
JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 111, JULY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editorial

- v Should the fragility index be routinely reported for systematic reviews?
Peter Tugwell, and Andre Knottnerus

Commentaries

- 115 Identifying and clarifying arguments in a recent debate regarding measures based on memory-based methods
Ralph M. Barnes
- 124 There are good clinical, scientific, and social reasons to strengthen links between biomedical and environmental research
Miquel Porta, and Laura N. Vandenberg
- 127 Including papers in languages other than English in systematic reviews: important, feasible, yet often omitted
Sarah Catherine Walpole

Review

- 60 An overview of systematic reviews found suboptimal reporting and methodological limitations of mediation studies investigating causal mechanisms
Aidan G. Cashin, Hopin Lee, Sarah E. Lamb, Sally Hopewell, Gemma Mansell, Christopher M. Williams, Steven J. Kamper, Nicholas Henschke, and James H. McAuley

Original Articles

- 1 Forcing dichotomous disease classification from reference standards leads to bias in diagnostic accuracy estimates: A simulation study
Kevin Jenniskens, Christiana A. Naaktgeboren, Johannes B. Reitsma, Lotty Hooft, Karel G.M. Moons, and Maarten van Smeden
- 11 The number needed to treat in pairwise and network meta-analysis and its graphical representation
Areti Angeliki Veroniki, Ralf Bender, Paul Glasziou, Sharon E. Straus, and Andrea C. Tricco
- 23 Delphi procedure in core outcome set development: rating scale and consensus criteria determined outcome selection
Dorien De Meyer, Jan Kottner, Hilde Beele, Jochen Schmitt, Toni Lange, Ann Van Hecke, Sofie Verhaeghe, and Dimitri Beeckman
- 32 The statistical significance of meta-analyses is frequently fragile: definition of a fragility index for meta-analyses
Ignacio Atal, Raphaël Porcher, Isabelle Boutron, and Philippe Ravaud

Continued on following page



0895-4356(201907)111:C;1-8

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 111, JULY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

- 41 Following Cochrane review protocols to completion 10 years later: a retrospective cohort study and author survey
Edita Runjic, Dalibora Behmen, Dawid Pieper, Tim Matbes, Andrea C. Tricco, David Mober, and Livia Puljak
- 49 Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: a modified e-Delphi study
Quan Nba Hong, Pierre Pluye, Sergi Fàbregues, Gillian Bartlett, Felicity Boardman, Margaret Cargo, Pierre Dagenais, Marie-Pierre Gagnon, Frances Griffiths, Belinda Nicolau, Alicia O' Cathain, Marie-Claude Rousseau, and Isabelle Vedel
- 69 GRADE guidelines: 22. The GRADE approach for tests and strategies—from test accuracy to patient-important outcomes and recommendations
Holger J. Schünemann, Reem A. Mustafa, Jan Brozek, Nancy Santesso, Patrick M. Bossuyt, Karen R. Steingart, Mariska Leeflang, Stefan Lange, Tommaso Trenti, Miranda Langendam, Rob Scholten, Lotty Hoof, Mohammad Hassan Murad, Roman Jaeschke, Anne Rutjes, Jasvinder Singh, Mark Helfand, Paul Glasziou, Ingrid Arevalo-Rodriguez, Elie A. Akl, Jonathan J. Deeks, and Gordon H. Guyatt, the GRADE Working Group
- 83 GRADE guidelines: 20. Assessing the certainty of evidence in the importance of outcomes or values and preferences—inconsistency, imprecision, and other domains
Yuan Zhang, Pablo Alonso Coello, Gordon H. Guyatt, Juan Jose Yepes-Nuñez, Elie A. Akl, Glen Hazlewood, Hector Pardo-Hernandez, Itziar Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, Amir Qaseem, John W. Williams, Jr., Peter Tugwell, Signe Flottorp, Yaping Chang, Yuqing Zhang, Reem A. Mustafa, María Ximena Rojas, Feng Xie, and Holger J. Schünemann
- 94 GRADE Guidelines: 19. Assessing the certainty of evidence in the importance of outcomes or values and preferences—Risk of bias and indirectness
Yuan Zhang, Pablo Alonso-Coello, Gordon H. Guyatt, Juan José Yepes-Nuñez, Elie A. Akl, Glen Hazlewood, Hector Pardo-Hernandez, Itziar Etxeandia-Ikobaltzeta, Amir Qaseem, John W. Williams, Jr., Peter Tugwell, Signe Flottorp, Yaping Chang, Yuqing Zhang, Reem A. Mustafa, María Ximena Rojas, and Holger J. Schünemann
- 105 GRADE guidelines: 18. How ROBINS-I and other tools to assess risk of bias in nonrandomized studies should be used to rate the certainty of a body of evidence
Holger J. Schünemann, Carlos Cuello, Elie A. Akl, Reem A. Mustafa, Jörg J. Meerpohl, Kris Thayer, Rebecca L. Morgan, Gerald Gartlehner, Regina Kunz, S Vittal Katikireddi, Jonathan Sterne, Julian PT Higgins, and Gordon Guyatt, GRADE Working Group