
JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 109, MAY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editorial

- v Need for greater transparency in documenting informed consent
Peter Tugwell, and J. Andre Knottnerus

Commentaries

- 133 Details about informed consent procedures of randomized controlled trials should be reported transparently
Daniel Kotz, Wolfgang Viechtbauer, Mark Spigt, and Rik Crutzen
- 136 Software engineering principles address current problems in the systematic review ecosystem
Rabia Bashir, and Adam G. Dunn

Reviews

- 90 Biomedical and public health reviews and meta-analyses in Ethiopia had poor methodological quality: overview of evidence from 1970 to 2018
Tesfa Dejenie Habtewold, Sisay Mulugeta Alemu, Shimels Hussien Mobammed, Aklilu Endalamaw, Mohammed Akibu Mobammed, Andreas A. Teferra, Abera Kenay Tura, Nigus Gebremedhin Asefa, and Balewizie Silesbi Tegegne
- 99 A scoping review describes methods used to identify, prioritize and display gaps in health research
Linda Nyanboka, Catrin Tudur-Smith, Van Nguyen Thu, Valentia Iversen, Andrea C. Tricco, and Raphaël Porcher

Original Articles

- 1 Model-based economic evaluations of diagnostic point of care tests were rarely fit for purpose
Katie Brebeny, Andrew J. Sutton, and Jonathan J. Deeks
- 12 The trajectory of balance skill development from childhood to adolescence was influenced by birthweight: a latent transition analysis in a British birth cohort
Paola Matiko Martins Okuda, Walter Swardfager, Patrícia Silva Lucio, George B. Ploubidis, Ting Liu, Melissa Pangelinan, and Hugo Cogo-Moreira
- 20 The methodological quality of robotic surgical meta-analyses needed to be improved: a cross-sectional study
Peijing Yan, Liang Yao, Huijuan Li, Min Zhang, Yangqin Xun, Meixuan Li, Hui Cai, Cuncun Lu, Lidong Hu, Tiankang Guo, Rong Liu, and Kehu Yang
- 30 Rapid reviews may produce different results to systematic reviews: a meta-epidemiological study
Iain J. Marshall, Rachel Marshall, Byron C. Wallace, Jon Brassey, and James Thomas

Continued on following page



0895-4356(201905)109:C;1-5

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 109, MAY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

- 42 Medical diagnoses showed low relatedness in an explorative mutual information analysis of 190,837 inpatient cases
Jan A. Roth, Thomas Sakoparnig, Simon Neubauer, Eleonore Kuenzel-Pawlik, Martin Gerber, Andreas F. Widmer, and Balthasar L. Hug, the PATREC Study Group
- 51 Different evidence summaries have implications for contextualizing findings of meta-analysis of diagnostic tests
Anja Zgodic, Christopher H. Schmid, Ingram Olkin, and Thomas A. Trikalinos
- 62 The timing and frequency of trial inclusion in systematic reviews of type 2 diabetes drugs was associated with trial characteristics
Adam G. Dunn, Liat Orenstein, Enrico Coiera, Kenneth D. Mandl, and Florence T. Bourgeois
- 70 Overview authors rarely defined systematic reviews that are included in their overviews
Clovis Mariano Faggion, Jr., and Karla Tatiana Diaz
- 80 An evidence rating service provided valid correlates of the clinical importance of medical articles and journals
R. Brian Haynes, Dalton Budbram, John Cherman, Emma Iserman, Alfonso Iorio, and Cynthia Lokker
- 111 Authors of trials from high-ranking anesthesiology journals were not willing to share raw data
Mirko Gabelica, Jakica Cavar, and Livia Puljak
- 117 A modified Delphi and cross-sectional survey to facilitate selection of optimal outcomes and measures for a systematic review on geriatrician-led care models
Charlene Soobiah, Gayle Manley, Sharon Marr, Ainsley Moore, Elliot Pausjenssen, Sylvia Teare, Jemila Hamid, Andrea C. Tricco, and Sharon Straus
- 125 Real-world evidence was feasible for estimating effectiveness of chemotherapy in breast cancer: a cohort study
Ewan Gray, Joachim Marti, David H. Brewster, Jeremy C. Wyatt, Romain Piaget-Rossel, and Peter S. Hall

Letters to the Editor

- 142 A glimpse of the difference between predictive modeling and classification modeling
Uri Kartoun