

Toward Understanding Developmental Disruption of Default Mode Network Connectivity Due to Early Life Stress

Huan Wang, Robbert-Jan Verkes, Benno Rozenaal, and Erno J. Hermans

Early life stress (ELS) constitutes a major risk factor for the development and persistence of many forms of psychopathology, leading to long-term negative consequences. In the current issue of *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, Zeev-Wolf *et al.* (1) report on a unique cohort of children from the Gaza border in Israel who were followed prospectively from early childhood and throughout a period in which they were exposed to war threat. The reported findings shed important new light on the effects of ELS on functioning and development of the default mode network (DMN), a constellation of brain areas that has been implicated in internally directed cognition when not engaged in goal-directed tasks, in episodic memory, and in social or self-referential information processing (2). Using magnetoencephalography, Zeev-Wolf *et al.* (1) found impairments in DMN connectivity in exposed preadolescents and their mothers in distinct frequency bands—alpha for mothers and theta for children—indicating that chronic stress exposure may have distinct effects in different developmental windows. Disruptions in DMN connectivity were also associated with behavioral and hormonal parameters that were collected prospectively: in children, intrusive parenting styles of mothers as well as high cortisol levels independently predicted impaired DMN connectivity. In mothers, cortisol levels measured in their children, as well as self-reported emotional distress in the mothers themselves, predicted later disruptions in DMN connectivity.

Previous work on long-term sequelae of ELS has relied on a variety of self-report instruments to retrospectively assess exposure to childhood maltreatment, such as the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire, Care and Abuse Questionnaire, Adverse Childhood Experience, and Conflict Tactics Scale. Among these, there is a large variety in multiplicity and severity of childhood trauma exposure. There is furthermore an extensive literature on the disparate effects of various types of ELS, and ELS experienced at different developmental stages, on adult brain structure, cognitive function, and behavior (3). Zeev-Wolf *et al.* (1) make an important contribution in disentangling a number of potential confounding factors. First, one weakness of many previous studies is that ELS effects may be explained by other factors that are associated with ELS, such as malnutrition, poverty, or the lack of medical care. Zeev-Wolf *et al.*'s cohort from Israel excludes these potential confounds, not only because the type of ELS in this sample is highly homogeneous but also because exposure to war threat occurred in an otherwise functioning society. Second, retrospective reports of ELS may be colored by an individual's current

emotional state or context, especially when retrieving memories from early childhood, casting doubt on the veracity of such self-reported measures and introducing another potential confound. The current study circumvents this issue by investigating both children and mothers prospectively at multiple time points starting in early childhood (reported data were acquired at mean ages of 2.8, 9.3, and 11.8 years). Third, social support from close relatives during ELS exposure may constitute a critical resilience factor. Zeev-Wolf *et al.* (1) addressed this issue through observation of dyadic interactions between mother and child at different ages. While intrusive parenting styles are also reported to be associated with disruptions in later DMN connectivity, this association was found across both exposed and control groups, suggesting that threat exposure and intrusive parenting may independently exert a similar long-term effect on brain development.

The current findings in both children and mothers are an important contribution to the growing literature pointing toward an effect of ELS in impairing DMN connectivity. For instance, earlier studies demonstrated that healthy individuals who report a history of ELS have reduced connectivity within the DMN as measured using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. Another study showed that posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus seed-based DMN connectivity is decreased in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and a history of ELS, and resting-state connectivity between the DMN and the amygdala was shown to predict PTSD symptoms arising from ELS (3). However, DMN dysfunctions are not specific to ELS. For instance, Sripada *et al.* (4) showed that long-term poverty can cause reduced DMN connectivity, and DMN impairment has more broadly been associated with PTSD symptoms as well as other forms of psychopathology (2). In contrast to these relatively consistent findings regarding the DMN, a review of other ELS effects on brain structure and function concluded that many of these, including gray matter volume, cortical thickness, and fiber tract integrity, are specific to distinct types of childhood maltreatment (e.g., parental verbal abuse, domestic violence, childhood sexual abuse) (3). Therefore, while more research is needed to provide insight into stressor-specific effects on brain structure and function, reduced DMN functioning appears to be the most consistently reported aberration across many different forms of ELS, or even chronic stress in any life period.

Developmental work has shown that the maturation of the DMN involves a gradual process of integration of distributed

SEE CORRESPONDING ARTICLE ON PAGE 72

brain regions. Within the first year of development, individual nodes of the DMN can already be identified using independent component analysis, but the characteristic connectivity between anterior (e.g., medial prefrontal cortex) and posterior (e.g., posterior cingulate cortex) parts of the DMN is not yet seen. This anterior–posterior integration only reaches a level comparable to that in adults by approximately 9 years of age. Early childhood may therefore constitute a critical time window during which exposure to trauma can disrupt the development of the DMN (5). In line with Zeev-Wolf *et al.*'s (1) finding that cortisol levels predict DMN connectivity, this disruption may be partly explained by structural changes caused by increased stress hormone exposure. For instance, stress hormones are thought to hamper myelination of white matter tracts critical for long-range anterior–posterior network communication (5), and to decrease volume and decrease synaptic density of the hippocampus (3), a region within the DMN.

Exposure to stress hormones, however, is also known to have acute consequences for the functioning of large-scale networks. Such networks often activate reciprocally, and stress hormones are known to play an important role in regulating the balance in resource allocation between these networks. For instance, Hermans *et al.* (6) argued that excessive catecholamine release in response to acute stress impairs the prefrontal cortex and therefore core regions of both the DMN and the executive control network. By contrast, stress levels of catecholaminergic activity are thought to increase neuronal excitability in the amygdala, a core region of the salience network. Together, acute stress thereby appears to shift the balance between these networks away from the executive control network and the DMN and toward the salience network. Rapid, nongenomic effects of glucocorticoids may also play a key role in fine-tuning the acute response to stress, for instance by releasing gamma-aminobutyric acidergic control of the amygdala, a mechanism that involves the endocannabinoid system (7). The balance between glutamatergic and gamma-aminobutyric acidergic neurotransmission within the posterior cingulate cortex, a node within the DMN, has furthermore shown to be correlated with connectivity within the DMN (8). Slow, gene transcription–dependent effects of glucocorticoids have finally been implicated in the return to homeostasis and to a rebalancing of large-scale networks in the aftermath of acute stress (6). Long-term effects of ELS on large-scale network function may therefore also result from alterations in functioning of stress-sensitive neuroendocrine systems.

Controlled experimental manipulation of ELS in rodents has yielded important insight into how different forms of ELS result in lasting changes in such stress hormone systems. While milder forms of ELS trigger hyperresponsiveness of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, more severe ELS results in hypocortisolism (9), and manipulations of glucocorticoid effects in adulthood may also alleviate symptoms caused by ELS. Hypocortisolism is also observed in PTSD, but particularly in patients with a history of ELS, and is thought to stem from enhanced hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis–negative feedback. Core regions of the DMN—the medial prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus—are critically involved in the negative feedback loop of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. Recently, Atsak *et al.* (10) found that ELS can also cause

persistent disturbances in the endocannabinoid system that can interfere with the pathways by which glucocorticoids regulate stress adaptation and that may hamper regulation of the balance between inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmission. These findings provide initial insight into how ELS-related dysfunctions at the level of large-scale networks such as the DMN may result not only from structural changes, but also from chronic dysregulations in stress-regulatory hormonal systems. More research will be needed to establish if the effects of ELS on DMN function, as observed in the current study and earlier work, may be reversible through interventions targeted at normalizing functioning of these systems.

In conclusion, ELS can alter the developmental course of neuroendocrine function as well as of brain structure and function up to the level of coordination of large-scale brain networks. Prospective studies such as the one performed by Zeev-Wolf *et al.* (1) are essential for disentangling the effects of different types of ELS at different developmental stages of exposure. Such prospective efforts, preferably also including prospective measures of brain structure and function, will need to be complemented by experimental work in rodents, in which more invasive techniques can be used to study the underlying neurobiological mechanisms.

Acknowledgments and Disclosures

This work was supported by a fellowship from the China Scholarship Council (to HW) and by European Research Council Grant No. ERC-2015-CoG 682591 (to EJH) and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme Grant No. 777084.

The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Article Information

From the Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Address correspondence to Erno J. Hermans, Ph.D., Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Center, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands; E-mail: erno.hermans@donders.ru.nl.

Received Nov 19, 2018; accepted Nov 27, 2018.

References

1. Zeev-Wolf M, Levy J, Goldstein A, Zagoory-Sharon O, Feldman R (2019): Chronic early stress impairs default mode network connectivity in preadolescents and their mothers. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 4:72–80.
2. Raichle ME (2015): The brain's default mode network. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 38:433–447.
3. Teicher MH, Samson JA, Anderson CM, Ohashi K (2016): The effects of childhood maltreatment on brain structure, function and connectivity. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17:652–666.
4. Sripada RK, Swain JE, Evans GW, Welsh RC, Liberzon I (2014): Childhood poverty and stress reactivity are associated with aberrant functional connectivity in default mode network. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39:2244–2251.
5. Daniels JK, Frewen P, McKinnon MC, Lanius RA (2011): Default mode alterations in posttraumatic stress disorder related to early-life trauma: A developmental perspective. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 36:56–59.
6. Hermans EJ, Henckens MJAG, Joëls M, Fernández G (2014): Dynamic adaptation of large-scale brain networks in response to acute stressors. *Trends Neurosci* 37:304–314.

Commentary

7. Jie F, Yin G, Yang W, Yang M, Gao S, Lv J, Li B (2018): Stress in regulation of GABA amygdala system and relevance to neuropsychiatric diseases. *Front Neurosci* 12:562.
8. Kapogiannis D, Reiter DA, Willette AA, Mattson MP (2013): Post-eromedial cortex glutamate and GABA predict intrinsic functional connectivity of the default mode network. *Neuroimage* 64: 112–119.
9. van Bodegom M, Homberg JR, Henckens MJAG (2017): Modulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis by early life stress exposure. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11:87.
10. Atsak P, Morena M, Schoenmaker C, Tabak E, Oomen CA, Jamil S, *et al.* (2018): Glucocorticoid-endocannabinoid uncoupling mediates fear suppression deficits after early-life stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 91:41–49.