

OBSTETRICS

Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after open maternal-fetal surgery for myelomeningocele



William H. Goodnight, MD, MSCR; Ozan Bahtiyar, MD; Kelly A. Bennett, MD; Stephen P. Emery, MD; J. B. Lillegard, MD, PhD; Allan Fisher, MD; Ruth Goldstein, MD; Jillian Jatres, MS; Foong-Yen Lim, MD; Laurence McCullough, PhD; Ueli Moehrlen, MD; Julie S. Moldenhauer, MD; Anita J. Moon-Grady, MD; Rodrigo Ruano, MD, PhD; Daniel W. Skupski, MD; Elizabeth Thom, PhD; Marjorie C. Treadwell, MD; KuoJen Tsao, MD; Amy J. Wagner, MD; Lindsay N. Waqar, MPH; Michael Zaretsky, MD; for the fMMC Consortium sponsored by NAFTNet



BACKGROUND: Open maternal-fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele results in reduction in neonatal morbidity related to spina bifida but may be associated with fetal, neonatal, and maternal complications in subsequent pregnancies.

OBJECTIVE: The objective of this study was to ascertain obstetric risk in subsequent pregnancies after open maternal-fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele closure.

STUDY DESIGN: An international multicenter prospective observational registry created to track and report maternal, obstetric, fetal/neonatal, and subsequent pregnancy outcomes following open maternal-fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele was evaluated for subsequent pregnancy outcome variables. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for the registry.

RESULTS: From 693 cases of open maternal-fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele closure entered into the registry, 77 subsequent

pregnancies in 60 women were identified. The overall live birth rate was 96.2%, with 52 pregnancies delivering beyond 20 weeks gestational age and median gestational age at delivery of 37 (36.3–37.1) weeks. The uterine rupture rate was 9.6% (n = 5), resulting in 2 fetal deaths. Maternal transfusion was required in 4 patients (7.7%).

CONCLUSION: The risk of uterine rupture or dehiscence in subsequent pregnancies with associated fetal morbidity after open maternal-fetal surgery is significant, but is similar to that reported for subsequent pregnancies after classical cesarean deliveries. Future pregnancy considerations should be included in initial counseling for women contemplating open maternal-fetal surgery.

Key words: cesarean delivery, fetal myelomeningocele, fetal myelomeningocele repair, fetal surgery, fMMC, myelomeningocele, open maternal fetal surgery, spina bifida, uterine rupture

The Management of Myelomeningocele Study (MOMS) demonstrated that open maternal-fetal surgery (OMFS) for fetal myelomeningocele (fMMC) closure results in a significant reduction in morbidity associated with spina bifida, including reduction in the need for ventriculoperitoneal shunting in the first year of life, reversal of hindbrain herniation, and improvement of motor function and neurodevelopmental outcomes at 30 months of age.¹ OMFS for fMMC is performed via a hysterotomy in the contractile portion of the uterus, similar to that created during a classical cesarean delivery.

Limited information exists regarding the influence of OMFS on subsequent

pregnancy outcomes. As a classical hysterotomy is associated with a uterine rupture risk of 4–9% which can be associated with increased maternal and neonatal morbidity,^{2,3} there is potential for similar or greater risk in pregnancy following OMFS. Wilson et al⁴ described the outcomes of 36 subsequent pregnancies that progressed beyond 20 weeks gestational age in women who had prior OMFS from 1996 to 2007. Fetal indications for OMFS in this group included fMMC, fetal lung malformation, sacrococcygeal teratoma, and congenital diaphragmatic hernia. In this cohort, uterine rupture or dehiscence in a subsequent pregnancy occurred in 14% and 14%, respectively, with 1 uterine rupture resulting in cesarean hysterectomy and neonatal death owing to prematurity. Zamora et al⁵ reported 1 subsequent pregnancy in a cohort of 7 patients undergoing OMFS, resulting in a uterine rupture and delivery at 36 weeks gestational age with surviving mother and neonate. Of 16 women reporting subsequent pregnancies after OMFS in the MOMS trial, the uterine

dehiscence rate was 12.5% in this limited series⁶ without any reported uterine ruptures. Thus, limited data suggest a significant risk of uterine incision complications in pregnancies subsequent to OMFS; however, the majority of the current reported literature includes indications for OMFS other than fMMC that could have dissimilar hysterotomy characteristics. Additionally, given the increase in number of OMFS using the MOMS criteria for fMMC closure and the potential for future fertility, precision of the estimate for reproductive outcomes beyond the current literature in the MMC population is needed.

The Fetal Myelomeningocele Consortium sponsored by the North American Fetal Therapy Network (NAFTNet) was established in 2012 with the goal to provide a network for institutions performing fMMC closure and establish a prospective registry of patients undergoing fMMC closure after the MOMS trial. The goal of the registry is to systematically collect and analyze data related to maternal-fetal surgery for fMMC closure, to ensure continued

Cite this article as: Goodnight WH, Bahtiyar O, Bennett KA, et al. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after open maternal-fetal surgery for myelomeningocele. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019;220:494.e1-7.

0002-9378/\$36.00

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.03.008>

AJOG at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

This study describes the maternal and neonatal outcomes in pregnancies subsequent to a pregnancy managed with open maternal fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele.

Key findings

In pregnancies subsequent to open maternal fetal surgery for myelomeningocele, the live birth rate in pregnancies progressing after 20 weeks is 96.2% with a median gestational age at delivery of 37 weeks. Uterine rupture of the open maternal fetal surgery incision was noted in 9.6% of subsequent pregnancies and fetal death occurred in 2 of the 5 cases of uterine rupture. The only maternal morbidity was a rate of transfusion of 7.7%

What does this add to what is known?

These data provide patients and providers an understanding of the outcomes of pregnancies after open maternal fetal surgery, which should be used during the initial consultation when considering open maternal fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele, as well as for guidance for management of any subsequent pregnancy.

patient outcomes comparable to the MOMS trial, and to provide an opportunity for additional scientific investigation. The fMMC Consortium now consists of more than 25 collaborative institutions from around the world who perform fMMC closure.

The specific aims of this study are to describe the maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes in subsequent pregnancies following a pregnancy that underwent OMFS for fMMC closure, using data from the fMMC Consortium registry.

Materials and Methods

A prospective observational registry was created by the Fetal Myelomeningocele Consortium, sponsored by NAFTNet. NAFTNet and the fMMC Consortium are supported through funding by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (5R131HD059293-05), with NAFTNet support provided to create the registry. Currently, data entry and data analysis from this registry are dependent upon individual site resources. The registry was created to track maternal and neonatal outcomes following maternal-fetal surgery for fMMC closure after completion of the MOMS trial, as well as to collect and analyze data on subsequent

pregnancy outcomes. Individual institutions were invited to enroll patients being evaluated for fMMC closure. Any institution offering maternal-fetal surgery for fMMC closure is eligible to participate. Registry Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained by the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia as the coordinating center, and individual centers obtained IRB approval for prospective observation of consenting patients. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act authorization is obtained with the informed consent process. Retrospective data were obtained from prenatal and delivery records of the mother and neonatal records of the infant. Data collected from subsequent pregnancies are obtained by patient questionnaires performed by study personnel, with confirmation of outcome based on review of medical records, as available. Subsequent pregnancy outcome data include fetal number, gestational age at delivery, pregnancy outcome (live birth, pregnancy loss), uterine incision status at delivery, route of delivery, and description of neonatal or maternal complications, including transfusion, hysterectomy, and maternal death.

Study data are collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture

tools hosted at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia for the fMMC Consortium. REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing an intuitive interface for validated data entry, audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures, automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages, and procedures for importing data from external sources.⁷ De-identified data are provided to the investigator for specific study questions using the registry data. The investigators are blinded to individual clinical site as well as patient identifying information. The data used for this analysis include patients who began evaluation for fMMC on or after December 15, 2010. The data for this analysis were last accessed on January 31, 2019. IRB approval was obtained for the registry at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (IRB# 11-008262, 9/20/2013), the coordinating center, and local IRB approved by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (IRB 13-3452). Each participating center completes local IRB approval prior to receiving access to the registry. Pregnancy outcomes and demographic data were reported using descriptive statistics N (%) and median (interquartile range). Comparison among groups was described using χ^2 or Fisher exact for categorical data or Student *t* test or Wilcoxon rank sum as appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 25, 2017, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

The method of OMFS and overall clinical care are determined by the operating clinical team and not dictated by the fMMC registry. Members of the fMMC Consortium report largely following the same inclusion criteria and surgical methods used in the MOMS trial.^{1,8} Hysterotomy incisions for OMFS are typically either vertical or transverse incisions in the contractile portion of the uterus, with position opposite the placental location. The uterine entry technique used in most North American centers performing fMMC closure includes developing a 1- to 2-cm uterine

window with cautery or sharp dissection after 2 stay sutures are placed. The hysterotomy is then extended to create a 4- to 6-cm incision using a uterine stapling device with absorbable glycolide/lactide copolymer staples (Premium Poly CS, Covidien, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) that results in a hemostatic uterine incision incorporating uterine serosa, myometrium, and the chorionic and amniotic membranes. The hysterotomy is typically closed with 2 layers of 0.35-mm-diameter delayed absorbable suture. Delivery is then performed at 37 weeks estimated gestational age (EGA), unless indications arise prior to that time for preterm delivery, typically through a separate low transverse uterine incision.

In these data, interdelivery interval is defined as the interval in years from delivery of the OMFS pregnancy to delivery of the subsequent pregnancy. At the time of delivery in the OMFS pregnancy as well as the subsequent pregnancy, the fetal surgery hysterotomy site was described as intact, thinned, dehiscence (defined as disruption of the uterine mucosa with intact serosa), or rupture (defined as disruption of the uterine muscle and serosa). At the time of delivery of the subsequent pregnancy, the status of the hysterotomy incision was defined as “thin or dehiscence” (yes/no). The prevalence of uterine rupture and live birth were calculated among pregnancies that progressed beyond 20 weeks EGA.⁹ The registry does not currently contain detailed neonatal outcomes from subsequent pregnancies. The patients enrolled in this registry do not include MOMS trial patients and this is the first publication for this cohort of patients.

Results

There are 16 institutions participating in the fMMC registry, of which 12 have actively entered patient data. In this registry, 1166 patients are included who have undergone evaluation and 693 (59.4%) women ultimately underwent OMFS for fMMC closure. Among those that completed a pregnancy complicated by OMFS, 60 women reported 77 subsequent pregnancies. The demographic characteristics of this group is described in Table 1. Forty-five women (75.0%)

TABLE 1
Demographics of 48 women reporting 62 pregnancies following open maternal-fetal surgery for myelomeningocele, complete data

Demographics	
BMI	25.6 (22.8–27.5)
Maternal age (years) at fetal surgery pregnancy	27.5 (24.0–30.0)
Primiparous at fetal surgery pregnancy	32 (66.7)
Ethnicity	
White	42 (87.5)
Other	1 (2.1)
Hispanic	5 (10.4)
EGA delivery MMC pregnancy (weeks)	35.4 (31.4–37.0)
Incision status at MMC delivery	
Thin	12 (25.0)
Dehiscence	4 (8.3)
Intact	29 (60.4)

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range). Percent may not equal 100 owing to missing outcome.

BMI, body mass index; EGA, estimated gestational age; MMC, myelomeningocele.

Goodnight et al. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after fetal surgery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019.

reported 1 subsequent pregnancy, 13 women (21.7%) reported 2 subsequent pregnancies, and 2 women (3.3%) reported 3 subsequent pregnancies. All pregnancies currently reported in the registry are singleton gestations. Of the women with reported subsequent pregnancies, only 1 reported any additional uterine surgery prior to OMFS. Of the 77 reported pregnancies, 15 are ongoing or missing outcome data, leaving 62 pregnancies for analysis (Figure). Spontaneous pregnancy loss prior to 20 weeks occurred in 10 patients (13.0%), with median gestational age of pregnancy loss of 10.0 (5.9–11.0) weeks; no elective terminations of pregnancy were reported. The only major fetal anomaly noted in the subsequent pregnancies was 1 recurrent open neural tube defect. In all pregnancies reported in these data, the uterine stapler was used to create the hysterotomy at the time of the fetal surgery.


The outcomes of the 52 pregnancies that progressed beyond 20 weeks EGA are described in Table 2. Among the pregnancies progressing beyond 20 weeks, the median EGA at delivery was 37 (36.3–37) weeks. The live-born rate among pregnancies progressing beyond

20 weeks EGA is 96.2% (50/52), and is 80.6% (50/62) for the entire cohort. All pregnancies were delivered by cesarean delivery; 4 (7.7%) were by classical hysterotomy and the remainder reported delivery via low segment transverse incision. Gestational diabetes was reported in 1 pregnancy and no cases of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy were reported.

Uterine rupture occurred in 5 (9.6%) subsequent pregnancies, all in a first pregnancy following OMFS. The median gestational age at time of uterine rupture was 28.0 (26.0–31.5) weeks, with uterine ruptures noted at 26, 26, 28, 31, and 32 weeks EGA. Two (40%) of the uterine rupture cases (26 weeks, 31 weeks 6 days) resulted in fetal death (fetal death prevalence 3.8%), while a live-born neonate was delivered in the other 3 cases. Interdelivery interval, the status of the hysterotomy at the time of delivery of the fetal surgery pregnancy, and gestational age of delivery of the fetal surgery pregnancy were not associated with uterine rupture (Table 3). There was no consistent location of the uterine hysterotomy at the time of OMFS in the subsequent pregnancies that were complicated by uterine rupture, with

FIGURE

Pregnancy subsequent to open maternal-fetal surgery for fetal myelomeningocele



EGA, estimated gestational age; MMC, myelomeningocele.

Goodnight et al. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after fetal surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019.

OMFS incision sites described as posterior fundal (2), posterior transverse (2), and anterior fundal (1) incisions. In an additional 9 pregnancies (17.3%) the prior fetal surgery hysterotomies at the time of subsequent delivery were described as thin or as a dehiscence, but were asymptomatic. Placenta previa was noted in 1 subsequent pregnancy and placenta accreta was reported in 1 additional subsequent pregnancy, none requiring hysterectomy. Maternal blood transfusion at delivery was reported in 4 subsequent pregnancies (7.7%), and no other maternal complications or maternal deaths were reported in this cohort.

Comment

Principal findings

The fMMC Consortium subsequent pregnancy outcome data suggest that in most cases, pregnancy following OMFS

for fMMC closure results in favorable maternal and fetal outcomes, with low rates of preterm birth prior to 32 weeks and a low rate of maternal morbidity, with a transfusion rate of 7% the only reported maternal morbidity. The risk of uterine rupture of 9.6%, with 2 uterine ruptures resulting in fetal death of potentially viable gestations, deserves attention. These data are, to date, the largest cohort of subsequent pregnancy outcomes among women undergoing OMFS for fMMC.

Clinical implications

Complications of the hysterotomy incision is not an unanticipated problem among those familiar with OMFS, and several approaches to reduce the morbidity associated with uterine rupture have been suggested. The ideal duration for optimal healing of the fetal surgery hysterotomy incision is

unknown. Data from trial of labor after cesarean delivery studies suggest that interdelivery intervals less than 18 months are associated with a 3-fold increased risk of uterine rupture (odds ratio 3.0, 95% confidence interval 1.3,7.2),^{10,11} whereas intervals between 18 and 24 months or >24 months are not associated with increased risk of uterine rupture. These data are predominantly applied in decision making regarding attempted vaginal delivery after prior cesarean and, given that the uterine incision in OMFS more approximates that of a classical cesarean hysterotomy, trial of labor in subsequent pregnancy is not recommended. No other data exist to address optimal interdelivery interval for hysterotomy healing. Thus, based on this prior cesarean data, many fetal programs recommend an interdelivery interval of >24 months in women who desire

subsequent pregnancy after OFMS to reduce the risk of uterine incision complications.

Given the known risk of uterine rupture following OFMS, which could be compared to a prior classical cesarean delivery, elective delivery is recommended by most fetal surgery programs at 36–37 weeks to reduce the risk of uterine rupture associated with labor^{12,13} while balancing the risks of iatrogenic prematurity; however, the rate of uterine rupture prior to labor requires additional investigation. Prior OMFS is thus considered an indication for late preterm/early term delivery, with potential fetal risks outweighing the benefits of delayed delivery to term (39 weeks 0 days).¹⁴ Finally, given the risk of uterine rupture following OMFS for fMMC closure, a high index of suspicion for uterine incision complications should be considered in such patients presenting with preterm labor symptoms, vaginal bleeding in pregnancy, or uterine/abdominal pain; and close, likely inpatient, observation is warranted.

As more experience with fetal surgery for MMC is gained, attention to the initial procedure technique may ameliorate future pregnancy risk. Variable approaches to both surgical technique for fetal surgery, such as minimally invasive procedures or alternative hysterotomy closure technique, may result in reduced rates of uterine incision complications.¹⁵ Fetoscopic closure of MMC has demonstrated feasibility and the smaller incisions on the uterus may allow vaginal delivery, which should also translate to lower maternal risk in subsequent pregnancies.^{16–18} As the number of fetoscopic procedures remains limited, long-term data on neonatal outcomes such as neurologic function, reversal of hindbrain herniation, cerebrospinal fluid leak, and need for reoperation are also more limited than in open fetal surgery. Thus, OMFS remains the most evidence-based approach for fMMC closure, given the published level 1 data.¹

In the United States 1645 babies are delivered annually with spina bifida.¹⁹ Fetal surgery has demonstrated significant reduction in the lifetime morbidity

TABLE 2

Subsequent pregnancy outcomes; pregnancies with complete outcome data (n = 52)

Outcome	
Interdelivery interval (years) 1st pregnancy (37)	3.2 (2.6–3.7)
Interdelivery interval (years) 2nd pregnancy (5)	4.6 (3.9–5.5)
Pregnancy loss <20 weeks	10 (13.0)
Live birth in pregnancies progressing >20 weeks EGA	50 (96.1)
Fetal death pregnancies >20 weeks EGA	2 (3.8)
Uterine rupture	5 (9.6)
Uterine incision dehiscence/thinning at delivery	9 (17.3)
Placenta previa	1 (1.9)
Placenta accreta	1 (1.9)
Gestational age at delivery 1st pregnancy	36.0 (36.1–37.0)
Preterm birth <32 weeks EGA 1st pregnancy	5 (9.6)
Gestational age at delivery 2nd pregnancy	37.3 (36.9–37.5)
Preterm birth <32 weeks EGA all subsequent pregnancies	5 (9.6)
Preterm labor	2 (3.8)
Preterm premature rupture of membranes	1 (1.9)
Cesarean delivery	
Low transverse cesarean	45 (86.5)
Classical	4 (7.7)
Maternal transfusion at delivery	4 (7.7)

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range).

EGA, estimated gestational age.

Goodnight et al. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after fetal surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019.

associated with MMC and thus clearly has established a role as a standard-of-care management option for these pregnancies.²⁰ As the availability of fetal surgery for fMMC closure increases, there will be an increasing proportion of women seeking care with subsequent pregnancies. Many of these pregnancies will receive care by providers with less experience in fetal surgery; thus, understanding the risks associated with these pregnancies is critical. Clear guidelines to the obstetric management of pregnancies subsequent to OMFS should be developed and widely distributed to obstetric providers. Such recommendations for care include the following: (1) collaborative care with a center with expertise in OMFS, both in the preconception period and during the subsequent pregnancy, which is likely beneficial to ensure up-to-date

pregnancy recommendations as more data are obtained; (2) discussion of the recommendations for interdelivery interval of >24 months and availability of contraception as indicated; (3) folic acid supplementation of 4 mg daily prior to conception; (4) prenatal screening for MMC using serum analytes or ultrasound; (5) frequent provider contact during the pregnancy to assess for symptoms of preterm labor or uterine rupture; and (6) planned delivery via cesarean at 36 0/7 to 37 6/7 weeks EGA.

Research implications

Given the limited detail of the pregnancy outcome data and small sample size in this cohort, more precise information in determination of risk factors for uterine rupture cannot be defined, nor is there sufficient information to determine if there were clinical signs that

TABLE 3

Characteristics of pregnancies complicated by uterine rupture (52 pregnancies progressed beyond 20 weeks estimated gestational age)

	Rupture (n = 5)	No rupture (n = 47)	P
Maternal age (years) at MMC pregnancy	30.0 (23.5–32.0)	28.0 (25–29)	.47
Parity at MMC pregnancy			.53
Primiparous	4 (100)	22 (62.9)	
≥1 prior pregnancy	0 (0)	13 (36.1)	
BMI at MMC pregnancy	23.8 (22.6–25.8)	26.5 (22.8–29.9)	.25
Prior uterine surgery	0 (0)	2 (5)	.85
Location of MMC hysterotomy			.75
Anterior fundal	1 (20)	9 (22.5)	
Posterior fundal	2 (40)	13 (32.5)	
Other	2 (40)	11 (27.5)	
Interdelivery interval (years)	3.6 (3.0–4.2)	2.9 (2.4–3.5)	.43
MMC incision thin or dehiscence at delivery of fetal surgery pregnancy	1 (20%)	10 (21.3%)	.52
EGA MMC delivery (weeks)	33.0 (26.0–36.8)	34.7 (31.9–37.0)	.30

Data are n (%) or median (interquartile range).

BMI, body mass index; EGA, estimated gestational age; MMC, myelomeningocele.

Goodnight et al. Subsequent pregnancy outcomes after fetal surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019.

could allow intervention to prevent the fetal deaths. Additionally, alternative uterine entry and closure techniques, including hysteroscopic fMMC repair, may influence subsequent pregnancy outcomes and uterine rupture risk. Thus, additional research into direct comparison of these techniques, including comparison of neonatal and childhood outcomes based on fMMC repair technique, are needed to ensure that the fetal repair techniques result in comparable outcomes, as well as maternal outcomes. Centers performing fMMC repair of any type should be encouraged to participate in registries such as the fMMC Consortium registry to contribute to a more robust patient population and thus allow such comparisons to be available. Given the significance of these data and areas of detail that require additional exploration, expanded research funding into the outcomes of these pregnancies should be prioritized, including extramural funding to support national and international registries such as the fMMC Consortium registry.

Strengths and weaknesses

This study does have several strengths and limitations. This registry does not include data on intended fertility following OMFS for fMMC closure; thus, fertility rates and risk of infertility following open fetal surgery are not able to be estimated in this cohort. Limited data have not suggested an association between OMFS and infertility⁴; however, this is an area worthy of additional investigation. Additionally, the sample size is insufficient to evaluate the risk of abnormally adherent placenta. Increasing numbers of cesarean deliveries are associated with increased risk of placenta previa/accrete.²¹ Given that fetal surgery is associated with 2 uterine incisions (fetal surgery and delivery) during the affected pregnancy, open fetal surgery potentially poses a similar increased risk of morbidly adherent placenta in subsequent pregnancies. The subsequent pregnancy outcome data are obtained by study coordinator—initiated survey, with backup medical record data review as is available. The patient report of these data limits the degree of detail

available, which may limit the conclusions made from this cohort. Finally, many patients in this registry may have unreported pregnancies owing to loss to follow-up with the study institutions. Lack of inclusion of these pregnancies can affect the precision of the estimates of the reported outcomes; however, the data in this cohort are consistent both with prior reported data following OMFS and with data reported from pregnancy outcomes following classical cesarean deliveries. Finally, the population racial and ethnic diversity in this data set is limited, although similar to the MOMS cohort. This could lead to a potential lack of generalizability and inability to determine ethnic factors associated with pregnancy outcomes, and should prompt investigation into availability of OMFS for diverse populations.

Despite these limitations, this cohort represents the largest group to date of subsequent pregnancy outcomes following OMFS for fMMC closure, providing a reliable estimate of pregnancy outcomes, and thus is critical information to allow families and providers to participate in shared decision making as they consider open fetal surgery for MMC.

Conclusion

While most pregnancies subsequent to OMFS result in good maternal and neonatal outcomes, with early pregnancy loss rates comparable to the general population²² and live birth among pregnancies progressing beyond 20 weeks of 96%, the risk of uterine rupture or dehiscence with associated potential for fetal morbidity is significant and is similar to that of prior classical uterine incisions.³ Preoperative counseling for patients considering maternal-fetal surgery for fMMC closure should include not only the benefits for reduction in MMC morbidity for the neonate and child, but the associated maternal risks for the index pregnancy, as well as the potential additive risk of uterine incision complications in future pregnancies, allowing the family the opportunity to decline fetal surgery. Subsequent pregnancy management with appreciation

for these risks, such as delivery at 36–37 weeks gestational age and care in close collaboration with a center with expertise in OMFS, is recommended. Further investigation into fertility rates and abnormal placentation, as well as pregnancy outcomes with alternative uterine entry techniques for fetal surgery, is warranted. ■

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to recognize Karen Dorman, Research Coordinator, Maternal Fetal Medicine, and the Maya Lindley Center for Maternal and Infant Health, University of North Carolina – Chapel Hill for their assistance in the performance of this study.

References

- Adzick NS, Thom EA, Spong CY, et al. MOMS Investigators. A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364(11):993–1004.
- Landon MA, Lynch CD. Optimal timing and mode of delivery after cesarean with previous classical incision or myomectomy; a review of the data. *Semin Perinatol* 2011;35:257–61.
- Chauhan SP, Magann EF, Wiggs CD, Barrilleaux PS, Martin JN Jr. Pregnancy after classic cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002;100(5 Pt 1):946–50.
- Wilson RD, Lemerand K, Johnson MP, et al. Reproductive outcomes in subsequent pregnancies after a pregnancy complicated by open maternal-fetal surgery (1996–2007). *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010;203(3):209.e1–6.
- Zamora IJ, Ethun CG, Evans LM, et al. Maternal morbidity and reproductive outcomes related to fetal surgery. *J Pediatr Surg* 2013;48(5):951–5.
- Thom EA. Maternal reproductive outcomes after in-utero repair of myelomeningocele. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016;214(1 Suppl (Abstract 50)):36.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42(2):377–81.
- Moise KJ, Moldenhauer JS, Bennett KA, et al. Current selection criteria and perioperative therapy used for fetal myelomeningocele surgery. *Obstet Gynecol* 2016;127(3):593–7.
- Landon MB, Hauth JC, Leveno KJ, et al. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. Maternal and perinatal outcomes associated with a trial of labor after prior cesarean delivery. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351(25):2581–9.
- Shipp TD, Zelop CD, Repke JT, Cohen A, Lieberman E. Interdelivery interval and risk of symptomatic uterine rupture. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;92(2):175.
- Bujold E, Gauthier RJ. Risk of uterine rupture associated with an interpregnancy interval between 18 and 24 months. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;115(5):1003.
- Spong CY, Mercer BM, D’alton M, Kilpatrick S, Blackwell S, Saade G. Timing of indicated late-preterm and early-term birth. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;118:323.
- Medically indicated late-preterm and early-term deliveries. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 560. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;121:908–10.
- Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. *N Engl J Med* 2009;360:111–20.
- Zaretsky MV, Liechty KW, Galan HL, et al. Modified hysterotomy closure technique for open fetal surgery. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 2018;44(2):105–11.
- Kohn JR, Rao V, Sellner AA, et al. Management of labor and delivery after fetoscopic repair of an open neural tube defect. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;131:1062–8.
- Belfort MA, Whitehead WE, Shamshirsaz AA, et al. Fetoscopic open neural tube defect repair: development and refinement of a two-port, carbon dioxide insufflation technique. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017;129(4):734–43.
- Araujo Junior E, Eggink AJ, van den Dobbelen J, Martins WP, Oepkes D. Procedure-related complications of open vs endoscopic fetal surgery for treatment of spina bifida in an era of intrauterine myelomeningocele repair: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2016;48(2):151–60.
- Williams J, Mai CT, Mulinare J, et al. Updated estimates of neural tube defects prevented by mandatory folic acid fortification – United States, 1995–2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2015;64(1):1–5.
- Maternal–fetal surgery for myelomeningocele. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 720. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017;130:164–7.
- Silver RM, Landon MB, Rouse DJ, et al. Maternal morbidity associated with multiple repeat cesarean deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;107:1226.
- Early pregnancy loss. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 200. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;132:e197–207.

Author and article information

From the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC (Dr Goodnight); Yale University, New Haven, CT (Dr Bahtiyar); Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN (Dr Bennett); University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA (Dr Emery); Midwest Fetal Care Center, Children’s Hospital of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN (Dr Lillegard); St Louis University, St Louis, MO (Dr Fisher); University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA (Dr Goldstein and Dr Moon-Grady); Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA (Ms Jatres, Dr Moldenhauer, and Ms Waqar); Cincinnati Children’s Hospital, Cincinnati, OH (Dr Lim); Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX (Dr McCullough); Children’s Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland (Dr Moehrlen); Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (Dr Ruano); Cornell University, New York, NY (Dr Skupski); George Washington University, Bethesda, MD (Dr Thom); University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (Dr Treadwell); University of Texas Health Center, Houston, TX (Dr Tsao); Children’s Hospital of Wisconsin Fetal Concerns Center, Milwaukee, WI (Dr Wagner); Colorado Fetal Care Center, Denver, CO (Dr Zaretsky)

Received Nov. 10, 2018; revised March 8, 2019; accepted March 11, 2019.

The North American Fetal Therapy Network and the fMMC Consortium are supported through funding by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (5R131HD059293-05).

Corresponding author: William Goodnight, MD, MSCR. william_goodnight@med.unc.edu