

Usefulness of Left Ventricular Strain by Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Feature-Tracking to Predict Cardiovascular Events in Patients With and Without Heart Failure



Mayank Sardana, MBBS^a, Prasad Konda, MD^{b,c}, Zeba Hashmath, MD^b, Garrett Oldland, MD^{b,d}, Swetha Gaddam, MD^{b,d}, Rachana Miller, MD^{b,d}, Vaibhav Satija, MD^b, Bilal Ansari, MBBS^b, Jonathan Lee^b, Anique Mustafa, MD, MBBS^b, Scott R. Akers, MD, PhD^d, and Julio A. Chirinos, MD, PhD^{b,d,*}

There is controversy regarding the utility of left ventricular (LV) mechanics assessed by feature-tracking steady-state free-precession (FT-SSFP), a readily implementable technique in clinical practice. In particular, whether LV mechanics assessed by FT-SSFP predicts outcomes in subjects with heart failure (HF) with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), or without HF is unknown. We aimed to assess whether LV mechanics measured with FT-SSFP cine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) predicts adverse outcomes. We prospectively enrolled 612 adults without HF (n = 402), with HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF; n = 113), or HFpEF (n = 97) and assessed LV strain using FT-SSFP cine MRI. Over a median follow-up of 39.5 months, 75 participants had an HF admission, and 85 died. In Cox proportional hazards models, lower global longitudinal (Standardized hazard ratio 1.56, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.22 to 2.00, p = 0.0004), circumferential (Standardized HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.95, p = 0.0123), and radial strain (Standardized HR 0.59, 95% CI 0.43 to 0.83, p = 0.0019) were independently associated with the composite endpoint, after adjustment for HF status, LV ejection fraction (LVEF), age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, and glomerular filtration rate. Furthermore, global longitudinal strain stratified the risk of adverse outcomes across tertiles better than LVEF. In analyses that included only participants with a preserved LVEF, systolic radial, circumferential and longitudinal strain were independently predictive of adverse outcomes. We conclude that LV longitudinal, circumferential and radial strain measured using FT-SSFP cine MRI (a readily implementable technique in clinical practice) predict the risk of adverse events, independently of LVEF. © 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. (Am J Cardiol 2019;123:1301–1308)

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR)-tagging, current “gold standard” method for the measurement of myocardial strain,¹ requires additional sequences for data acquisition and dedicated postprocessing. Feature-tracking (FT) CMR, applied directly on standard balanced steady-state free-precession (bSSFP) left ventricular (LV) cine images, requires no additional imaging sequences, and is increasingly studied in research settings.^{1–6} The prognostic significance of some systolic and diastolic strain-based measures has been

studied in population-based samples of asymptomatic adults using speckle-tracking echocardiography and various ad-hoc CMR-based methods.^{7–11}

In isolated samples of participants with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) or HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), LV systolic strain parameters measured using speckle-tracking echocardiography have been studied as predictors of adverse outcomes.^{12–15} However, the prognostic value of LV deformation measured derived using CMR-based methods remains largely unexplored.¹⁶ In this study, we aimed to study the prognostic significance of systolic and diastolic strain measures as well as LV torsion parameters, derived using FT CMR, in adults without HF, with HFpEF and HFrEF.

Methods

We prospectively enrolled 612 adults without HF (n = 402), with HFrEF (n = 113), or HFpEF (n = 97) referred for a CMR study at the Corporal Michael J. Crescenz Philadelphia VA Medical Center (CMJC VAMC). The CMJC

^aUniversity of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California; ^bPerelman Center for Advanced Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ^cMercy Catholic Medical Center, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and ^dCorporal Michael J. Crescenz VAMC, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Manuscript received June 23, 2018; revised manuscript received and accepted January 17, 2019.

Funding: This study was supported by NIH grants R56HL-124073-01A1 (J.A.C.), R01 HL 121510-01A1 (J.A.C.), 5-R21-AG-043802-02 (J.A.C.) and a VISN-4 research grant from the department of Veterans Affairs (J.A.C.).

See page 1307 for disclosure information.

*Corresponding author: Tel: 215-573-6606; fax: 215-746-7415.

E-mail address: Julio.chirinos@uphs.upenn.edu (J.A. Chirinos).

VAMC Institutional Review Board approved the protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent.

HFrEF was defined as symptomatic HF in the presence of a LV ejection fraction (LVEF) $<50\%$. HFpEF was defined as: (1) New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II–IV symptoms consistent with HF, in the absence of significant aortic or mitral stenosis; (2) LVEF $>50\%$; (3) a mitral E wave to annular tissue e' ratio >14 ,¹⁷ or at least 2 of the following: (1) a mitral E wave to tissue annular e' ratio >8 ; (2) treatment with a loop diuretic to control HF symptoms; (3) left atrium volume index >34 ml/m² of body surface area¹⁸; (4) NT-pro B-type natriuretic peptide level >200 pg/ml; (5) LV mass index >149 g/m² in men and 122 g/m² in women (as measured by CMR).

We excluded potential participants based on the following criteria: (1) Claustrophobia; (2) Presence of implanted medical devices or metallic devices in the body; (3) Significant arrhythmias (e.g., atrial fibrillation, or flutter) at the time of enrollment, which may compromise the validity of study measurements; (4) Other conditions that would make the study measurements less accurate or unreliable (i.e., inability to perform an adequate breath hold for CMR acquisitions).

Indications for the CMR included assessment of ventricular function and/or wall motion (30.4%), assessment of myocardial ischemia (22.7%), assessment of the presence and/or pattern of delayed enhancement (10%), simultaneous assessment of aortic dimensions and cardiac function (7.8%), severe diastolic dysfunction (8.2%), evaluation for ruling out cardiac thrombus (5.9%), myocardial viability

(2.6%), quantification of mitral regurgitation (1.3%), or miscellaneous indications (11.1%).

At baseline, a CMR study was performed, which was used for analyses of LV mechanics. The incidence of death or hospitalization for HF was ascertained over a median period of 39.5 months (interquartile range = AAA 23.2 to 62.6). All study participants underwent a cardiac MRI using a 1.5 Tesla (T) whole body MRI scanner (Avanto or Espree, Siemens, Malvern, Pennsylvania) equipped with a phase-array cardiac coil. LV volumes and EF were determined using balanced steady-state free-precession (SSFP) cine imaging. Typical parameters were as follows: TR = 30.6 ms; TE = 1.3 ms; Phases = 30; Slice thickness = 8 mm; Matrix size = 192 × 192; Parallel image (IPAT) factor = 2.

Global myocardial LV strain was measured from integrated long- and short-axis and axis cine images, using feature tracking (CMR42 software; Circle CVI, Calgary, Alberta, Canada). LV endocardial and epicardial borders were manually traced along all views using LV end-diastole as the point of reference. The right ventricular insertion points and mitral annular plane were specified. An automated tracking algorithm was applied and tracking of LV segments, as well as the mitral annular plane, was confirmed. Manual adjustments were performed as needed to optimize LV wall tracking. Basal, mid-ventricular, and apical short-axis images, as well as LV long-axis images were analyzed for global circumferential, radial and longitudinal strain measurements (Figure 1). In repeated analyses in 10 cases, coefficients of variation for peak systolic radial, circumferential, and longitudinal strain were $<5\%$; Intra- and interobserver coefficients




Figure 1. Representative example of feature tracking. The top panels show diastolic phases, whereas the bottom panels show the tracking at end-systole in a representative 4-chamber view (left) and mid-ventricular short-axis view (right). See also online videos 1 and 2.

of variation for peak systolic, early diastolic, and late diastolic strain rates ranged between 5% and 10%.

We first compared baseline clinical characteristics of patients without HF, HFrEF, and HFpEF. Logarithmic transformation of continuous variables was applied as needed to improve the normality of the distribution. We used chi-square tests for categorical variables and analysis of variance with *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction for continuous variables. The prognostic value of various LV strain and torsion parameters was assessed using Cox proportional hazards regression, to obtain standardized hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Multivariable models were adjusted for LVEF, HF status, age, sex, African-American ethnicity, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, diabetes, coronary artery disease, and estimated glomerular filtration rate. Statistical significance was defined as a 2-tailed p value <0.05. All probability values presented are 2-tailed. Statistical analyses were performed using the Matlab statistics and machine learning toolbox (the Mathworks; Natwick, Massachusetts) and SPSS for Windows v22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Baseline characteristics of study participants are presented in **Table 1**. The majority of study participants were of Caucasian or African-American ethnicity. There was a high prevalence of hypertension in all groups. Participants with HFrEF and HFpEF were slightly older than participants without HF and were more often African-Americans. Body mass index and the prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea were higher in the HFpEF group, whereas the prevalence of coronary artery disease was highest in the HFrEF group. Diabetes mellitus was more common in both HF groups, as compared with participants without HF.

Over a median duration of follow-up of 39.5 months, 75 participants (12.3%) had HF admissions and 85 (13.9%) died. A total of 137 (22.4%) participants experienced the composite endpoint of HF admission or all-cause death. **Figure 2** depicts the unadjusted associations of LV strain with the composite outcome. In these analyses, all measures of systolic strain (longitudinal, circumferential, and radial), longitudinal strain rate, and late diastolic strain rate were strongly associated with adverse outcomes. Of note, HR less than 1 for radial strain, longitudinal diastolic strain rate

Table 1
General characteristics of study participants

Variable	Heart failure status			p Value
	None (n = 402)	HFrEF (n = 113)	HFpEF (n = 97)	
Age (years)	60.6 (59.5–61.7)	65.6 (63.3–67.8)	63.6 (61.3–66)	<0.0001
Men	373 (93.25%)	111 (98.23%)	88 (90.72%)	0.0615
White	213 (53.25%)	42 (37.17%)	40 (41.24%)	0.003
Black	168 (42.00%)	69 (61.06%)	54 (55.67%)	0.003
Other race	19 (4.75%)	2 (1.77%)	3 (3.09%)	0.003
Hypertension	303 (76.13%)	96 (84.96%)	90 (92.78%)	0.0004
Coronary artery disease	128 (32.16%)	60 (53.10%)	36 (37.11%)	0.0003
Diabetes mellitus	174 (43.72%)	63 (55.75%)	61 (63.54%)	0.0007
Obstructive sleep apnea	93 (23.48%)	22 (19.47%)	36 (37.11%)	0.0069
Glomerular filtration rate (ml/min)	78 (74.3–81.6)	70.2 (63.9–76.5)	72.9 (65.8–79.9)	0.0753
Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (mg/dl)	84.1 (78.3–89.9)	74.2 (64.1–84.3)	85.6 (73.4–97.8)	0.1949
Mitral A velocity (cm/s)	71.3 (68.3–74.3)	67.7 (62.7–72.6)	73.5 (67.8–79.1)	0.2687
Mitral E velocity (cm/s)	67.2 (64.7–69.7)	67.8 (63.4–72.1)	75.9 (70.8–81)	0.0054
Deceleration Time (ms)	214 (205–222)	186 (173–198)	217 (202–232)	0.0005
Lateral e' (cm/s)	9.24 (8.82–9.67)	7.01 (6.48–7.55)	8.28 (7.61–8.96)	<0.0001
Septal e' (cm/s)	7.37 (7.04–7.7)	5.41 (5.01–5.82)	6.33 (5.82–6.83)	<0.0001
E/e'	8.2 (7.8–8.7)	11.1 (10.2–12.1)	10.8 (9.8–11.7)	<0.0001
Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (ml)	147 (143–152)	207 (194–220)	153 (143–163)	<0.0001
Left ventricular end-diastolic volume index (ml/m ²)	69.4 (67.2–71.5)	99.8 (93.8–105.8)	67 (62.6–71.3)	<0.0001
Left ventricular stroke volume (ml)	80.9 (78.3–83.5)	69 (64.8–73.3)	94.5 (88.3–100.8)	<0.0001
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	54.9 (53.5–56.3)	33.4 (31.7–35)	61.8 (58.4–65.2)	<0.0001
Medication Use				
β-Blocker	190 (47.62%)	94 (83.19%)	70 (72.16%)	<0.0001
Aspirin	233 (58.40%)	88 (77.88%)	64 (65.98%)	0.0006
Clopidogrel	35 (8.79%)	21 (18.58%)	12 (12.37%)	0.0132
Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor	179 (44.86%)	70 (61.95%)	60 (61.86%)	0.0003
Angiotensin receptor blockers	38 (9.52%)	17 (15.04%)	18 (18.56%)	0.0265
Furosemide	15 (3.77%)	67 (59.29%)	65 (67.01%)	<0.0001
Spirolactone	14 (3.51%)	15 (13.27%)	8 (8.25%)	0.0004
Statin	261 (65.41%)	89 (78.76%)	69 (71.13%)	0.0224
Calcium-channel blocker	102 (25.56%)	28 (24.78%)	38 (39.18%)	0.0204

Continuous variables are presented as mean (95% confidence interval) values. Categorical variables are presented by number (proportion). HFrEF: heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.




Figure 2. (A) Unadjusted standardized hazard ratios for indices of LV deformation as predictors of incident death or HF admission. (B) Adjusted standardized hazard ratios for indices of LV deformation as predictors of incident death or HF admission. Adjusted for LVEF, heart failure status, age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, diabetes, coronary artery disease, and glomerular filtration rate.

(early and late), peak torsion and torsion rate would imply that lower function is associated with worse prognosis. In contrast, HR greater than 1 for systolic longitudinal strain (and strain rate), circumferential strain, and diastolic untorsion rate (early and late) imply that lower function is associated with worse prognosis.

Figure 2 depicts the adjusted associations between LV strain and the composite outcome in Cox-proportional hazards models. In these models, lower peak radial strain was associated with an increased risk of death or HF hospitalization (Standardized HR 0.59, 95% CI 0.43 to 0.83, p = 0.0019). Similarly, higher (i.e., less negative) peak circumferential (Standardized HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.95, p = 0.0123) and longitudinal strain (Standardized HR 1.56,

95% CI 1.22 to 2.00, p = 0.0004) were also independently associated with adverse outcomes. Multivariable adjustment attenuated the association of longitudinal systolic and diastolic strain rates with adverse outcomes. Figure 3 depicts the Kaplan-Meier curves for tertiles of longitudinal, circumferential, radial strain, as well as tertiles of LVEF in relation to the composite outcome of HF hospitalization or death. Peak longitudinal strain stratified the risk of adverse outcomes across tertiles, whereas LVEF was only associated with adverse outcomes in the lowest tertile (LVEF <50%), without differences between the top 2 tertiles.

To assess the value of strain measures as sensitive parameters of myocardial dysfunction when the LV EF is preserved, we performed additional analyses including only




Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival plots for tertiles of longitudinal, radial, circumferential strain, and LVEF.

participants with a preserved EF ($\geq 50\%$, including subjects with HFpEF and without HF) to study the association between strain measures with adverse outcomes. **Figure 4** depicts the results of these analyses, which were adjusted for presence of HFpEF and LVEF. In these models, all 3 systolic strain measures (radial, circumferential, and longitudinal strain) were independently associated with adverse outcomes independently of LV EF and the presence of HFpEF.

Discussion

We prospectively studied a relatively large sample of participants with HFpEF, HFrEF, and no HF, and performed assessments of LV mechanics using FT CMR techniques. We found that radial, circumferential, and longitudinal LV systolic strain measures were independently associated with HF hospitalization and death over a median follow-up of 39.5 months, in models that adjusted for HF status, LVEF, as well as other potential demographic and clinical confounders. LV longitudinal strain

stratified the risk of adverse outcomes better than LV EF. Furthermore, in patients with preserved EF, strain measures independently predicted the composite outcome. Interestingly, in this subgroup with preserved EF, a higher (rather than a lower) LVEF tended to predict the composite outcome. Our findings demonstrate that feature tracking CMR, which has the potential to be readily applicable in current clinical practice, provides useful prognostic information, and can be leveraged to discriminate the risk of adverse outcomes, especially in the presence of preserved LVEF. Future studies should investigate whether LV strain measured by feature tracking CMR can be used to aid monitoring disease and therapeutic status in HF.

Due to the logistical limitations of myocardial tagging (dedicated acquisition time and dedicated postprocessing), FT has emerged as a reliable alternative for LV strain measurement.^{1,4,19} With increasing adoption of CMR in clinical practice, especially for patients with HFpEF, LV strain measured using FT could provide clinically useful prognostic information without increasing acquisition times.²⁰ The prognostic significance of LV systolic strain measured




Figure 4. Predictive value of radial (top panel), circumferential strain (mid-panel) and longitudinal strain (bottom panel), in subjects with preserved LVEF ($\geq 50\%$). Models are adjusted for LVEF, the presence of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease and glomerular filtration rate. In these models, all measures of LV strain were independently predictive of incident hospitalized HF and death.

using speckle-tracking echocardiography has been studied in isolated samples of HFpEF or HFrEF.^{12–15} However, the association of CMR-based LV deformation measures with adverse prognosis in HF, specifically HFpEF, has not been explored previously. In a convenience sample of 539 patients undergoing CMR, Mordi et al measured circumferential strain using myocardial tagging.²¹ They observed that the prognostic value of circumferential strain in predicting adverse cardiovascular events (all-cause mortality, HF hospitalization, and aborted sudden cardiac death) was incremental to LVEF and myocardial scarring. Interestingly, they did not measure longitudinal strain or strain rate in their study, to minimize acquisition time. This highlights a limitation of myocardial tagging and an important advantage of FT for assessments of LV mechanics with clinically-applicable imaging protocols. Buss et al studied 210

subjects with dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy using FT-derived systolic strain measures and observed that global longitudinal strain, but not circumferential or radial strain, was associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes, independent of LVEF.¹⁶ Similarly, in a retrospective analysis of 364 participants ($>80\%$ with cardiovascular co-morbidities), all measures of LV strain (derived using FT) were associated with adverse events (cardiac death, aborted cardiac death, and HF hospitalization) with LV transverse strain (a surrogate for radial strain) being the strongest predictor.²² In a community-based sample of 1,768 participants, impaired circumferential strain (by myocardial tagging), was independently and incrementally associated with increased risk of incident HF and atherosclerotic cardiovascular events.¹⁰ In contrast to previous studies, our study was designed to assess the prognostic

utility of LV deformation measurements by CMR FT in subjects with HFpEF, HFrEF, and no HF. Furthermore, we performed comprehensive FT analyses of LV function using radial, circumferential, and longitudinal systolic strain, as well as longitudinal systolic, early and late diastolic longitudinal strain rate. Our findings demonstrate that LV strain is more robust than LVEF in predicting adverse outcomes in participants with and without HF, particularly when LVEF is preserved. Interestingly, in our analyses, peak longitudinal strain stratified the risk of adverse outcomes across tertiles in the overall sample, whereas an increased risk of adverse outcomes was seen only in participants in the lowest tertile of LVEF (which corresponded to an LVEF of ~50% in our sample). In secondary analyses that included only participants with a preserved LVEF ($\geq 50\%$) systolic strain measures (radial, circumferential, and longitudinal strain), but not a lower LVEF, were independently associated with adverse outcomes. These findings are in line with previous speckle-tracking echocardiography-based analyses in which the global longitudinal strain was identified as a predictor of adverse outcomes in the participants with HFpEF, independent of LVEF.^{12,23} A higher, and not a lower EF, tend to be associated with adverse outcomes in these adjusted models is likely because that endocardial EF is higher with greater degrees of concentric remodeling, for any given amount of fiber shortening.

We note that the lack of association of strain rates with adverse outcomes in adjusted analyses should be interpreted with caution, as they were derived from the rate of change in strain using FT on cine CMR and thus limited by the temporal resolution of our acquisitions (30 frames/cardiac cycle); our findings regarding strain rates should not be extrapolated to techniques in which strain rates are directly and/or primarily measured or those derived from time derivatives of strain measures obtained with greater temporal resolution.

Our study has several limitations. First, our study sample was enrolled from Veterans Affairs Medical Center. Therefore, although racially diverse, our sample was largely composed mainly of older males. Our findings should be validated in samples with greater gender diversity and in younger adults, as well as pediatric populations. Second, due to the limited number of events in 3 groups (HFpEF, HFrEF, and patients without HF), our analyses were not powered to measure the prognostic significance of CMR strain measures in each group separately. Third, neither longitudinal strain rate, nor diastolic strain rates were associated with adverse outcomes in our sample. However, these findings should be interpreted with caution, as discussed above. Finally, we did not assess the prognostic value of strain, independent of circulating biomarkers, particularly B-type natriuretic peptide and/or NT-pro-BNP, which requires further study.

In conclusion, we demonstrate significant associations between longitudinal, circumferential, and radial strain and adverse outcomes, after adjustment for potential clinical and demographic confounders, as well as LVEF. LV strain measurements using FT have widespread potential to be incorporated in the clinical workflow, in which standard LV cine CMR acquisitions are performed. This

relatively simple strategy provides useful prognostic information without the need for additional acquisition time. Future studies should assess whether FT derived LV strain is useful for implementing or monitoring therapeutic interventions.

Disclosures

J.A.C. has received consulting honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, OPKO Healthcare, Fukuda-Denshi, Microsoft, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Sanifit, Pfizer, Vital Labs, Merck and Bayer. He received research grants from National Institutes of Health, American College of Radiology Network, Fukuda Denshi, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Microsoft and CVRx Inc., and device loans from AtCor Medical. Other authors have no disclosures.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.01.025>.

1. Scatteia A, Baritussio A, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. Strain imaging using cardiac magnetic resonance. *Heart Fail Rev* 2017;22:465–476.
2. Kowallick JT, Lamata P, Hussain ST, Kutty S, Steinmetz M, Sohns JM, Fasshauer M, Staab W, Unterberg-Buchwald C, Bigalke B, Lotz J, Hasenfuss G, Schuster A. Quantification of left ventricular torsion and diastolic recoil using cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial feature tracking. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e109164.
3. Maret E, Todt T, Brudin L, Nylander E, Swahn E, Ohlsson JL, Engvall JE. Functional measurements based on feature tracking of cine magnetic resonance images identify left ventricular segments with myocardial scar. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2009;7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-7120-7-53>.
4. Moody WE, Taylor RJ, Edwards NC, Chue CD, Umar F, Taylor TJ, Ferro CJ, Young AA, Townend JN, Leyva F, Steeds RP. Comparison of magnetic resonance feature tracking for systolic and diastolic strain and strain rate calculation with spatial modulation of magnetization imaging analysis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2015;41:1000–1012.
5. Schuster A, Stahnke VC, Unterberg-Buchwald C, Kowallick JT, Lamata P, Steinmetz M, Kutty S, Fasshauer M, Staab W, Sohns JM, Bigalke B, Ritter C, Hasenfuss G, Beerbaum P, Lotz J. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance feature-tracking assessment of myocardial mechanics: Intervendor agreement and considerations regarding reproducibility. *Clin Radiol* 2015;70:989–998.
6. Claus P, Omar AM, Pedrizzetti G, Sengupta PP, Nagel E. Tissue tracking technology for assessing cardiac mechanics: principles, normal values, and clinical applications. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8:1444–1460.
7. Biering-Sorensen T, Biering-Sorensen SR, Olsen FJ, Sengelov M, Jorgensen PG, Mogelvang R, Shah AM, Jensen JS. Global longitudinal strain by echocardiography predicts long-term risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in a low-risk general population: the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10:e005521.
8. Ambale-Venkatesh B, Armstrong AC, Liu CY, Donekal S, Yoneyama K, Wu CO, Gomes AS, Hundley GW, Bluemke DA, Lima JA. Diastolic function assessed from tagged MRI predicts heart failure and atrial fibrillation over an 8-year follow-up period: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;15:442–449.
9. Cheng S, McCabe EL, Larson MG, Merz AA, Osypiuk E, Lehman BT, Stantchev P, Aragam J, Solomon SD, Benjamin EJ, Vasan RS. Distinct aspects of left ventricular mechanical function are differentially associated with cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality in the community. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2015;4:e002071.
10. Choi EY, Rosen BD, Fernandes VR, Yan RT, Yoneyama K, Donekal S, Opdahl A, Almeida AL, Wu CO, Gomes AS, Bluemke DA, Lima JA. Prognostic value of myocardial circumferential strain for incident

- heart failure and cardiovascular events in asymptomatic individuals: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:2354–2361.
11. Russo C, Jin Z, Elkind MS, Rundek T, Homma S, Sacco RL, Di Tullio MR. Prevalence and prognostic value of subclinical left ventricular systolic dysfunction by global longitudinal strain in a community-based cohort. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2014;16:1301–1309.
 12. Shah AM, Claggett B, Sweitzer NK, Shah SJ, Anand IS, Liu L, Pitt B, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Prognostic importance of impaired systolic function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and the impact of spironolactone. *Circulation* 2015;132:402–414.
 13. Cho GY, Marwick TH, Kim HS, Kim MK, Hong KS, Oh DJ. Global 2-dimensional strain as a new prognosticator in patients with heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:618–624.
 14. Zhang KW, French B, May Khan A, Plappert T, Fang JC, Sweitzer NK, Borlaug BA, Chirinos JA, St John Sutton M, Cappola TP, Ky B. Strain improves risk prediction beyond ejection fraction in chronic systolic heart failure. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2014;3:e000550.
 15. Sengelov M, Jorgensen PG, Jensen JS, Bruun NE, Olsen FJ, Fritzhansen T, Nochioka K, Biering-Sorensen T. Global longitudinal strain is a superior predictor of all-cause mortality in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8:1351–1359.
 16. Buss SJ, Breuninger K, Lehrke S, Voss A, Galuschky C, Lossnitzer D, Andre F, Ehlermann P, Franke J, Taeger T, Frankenstein L, Steen H, Meder B, Giannitsis E, Katus HA, Korosoglou G. Assessment of myocardial deformation with cardiac magnetic resonance strain imaging improves risk stratification in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16:307–315.
 17. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, Flachskampf FA, Gillebert TC, Klein AL, Lancellotti P, Marino P, Oh JK, Popescu BA, Waggoner AD. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2016;29:277–314.
 18. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P, Muraru D, Picard MH, Rietzschel ER, Rudski L, Spencer KT, Tsang W, Voigt JU. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2015;28:1–39. e14.
 19. Obokata M, Nagata Y, Wu VC, Kado Y, Kurabayashi M, Otsuji Y, Takeuchi M. Direct comparison of cardiac magnetic resonance feature tracking and 2D/3D echocardiography speckle tracking for evaluation of global left ventricular strain. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;17:525–532.
 20. Nagueh SF, Chang SM, Nabi F, Shah DJ, Estep JD. Cardiac imaging in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10:1–7. e006547.
 21. Mordi I, Bezerra H, Carrick D, Tzemos N. The combined incremental prognostic value of LVEF, late gadolinium enhancement, and global circumferential strain assessed by CMR. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;8:540–549.
 22. Yang LT, Yamashita E, Nagata Y, Kado Y, Oshima S, Otsuji Y, Takeuchi M. Prognostic value of biventricular mechanical parameters assessed using cardiac magnetic resonance feature-tracking analysis to predict future cardiac events. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2017;45:1034–1045.
 23. Stampehl MR, Mann DL, Nguyen JS, Cota F, Colmenares C, Dokainish H. Speckle strain echocardiography predicts outcome in patients with heart failure with both depressed and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Echocardiography* 2015;32:71–78.