

---

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

---

VOLUME 115, NOVEMBER 2019

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### *Editorial*

---

- A6 Can university medical center trial investigators do more to ensure timely publication of clinical trial results?

### *Reviews*

---

- 46 Meta-analyses indexed in PsycINFO had a better completeness of reporting when they mention PRISMA  
*Victoria Leclercq, Charlotte Beaudart, Sara Ajamieh, Véronique Rabenda, Ezio Tirelli, and Olivier Bruyère*
- 141 A systematic review describes models for recruitment prediction at the design stage of a clinical trial  
*Efstathia Gkioni, Roser Rius, Susanna Dodd, and Carrol Gamble*

### *Original Articles*

---

- 1 Development of the summary of findings table for network meta-analysis  
*Juan José Yepes-Nuñez, Shelly-Anne Li, Gordon Guyatt, Susan M. Jack, Jan L. Brozek, Joseph Beyene, M. Hassan Murad, Bram Rochweg, Lawrence Mbuagbaw, Yuan Zhang, Ivan D. Flórez, Reed A. Siemieniuk, Behnam Sadeghirad, Reem Mustafa, Nancy Santesso, and Holger J. Schünemann*
- 14 A systematic review of core outcome set development studies demonstrates difficulties in defining unique outcomes  
*Amber E. Young, Sara T. Brookes, Kerry N.L. Avery, Anna Davies, Chris Metcalfe, and Jane M. Blazeby*
- 25 Statistical significance did not affect time to publication in non-Cochrane systematic reviews: a metaepidemiological study  
*Yasushi Tsujimoto, Yusuke Tsutsumi, Yuki Kataoka, Hiraku Tsujimoto, Yosuke Yamamoto, Davide Papola, Gordon H. Guyatt, Shunichi Fukubara, and Toshi A. Furukawa*
- 37 Result dissemination from clinical trials conducted at German university medical centers was delayed and incomplete  
*Susanne Wieschowski, Nico Riedel, Katharina Wollmann, Hannes Kabrass, Stephanie Müller-Obraun, Christopher Schürmann, Sean Kelley, Ute Kszuk, Bob Stegerink, Ulrich Dirnagl, Jörg Meerpohl, and Daniel Strech*
- 55 A guidance was developed to identify participants with missing outcome data in randomized controlled trials  
*Lara A. Kabale, Gordon H. Guyatt, Thomas Agoritsas, Matthias Briel, Jason W. Busse, Alonso Carrasco-Labra, Assem M. Khamis, Yuqing Zhang, Lotty Hooft, Rob J.P.M. Scholten, and Elie A. Akl*

*Continued on following page*



0895-4356(201911)115:C;1-K

---

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

---

VOLUME 115, NOVEMBER 2019

## TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

- 64      Contacting of authors modified crucial outcomes of systematic reviews but was poorly reported, not systematic, and produced conflicting results  
*Reint Meursinge Reynders, Luisa Ladu, and Nicola Di Girolamo*
- 77      A randomized trial provided new evidence on the accuracy and efficiency of traditional vs. electronically annotated abstraction approaches in systematic reviews  
*Tianjing Li, Ian J. Saldanha, Jens Jap, Bryant T. Smith, Joseph Canner, Susan M. Hutfless, Vernal Branch, Simona Carini, Wiley Chan, Berry de Bruijn, Byron C. Wallace, Sandra A. Walsb, Elizabeth J. Whamond, M. Hassan Murad, Ida Sim, Jesse A. Berlin, Joseph Lau, Kay Dickersin, and Christopher H. Schmid*
- 90      Industry funding was associated with increased use of core outcome sets  
*Jamie J. Kirkham, Megan Bracken, Lorna Hind, Katie Pennington, Mike Clarke, and Paula R. Williamson*
- 98      The use of rigorous methods was strongly warranted among prognostic prediction models for obstetric care  
*Jing Tan, Yana Qi, Chunrong Liu, Yiquan Xiong, Qiao He, Guiting Zhang, Meng Chen, Guolin He, Wen Wang, Xinghui Liu, and Xin Sun*
- 106     Decision analytic modeling was useful to assess the impact of a prediction model on health outcomes before a randomized trial  
*Kevin Jenniskens, Ghizelda R. Lagerweij, Christiana A. Naaktgeboren, Lotty Hoofst, Karel G.M. Moons, Judith M. Poldervaart, Hendrik Koffijberg, and Johannes B. Reitsma*
- 116     PROMIS 4-item measures and numeric rating scales efficiently assess SPADE symptoms compared with legacy measures  
*Kurt Kroenke, Timothy E. Stump, Jacob Kean, Tasneem L. Talib, David A. Haggstrom, and Patrick O. Monahan*
- 125     Identifying compliant participants through data matching improved estimation of intervention efficacy: randomized trials with opt-in/opt-out strategies  
*Sbu Kay Ng, Joshua Byrnes, and Paul Scuffham*
- 133     Reporting quality and statistical analysis of published dose-response meta-analyses was suboptimal: a cross-sectional literature survey  
*Qingqing Jiang, Qiaoyan Liu, Fan Chen, Xiantao Zeng, Fujian Song, Zuxun Lu, and Shiyi Cao*
- 150     Contacting authors by telephone increased response proportions compared with emailing: results of a randomized study  
*Kristin J. Danko, Issa J. Dababreh, Noah M. Ivers, David Mober, and Jeremy M. Grimshaw*
- 160     A scoping review found increasing examples of rapid qualitative evidence syntheses and no methodological guidance  
*Fiona Campbell, Laura Weeks, Andrew Booth, David Kaunelis, and Andrea Smith*

*Continued on following page*

---

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

---

VOLUME 115, NOVEMBER 2019

## TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

### *Letters to the Editor*

---

- 35 Registration of methodological studies, that is, “research-on-research” studies—should it be mandatory?  
*Livia Puljak, and Dawid Pieper*
- 172 Letter re: stratification by quality is not recommended in meta-analysis  
*Jennifer Stone, Usba Gurunathan, Kathryn Glass, Zachary Munn, Peter Tugwell, and Subail A.R. Doi*
- 175 Letter re: stratification of meta-analyses based on risk of bias is appropriate and does not induce selection bias  
*Matthew J. Page, Asbjørn Hróbjartsson, Camilla Hansen, and Jonathan A.C. Sterne*
- 177 Hawthorne effect in the YourCall trial suggested by participants’ qualitative responses  
*Sarah Sharpe, Bridget Kool, Robyn Whittaker, and Shanthi Ameratunga*
- 180 The reply to Sharpe et al.: Hawthorne effect in the YourCall trial suggested by participants qualitative responses  
*Jim McCambridge, Amanda Wilson, John Attia, Natasha Weaver, and Kypros Kyri*