



Reliability of a wearable sweat rate monitor and routine sweat analysis techniques under heat stress in females



Rebecca Relf^{a,*}, Ashley Willmott^a, Melanie S. Flint^{b,c}, Louisa Beale^a, Neil Maxwell^a

^a Environmental Extremes Laboratory, University of Brighton, Eastbourne BN20 7SR, UK

^b Cancer Stress Laboratory, University of Brighton, Moulsecoomb BN24GJ, UK

^c Centre for Stress and Age-Related Disease, Brighton, East Sussex, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Sweat analysis
Heat stress
Exercise
Reliability
Females

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of the study was to evaluate the reliability of five different sweat analysis techniques which measure; whole body sweat rate [WBSR], local sweat rate [LSR] (via technical absorbent [TA] method and KuduSmart® monitor), sweat conductivity [SC] and sweat gland activation [SGA] in a female population when exercising moderately under heat stress.

Methods: Fourteen females (age; 26 ± 7 years, body mass; 66.5 ± 7.6 kg, height; 167.1 ± 6.4 cm) completed a preliminary threshold walking test (to determine exercise intensity) and two main trials, separated by 2 days. Main trials consisted of 30-min seated rest in the environmental chamber (35°C , 50% relative humidity) in an upper body sauna-suit, before its removal, and walking at a moderate intensity (4 metabolic equivalents) for 30-min (speeds ranged from 4.8 to 6.5 km h⁻¹). WBSR was measured via nude mass pre and post exercise. The TA and Tegaderm patches (for sweat sodium chloride) were placed on the back, forearm and chest for the entire 60-min, replicated for all participants for both trials. SGA was assessed following the 60-min trial and the KuduSmart® monitor was placed on the left arm for the 30-min of exercise.

Results: WBSR, LSR methods and SC demonstrated no difference between trials ($p > 0.05$), good agreement (within limits), strong correlations ($r \geq 0.88$) and low typical error of measurements [TEM] (< 0.04 L min⁻¹, 0.13 mg min⁻¹ cm⁻² and 8 mmol L⁻¹, respectively). SGA method showed moderate intra-class correlation ($r = 0.80$), with high TEM (5 glands) and large limits of agreement.

Conclusion: Sudomotor function is reliable, as demonstrated by good reliability, small TEM and strong correlations. The use of these sweat techniques is appropriate and practical in females who are exercising at moderate intensity under heat stress, and so, may aid future interventions. SGA shows larger variation and should be used with caution.

1. Introduction

Heat tolerance describes the variations in thermoregulatory and sudomotor function to heat stress (Epstein, 1990). During exercise and with additional heat stress, the production and subsequent evaporation of sweat is vital for effective human temperature regulation (Smith and Havenith, 2011). As a result, measurements of sudomotor function, particularly local and whole body sweat rate (WBSR), are commonly used to assess thermoregulatory function across a range of environmental conditions (e.g. heat and humidity), interventions (e.g. heat acclimation) and populations (e.g. clinical – multiple sclerosis) (Morris et al., 2013). Havenith et al. (2008) reported no statistical differences in the sweat rate of anatomical positions between sexes, however strong trends were identified (whole body loss; females; 975 g vs. males;

1171 g). Although sweat analysis techniques have been used in reliability studies encompassing female participants, they have been underpowered with the ratio of males used (Goulet et al., 2017 [11 men, 3 women]; Gagnon and Kenny, 2012 [8 men, 8 women]; Kenefick et al., 2012 [8 men, 2 women]; Shirreffs and Maughan, 1997 [undefined participants]). Therefore, these studies alone cannot identify any differences in sex accurately, which may affect interventions used in a female population and so warrants investigation in a solely female population.

Humans have a substantial and flexible capacity for the active secretion of sweat (Taylor and Machado-Moreira, 2013), which can be assessed using whole-body techniques or methods localised to specific anatomical locations. One of the most accurate, simplest and cost effective methods of measuring WBSR is via changes in nude body mass

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: R.Relf@brighton.ac.uk (R. Relf).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.12.019>

Received 5 September 2018; Received in revised form 17 December 2018; Accepted 17 December 2018

Available online 18 December 2018

0306-4565/ Crown Copyright © 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

(NBM) (Sawka et al., 2007). Furthermore, local sweat measures may offer a suitable alternative to establish regional sweat rates and assess electrolyte concentrations, especially in field situations (Baker, 2017) or if a greater understanding of sudomotor function is required for clinical, occupation or athletic purposes. There is a vast array of methods to assess local sweat rate (LSR), currently the most commonly cited technique is the ventilated capsule (VC) (Morris et al., 2013). Although highly reliable (coefficient of variation (CV) = 2%, (Kenefick et al., 2012)), capsules cover only < 0.02% of the body's surface area and so, a tendency towards other absorbency techniques have been utilised for better representation of local and WBSR (Havenith et al., 2008). Morris et al. (2013) assessed the accuracy and reliability of the technical absorbent (TA) method compared to the VC method among 40 cyclists. LSR values for the TA were highly correlated ($r > 0.9$) with the VC method across exercise time, anatomical position and sample surface area. The TA method requires 20-min of steady-state exercise and sweating for accurate correlative analysis (Baker, 2017), and is a reliable, practical and cost-effective alternative.

With profuse sweating, electrolytes are lost and may disrupt fluid balance. This disruption, especially whilst exercising in heat stress, may increase the risk of heat-related illnesses (Coris et al., 2004). A reduction in sodium chloride ($\text{Na}^+ \text{Cl}^-$), providing more dilute sweat, attenuates the development of hyponatremia (Sawka and Montain, 2000). Sweat conductivity (SC), expressing composition from $\text{Na}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ molarity, was first measured by the 'gold standard' whole body wash down technique. However, the Sweat-Chek™ system, which is portable, fast and user friendly, is commonly used and indicates good reliability in males (Hammond et al., 1994). The Sweat-Chek™ system has been reinforced as a reliable tool with variations of samples being 11 mmol L^{-1} for $\text{Na}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ after 60-min of exercise (Boisvert and Candas, 1994). We are aware that females have a different temporal pattern to males (Jessica A. Mee et al., 2015; J.A. Mee et al., 2015) and therefore during interventions, such as heat acclimation, it is more difficult to accurately assess meaningful and statistical changes when reliability of these measurements is unknown in females.

With increasing advancements in wearable technology, developments in sensors for non-invasive and continuous analyte monitoring have been developed (Peake et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2017). These developments and wearable SMART monitors enable a wide range of personalised diagnostic and physiological monitoring applications for health and exercise (Gao et al., 2016). With the delayed response in sweating after the onset of physical activity, wearable monitors that can analyse LSR and conductivity metrics in real-time are helpful for healthcare practitioners, nutritionists, physiologists and coaches for exercise and training purposes. For LSR, a few prototypes have been developed and utilised, which are described in the review by Gao et al. (2017), however, the reliability of these monitors remains unknown. A new wearable KuduSmart® monitor has been developed by Crossbridge Scientific Ltd., UK that provides the user with real-time information on LSR. However, no empirical data on this wearable KuduSmart® monitor exists to evaluate its reliability and this is required before its widespread-use, especially considering only 5% of the available technology has been formally validated (Koh et al., 2016; Peake et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the standard technique for assessing the number of sweat glands (SGA) is advocated by Gagnon et al. (2012) utilising the modified iodine technique. SGA methods are found to be accurate and reliable against computer software and manual counts, with a moderately low CV ($11 \pm 10\%$), mean bias of 3 ± 29 glands cm^2 and high correlation ($r = 0.88$) between tests. Whilst this method has been endorsed for use under experimental laboratory and field conditions, it has solely been used in a male population and thus further investigation is required for the female population.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the reliability of a new wearable sweat rate monitor and an array of the most commonly cited sweat analysis techniques (WBSR, LSR, SGA and SC) between trials in females. It was hypothesised that each method of sudomotor function

would show at least 3 out of 4 of the following criteria to be deemed reliable; good agreement, as demonstrated by acceptable limits of agreement [LoA] ($> 90\%$), a low absolute TEM ($< 0.2 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, 0.2 L h^{-1} , 10 mmol L^{-1} or 3 glands cm^2) or CV ($< 10\%$), strong intra-class correlation reliability (ICC > 0.9) and no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between trials.

2. Methodology

2.1. Participants

Following the approval of the experimental design by the Institution's Ethics Committee, written informed consent was obtained from 14 females (mean \pm standard deviation [SD], age; 26 ± 7 years, body mass; 66.5 ± 7.6 kg, height; 167.1 ± 6.4 cm and body surface area [BSA]; $1.75 \pm 0.12 \text{ kg m}^2$). Participants had no prior health issues (screened by medical questionnaire), had not undergone specific heat acclimation within the last two months, were normotensive and non-smokers. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the revised Declaration of Helsinki (2013). Participants attended the laboratory on three separate occasions, taking place in the follicular phase of those females who were pre-menopausal. These timings were determined by self-reported menstrual cycle questionnaires. No verification of 17β -estradiol and progesterone concentrations were performed, however, the experimental session timings were determined optimal by Stachenfeld and Taylor (2014) and reinforced by hormone analysis in past studies performed alongside the self-reported questionnaire (Jessica A. Mee et al., 2015; J.A. Mee et al., 2015; Relf et al., 2017).

Participants abstained from strenuous exercise, alcohol and caffeine in the 24-h prior to testing. Participants consumed 500 mL of water 2-h prior to each trial to ensure adequate hydration levels (Sawka et al., 2007). All trials took place at the same time of day and outside of the summer months, to control for circadian rhythm (Kräuchi and Wirz-Justice, 1994) and additional natural heat load (Shapiro et al., 1981), respectively. Participants were asked to replicate dietary intake on the day of all trials, however this was not analysed nor recorded.

2.2. Experimental protocol and pre-trial preparation

Participants completed one preliminary trial and two main trials, which all took place in an environmental chamber (TISS, Hampshire) set to 35°C , 50% relative humidity (RH). Anthropometric data was collected upon arrival; NBM was measured to the nearest 0.01 kg using digital scales (Adam, GFK 150, USA) and stature was measured using a stadiometer (Detecto, USA). On arrival to each trial, participants provided a fresh mid-flow urine sample. Euhydration was confirmed by the following criteria which was met (Sawka et al., 2007); urine osmolality (Uosm) $\leq 700 \text{ mOsm kg}^{-1}$ (Advanced Micro Osmometer 3300, Vitech Scientific Ltd., UK) and specific gravity (Usg) ≤ 1.020 (URC-Ne hand-held refractometer, ATAGO CO Ltd., Japan). Following this analysis, NBM was recorded from pre and post exercise, with differences used to determine non-urine fluid loss (e.g. WBSR).

2.3. Preliminary test

The pre-programmed walking ventilatory threshold protocol was standardised for all participants to determine the exercise intensity for the main trials. It consisted of seven stages, which began at 3.5 km h^{-1} and increased every 3-min by 0.5 km h^{-1} , at 1% gradient (Jones and Doust, 1996) on a treadmill. Rectal core temperature (T_{re}), heart rate (HR), ratings of perceived exertion (RPE), thermal sensation (TS), local thermal sensation limited to the perception of the torso only (LTS), and thermal comfort (TC) were recorded at the cessation of each 3-min stage. At 2-min into each stage, 45-s of expired air was collected using open-circuit spirometry, and analysed using a gas analyser (Servomex

International Ltd., UK). A two-point calibration was undertaken using a mixture of gases and pre-determined oxygen and carbon dioxide percentages (15% and 5%, respectively) (BOC, UK) prior to every trial. The temperatures and volumes of the gases were acquired using a dry gas flow meter (Harvard Apparatus Ltd., UK) and a fixed flow pump model Dymax 30 (Charles Austin Pumps Ltd., UK).

2.4. Metabolic equivalent (MET) calculation

One MET is defined as the amount of oxygen consumed while sitting at rest and is equal to $3.5 \text{ mL kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ (Jette et al., 1990). The energy cost of an activity can be determined by dividing the relative oxygen cost of the activity ($\text{mL kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$) by 3.5. METs are a simple, practical and easily understood exercise intensity prescription method. For the two main trials, 4 METs was prescribed to replicate a moderate intensity of exercise for females (2.8–4.3 METs) (Jette et al., 1990; Park et al., 2014).

2.5. Main trials

The first 30-min required the participants to rest in a seated position within 35°C, 50%RH with a commercially available upper-body sauna suit jacket (Everlast, London, UK), to restrict evaporative heat loss, elevate physiological strain and therefore, ensure adequate sweat production for analysis (Willmott et al., 2018). This was then removed and participants began the 30-min walk at the predetermined speed replicating an intensity of 4 METs. The treadmill speed did not differ between the two main trials for each participant ($5.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ km h}^{-1}$).

2.6. Experimental measures

Participants were familiarised to perceptual scales prior to the trials; TS (0 *Unbearably cold* to + *Unbearably hot*) (Toner et al., 1986), RPE (6 = *Very, very light* to 20 = *Exhaustion*) (Borg, 1982) and TC (1 = *Very comfortable* to 6 = *Very uncomfortable*) (Guéritée and Tipton, 2015). A rectal probe (Henley, UK) was self-inserted 10 cm past the anal sphincter which provided continuous T_{re} measurement throughout trials. A HR monitor (Polar FT1, Polar Electro, Finland) was affixed to the chest. Skin temperature (T_{skin}) was recorded using skin thermistors (Eltek Ltd., Cambridge, UK) attached to four sites; the midpoint of the right pectoralis major (T_{chest}), midpoint of the right triceps brachii lateral head (T_{arm}), right rectus femoris ($T_{upper \text{ leg}}$) and right gastrocnemius lateral head ($T_{lower \text{ leg}}$), and connected to a temperature logger (Squirrel 1000 series, Eltek Ltd., UK). Mean skin temperature (T_{skin}) was calculated using the equation by Ramanathan (1964); $\text{mean } T_{skin} = (0.3 \times [T_{chest} + T_{arm}]) + (0.2 \times [T_{upper \text{ leg}} + T_{lower \text{ leg}}])$. Both physiological and perceptual measurements were taken at 5-min intervals throughout the rest and exercise periods of the main trials. Expired air was collected at three-time points during the 30-min walk (minutes 4–5, 14–15 and 24–25) to assess the accuracy of the MET prescription.

2.7. Sweat analysis methods

Five methods were analysed in this study; WBSR, LSR via the TA patches and the KuduSmart® monitor, SC via the Tegaderm absorbent patches and Sweat-Chek™ analysis and SGA through the modified iodine technique. SGA, Sweat-Chek™ and TA methods were assessed at 60-min. Both Tegaderm and TA patches were placed on the dorsal surface and standardised for all participants for both main trials for the;

Arm; TA patch (Technical absorbents, Grimsby, UK) applied 2 cm below the antecubital fossa and the Tegaderm (Tegaderm™ + Pad, 3M Health Care, St Paul, MN, USA) placed exactly 1 cm below.

Chest; TA patch placed on the left side of the chest 1 cm below the clavicle, and with 4 cm separating the Tegaderm on the right side, also 1 cm below the clavicle.

Lower back; TA placed on the right side of the vertebral column, in line with the superior of the iliac crest, 5 cm lateral of the vertebral column. The Tegaderm was then placed 10 cm to the left of the TA.

2.7.1. TA method

The absorbent patches were cut to $8 \times 4 \text{ cm}$ and zinc oxide tape was applied to the outside. Prior to experimentation each patch was weighed with the zinc oxide tape in a separate impermeable plastic Ziploc bag to the nearest 0.1 mg using weighing scales (PS-60 II, Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, UK). The patches were held in place on the three sites by means of the zinc oxide tape for the whole 60-min trial and weighed immediately post in its original plastic bag. LSR was calculated using the difference between pre and post mass, divided by the surface area of the patch (i.e. 32 cm^2) and the duration of application 60 min, yielding values in $\text{mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

2.7.2. KuduSmart®

The KuduSmart® monitor (Crossbridge Scientific Ltd., UK) was turned on and placed in the chamber for 10-min prior to collection for stabilisation and assessment of the offset number for future analysis. It was then placed, after the removal of the sauna suit, on the left arm, 6 cm above the antecubital fossa for the duration of the 30-min walk. The tightness of the strap (how many holes remained) was recorded and replicated for both trials (Fig. 1). During analysis, an average of the 30-min Kudu score was taken, multiplied by the conversion score and minus the individual offset (~ 0.4) to give LSR ($[\text{Kudu score} - \text{offset}] \times 0.18$), yielding values in $\text{mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

2.7.3. SC method

Absorbent sweat patches of size $5 \times 7 \text{ cm}$ were applied for the 60-min trial period. The Tegaderm was then removed with gloves and placed into a 10 mL BD plastipak syringe (Becton & Dickinson UK, Oxford, UK). The Sweat-Chek™, sweat conductivity analyser (Wescor Biomedical Systems, Utah, USA) was calibrated with distilled cold water according to the manufacturer guidelines. The patch filled syringe was the pressed through the Sweat-Chek™ system, which has a range of $0\text{--}150 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$ and all values were reported in mmol L^{-1} .

2.7.4. SGA method

At 60-min, the number of activated sweat glands was assessed via the modified iodine technique (Gagnon et al., 2012). From pilot work in our laboratories, the amount of iodine placed in Tupperware boxes for the 48-h saturation was 0.4 g.

2.8. Statistical analyses

Prior to experimentation, *a priori* sample size was determined using G*Power software (v3.1) (Prajapati et al., 2010) from published mean,



Fig. 1. The KuduSmart® wearable monitor and tablet indicating real time data, as produced by Crossbridge Scientific Ltd., UK.

SD and effect size data from similar experimental designs (e.g. Gagnon et al., 2012; Jessica A. Mee et al., 2015; J.A. Mee et al., 2015, Willmott et al., 2015; Relf et al., 2017) and our own research studies (Gibson et al., 2015; Jessica A. Mee et al., 2015; J.A. Mee et al., 2015; Willmott et al., 2015, 2015, 2016, 2018). The subsequent number of participants required was based upon alpha (α : 0.05) and beta (β : 0.80) levels to ensure sufficient power. All data were first checked for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk method. Sweat analysis measures during the two main trials were examined using a battery of reliability statistics (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). To identify differences between main trials for the sudomotor measures, a paired samples T-test was conducted. Effect size (d_e) was categorised as small (0.2), medium (0.5) and large (0.8) (Cohen, 1988). TEM was calculated ($TEM = SD(\text{diff})/\sqrt{2}$) and was expressed as a percentage of its respective mean to form the CV (%). Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated as a measure of retest correlation between the two main trials using an absolute agreement, two-way mixed effects model. An ICC value of 0.7–0.8 was defined as moderate correlation, with > 0.9 as high and < 0.7 as low. Bland-Altman plots with 95% LoA were created using Microsoft Excel (2013) to indicate individual differences between trials plotted in relation to respective individual means (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). All data was analysed using a standard statistical package (SPSS version 20.0) and reported as mean \pm SD. Statistical significance was accepted at the level of $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

Participants were in a similar resting physiological state for both trials, with no differences in Uosm (122 ± 90 vs. 221 ± 200 mOsm kg^{-1} , $p = 0.08$), NBM (65.98 ± 7.58 vs. 66.02 ± 7.76 kg, $p = 0.25$), T_{re} (37.51 ± 0.34 vs. 37.44 ± 0.45 °C, $p = 0.41$) or HR (75 ± 12 vs. 73 ± 9 beats min^{-1} , $p = 0.33$). The average exercise intensity as expressed by METs for both trials, did not differ between trials (4.00 ± 0.44 vs. 3.93 ± 0.52 METs, $p = 0.25$). There was no difference in resting or exercising T_{re} and mean T_{skin} , $p > 0.05$ (Table 1). Reliability data and statistics are presented in Table 2.

3.1. Whole body sweat rate (WBSR)

There was no difference for WBSR between trials (Table 1); with low variability displayed and all data remained within LoA, as reinforced in Fig. 2.

3.2. Local sweat rate (LSR)

There were no differences between the LSR in any of the three sites tested between trials, nor for the KuduSmart® monitor (Table 1). All sites and monitor displayed good LoA (Fig. 3) and low TEMs.

3.3. Sweat conductivity (SC)

There were no differences between the SC on either of the three sites tested and strong agreement and ICC of the data between trials (Table 1). Fig. 4 highlights mean bias and LoA for all sites.

Table 1

Resting and exercising T_{re} and mean T_{skin} data for all participants.

	T_{re} (°C)		Mean T_{skin} (°C)	
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2
REST	37.37 ± 0.10	37.30 ± 0.10	34.91 ± 0.72	34.85 ± 0.78
EXERCISE	37.59 ± 0.17	37.59 ± 0.19	35.91 ± 0.31	36.15 ± 0.24

3.4. Sweat gland activation (SGA)

There was no difference between number of active sweat glands between trials. However, there was moderate variability presented (Table 1) and larger LoA (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Overview

This is the first study to examine the reliability of a wearable sweat rate monitor and other routinely used sweat analysis techniques when exercising under heat stress in a female population. In agreement with our hypothesis, the majority of the sweat analysis techniques assessed displayed good reliability with no differences between trials, strong correlations, low typical error and were within the LoA. This has important implications, ensuring these techniques are appropriate and practical when evaluating interventions in females. All participants were tested in the early follicular phase of the cycle (day 0–10) due to evidence displaying that luteal phase is associated with a delayed onset of sweating threshold (~ 0.3 °C) and vasodilation and also a higher resting core temperature (~ 0.3 – 0.6 °C) (Pivarnik et al., 1992; Marsh and Jenkins, 2002).

Using a battery of absolute and relative reliability statistics provides an overall view of the robustness of each measurement. When making conclusions from the results found it is important to note that the interpretation of CV (%) is typically classified as ‘good’ if CV is $< 5\%$ in most reliability literature. However, the CV is limited in interpretation, whereby a larger CV % may be observed when investigating a small sample size and, or low magnitudes in the measured values (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998). Therefore, the absolute measure of TEM offers a more meaningful indicator of reliability for sweat analysis where the data are smaller (Willmott et al., 2018), and as such each variable had to coincide with 3 out of 4 of the reliability measures to be determined as reliable. Acknowledging the different types of variation and reliability, the quantification of random biological and methodological noise in sweating techniques was exhibited, even after controlling for pre-analytical factors (e.g. menstrual cycle, time of day and season) (Fraser, 2001; Kenefick et al., 2012).

4.2. WBSR

Findings from this study determined the TEM (CV) for WBSR, as measured by NBM to be 0.04 L h^{-1} (10.2%), when exercising moderately (4 METs) at the same relative intensity and duration on both trials. Previous studies have found lower CVs of 4.7% after 3 bouts of 20-min cycling in males (Brokenshire et al., 2009; Hayden et al., 2004), but similar findings in our laboratory with a TEM (CV) of 0.09 L h^{-1} (8.5%) in males (Willmott et al., 2015). Predefined limits for interventions to show meaningful changes for WBSR have been set to 0.2 L h^{-1} (Willmott et al., 2017; Neal et al., 2015) and so this study shows the error to be well within these limits and therefore, reliable in females to determine changes following interventions (e.g. heat acclimation). Moreover, all data fell within the LoA and there were strong correlations found between trials (ICC = 0.939), this is similar to the findings of J.A. Mee et al. (2015) and Jessica A. Mee et al. (2015); with an ICC of 0.95 and CV of 9% in the female population assessed. Finally, it is important to note the possibility of human error occurring in the efficiency and extent of towel drying prior to nude weighing post trials on each occasion, which may increase variability within the data.

4.3. LSR

LSR was evaluated via the TA technique, which has been identified as a reliable, cost-effective and practical alternative compared to the gold standard hygrometry VC technique (Morris et al., 2013). Previous

Table 2

Summary of sweat analysis techniques [WBSR = whole body sweat rate, LSR = local sweat rate, SC = sweat conductivity and SGA = sweat gland activation].

	Trial 1	Trial 2	Mean bias	95% LoA	p	d_z	ICC	TEM	CV%
WBSR ($L \cdot h^{-1}$)	0.42 ± 0.12	0.39 ± 0.14	0.03	(- 0.09, 0.14)	0.09	0.49	0.94	0.04	10.2
LSR - back ($mg \cdot min^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}$)	0.63 ± 0.3	0.66 ± 0.38	- 0.03	(- 0.40, 0.33)	0.51	0.18	0.94	0.13	20.5
LSR - chest ($mg \cdot min^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}$)	0.69 ± 0.39	0.72 ± 0.46	- 0.03	(- 0.39, 0.33)	0.56	0.17	0.95	0.13	18.6
LSR - arm ($mg \cdot min^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}$)	0.32 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.13	- 0.03	(- 0.14, 0.09)	0.11	0.46	0.93	0.04	12.6
KuduSmart® ($mg \cdot min^{-1} \cdot cm^{-2}$)	0.61 ± 0.19	0.58 ± 0.16	0.03	(- 0.19, 0.25)	0.35	0.37	0.88	0.08	13.5
SC - back ($mmol \cdot L^{-1}$)	51 ± 17	50 ± 13	0.64	(- 20.82, 22.09)	0.85	0.06	0.86	8	15.4
SC - chest ($mmol \cdot L^{-1}$)	57 ± 20	57 ± 21	- 0.5	(- 12.63, 11.63)	0.80	0.08	0.98	4	7.3
SC - arm ($mmol \cdot L^{-1}$)	43 ± 6	45 ± 8	- 2	(- 13.23, 9.23)	0.43	0.38	0.8	4	9.3
SGA (glands cm^2)	23 ± 7	23 ± 10	- 0.26	(- 14, 14)	0.94	0.04	0.8	5	22.0

95% LoA = limits of agreement, ICC = intra class correlation, TEM = typical error of measurement, CV = coefficient of variation as a percentage.




Fig. 2. Bland-Altman plot for the individual difference in whole body sweat rate (WBSR). The solid lines represent the mean bias and dashed lines represent the 95% limits of agreement.

literature has concluded that the sweat rate on the extremities is lower than that of the trunk due to reductions in skin blood flow (Havenith et al., 2008), which was also reinforced by this study on both trials, as forearm LSR was lower (Trial 1 and 2; 0.32 ± 0.10 and $0.35 \pm 0.13 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) than the back (0.63 ± 0.37 and

$0.66 \pm 0.37 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and chest (0.69 ± 0.39 and $0.72 \pm 0.46 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$).

Morris et al. (2013) assessed the reliability of the TA method under similar conditions (~ 33 °C, 30% RH) with 38 male and 4 female participants cycling at 60% of peak oxygen consumption for 75-min, with 5-min LSR measurements after 10, 30, 50 and 70-min. Absolute LSRs were lower in the current study compared to Morris et al. (2013), for both arm (0.44 at 5 min vs. $0.98 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 70 min) and back (0.56 at 10 min vs. $1.12 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 70 min), which may be due to the majority of the sample used being males (38 out of 42) compared to the all-female current study. However, duplicate samples showed similar correlations ($r = 0.96$ by Morris et al. (2013), and arm; $r = 0.93$, back; $r = 0.94$, chest; $r = 0.95$ for our study). Additionally, Morris et al. (2013) demonstrated the mean bias ($\pm 95\%$ LoA) was $0.07 \pm 0.28 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ using the TA method with 100% of samples falling within the LoA. Moreover, a CV of 11% has been identified for LSR and 6.3% specifically for the forearm (Hayden et al., 2004). The present study identified a larger CV of 12.6% for LSR, although, this was lower (23.3%) than that presented by Kenefick et al. (2012), with differences in this study between trials being up to $\sim 0.3 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and without statistical differences, further




Fig. 3. Bland-Altman plots for the individual difference in local sweat rate (LSR) at the back (A), chest (B) forearm (C) and via the KuduSmart® wearable monitor (D). The solid lines represent the mean bias and dashed lines represent the 95% limits of agreement.




Fig. 4. Bland-Altman plots for the individual difference in sweat composition at the back (A) where n = 11, chest (B) where n = 11 and forearm (C) where n = 6. The solid lines represent the mean bias and dashed lines represent the 95% limits of agreement.




Fig. 5. Bland-Altman plot for the individual difference in sweat gland activation (SGA). The solid lines represent the mean bias and dashed lines represent the 95% limits of agreement.

reinforcing the results from our study. Furthermore, the smallest detectable difference was $0.12 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ using the TA method (Morris et al., 2013), which supports the TEM ranging from 0.04 to $0.13 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ for all sites in this study. Mean differences in LSR reported as a function of factors such as; heat acclimation ($2.0 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Patterson et al., 2004)), sex ($0.6 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Ichinose-Kuwahara et al., 2010)), and age ($0.75 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Dufour and Candas, 2007)), greatly surpass the statistically derived smallest detectable difference for all anatomical locations using the TA method in this study, and that of Morris et al. (2013).

It has been commonly noted for patches to be placed on the skin for 5-min to reduce the effect of hidromeiosis, as the lack of ventilation increases moisture accumulation on the skin and therefore progressively blocks sweat ducts and sweat suppression (Baker, 2017). However, pilot work for this study raised the challenge of lower sweat rates in females (Gagnon and Kenny, 2012) and insufficient sweat collection during application durations of < 30-min. Therefore, the patches were

applied for the entire 60-min, supported by applications of up to 90-min in field methodologies, with no significant differences found between times applied for (Duffield et al., 2012; Kilding et al., 2009). This study demonstrates lower absolute LSR from each of the three anatomical locations compared to aforementioned literature (Morris et al., 2013; Baker, 2017), which is in line with literature demonstrating that females have a lower regional sweat output at different anatomical locations (Havenith et al., 2008), identifying a significant trend (13% lower). Previous research has reported a reduction in sweat output in females to be due to reduced sweat output per gland (Sato and Dobson, 1970) and a lower thermosensitivity of the evaporative heat loss response (Gagnon and Kenny, 2011).

4.4. KuduSmart® monitor for LSR

This is the first study to identify the reliability of the newly designed KuduSmart® wearable monitor to assess LSR. This SMART wearable monitor indicates moderate ICC correlation between data ($r = 0.88$) with a low TEM ($0.08 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), but not CV (13.5%) owing to the limitations of this statistical approach to low numerical data sets. The absolute TEM of the KuduSmart® is lower to that of the TA method found on both the chest and back site (0.08 vs. $0.13 \text{ mg min}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). Other consumer-wearable activity trackers have demonstrated similar correlations and reliability to the current monitor ($r = 0.8$); however, the range of confidence intervals was not as wide (0.61–0.96) as previous trackers (0.36–0.7) (Evenson et al., 2015) and therefore, indicates moderate to strong reliability. Further, this is reinforced by other activity based monitors that have been used in research that have declared similar moderate reliability (ICC range 0.60–0.8) (Welk et al., 2004) to findings of this study and so supports its use for research applications.

The larger absolute value and confidence intervals may be due to how the monitor is placed. Although the placement (e.g. 6 cm above the antecubital fossa on the left arm) and tightness (e.g. number of holes on the strap) is replicated the same for each participant, the relative

tightness of the strap from individual to individual may vary and so may alter LSR. This may also explain why the sweat rates are significantly larger compared to the TA method at the arm site (Table 1). The tightness of the strap to ensure the monitor remains in the same place throughout the trial may enhance the temperature of the skin, increasing LSR (Nadel et al., 1971). It may be useful observation for Crossbridge Scientific Ltd., UK and other leading wearable technology equipment to incorporate a temperature gage in the monitor to establish any differences in local T_{skin} , and by how much, to establish the mechanisms behind this slight over-estimation of LSR at this site. However, the latest wearable monitor that provides real-time information linked directly to a tablet, demonstrates good reliability for LSR is exciting for upcoming research. The monitor itself is easily applied and has practical benefits which make it more accessible and user friendly to all populations compared to other methods of sweat rate analysis commonly used within the literature (absorbent methods). Consequently, the validity should now be evaluated under both temperate and heat stress conditions to warrant world-wide use in future research studies and application in the field.

4.5. Sweat conductivity

The replacement of sweat Na^+ Cl^- losses plays an integral role in the restoration of fluid balance. Whilst the whole-body wash down protocol is the gold standard for assessing Na^+ Cl^- , the regional patch method is commonly used in the literature, especially when cycling protocols are not used (Baker et al., 2009). Maughan (1991) reported normal ranges of Na^+ Cl^- varying from 20 to 80 mmol L^{-1} . Findings from the current study report trunk samples present higher Na^+ Cl^- concentrations than those from limb sites (Dziedzic et al., 2014). Moreover, this current study demonstrates lower Na^+ Cl^- values for all sites compared to Dziedzic et al. (2014) when assessing trained male cyclists ($\sim 20 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$ less). These findings are in line with research that dictates sweat Na^+ Cl^- increases with sweat rate (Buono et al., 2018), therefore, the lower intensity of exercise and thus, lower sweat rates in this study are in line with lower Na^+ Cl^- (Maughan, 1991).

Reliability for all three sites was strong for both the chest and forearm measurements (ICC > 0.9, and TEM [CV] = 4 mmol L^{-1} [$< 10\%$]) which is consistent with measurements in our laboratories (unpublished findings); $r = 0.98$ and TEM (CV) of 2.4 mmol L^{-1} (3.1%) and other literature (11 mmol L^{-1} [14%] (Boisvert and Candas, 1994)). The back measurements showed moderate reliability ($r = 0.86$) with a TEM (CV %) of 8 mmol L^{-1} (15.4%), as indicated in Fig. 4. However, the study by Dziedzic et al. (2014), indicated non-significant changes of 8 mmol L^{-1} and therefore, the reliability and TEM in a female population is less than the change required for statistical significance.

The Sweat-Chek™ requires a sweat sample of $\sim 6\text{--}10 \mu\text{L}$ and is accurate to within 2 mmol L^{-1} . It must be noted that of the 14 participants, for 3 participants there was insufficient sweat produced for the machine to report a reading for both the back and chest sites, an observed finding in itself. Moreover, only 6/14 samples were collected for the forearm, demonstrating the Sweat-Chek™ may not be suitable for lower intensity exercise and, or the female population, whose overall sweat production has been demonstrated to be less than males (Havenith et al., 2008). It would be interesting to assess these measurements during the luteal phase of females, where research has demonstrated sweat rates to be elevated and therefore, complete samples for all sites may be achieved (Marsh and Jenkins, 2002). The chest is not a site typically cited in the literature for sudomotor function and thus comparisons are not possible for previous reliability. However, the novelty of investigating this measure on the chest site, as well as the commonly used forearm and back, gives opportunity for assessment of other diseased populations (e.g. breast cancer patients). The Sweat-Chek™ technique, to the author's knowledge, has not been investigated in females and so the sensitivity of this device should be assessed in future studies.

4.6. SGA

Physiologically active sweat glands are most frequently identified using colorimetry or plastic impression techniques (Taylor and Machado-Moreira, 2013). This study utilised a previously validated method for assessment of SGA via the modified iodine technique (Gagnon et al., 2012). It has been demonstrated for different quantities of sweat glands to be activated during different intensities in the heat, up to 150 glands cm^2 have been reported during moderate exercise in males and specifically $\sim 132 \pm 110$ glands cm^2 in the back in males (Taylor and Machado-Moreira, 2013).

During the experiment by Gagnon et al. (2012), it was indicated that the average SGA was 81 ± 30 glands cm^2 with a range of 35–137, whereas SGA was lower in this study's female population (23 ± 9 glands cm^2). It must be noted that the intensity and duration of exercise (e.g. $3 \times 30\text{-min}$ exercise periods at fixed metabolic heat production equal to 200, 250, and 300 W m^2) was higher in the male population of Gagnon et al. (2012) and may explain the higher amount of SGA. Gagnon et al. (2012) reported a TEM (CV) of 3 ± 29 glands cm^2 ($11 \pm 10\%$), with an associated relationship ($r = 0.88$) which is lower than the findings of the current study (Table 1). However, as suggested by Gagnon et al. (2012), differences of less than 30 glands cm^2 between trials or following interventions may not be worth considering, which may implicate future research where less heat sensitive females are investigated.

Results of our study report an ICC of 0.8 (e.g. moderate reliability) between trials for SGA and the 95% confidence intervals displayed large variability between individuals (0.32–0.94) and therefore this variable is not determined reliable as it did not meet 3/4 criteria and should be used with caution in future research. Therefore, it is likely there is a higher variability between females in the amount of SGA during low-moderate exercise intensity (Fig. 5). As limited information regarding the quantification of iodine for the two-day absorption period, we suggest 0.4 g of iodine provides suitable absorption and colour to the cotton paper for effective SGA methods. However, there are potential errors associated with the application of the cotton paper (e.g. pressure and time), which can affect the amount of activated sweat glands indicated on the paper.

5. Conclusion

This is the first study to investigate a wearable sweat rate monitor and the routinely used sweat analysis techniques in a female population. All measures showed moderate to strong reliability between trials when exercising moderately under heat stress in females. For the WBSR, LSR and SC techniques, all sites presented strong reliability, and TEMs were all less than previous literature has informed for statistical significance. These findings warrant the use of these techniques for future research utilising these sudomotor function measurement methods in a female population. SGA via the modified iodine technique has only previously been researched for reliability in one other study (Gagnon et al., 2012) and in the current study demonstrated a high CV and wide range for ICC, and therefore should be used with caution in future research.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants and research assistants for their time and commitment during this study.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Atkinson, G., Nevill, A.M., 1998. Statistical methods for assessing measurement error (reliability) in variables relevant to sports medicine. *Sports Med.* 26 (4), 217–238. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199826040-00002>. (Accessed 22 March 2018).
- Baker, L.B., 2017. Sweating rate and sweat sodium concentration in athletes: a review of methodology and intra/interindividual variability. [Online]. *Sports Med.* 47 (s1), 111–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0691-5>.
- Baker, L.B., Stofan, J.R., Hamilton, A.A., Horswill, C.A., 2009. Comparison of regional patch collection vs. whole body washdown for measuring sweat sodium and potassium loss during exercise, pp. 887–895 [Online]. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00197.2009>.
- Boisvert, P., Candas, V., 1994. Validity of the Wescor's sweat conductivity analyzer for the assessment of sweat electrolyte concentrations. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 69, 176–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00609413>. (Accessed 30 May 2018).
- Borg, G.A., 1982. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 14 (5), 377–381. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7154893> (Accessed 9 August 2018).
- Brokenshire, C.S., Armstrong, N., Williams, C.A., 2009. The reliability of adolescent thermoregulatory responses during a heat acclimation protocol. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 8, 689–695. (Accessed 22 March 2018). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149612>.
- Buono, M.J., Kolding, M., Leslie, E., Moreno, D., Norwood, S., Ordille, A., Weller, R., 2018. Heat acclimation causes a linear decrease in sweat sodium ion concentration. *J. Therm. Biol.* 71 (November 2017), 237–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2017.12.001>.
- Cohen, J., 1988. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd Edition). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd Edition). (ID: XM-77778, [Online]. Available at https://6666957a-a-62cb3a1a-sites.googlegroups.com/site/f07edownloadbooks/f07/statistical-power-analysis-for-the-behavioral-sciences-2nd.pdf?attachauth=ANoY7cqR2kWRoQWwLEvAt3P_rXQscpdNLFkYcVJROOcYdp7-BFTooBl3r9gvP9o9G9eFeg61PjnzWdXfCJCEHUmHGClwICXN), Accessed 1 October 2018).
- Coris, E.E., Ramirez, A.M., Van Durme, D.J., 2004. Heat illness in athletes: the dangerous combination of heat, humidity and exercise. [Online]. *Sports Med.* 34 (1), 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200434010-00002>.
- Duffield, R., McCall, A., Coutts, A.J., Peiffer, J.J., 2012. Hydration, sweat and thermoregulatory responses to professional football training in the heat. *J. Sports Sci.* 30 (10), 957–965. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.689432>. (Accessed 20 March 2018).
- Dufour, A., Candas, V., 2007. Ageing and thermal responses during passive heat exposure: sweating and sensory aspects. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 100, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0396-9>. (Accessed 23 March 2018).
- Dziedzic, C.E., Ross, M.L., Slater, G.J., Burke, L.M., 2014. Variability of measurements of sweat sodium using the regional absorbent-patch method. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 9 (5), 832–838. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2013-0480>.
- Epstein, Y., 1990. Heat intolerance: predisposing factor or residual injury? *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 22 (1), 29–35. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2406544> (Accessed 28 September 2018).
- Evenson, K.R., Goto, M.M., Furberg, R.D., 2015. Systematic review of the validity and reliability of consumer-wearable activity trackers. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 12 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-015-0314-1>. (Accessed 2 July 2018).
- Fraser, C., 2001. *Biological variation: from principles to practice*. Am. Assoc. Clin. Chem.
- Gagnon, D., Gano, M.S., Lucas, R.A.I., Pearson, J., Crandall, C.G., Kenny, G.P., 2012. Modified iodine-paper technique for the standardized determination of sweat gland activation. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 112 (8). <http://jap.physiology.org/content/112/8/1419.short> (Accessed 9 August 2017).
- Gagnon, D., Kenny, G.P., 2011. Sex modulates whole-body sudomotor thermosensitivity during exercise. *J. Physiol.* 589 (24), 6205–6217. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.219220>.
- Gagnon, D., Kenny, G.P., 2012. Sex differences in thermoeffect or responses during exercise at fixed requirements for heat loss. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (Bethesda Md.: 1985) 113 (5), 746–757. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00637.2012>.
- Gao, W., Brooks, G.A., Klonoff, D.C., 2017. Wearable physiological systems and technologies for metabolic monitoring. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 124 <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00407.2017>. (Accessed 14 June 2018).
- Gao, W., Emaminejad, S., Nyein, H.Y.Y., Challa, S., Chen, K., Peck, A., Fahad, H.M., Ota, H., Shiraki, H., Kiriya, D., Lien, D.-H., Brooks, G.A., Davis, R.W., Javey, A., 2016. Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis. *Nature* 529, 509–514. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16521>. (Accessed 14 June 2018).
- Gibson, O.R., Mee, J.A., Tuttle, J.A., Taylor, L., Watt, P.W., Maxwell, N.S., 2015. Isothermic and fixed intensity heat acclimation methods induce similar heat adaptation following short and long-term timescales. *J. Therm. Biol.* 49–50, 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.02.005>.
- Goulet, E.D.B., Asselin, A., Gosselin, J., Baker, L.B., 2017. Measurement of sodium concentration in sweat samples: comparison of 5 analytical techniques. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 42, 861–868. <https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2017-0059>. (Accessed 5 October 2018).
- Guéritée, J., Tipton, M.J., 2015. The relationship between radiant heat, air temperature and thermal comfort at rest and exercise. *Physiol. Behav.* 139, 378–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.11.064>. (Accessed 9 August 2018).
- Hammond, K.B., Turcios, N.L., Gibson, L.E., 1994. Clinical evaluation of the macroduct sweat collection system and conductivity analyzer in the diagnosis of cystic fibrosis. *J. Pediatr.* 124, 255–260. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476\(94\)70314-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(94)70314-0). (Accessed 30 May 2018).
- Havenith, G., Fogarty, A.E., Bartlett, R., Smith, A.C.J., 2008. Male and female upper body sweat distribution during running measured with technical absorbents, pp. 245–255 [Online]. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0636-z>.
- Hayden, G., Milne, H.C., Patterson, M.J., Nimmo, M.A., 2004. The reproducibility of closed-pouch sweat collection and thermoregulatory responses to exercise-heat stress. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 91 (5–6), 748–751. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-004-1057-x>. (Accessed 23 March 2018).
- Ichinose-Kuwahara, T., Inoue, Y., Iseki, Y., Hara, S., Ogura, Y., Kondo, N., 2010. Sex differences in the effects of physical training on sweat gland responses during a graded exercise. *Exp. Physiol.* 95 (10), 1026–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.2010.053710>.
- Jette, M., Sidney, K., Blumchen, G., 1990. *Metabolic Equivalents (METs) in Exercise Testing, Exercise Prescription, and Evaluation of Functional Capacity*, vol. 565, pp. 555–565.
- Jones, A.M., Doust, J.H., 1996. A 1% treadmill grade most accurately reflects the energetic cost of outdoor running. *J. Sports Sci.* 14 (4), 321–327. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640419608727717>. (Accessed 9 August 2017).
- Kenefick, R.W., Chevront, S.N., Elliott, L.D., Ely, B.R., Sawka, M.N., 2012. Biological and analytical variation of the human sweating response: implications for study design and analysis. *Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 302, R252–R258. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00456.2011>. (Accessed 20 February 2018).
- Kilding, A., Tunstall, H., Wraith, E., Good, M., Gammon, C., Smith, C., 2009. Sweat rate and sweat electrolyte composition in international female soccer players during game specific training. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 30, 443–447. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0028-1105945>. (Accessed 20 March 2018).
- Koh, A., Kang, D., Xue, Y., Lee, S., Pielak, R.M., Kim, J., Hwang, T., Min, S., Banks, A., Bastien, P., Manco, M.C., Wang, L., Ammann, K.R., Jang, K.-I., Won, P., Han, S., Ghaffari, R., Paik, U., Slepian, M.J., Balooch, G., Huang, Y., Rogers, J.A., 2016. A soft, wearable microfluidic device for the capture, storage, and colorimetric sensing of sweat. [Online]. Available at <http://stm.sciencemag.org/> (Accessed 10 August 2018).
- Kräuchi, K., Wirz-Justice, A., 1994. Circadian rhythm of heat production, heart rate, and skin and core temperature under unmasking conditions in men. *Am. J. Physiol.* 267, R819–R829. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1994.267.3.R819>. (Accessed 29 January 2018).
- Marsh, S. a., Jenkins, D.G., 2002. Physiological responses to the menstrual cycle: implications for the development of heat illness in female athletes. *Sports Med.* 32 (10), 601–614.
- Maughan, R.J., 1991. Fluid and electrolyte loss and replacement in exercise*. *J. Sports Sci.* 9 (sup1), S117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640419108729870>. (Accessed 22 March 2018).
- Mee, Jessica A., Doust, J., Maxwell, N.S., 2015. Repeatability of a running heat tolerance test. *J. Therm. Biol.* 49–50, 91–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.02.010>.
- Mee, J.A., Gibson, O.R., Doust, J., Maxwell, N.S., 2015. A comparison of males and females' temporal patterning to short- and long-term heat acclimation. [Online]. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 25 (S1), 250–258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12417>.
- Morris, N.B., Cramer, M.N., Hodder, S.G., Havenith, G., Jay, O., 2013. A comparison between the technical absorbent and ventilated capsule methods for measuring local sweat rate, pp. 816–823 [Online]. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.01088.2012>.
- Nadel, E.R., Bullard, R.W., Stolwijk, J.A., 1971. Importance of skin temperature in the regulation of sweating. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 31 (1), 80–87. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.1971.31.1.80>. (Accessed 1 October 2018).
- Neal, R., Corbett, J., Massey, H., Tipton, M.J., 2015. The influence of short-term heat acclimation with permissive dehydration on temperate exercise performance in highly trained athletes. *Extrem. Physiol. Med.* 4, A109. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-7648-4-S1-A109>. (Accessed 3 July 2018).
- Park, S.A., Lee, J.Y., Lee, K.S., Son, K.C., 2014. Metabolic costs of daily activities in community-dwelling older adults. *Int. J. Gerontol.* 8, 228–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijge.2014.03.006>.
- Patterson, M.J., Stocks, J.M., Taylor, N.A.S., 2004. Humid heat acclimation does not elicit a preferential sweat redistribution toward the limbs. *AJP: Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 286 (3), 512R–518R. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00359.2003>.
- Peake, J.M., Kerr, G., Sullivan, J.P., 2018. A critical review of consumer wearables, mobile applications, and equipment for providing biofeedback, monitoring stress, and sleep in physically active populations. *Front. Physiol.* 9 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00743>. (Accessed 10 August 2018).
- Pivarnik, J.M., Marichal, C.J., Spillman, T., Morrow, J.R., 1992. Menstrual cycle phase affects temperature regulation during endurance exercise. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (Bethesda Md.: 1985) 72 (2), 543–548. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.1992.72.2.543>. (Accessed 20 March 2018).
- Prajapati, B., Dunne, M.C.M., Armstrong, R.a., 2010. Sample size estimation and statistical power analyses. *Computing* 16 (07), 1–9. [Online]. (Available at <http://eprints.aston.ac.uk/9884/>).
- Ramanathan, N.L., 1964. A new weighting system for mean surface temperature of the human body. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 19 (3), 531–533. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.1964.19.3.531>. (Accessed 18 December 2018).
- Relf, R., Willmott, A., Mee, J., Gibson, O., Saunders, A., Hayes, M., Maxwell, N., 2017. Females exposed to 24 h of sleep deprivation do not experience greater physiological

strain, but do perceive heat illness symptoms more severely, during exercise-heat stress. [Online]. *J. Sports Sci.* 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1306652>.

- Sato, K., Dobson, R.L., 1970. Regional and individual variations in the function of the human eccrine sweat gland. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 54 (6), 443–449. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1523-1747.ep12259272>. (Accessed 28 September 2018).
- Sawka, M.N., Burke, L.M., Eichner, E.R., Maughan, R.J., Montain, S.J., Stachenfeld, N.S., 2007. Exercise and fluid replacement. [Online]. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 39 (2), 377–390. <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e31802ca597>.
- Sawka, M.N., Montain, S.J., 2000. Fluid and electrolyte supplementation for exercise heat stress. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 72, 564S–572S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/72.2.564S>. (Accessed 19 March 2018).
- Shapiro, Y., Hubbard, R.W., Kimbrough, C.M., Pandolf, K.B., 1981. Physiological and hematologic responses to summer and winter dry-heat acclimation. *J. Appl. Physiol.: Respir. Environ. Exerc. Physiol.* 50 (4), 792–798. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1981.50.4.792>. (Accessed 29 January 2018).
- Shirreffs, S.M., Maughan, R.J., 1997. Whole body sweat collection in humans: an improved method with preliminary data on electrolyte content. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 82, 336–341. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1997.82.1.336>. (Accessed 5 October 2018).
- Smith, C.J., Havenith, G., 2011. Body mapping of sweating patterns in male athletes in mild exercise-induced hyperthermia. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 111 (7), 1391–1404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1744-8>.
- Stachenfeld, N.S., Taylor, H.S., 2014. Challenges and methodology for testing young healthy women in physiological studies. *Obesity* 306 (5), E849–E853. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0899-9007\(95\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0899-9007(95)00017-8).
- Taylor, N.A., Machado-Moreira, C.A., 2013. Regional variations in transepidermal water loss, eccrine sweat gland density, sweat secretion rates and electrolyte composition in resting and exercising humans. [Online]. *Extrem. Physiol. Med.* 2 (1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-7648-2-4>.
- Toner, M.M., Drolet, L.L., Pandolf, K.B., 1986. Perceptual and physiological responses during exercise in cool and cold water. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 62 (1), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1986.62.1.211>. (Accessed 9 August 2018).
- Welk, G.J., Schaben, J.A., Morrow, J.R., 2004. Reliability of accelerometry-based activity monitors: a generalizability study. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36 (9), 1637–1645. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15354049> (Accessed 3 July 2018).
- Willmott, A.G.B., Gibson, O.R., James, C.A., Hayes, M., Maxwell, N.S., 2018. Physiological and perceptual responses to exercising in restrictive heat loss attire with use of an upper-body sauna suit in temperate and hot conditions. *Temperature* 5, 162–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23328940.2018.1426949>. (Accessed 9 August 2018).
- Willmott, A.G.B., Hayes, M., Deckerle, J., Maxwell, N.S., 2015. The reliability of a heat acclimation state test prescribed from metabolic heat production intensities. *J. Therm. Biol.* 53, 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.08.008>.
- Willmott, A.G.B., Hayes, M., Waldock, K.A.M., Relf, R.L., Watkins, E.R., James, C.A., Gibson, O.R., Smeeton, N.J., Richardson, A.J., Watt, P.W., Maxwell, N.S., 2016. Short-term heat acclimation prior to a multi-day desert ultra-marathon improves physiological and psychological responses without compromising immune status. *J. Sports Sci.* 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1265142>.
- Willmott, A.G.B., Hayes, M., Waldock, K.A.M., Relf, R.L., Watkins, E.R., James, C.A., Gibson, O.R., Smeeton, N.J., Richardson, A.J., Watt, P.W., Maxwell, N.S., 2017. Short-term heat acclimation prior to a multi-day desert ultra-marathon improves physiological and psychological responses without compromising immune status. *J. Sports Sci.* 35, 2249–2256. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1265142>. (Accessed 3 July 2018).



Miss Rebecca Relf completed her B.Sc. (Hons.) undergraduate degree in Sport and Exercise Science from 2011 to 2014 at the University of Brighton. She was then awarded a scholarship to continue her academic studies at the university and completed her M.Sc. degree in Applied Sport Physiology in 2015. Throughout her MSc degree, Rebecca underwent work experience with the Sport and Exercise Science Consultancy Unit (SESCU) as a Trainee Sport Scientist. In January 2016, Rebecca secured a job as a part-time Technical Instructor at the University of Brighton. She began her part-time Ph.D. examining 'Heat sensitivity and alleviating strategies for female breast cancer survivors' in October 2016. Rebecca is a member of the Environmental Extremes Laboratory (EEL).



Dr. Ashley Willmott completed his B.Sc. (Hons.) in sport and exercise science in 2012 and Ph.D. entitled "Optimising heat acclimation state and refining strategies for the acquisition of heat adaptations" at the University of Brighton in 2018. Ash is a member of the Environmental Extremes Laboratory (EEL), with current research themes aligned to investigating heat alleviation strategies, both acute (e.g. cooling) and chronic (e.g. heat acclimation) for occupational and athletic performance, whilst also considering immune function and health status. Ash is a sport and exercise science physiology lecturer and an accredited British Association of Sport and Exercise Science (BASES) physiologist.



Dr. Melanie Flint is a Reader in Cancer Biology University of Brighton and an adjunct Research Assistant Professor in the Department of Pharmacology, University of Pittsburgh. She is currently Co-leader of Brighton and Sussex Cancer Research Network and Translational Sciences Lead, Centre for Stress and Age-Related Disease. Dr. Flint is also a member of the British Breast Group. Dr. Flint has published over 30 papers related to stress and cancer and is the recipient of funding from numerous sources including National Institutes of Health, Cancer Research UK, Team Verrico and the Breast Cancer Trust. The focus of Dr. Flint's laboratory is translational cancer research. Specifically, her research examines the mechanism of stress hormones on cancer and immune cell signalling.



Dr. Louisa Beale is Senior Lecturer in Sport and Exercise Science at the School of Sport and Service Management, University of Brighton, where she has worked since 2001. Dr. Beale's research is concerned with how physical activity can prevent disease, improve wellbeing and health outcomes in the real world and she has related publications in international journals. Dr. Beale works in collaboration with the NHS, charities, the leisure industry and local community and supervises Ph.D.s in exercise cardiology, exercise and pregnancy and detraining and muscle function.



Dr. Neil Maxwell is a Reader of Environmental Physiology within the School of Sport and Service Management at the University of Brighton, where he has worked since 1997. Dr. Maxwell leads the Environmental Extremes Lab, supervising Ph.D. students while also engaging with industry and national sports teams. He has over 60 publications in international journals allied to thermal and hypoxic stress and how the body tolerates each, particularly during exercise. Through his research and innovation, Dr. Maxwell aims to inspire health, occupational and sporting communities to engage in safe and effective exercise in environmental extremes and reduce the incidence of illness.