receive osimertinib treatment: FLATIRON (96%) and REMEDY (90%)

FLATIRON: US Flatiron Electronic Health Record-derived database study

REMEDY: A prospective study of molecular testing status in the EGFR mutation positive NSCLC patients with disease progression during

in combination with savolitinib in patients with EGFR^R and MET positive NSCLC, following progression on osimertinib treatment. Indeed, a multi-drug, biomarker-directed Phase II platform trial (ORCHARD; NCT03944772) is evaluating resistance mechanisms and combination treatment options for patients with EGFR^R positive NSCLC whose disease has progressed on first-line osimertinib therapy. Preliminary results from an ongoing Phase I trial (NCT02609776) investigating the EGFR-cMET bispecific antibody JNJ-372 demonstrated a manageable tolerability profile [123]. Recently, several case reports have shown that the administration of crizotinib (an ALK / ROS1 / MET inhibitor) in combination with osimertinib is effective and well tolerated in patients with EGFR^R positive NSCLC with acquired T790 M and MET amplification resistance mutations [124]. Other MET plus EGFR combinations have been investigated, but showed discordant results, so the benefit of this approach remains to be fully elucidated [125–129].

Other potential combinations that target resistance mechanisms that are currently under investigation include EGFR-TKIs in combination with MEK1 / 2 inhibition [121], antibodies against EGFR [130], antibodies against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; NCT02803203) and VEGF receptor (NCT02789345), mTOR inhibition (NCT02503722) and immunotherapy. Preclinical models have demonstrated that activation of the EGFR pathway induces PD-L1 expression, enhancing susceptibility of the lung tumors to PD-1 blockade [131]. This suggested that the combination of PD-1 blockade with EGFR-TKIs might be a viable therapeutic approach to extend duration of treatment response and delay development of resistance in the EGFR^R positive NSCLC setting [131]. However, the combination approach of EGFR-TKIs with immunotherapy (gefitinib + durvalumab [132], erlotinib + atezolizumab [133], pembrolizumab + gefitinib [134]) yielded an unexpectedly high incidence of grade 3 / 4 adverse events. Thus, further development of this approach is considered controversial. However, other immunotherapy approaches may provide benefit as salvage treatment strategies following progression on EGFR-TKIs. For instance, in the Phase III IMpower150 study, atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab and chemotherapy has shown promising activity in patients with pretreated EGFR^R positive NSCLC following progression on EGFR-TKIs [135]. This suggests that immunotherapy checkpoint inhibitors in combination with chemotherapy or other treatments may be




Fig. 3. A. Resistance mechanisms post \geq second-line osimertinib* [146–152]. Composite pie chart (range of data values correspond to the size of each segment)

*Resistance mechanism reported may overlap with another

[†]Resistance mechanisms in plasma; frequency of MET amplification is expected to be higher in tissue. B. Plasma-based resistance mechanisms post first-line osimertinib (FLAURA)* [112]

*Resistance mechanism reported may overlap with another

[†]Resistance mechanisms in plasma; frequency of MET amplification is expected to be higher in tissue

a viable therapeutic approach following resistance to EGFR-TKIs. Furthermore, the ongoing KEYNOTE-789 trial (NCT03515837) is evaluating if chemotherapy combined with pembrolizumab improves outcomes in patients with EGFRm positive NSCLC and progression on an EGFR-TKI.

In addition to immunotherapy checkpoint inhibitors, other emerging immunotherapy strategies warrant investigation for treatment of resistance to EGFR-TKIs, such as combinations with anti-CD73 agents (for example oleclumab; NCT03381274).

The combination of EGFR-TKIs with chemotherapy is also under investigation for treatment-naïve patients where the availability of third-generation EGFR-TKIs is limited. The Phase III study NEJ009 evaluated the superiority of gefitinib in combination with pemetrexed-carboplatin versus gefitinib monotherapy in patients with newly-diagnosed EGFRm positive NSCLC. Although the combination did not demonstrate superiority in PFS2, the results reported a potential increase in long survivors [136]. Another Phase III study showed the addition of

pemetrexed-carboplatin to gefitinib therapy significantly prolonged PFS and OS in patients with chemotherapy-naïve EGFRm positive NSCLC, albeit with increased toxicity [137].

At the core of future research will be the need to determine the appropriate sequencing of treatments for patients with advanced EGFRm positive NSCLC along with standard EGFR-TKIs and, as the disease landscape evolves, with use of later-generation EGFR-TKIs in the first-line setting, such as osimertinib. This will ultimately require selected treatments for a given patient to be based on the results of comprehensive, sensitive molecular profiling throughout the treatment journey. In the first-line setting, this may include EGFR-TKIs administered either as monotherapy, or in combination with other mechanistic approaches, in order to improve benefit in molecularly identified high-risk patients or delaying resistance. Monitoring dynamic disease evolution using liquid biopsies is likely to play an important role in this setting, enabling clinicians to serially monitor changes in circulating tumor DNA, mutation burden, the appearance or disappearance of mutations during treatment, and as a predictor of response or progression. Finally, as our biological and translational understanding of acquired resistance continues to develop, this will inform specific treatments for subsequent lines of therapy in the second-line setting and beyond.

6. Conclusions

Advancements in the clinical development of EGFR-TKIs have led to evolving improvements in clinical outcomes for patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC. In the first-line setting, osimertinib treatment provided superior efficacy to previous standard EGFR-TKI therapy with significantly longer PFS and improvements in time to treatment failure and time to second subsequent therapy or death. Furthermore, pre-clinical and clinical data indicate that osimertinib can cross the intact blood-brain barrier, and CNS efficacy has been demonstrated in patients with EGFRm positive advanced NSCLC. Acquired resistance in patients receiving first-line osimertinib is currently being investigated but, encouragingly, preliminary data show that no new unexpected mechanisms of resistance have been so far identified. Due to the observed multifactorial resistance mechanisms, including EGFR mutations, activation of bypass signaling pathways, phenotypic and histologic transformation, new therapeutic strategies are needed to tailor personalized treatment options. Several clinical development programs are ongoing to investigate the role of combination approaches with osimertinib. Further understanding of these potential combinations will provide critical information to inform future treatment decisions.

Funding

This research was funded by AstraZeneca US. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of Competing Interest

Ana Gelatti reports expert testimony for Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and AstraZeneca; speaker bureaus for Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Pfizer; payment for development of educational presentations from Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Pfizer; expenses from Merck Sharp & Dohme, Roche, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and AstraZeneca.

Alexander Drilon reports grants from National Institutes of Health and National Cancer Institute, and advisory boards for AstraZeneca, during the conduct of study; personal fees from Medscape, OnLive, PeerVoice, Physicians Education Resources, Targeted Oncology, Research to Practice, Wolters Kluwer, Ignyta/Roche/Genentech, Loxo/Bayer/Lilly, and TP Therapeutics, grants and personal fees from, and

advisory boards for, Pfizer and Exelixis, personal fees from, and advisory boards for, Blueprint Medicines, Takeda/Ariad Millenium, Helsinn, Beigene, BergenBio, Hengrui Therapeutics, Tyra Biosciences, Verastem, and MORE Health, honoraria from Merck and Puma, research funding from Teva, Taiho, Foundation Medicine and GlaxoSmithKline, outside the submitted work.

Fernando Santini reports personal expenses from Merck Sharp & Dohme, AstraZeneca, Roche, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Bayer.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Natasha Cary and Laura Crocker, of iMed Comms, Macclesfield, UK, an Ashfield Company, part of UDG Healthcare plc, for medical writing support that was funded by AstraZeneca in accordance with Good Publications Practice (GPP3) guidelines (<http://www.ismpp.org/gpp3>).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2019.09.017>.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, Cancer - Key Facts, (2018) Available at <http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer> (Accessed on 20 February).
- [2] D. Planchard, S. Popat, K. Kerr, S. Novello, E.F. Smit, C. Favier-Finn, et al., Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up, *Ann. Oncol.* 29 (2018) iv192–iv237.
- [3] G.P. Kalemkerian, N. Narula, E.B. Kennedy, W.A. Biermann, J. Donington, N.B. Leigh, et al., Molecular testing guideline for the selection of patients with lung cancer for treatment with targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors: American Society of Clinical Oncology Endorsement of the College of American Pathologists/International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/Association for Molecular Pathology Clinical Practice Guideline Update, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 911–919.
- [4] Y.L. Zhang, J.Q. Yuan, K.F. Wang, X.H. Fu, X.R. Han, D. Threapleton, et al., The prevalence of EGFR mutation in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Oncotarget* 7 (2016) 78985–78993.
- [5] J. Cho, L. Chen, N. Sangji, T. Okabe, K. Yonesaka, J.M. Francis, et al., Cetuximab response of lung cancer-derived EGFR receptor mutants is associated with asymmetric dimerization, *Cancer Res.* 73 (2013) 6770–6779.
- [6] M. Fukuoka, Y.L. Wu, S. Thongprasert, P. Sunpaweravong, S.S. Leong, V. Sriuranpong, et al., Biomarker analyses and final overall survival results from a phase III, randomized, open-label, first-line study of gefitinib versus carboplatin/paclitaxel in clinically selected patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in Asia (IPASS), *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29 (2011) 2866–2874.
- [7] A. Inoue, K. Kobayashi, M. Maemondo, S. Sugawara, S. Oizumi, H. Isoe, et al., Updated overall survival results from a randomized phase III trial comparing gefitinib with carboplatin-paclitaxel for chemo-naïve non-small cell lung cancer with sensitive EGFR gene mutations (NEJ002), *Ann. Oncol.* 24 (2013) 54–59.
- [8] T. Mitsudomi, S. Morita, Y. Yatabe, S. Negoro, I. Okamoto, J. Tsurutani, et al., Gefitinib versus cisplatin plus docetaxel in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor (WJTOG3405): an open label, randomised phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 11 (2010) 121–128.
- [9] C. Zhou, Y.L. Wu, G. Chen, J. Feng, X.Q. Liu, C. Wang, et al., Final overall survival results from a randomised, phase III study of erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment of EGFR mutation-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802), *Ann. Oncol.* 26 (2015) 1877–1883.
- [10] R. Rosell, E. Carcereny, R. Gervais, A. Vergnenegre, B. Massuti, E. Felip, et al., Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 13 (2012) 239–246.
- [11] Y.L. Wu, C. Zhou, C.K. Liam, G. Wu, X. Liu, Z. Zhong, et al., First-line erlotinib versus gemcitabine/cisplatin in patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: analyses from the phase III, randomized, open-label, ENSURE study, *Ann. Oncol.* 26 (2015) 1883–1889.
- [12] T. Kato, H. Yoshioka, I. Okamoto, A. Yokoyama, T. Hida, T. Seto, et al., Afatinib versus cisplatin plus pemetrexed in Japanese patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer harboring activating EGFR mutations: subgroup analysis of LUX-Lung 3, *Cancer Sci.* 106 (2015) 1202–1211.
- [13] Y.L. Wu, C. Zhou, C.P. Hu, J. Feng, S. Lu, Y. Huang, et al., Afatinib versus cisplatin plus gemcitabine for first-line treatment of Asian patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring EGFR mutations (LUX-Lung 6): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 15 (2014) 213–222.
- [14] Y.L. Wu, Y. Cheng, X. Zhou, K.H. Lee, K. Nakagawa, S. Niho, et al., Dacomitinib versus gefitinib as first-line treatment for patients with EGFR-mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (ARCHER 1050): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 18 (2017) 1454–1466.
- [15] D.A. Cross, S.E. Ashton, S. Ghiorghiu, C. Eberlein, C.A. Nebhan, P.J. Spitzler, et al., AZD9291, an irreversible EGFR TKI, overcomes T790M-mediated resistance to EGFR inhibitors in lung cancer, *Cancer Discov.* 4 (2014) 1046–1061.
- [16] T.S. Mok, Y.L. Wu, M.J. Ahn, M.C. Garassino, H.R. Kim, S.S. Ramalingam, et al., Osimertinib or platinum-pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-Positive lung Cancer, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 376 (2017) 629–640.
- [17] Y.L. Wu, M.J. Ahn, M.C. Garassino, J.Y. Han, N. Katakami, H.R. Kim, et al., CNS efficacy of osimertinib in patients with T790M-Positive advanced non-small-Cell lung Cancer: data from a randomized phase III trial (AURA3), *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 2702–2709.
- [18] T. Reungwetwattana, K. Nakagawa, B.C. Cho, M. Cobo, E.K. Cho, A. Bertolini, et al., CNS response to osimertinib versus standard epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with untreated EGFR-Mutated advanced non-Small-Cell lung Cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 3290–3297.
- [19] J.C. Soria, Y. Ohe, J. Vansteenkiste, T. Reungwetwattana, B. Chewaskulyong, K.H. Lee, et al., Osimertinib in untreated EGFR-Mutated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 378 (2018) 113–125.
- [20] N. Hanna, D. Johnson, S. Temin, S. Baker Jr., J. Brahmer, P.M. Ellis, et al., Systemic therapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline update, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 35 (2017) 3484–3515.
- [21] S. Novello, F. Barlesi, R. Califano, T. Cufer, S. Ekman, M.G. Levra, et al., Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up, *Ann. Oncol.* 27 (2016) v1–v27.
- [22] L.V. Sequist, B.A. Waltman, D. Dias-Santagata, S. Digumarthy, A.B. Turke, P. Fidias, et al., Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors, *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3 (2011) 75ra26.
- [23] S. Kobayashi, T.J. Boggon, T. Dayaram, P.A. Janne, O. Kocher, M. Meyerson, et al., EGFR mutation and resistance of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 352 (2005) 786–792.
- [24] T.A. Yap, L. Vidal, J. Adam, P. Stephens, J. Spicer, H. Shaw, et al., Phase I trial of the irreversible EGFR and HER2 kinase inhibitor BIBW 2992 in patients with advanced solid tumors, *J. Clin. Oncol.* (28) (2010) 3965–3972.
- [25] J.A. Engelman, K. Zejnullahu, C.M. Gale, E. Lifshits, A.J. Gonzales, T. Shimamura, et al., PF00299804, an irreversible pan-ERBB inhibitor, is effective in lung cancer models with EGFR and ERBB2 mutations that are resistant to gefitinib, *Cancer Res.* 67 (2007) 11924–11932.
- [26] D. Li, L. Ambrogio, T. Shimamura, S. Kubo, M. Takahashi, L.R. Chirieac, et al., BIBW2992, an irreversible EGFR/HER2 inhibitor highly effective in preclinical lung cancer models, *Oncogene* 27 (2008) 4702–4711.
- [27] M. Watanabe, S. Oizumi, S. Kiuchi, N. Yamada, H. Yokouchi, S. Fukumoto, et al., The effectiveness of afatinib in a patient with advanced lung adenocarcinoma harboring rare G719X and S768I mutations, *Intern. Med.* 57 (2018) 993–996.
- [28] E.E. Ke, Y.-L. Wu, Afatinib in the first-line treatment of epidermal-growth-factor-receptor mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer: a review of the clinical evidence, *Ther. Adv. Respir. Dis.* 10 (2016) 256–264.
- [29] J.C. Yang, Y.L. Wu, M. Schuler, M. Sebastian, S. Popat, N. Yamamoto, et al., Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials, *Lancet Oncol.* 16 (2015) 141–151.
- [30] T.S.C.Y. Mok, X. Zhou, K.H. Lee, K. Nakagawa, S. Niho, M. Lee, R. Linke, R. Rosell, J. Corral, M.R. Migliorino, A. Pluzanski, E.I. Sbar, T. Wang, J.L. White, Y.L. Wu, Improvement in overall survival in a randomized study that compared dacomitinib with gefitinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and EGFR-activating mutations, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 2244–2250.
- [31] T.S. Mok, Y. Cheng, X. Zhou, K.H. Lee, K. Nakagawa, S. Niho, et al., Improvement in overall survival in a randomized study that compared dacomitinib with gefitinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and EGFR-Activating mutations, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 2244–2250.
- [32] L.V. Sequist, J.C. Yang, N. Yamamoto, K. O'Byrne, V. Hirsh, T. Mok, et al., Phase III study of afatinib or cisplatin plus pemetrexed in patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) 3327–3334.
- [33] K. Park, E.H. Tan, K. O'Byrne, L. Zhang, M. Boyer, T. Mok, et al., Afatinib versus gefitinib as first-line treatment of patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (LUX-Lung 7): a phase 2B, open-label, randomised controlled trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 17 (2016) 577–589.
- [34] V.A. Miller, V. Hirsh, J. Cadranel, Y.M. Chen, K. Park, S.W. Kim, et al., Afatinib versus placebo for patients with advanced, metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer after failure of erlotinib, gefitinib, or both, and one or two lines of chemotherapy (LUX-Lung 1): a phase 2b/3 randomised trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 13 (2012) 528–538.
- [35] N. Katakami, S. Atagi, K. Goto, T. Hida, T. Horai, A. Inoue, et al., LUX-Lung 4: a phase II trial of afatinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer who progressed during prior treatment with erlotinib, gefitinib, or both, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) 3335–3341.
- [36] S.S. Ramalingam, P.A. Janne, T. Mok, K. O'Byrne, M.J. Boyer, J. Von Pawel, et al., Dacomitinib versus erlotinib in patients with advanced-stage, previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (ARCHER 1009): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 15 (2014) 1369–1378.
- [37] G.R. Oxnard, M.E. Arcila, C.S. Sima, G.J. Riely, J. Chmielecki, M.G. Kris, et al., Acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFR-mutant lung cancer: distinct natural history of patients with tumors harboring the T790M mutation, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17 (2011) 1616–1622.
- [38] H.A. Yu, M.E. Arcila, N. Rekhtman, C.S. Sima, M.F. Zakowski, W. Pao, et al., Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI

- therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19 (2013) 2240–2247.
- [39] M.E. Arcila, G.R. Oxnard, K. Nafa, G.J. Riely, S.B. Solomon, M.F. Zakowski, et al., Rebiopsy of lung cancer patients with acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors and enhanced detection of the T790M mutation using a locked nucleic acid-based assay, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17 (2011) 1169–1180.
- [40] J.M. Sun, M.J. Ahn, Y.L. Choi, J.S. Ahn, K. Park, Clinical implications of T790M mutation in patients with acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, *Lung Cancer* 82 (2013) 294–298.
- [41] J.L. Kuiper, D.A. Heideman, E. Thunnissen, M.A. Paul, A.W. van Wijk, P.E. Postmus, et al., Incidence of T790M mutation in (sequential) rebiopsies in EGFR-mutated NSCLC-patients, *Lung Cancer* 85 (2014) 19–24.
- [42] W. Li, S. Ren, J. Li, A. Li, L. Fan, X. Li, et al., T790M mutation is associated with better efficacy of treatment beyond progression with EGFR-TKI in advanced NSCLC patients, *Lung Cancer* 84 (2014) 295–300.
- [43] H. Akamatsu, A. Delmonte, T. John, W.-C. Su, J.-S. Lee, G.-C. Chang, et al., 421P EGFR mutation analysis for prospective patient (pt) selection in AURA3 Phase III trial of osimertinib vs platinum-pemetrexed (pl-t-pem) in pts with EGFR T790M positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), *Ann. Oncol.* 28 (2017) mdx671.010.
- [44] S. Jenkins, J. Chih-Hsin Yang, P.A. Janne, K.S. Thress, K. Yu, R. Hodge, et al., EGFR mutation analysis for prospective patient selection in two Phase II registration studies of osimertinib, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) 1247–1256.
- [45] E.L. Stewart, S.Z. Tan, G. Liu, M.S. Tsao, Known and putative mechanisms of resistance to EGFR targeted therapies in NSCLC patients with EGFR mutations—a review, *Transl. Lung Cancer Res.* 4 (2015) 67–81.
- [46] F. Morgillo, C.M. Della Corte, M. Fasano, F. Ciardiello, Mechanisms of resistance to EGFR-targeted drugs: lung cancer, *ESMO Open* 1 (2016) e000060.
- [47] J.C. Yang, M.J. Ahn, D.W. Kim, S.S. Ramalingam, L.V. Sequist, W.C. Su, et al., Osimertinib in pretreated T790M-Positive advanced non-small-Cell lung Cancer: aura study phase II extension component, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 35 (2017) 1288–1296.
- [48] Y. Jin, Y. Shao, X. Shi, G. Lou, Y. Zhang, X. Wu, et al., Mutational profiling of non-small-cell lung cancer patients resistant to first-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors using next generation sequencing, *Oncotarget* 7 (2016) 61755–61763.
- [49] W. Ji, C.-M. Choi, J.K. Rho, S.J. Jang, Y.S. Park, S.-M. Chun, et al., Mechanisms of acquired resistance to EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor in Korean patients with lung cancer, *BMC Cancer* 13 (2013) 606.
- [50] H. Uramoto, T. Yamada, S. Yano, N. Kondo, S. Hasegawa, F. Tanaka, Prognostic value of acquired resistance-related molecules in Japanese patients with NSCLC treated with an EGFR-TKI, *Anticancer Res.* 32 (2012) 3785–3790.
- [51] M.L. Sos, H.B. Rode, S. Heynck, M. Peifer, F. Fischer, S. Kluter, et al., Chemogenomic profiling provides insights into the limited activity of irreversible EGFR inhibitors in tumor cells expressing the T790M EGFR resistance mutation, *Cancer Res.* 70 (2010) 868–874.
- [52] C.H. Yun, K.E. Mengwasser, A.V. Toms, M.S. Woo, H. Greulich, K.K. Wong, et al., The T790M mutation in EGFR kinase causes drug resistance by increasing the affinity for ATP, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 (2008) 2070–2075.
- [53] L.V. Sequist, R.G. Martins, D. Spigel, S.M. Grunberg, A. Spira, P.A. Janne, et al., First-line gefitinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harboring somatic EGFR mutations, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 26 (2008) 2442–2449.
- [54] G.J. Riely, H.A. Yu, M.E. Arcila, M.D. Hellmann, M. Ladanyi, M.G. Kris, Response to erlotinib and prognosis for patients with de novo epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) T790M mutations, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) Abstract 8018.
- [55] Y. Li, A. Appius, T. Pattipaka, A. Feyerislova, A. Cassidy, A.K. Ganti, Real-world management of patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer in the USA, *PLoS One* 14 (2019) e0209709.
- [56] R. Rosell, M.A. Molina, C. Costa, S. Simonetti, A. Gimenez-Capitan, J. Bertran-Alamillo, et al., Pretreatment EGFR T790M mutation and BRCA1 mRNA expression in erlotinib-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients with EGFR mutations, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17 (2011) 1160–1168.
- [57] K.Y. Su, H.Y. Chen, K.C. Li, M.L. Kuo, J.C. Yang, W.K. Chan, et al., Pretreatment epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) T790M mutation predicts shorter EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor response duration in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 30 (2012) 433–440.
- [58] S. Maheswaran, L.V. Sequist, S. Nagrath, L. Ulkus, B. Brannigan, C.V. Collura, et al., Detection of mutations in EGFR in circulating lung-cancer cells, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 359 (2008) 366–377.
- [59] Y. Lee, G.K. Lee, Y.S. Lee, W. Zhang, J.A. Hwang, B.H. Nam, et al., Clinical outcome according to the level of preexisting epidermal growth factor receptor T790M mutation in patients with lung cancer harboring sensitive epidermal growth factor receptor mutations, *Cancer* 120 (2014) 2090–2098.
- [60] J. Zhao, H.H. Feng, J. Zhao, L.C. Liu, F.F. Xie, Y. Xu, et al., A sensitive and practical method to detect the T790M mutation in the epidermal growth factor receptor, *Oncol. Lett.* 11 (2016) 2573–2579.
- [61] Y. Liu, L. Sun, Z.C. Xiong, X. Sun, S.L. Zhang, J.T. Ma, et al., Meta-analysis of the impact of de novo and acquired EGFR T790M mutations on the prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung cancer receiving EGFR-TKIs, *Oncol. Ther.* 10 (2017) 2267–2279.
- [62] G.R. Oxnard, V.A. Miller, M.E. Robson, C.G. Azzoli, W. Pao, M. Ladanyi, et al., Brief report: screening for germline EGFR T790M mutations through lung cancer genotyping, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 7 (2012) 1049–1052.
- [63] H.A. Yu, M.E. Arcila, M. Harlan Fleischut, Z. Stadler, M. Ladanyi, M.F. Berger, et al., Germline EGFR T790M mutation found in multiple members of a familial cohort, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 9 (2014) 554–558.
- [64] ClinicalTrials.gov, INHERIT EGFR - Studying Germline EGFR Mutations (INHERIT), (2018) Available at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01754025>, (Accessed on 9 May 2019).
- [65] K. Takezawa, V. Pirazzoli, M.E. Arcila, C.A. Nebhan, X. Song, E. de Stanchina, et al., HER2 amplification: a potential mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR inhibition in EGFR-mutant lung cancers that lack the second-site EGFR T790M mutation, *Cancer Discov.* 2 (2012) 922–933.
- [66] J.A. Engelman, K. Zejnullahu, T. Mitsudomi, Y. Song, C. Hyland, J.O. Park, et al., MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance in lung cancer by activating ERBB3 signaling, *Science* 316 (2007) 1039–1043.
- [67] M.E. Poh, C.K. Liam, P. Rajadurai, C.S. Chai, Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) causing acquired resistance to afatinib in a patient with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-mutant lung adenocarcinoma, *J. Thorac. Dis.* 10 (2018) E560–E563.
- [68] Alexa B. Schrock, V.W. Zhu, Wen-Son Hsieh, Russell Madison, Benjamin Creelan, Jeffrey Silberberg, Dan Costin, Anjali Bhanne, Ioana Bonta, Thangavijayan Bosemani, Petros Nikolinakos, Jeffrey S. Ross, Vincent A. Miller, Siraj M. Ali, Samuel J. Klemperer, Ou Sai-Hong Ignatius, Receptor tyrosine kinase fusions and BRAF kinase fusions are rare but actionable resistance mechanisms to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 13 (2018) 1312–1323.
- [69] Z. Piotrowska, H. Isozaki, J.K. Lennerz, J.F. Gainor, I.T. Lennes, V.W. Zhu, et al., Landscape of acquired resistance to osimertinib in EGFR-Mutant NSCLC and clinical validation of combined EGFR and RET inhibition with osimertinib and BLU-667 for acquired RET fusion, *Cancer Discov.* 8 (2018) 1529–1539.
- [70] M. Offin, R. Somwar, N. Rekhtman, R. Benayed, J.C. Chang, A. Plodkowski, et al., Acquired ALK and RET gene fusions as mechanisms of resistance to osimertinib in EGFR-Mutant lung cancers, *JCO Precis. Oncol.* 2 (2018).
- [71] H.A. Yu, K. Suzawa, E. Jordan, A. Zehir, A. Ni, R. Kim, et al., Concurrent alterations in EGFR-Mutant lung cancers associated with resistance to EGFR kinase inhibitors and characterization of MTOR as a mediator of resistance, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24 (2018) 3108–3118.
- [72] A.O. Walter, R.T. Sjin, H.J. Haringsma, K. Ohashi, J. Sun, K. Lee, et al., Discovery of a mutant-selective covalent inhibitor of EGFR that overcomes T790M-mediated resistance in NSCLC, *Cancer Discov.* 3 (2013) 1404–1415.
- [73] L.V. Sequist, J.C. Soria, J.W. Goldman, H.A. Wakelee, S.M. Gadgeel, A. Varga, et al., Rociletinib in EGFR-mutated non-small-cell lung cancer, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 372 (2015) 1700–1709.
- [74] K.-O. Lee, M.Y. Cha, M. Kim, J.Y. Song, J.-H. Lee, Y.H. Kim, et al., Abstract LB-100: Discovery of HM61713 as an orally available and mutant EGFR selective inhibitor, *Cancer Res.* 74 (2014) LB-100.
- [75] X. Xu, L. Mao, W. Xu, W. Tang, X. Zhang, B. Xi, et al., AC0010, an irreversible EGFR inhibitor selectively targeting mutated EGFR and overcoming T790M-Induced resistance in animal models and lung cancer patients, *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 15 (2016) 2586–2597.
- [76] G. Lelais, R. Epple, T.H. Marsilje, Y.O. Long, M. McNeill, B. Chen, et al., Discovery of (R,E)-N-(7-Chloro-1-(1-[4-(dimethylamino)but-2-enyl]azepan-3-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-2-methylisonicotinamide (EGF816), a novel, potent, and WT sparing covalent inhibitor of oncogenic (L858R, ex19del) and resistant (T790M) EGFR mutants for the treatment of EGFR mutant non-small-Cell lung cancers, *J. Med. Chem.* 59 (2016) 6671–6689.
- [77] S. Planken, D.C. Behenna, S.K. Nair, T.O. Johnson, A. Nagata, C. Almaden, et al., Discovery of N-((3R,4R)-4-Fluoro-1-(6-((3-methoxy-1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)amino)-9-methyl-9H-purin-2-yl)pyrrolidine-3-yl)acrylamide (PF-06747775) through structure-based drug design: a high affinity irreversible inhibitor targeting oncogenic EGFR mutants with selectivity over wild-type EGFR, *J. Med. Chem.* 60 (2017) 3002–3019.
- [78] M.H. Hong, I.Y. Lee, J.S. Koh, J. Lee, B.-C. Suh, H.-J. Song, et al., P3.02b-119 YH25448, a highly selective 3rd generation EGFR TKI, exhibits superior survival over osimertinib in animal model with brain metastases from NSCLC: topic: EGFR RES, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) S1265–S1266.
- [79] T.S. Mok, Y.-L. Wu, M.-J. Ahn, M.C. Garassino, H.R. Kim, S.S. Ramalingam, et al., Osimertinib or platinum-pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-positive lung cancer, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 376 (2017) 629–640.
- [80] Y. Goto, H. Nokihara, H. Murakami, T. Shimizu, T. Seto, A.P. Krivoschik, et al., ASP8273, a mutant-selective irreversible EGFR inhibitor in patients (pts) with NSCLC harboring EGFR activating mutations: preliminary results of first-in-human phase I study in Japan, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 33 (2015) 8014.
- [81] G. Goss, C.-M. Tsai, F.A. Shepherd, L. Bazhenova, J.S. Lee, G.-C. Chang, et al., Osimertinib for pretreated EGFR Thr790Met-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (AURA2): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study, *Lancet Oncol.* 17 (2016) 1643–1652.
- [82] G. Goss, C.M. Tsai, F.A. Shepherd, M.J. Ahn, L. Bazhenova, L. Crino, et al., CNS response to osimertinib in patients with T790M-positive advanced NSCLC: pooled data from two phase II trials, *Ann. Oncol.* 29 (2018) 687–693.
- [83] Z. Wang, R. Chen, S. Wang, J. Zhong, M. Wu, J. Zhao, et al., Quantification and dynamic monitoring of EGFR T790M in plasma cell-free DNA by digital PCR for prognosis of EGFR-TKI treatment in advanced NSCLC, *PLoS One* 9 (2014) e110780.
- [84] D. Zheng, X. Ye, M.Z. Zhang, Y. Sun, J.Y. Wang, J. Ni, et al., Plasma EGFR T790M ctDNA status is associated with clinical outcome in advanced NSCLC patients with acquired EGFR-TKI resistance, *Clin. Rep.* 6 (2016) 20913.
- [85] NICE, Plasma EGFR Mutation Tests for Adults with Locally Advanced or Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer, (2018).
- [86] L.A. Diaz Jr., A. Bardelli, Liquid biopsies: genotyping circulating tumor DNA, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 32 (2014) 579–586.
- [87] A.G. Sacher, C. Paweletz, S.E. Dahlberg, R.S. Alden, A. O’Connell, N. Feeney, et al., Prospective validation of rapid plasma genotyping for the detection of EGFR and KRAS mutations in advanced lung Cancer, *JAMA Oncol.* (2016).

- [88] T. Kaburagi, M. Kiyoshima, T. Nawa, H. Ichimura, T. Saito, K. Hayashihara, et al., Acquired EGFR T790M mutation after relapse following EGFR-TKI therapy: a population-based multi-institutional study, *Anticancer Res.* 38 (2018) 3145–3150.
- [89] S. Jenkins, J.C. Yang, S.S. Ramalingam, K. Yu, S. Patel, S. Weston, et al., Plasma ctDNA analysis for detection of the EGFR T790M mutation in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) 1061–1070.
- [90] Y.L. Wu, S. Jenkins, S. Ramalingam, J.-Y. Han, A. Delmonte, T.-C. Hsia, et al., MA08.03 osimertinib vs platinum-pemetrexed for T790M-Mutation positive advanced NSCLC (AURA3): plasma ctDNA analysis, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2019) S386.
- [91] G.R. Oxnard, K.S. Thress, R.S. Alden, R. Lawrance, C.P. Paweletz, M. Cantarini, et al., Association between plasma genotyping and outcomes of treatment with osimertinib (AZD9291) in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 34 (2016) 3375–3382.
- [92] NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (v7.2017), (2017) Available at https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf, (Accessed on 23 June 2017).
- [93] T. Seto, N. Nogami, N. Yamamoto, S. Atagi, N. Tashiro, Y. Yoshimura, et al., Real-world EGFR T790M testing in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a prospective observational study in Japan, *Oncol. Ther.* 6 (2018) 203–215.
- [94] M.J. Overman, J. Modak, S. Kopetz, R. Murthy, J.C. Yao, M.E. Hicks, et al., Use of research biopsies in clinical trials: are risks and benefits adequately discussed? *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) 17–22.
- [95] K. Nosaki, M. Satouchi, T. Kurata, T. Yoshida, I. Okamoto, N. Katakami, et al., Re-biopsy status among non-small cell lung cancer patients in Japan: a retrospective study, *Lung Cancer* 101 (2016) 1–8.
- [96] T. Kawamura, H. Kenmotsu, T. Taira, S. Omori, K. Nakashima, K. Wakuda, et al., Rebiopsy for patients with non-small-cell lung cancer after epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor failure, *Cancer Sci.* 107 (2016) 1001–1005.
- [97] T. Stockley, C.A. Souza, P.K. Cheema, B. Melosky, S. Kamel-Reid, M.S. Tsao, et al., Evidence-based best practices for EGFR T790M testing in lung cancer in Canada, *Curr. Oncol.* 2018 (25) (2018) 7.
- [98] A. Chiang, A. Fernandes, M. Pavlack, J. Wu, F. Laliberté, M.S. Duh, et al., MA15.11 real world biomarker testing and treatment patterns in patients with advanced NSCLC receiving EGFR-TKIs, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 13 (2018) S410–S411.
- [99] M. Roengvoraphoj, G.J. Tsongalis, K.H. Dragnev, J.R. Rigas, Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors as initial therapy for non-small cell lung cancer: focus on epidermal growth factor receptor mutation testing and mutation-positive patients, *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 39 (2013) 839–850.
- [100] J.H. Cho, J. Sun, S. Lee, J.S. Ahn, K. Park, K.U. Park, et al., OA10.05 an open-label, multicenter, phase II single arm trial of osimertinib in NSCLC patients with uncommon EGFR mutation(KCSG-LU15-09), *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 13 (2018) S344.
- [101] J.Y. Douillard, G. Ostoros, M. Cobo, T. Ciuleanu, R. McCormack, A. Webster, et al., First-line gefitinib in Caucasian EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC patients: a phase-IV, open-label, single-arm study, *Br. J. Cancer* 110 (2014) 55–62.
- [102] J.Y. Han, K. Park, S.W. Kim, D.H. Lee, H.Y. Kim, H.T. Kim, et al., First-SIGNAL: first-line single-agent icressa versus gemcitabine and cisplatin trial in never-smokers with adenocarcinoma of the lung, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 30 (2012) 1122–1128.
- [103] Y.K. Shi, L. Wang, B.H. Han, W. Li, P. Yu, Y.P. Liu, et al., First-line icotinib versus cisplatin/pemetrexed plus pemetrexed maintenance therapy for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (CONVINCE): a phase 3, open-label, randomized study, *Ann. Oncol.* 28 (2017) 2443–2450.
- [104] J. Roeper, M. Falk, M. Tiemann, C. Wesseler, G. Wiest, S. Sackmann, et al., Risk of not receiving 2nd line therapy is high in EGFR mt+ pts: real world data of certified lung cancer centers on treatment sequence in EGFR mt+ pts, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) e21220.
- [105] FDA, TAGRISSO (Osimertinib) Supplement Approval for Updates to the U.S. Prescribing Information, (2018) Available at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/applletter/2018/208065Orig1s008ltr.pdf, (Accessed on 26 April 2018).
- [106] EMA, TAGRISSO (osimertinib) Summary of Product Characteristics, (2018) Available at https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/product-information/tagrisso-epar-product-information_en.pdf, (Accessed on 22 February 2019).
- [107] I. Dagogo-Jack, A.T. Shaw, Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies, *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 15 (2018) 81–94.
- [108] T. Iuchi, M. Shingyoji, T. Sakaida, K. Hatano, O. Nagano, M. Itakura, et al., Phase II trial of gefitinib alone without radiation therapy for Japanese patients with brain metastases from EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma, *Lung Cancer* 82 (2013) 282–287.
- [109] G. Han, J. Bi, W. Tan, X. Wei, X. Wang, X. Ying, et al., A retrospective analysis in patients with EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinoma: is EGFR mutation associated with a higher incidence of brain metastasis? *Oncotarget* 7 (2016) 56998–57010.
- [110] D. Planchard, M. Boyer, J.S. Lee, A. Dechaphunkul, P. Cheema, T. Takahashi, et al., 1280 Osimertinib vs standard of care (SoC) EGFR-TKI as first-line therapy in patients (pts) with untreated EGFRm advanced NSCLC: FLAURA post-progression outcomes, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 13 (2018) S72–S73.
- [111] S.S. Ramalingam, J.C. Yang, C.K. Lee, T. Kurata, D.W. Kim, T. John, et al., Osimertinib as first-line treatment of EGFR mutation-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (2018) 841–849.
- [112] S.S. Ramalingam, Y. Cheng, C. Zhou, Y. Ohe, F. Imamura, B.C. Cho, et al., Mechanisms of acquired resistance to first-line osimertinib: preliminary data from the phase III FLAURA study, Oral Presentation LBA50 at European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO), Munich, Germany, 19–23 October, 2018.
- [113] J.C. Zofia Piotrowska, Diana Cripps, Miguel F. Miranda, P1.01-80 - ELIOS: A Multicenter, Open-Label, Molecular Profiling Study of Patients with EGFRm and NSCLC Treated With Osimertinib, WCLC, 2018.
- [114] H.A. Yu, S.K. Tian, A.E. Drilon, L. Borsu, G.J. Riely, M.E. Arcila, et al., Acquired resistance of EGFR-mutant lung cancer to a T790M-specific EGFR inhibitor: emergence of a third mutation (C797S) in the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain acquired resistance of EGFR-mutant lung cancer to an EGFR inhibitor letters, *JAMA Oncol.* 1 (2015) 982–984.
- [115] S. Wang, S.T. Tsui, C. Liu, Y. Song, D. Liu, EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to third-generation inhibitors in T790M-positive non-small cell lung cancer, *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 9 (2016) 59.
- [116] K.S. Thress, C.P. Paweletz, E. Felip, B.C. Cho, D. Stetson, B. Dougherty, et al., Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M, *Nat. Med.* 21 (2015) 560–562.
- [117] S. Wang, Y. Song, D. Liu, EAI045: The fourth-generation EGFR inhibitor overcoming T790M and C797S resistance, *Cancer Lett.* 385 (2017) 51–54.
- [118] Y. Jia, C.H. Yun, E. Park, D. Ercan, M. Manuia, J. Juarez, et al., Overcoming EGFR (T790M) and EGFR(C797S) resistance with mutant-selective allosteric inhibitors, *Nature* 534 (2016) 129–132.
- [119] C. To, J. Jang, T. Chen, E. Park, M. Mushajiang, D.J.H. De Clercq, et al., Single and Dual Targeting of Mutant EGFR With an Allosteric Inhibitor, (2019) CD-18-0903.
- [120] M.J. Niederst, H. Hu, H.E. Mulvey, E.L. Lockerman, A.R. Garcia, Z. Piotrowska, et al., The allelic context of the C797S mutation acquired upon treatment with third-generation EGFR inhibitors impacts sensitivity to subsequent treatment strategies, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21 (2015) 3924–3933.
- [121] M. Ahn, J. Han, L. Sequist, B.C. Cho, J.S. Lee, S. Kim, et al., OA 09.03 TATTON ph ib expansion cohort: osimertinib plus savolitinib for pts with EGFR-Mutant MET-Amplified NSCLC after progression on prior EGFR-TKI, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) S1768.
- [122] J. Yang, J. Fang, Y. Shu, J. Chang, G. Chen, J. He, et al., OA 09.06 a phase ib trial of savolitinib plus gefitinib for chinese patients with EGFR-mutant MET-amplified advanced NSCLC, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) S1769.
- [123] E.B. Haura, B.C. Cho, J.S. Lee, J.-Y. Han, K.H. Lee, R.E. Sanborn, et al., JNJ-61186372 (JNJ-372), an EGFR-cMet bispecific antibody, in EGFR-driven advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), *J. Clin. Oncol.* 37 (2019) 9009-.
- [124] E.R. York, M. Varella-Garcia, T.J. Bang, D.L. Aisner, D.R. Camidge, Tolerable and effective combination of full-dose crizotinib and osimertinib targeting MET amplification sequentially emerging after T790M positivity in EGFR-Mutant non-small cell lung cancer, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) e85–e88.
- [125] G. Scagliotti, J. von Pawel, S. Novello, R. Ramlau, A. Favaretto, F. Barlesi, et al., Phase III multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of tivantinib (ARQ 197) plus erlotinib versus erlotinib alone in previously treated patients with locally advanced or metastatic nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 33 (2015) 2667–2674.
- [126] D.R. Spigel, T.J. Ervin, R.A. Ramlau, D.B. Daniel, J.H. Goldschmidt Jr., G.R. Blumenschein Jr. et al., Randomized phase II trial of Onartuzumab in combination with erlotinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (2013) 4105–4114.
- [127] A.A. Tarhini, Tremelimumab: a review of development to date in solid tumors, *Immunotherapy* 5 (2013) 215–229.
- [128] S.I. Ou, R. Govindan, K.D. Eaton, G.A. Otterson, M.E. Gutierrez, A.C. Mita, et al., Phase I results from a study of crizotinib in combination with erlotinib in patients with advanced nonsquamous non-small cell lung cancer, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) 145–151.
- [129] H. Yoshioka, K. Azuma, N. Yamamoto, T. Takahashi, M. Nishio, N. Katakami, et al., A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of erlotinib with or without a c-Met inhibitor tivantinib (ARQ 197) in Asian patients with previously treated stage IIIB/IV nonsquamous nonsmall-cell lung cancer harboring wild-type epidermal growth factor receptor (ATTENTION study), *Ann. Oncol.* 26 (2015) 2066–2072.
- [130] Y.Y. Janjigian, E.F. Smit, H.J. Groen, L. Horn, S. Gettinger, D.R. Camidge, et al., Dual inhibition of EGFR with afatinib and cetuximab in kinase inhibitor-resistant EGFR-mutant lung cancer with and without T790M mutations, *Cancer Discov.* 4 (2014) 1036–1045.
- [131] E.A. Akbay, S. Koyama, J. Carretero, A. Altabel, J.H. Tchaicha, C.L. Christensen, et al., Activation of the PD-1 pathway contributes to immune escape in EGFR-driven lung tumors, *Cancer Discov.* 3 (2013) 1355–1363.
- [132] D. Gibbons, L. Chow, D. Kim, et al., Efficacy, safety and tolerability of MEDI4736 (durvalumab [D]), a human IgG1 antiprogrammed cell death-ligand-1 (PD-L1) antibody, combined with gefitinib (G): a phase I expansion in TKI-naive patients (pts) with EGFR mutant NSCLC, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 11 (2016) S79.
- [133] B.B.Y. Ma, C.M. Rudin, A. Cervantes, A. Dowlati, D. Costa, P. Schmid, et al., 4410 Preliminary safety and clinical activity of erlotinib plus atezolizumab from a Phase Ib study in advanced NSCLC, *Ann. Oncol.* 27 (2016) mdw594.005.
- [134] J.C. Yang, S.M. Gadgeel, L.V. Sequist, C.L. Wu, V.A. Papadimitrakopoulou, W.C. Su, et al., Pembrolizumab in combination with erlotinib or gefitinib as first-line therapy for advanced NSCLC with sensitizing EGFR mutation, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 14 (2019) 553–559.
- [135] M. Reck, R. Jotte, T.S.K. Mok, D.W.-T. Lim, F. Cappuzzo, F. Orlandi, et al., 1040 IMPower150: an exploratory analysis of efficacy outcomes in patients with EGFR mutations, *Ann. Oncol.* 30 (2019) mdz063.02.
- [136] A. Nakamura, A. Inoue, S. Morita, Y. Hosomi, T. Kato, T. Fukuhara, et al., Phase III Study Comparing Gefitinib Monotherapy (G) to Combination Therapy with Gefitinib, Carboplatin, and Pemetrexed (GCP) for Untreated Patients (pts) with Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) with EGFR Mutations (NEJ009), ASCO, 2019.
- [137] V. Noronha, V.M. Patil, A. Joshi, N. Menon, A. Chougule, A. Mahajan, et al.,

- Gefitinib versus gefitinib plus pemetrexed and carboplatin chemotherapy in EGFR-mutated lung cancer, *J. Clin. Oncol.* (2019) Jco1901154.
- [138] Y.-L. Wu, C. Zhou, C.-P. Hu, J. Feng, S. Lu, Y. Huang, et al., Afatinib versus cisplatin plus gemcitabine for first-line treatment of Asian patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring EGFR mutations (LUX-Lung 6): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 15 (2014) 213–222.
- [139] L. Paz-Ares, E.H. Tan, K. O'Byrne, L. Zhang, V. Hirsh, M. Boyer, et al., Afatinib versus gefitinib in patients with EGFR mutation-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: overall survival data from the phase IIb LUX-Lung 7 trial, *Ann. Oncol.* 28 (2017) 270–277.
- [140] Y.-L. Wu, Y. Cheng, X. Zhou, K.H. Lee, K. Nakagawa, S. Niho, et al., Dacomitinib versus gefitinib as first-line treatment for patients with EGFR-mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (ARCHER 1050): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial, *Lancet Oncol.* 18 (2017) 1454–1466.
- [141] C. Zhou, Y.L. Wu, G. Chen, J. Feng, X.Q. Liu, C. Wang, et al., Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study, *Lancet Oncol.* 12 (2011) 735–742.
- [142] L.V. Sequist, Y. Wu, M. Schuler, T. Kato, J.C. Yang, H. Tanaka, et al., PS02.20 subsequent therapies post-afatinib among patients with EGFR mutation-positive (EGFRm+) NSCLC in LUX-Lung 3, 6 and 7: topic: medical oncology, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 12 (2017) S1572.
- [143] J.J. Yang, Q. Zhou, H.H. Yan, X.C. Zhang, H.J. Chen, H.Y. Tu, et al., A phase III randomised controlled trial of erlotinib vs gefitinib in advanced non-small cell lung cancer with EGFR mutations, *Br. J. Cancer* 116 (2017) 568–574.
- [144] Z.-F. Wang, S.-X. Ren, W. Li, G.-H. Gao, Frequency of the acquired resistant mutation T790 M in non-small cell lung cancer patients with active exon 19Del and exon 21 L858R: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *BMC Cancer* 18 (2018) 148.
- [145] J.E. Gray, B. Thakrar, P. Sun, S. Maclachlan, N. Chehab, D. Potter, 509P Treatment (tx) patterns in patients (pts) with lung cancer starting 1st or 2nd generation (1G/2G) EGFR-TKI: a US insurance claims database analysis, *Ann. Oncol.* 29 (2018) mdy425.020.
- [146] X. Le, S. Puri, M.V. Negrao, M. Nilsson, J.P. Robichaux, T.A. Boyle, et al., Landscape of EGFR -dependent and -independent resistance mechanisms to osimertinib and continuation therapy post-progression in EGFR-mutant NSCLC, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24 (2018) 6195–6203.
- [147] C.-C. Lin, Outcomes in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and acquired Thr790Met mutation treated with osimertinib: a genomic study, *Lancet Respir. Med.* 6 (2018) 107–116.
- [148] G.R. Oxnard, Y. Hu, K.F. Mileham, H. Husain, D.B. Costa, P. Tracy, et al., Assessment of resistance mechanisms and clinical implications in patients with EGFR T790M-Positive lung Cancer and acquired resistance to osimertinib, *JAMA Oncol.* 4 (2018) 1527–1534.
- [149] Z. Piotrowska, K.S. Thress, M. Mooradian, R.S. Heist, C.G. Azzoli, J.S. Temel, et al., MET amplification (amp) as a resistance mechanism to osimertinib, *J. Clin. Oncol.* 35 (2017) Abstract 9020.
- [150] Z. Yang, N. Yang, Q. Ou, Y. Xiang, T. Jiang, X. Wu, et al., Investigating novel resistance mechanisms to third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor osimertinib in non-small cell lung cancer patients, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24 (2018) 3097–3107.
- [151] C. Zhou, M. Hu, X. Zhu, Y. Sun, X. Lu, J. Wang, et al., OA10.07 resistance mechanisms of osimertinib in chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients: analysis from AURA17 trial, *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 13 (2018) S345.
- [152] V.A. Papadimitrakopoulou, Y.L. Wu, J.Y. Han, M.J. Ahn, S.S. Ramalingam, T. John, et al., LBA51 Analysis of resistance mechanisms to osimertinib in patients with EGFR T790M advanced NSCLC from the AURA3 study, *Ann. Oncol.* 29 (2018) mdy424.064-mdy424.064.