



Preservation vs. resection of the infrapatellar fat pad during total knee arthroplasty Part I: A survey of current practice in the UK

B.H. van Duren^{a,*}, J.N. Lamb^a, S. Nisar^b, Y. Ashraf^c, N. Somashekar^c, H. Pandit^a

^a Leeds Institute of Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

^b Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, United Kingdom

^c Hillingdon Hospitals Trust, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 June 2018

Received in revised form 4 November 2018

Accepted 21 December 2018

ABSTRACT

Background: The management of the infrapatellar fat pad (IPFP) during total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the subject of ongoing debate. In part 1 of this two-part series, we present an overview of current practice regarding the management of the IPFP in elective TKA among surgeons in the UK.

Methods: A web-based survey was offered to 269 delegates of the BASK 2017 annual conference.

Results: The survey showed a large variation in practice. Of the 173 responders, 86.7% were consultants; 62.4% partially resected the IPFP; 23.1% totally resected the IPFP, and 9.8% preserved it. Forty percent felt that resection made a difference. Only 23% stated that they were aware of guidelines/evidence.

Conclusion: There is wide variation in practice with regard to the IPFP in TKA. The available literature with regard to resection or preservation of the IPFP is not conclusive.

Implications: There are no definitive guidelines available for the management of the IPFP in TKA resulting in a wide variation in practice amongst surgeons.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the most frequently performed arthroplasty procedure in the United Kingdom [1]. The infrapatellar fat pad (IPFP), or Hoffa's fat pad, is often totally or partially resected during TKA in order to aid surgical exposure.

The IPFP is an intracapsular structure found in the anterior knee compartment [2]. It has attachments to the inferior pole of the patella and proximal patellar tendon [2]. There is a rich anastomotic blood supply to the IPFP including supply to the patella and patellar tendon [3,4]. As well as its role in blood supply to the anterior knee structures, the IPFP is thought to play a role in an inflammatory process leading to anterior knee pain [5]. The IPFP also contains nociceptive fibres, which add credence to the IPFP being a possible source of anterior knee pain [6,7]. The exact functions of the IPFP, however, in health and disease are relatively unknown [3].

Studies favouring preservation of the IPFP during TKA cite an increased risk of scarring and therefore shortening of the patellar tendon caused by disruptions of the anastomotic links that exist in the IPFP [8]. The resulting shortening of the patellar tendon is then thought to contribute to increased stiffness of the knee, patella baja and altered kinematics [8–10]. Resecting the IPFP is also thought to disrupt the blood supply to the patella and therefore increase the risk of fracture [11]. Pinsornsak *et al.* also describe increased pain related to IPFP resection (IPFP-R) [12]. Some studies have found varied evidence of anterior knee pain with IPFP-R

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: b.h.vanduren@gmail.com (B.H. van Duren).

during TKA [13]. Macule et al. found increased pain in patients who had the IPFP preserved at one month and at six months [14]. The same study also found no difference in patellar tendon length also at one month and six months [14].

It is clear that the management of the IPFP during TKA is subject of ongoing debate with no clear consensus. The choice of IPFP-R, IPFP preservation (IPFP-P), or partial resection varies based on surgeon's preference, experience and patient needs. The aim of this paper, part 1 of a two-part series, was to understand current practices regarding the management of the IPFP in elective TKA amongst surgeons in the United Kingdom (UK).

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

A web-based survey was designed to ascertain routine practice with reference to the IPFP in elective total knee arthroplasty. The survey was offered for completion by the 269 delegates of the British Association for Surgery of the Knee (BASK) 2017 annual conference who completed a post conference survey. Data were collected using SurveyMonkey during the period from the fourth of April 2017 to the tenth of May 2017.

2.2. The questionnaire

The survey consisted of five questions, which are shown with their corresponding answer options in Table 1.

2.3. Data analysis

Descriptive statistics and graphical representation were used to summarise the responses given by the BASK delegates to the questionnaire.

3. Results

Out of the 269 requests, 45 indicated that they did not undertake total knee replacement surgery, and 173 responded yielding a response rate of 77%.

3.1. Question 1

Of the 173 responders, 150 (86.7%) were consultants and the remaining; 13.3% consisted of knee fellows (5), career grade specialists (4), Specialist Trainees (13) and a junior doctor (Figure 1).

Table 1

Table showing the questions put to the participants and the answer options which they were able to select. Free text answers were allowed where participants were asked to "please specify".

1. Which of the following best describes your current job level?
Consultant
Associate Specialist
Registrar
Other (please specify)
2. When performing a total knee arthroplasty what is your preferred management of the infrapatellar fat pad (Hoffa's fat pad)?
Total resection
Partial resection
Preservation
Other (please specify)
3. Why do you choose your management of the IPFP when you perform TKA?
Open answer
4. Are you aware of any guidelines or evidence regarding infrapatellar fat pad resection?
Yes (please specify)
No
5. Do you feel that resection of the fat pad contributes to:
Increased incidence of patella tendon shortening
Increased incidence of anterior knee pain
Altered knee kinematics
Decrease in immune mediators and growth factors produced by the infrapatellar fat pad
None of the above/makes no difference
Other (please specify)




Figure 1. Chart showing the distribution of career levels amongst the survey respondents.

3.2. Question 2

The majority (62.4%) of responders partially resected the IPFP; 23.1% totally resected the IPFP, and 9.8% stated they preserved the IPFP (Figure 2).

3.3. Question 3

In response to question 3, the majority (70%) specifically cited visualisation ;and exposure as the reason for their approach to the management; 9% cited patella infera/baja, and 7% vascularity of the patella tendon. A number of other reasons were also cited; for example training, pain, improved Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMS) and anecdotal evidence. The specific answers given by the participants can be viewed in Appendix A (ref as online data).

3.4. Question 4

The majority, 76.7%, of the surgeons responded saying that they were not aware of any guidelines or evidence regarding IPFP-R as opposed to 23.3% who were (Figure 3). Amongst those who were aware of guidelines, there was a wide variation of evidence cited from expert opinion to a systematic review.




Figure 2. Chart showing the preferred management of the IPFP during TKA amongst the survey respondents.




Figure 3. Bar chart representation showing the number of respondents aware of any guidelines or evidence regarding IPFP-Resection. Below the bar chart are the responses provided by those who were aware of guidelines.

3.5. Question 5

When explored in detail, it was noted that over 40% of surgeons felt that either resection or preservation made a difference to outcome. Of those that felt that resection had an effect, 40% felt resection resulted in a reduced blood supply to the patella and patellar tendon shortening (Figure 4).

4. Discussion

There are currently no formal national guidelines in the UK with regard to preservation or resection of the IPFP during TKA. The aim of this study was to understand the current practices regarding the management of the IPFP in TKA by means of a web-based survey.




Figure 4. Chart showing an overview of perception of the IPFP role amongst the survey respondents.

The survey highlights that there is a wide variation in practice amongst surgeons in the management of the IPFP during total knee arthroplasty. The most striking finding from the survey was that more than three in four knee surgeons were not aware of any evidence to guide their decision making with regard to their management of the IPFP.

The majority (62.4%) of surgeons favoured partial resection in their practice. The majority cited achieving adequate exposure of the lateral tibia as the reason for total or partial resection, and a number of surgeons who preferred preservation stated that in cases of difficult exposure, they would proceed to partial resection. A small number of surgeons felt that preservation was associated with increased anterior pain post-operatively. This concept has been discussed in the literature as the IPFP is thought to play a role in an inflammatory process [5] and contains nociceptive fibres, which adds credence to the IPFP being a possible source of anterior knee pain [6,7,23]. The IPFP has also been shown to contain peptidergic C and substance P positive nerve fibres [23]. However, there is no clear evidence that preservation leads to an increased incidence of pain.

This survey was offered to the delegates of the BASK 2017 annual conference, which has limitations in that participants would in the majority practice in the UK (with a minority of international delegates). This is a limitation as these results may not extrapolate to an international cohort.

5. Conclusion

IPFP-R *versus* IPFP-P during TKA is a controversial topic with no clear consensus in the literature. From the survey results, we can surmise that in addition to a large variation in practice, there is also an ongoing debate amongst surgeons regarding the influence of IPFP-R.

Declarations of interest

None.

HP, BvD and JL are supported in part by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leeds Biomedical Research Centre. The research is supported by the NIHR infrastructure at Leeds. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Ethical Statement

To our knowledge we have met the standards of expected ethical behaviour for publication in a peer reviewed Journal as described in the guidelines.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2018.12.010>.

References

- [1] NJR. NJR Annual Report. 18/5/18; Available from: <http://www.njrcentre.org.uk/njrcentre/Portals/0/Documents/England/PPG/09736%20NJR%20PPG%20-%20KNEES%202018%20SPREADS.pdf?ver=2018-02-08-112730-897>; 2017.
- [2] Dragoo JL, Johnson C, McConnell J. Evaluation and treatment of disorders of the infrapatellar fat pad. *Sports Med* 2012;42(1):51–67.

- [3] Mace J, Bhatti W, Anand S. Infrapatellar fat pad syndrome: a review of anatomy, function, treatment and dynamics. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2016;82(1):94–101.
- [4] Dennis DA. Periprosthetic fractures following total knee arthroplasty. *Instr Course Lect* 2001;50:379–89.
- [5] Banks SA, Hodge WA. Implant design affects knee arthroplasty kinematics during stair-stepping. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2004;426:187–93.
- [6] Van Beeck A, Clockaerts S, Somville J, Van Heeswijk JH, Van Glabbeek F, Bos PK, et al. Does infrapatellar fat pad resection in total knee arthroplasty impair clinical outcome? A systematic review. *Knee* 2013;20(4):226–31.
- [7] White L. The impact of an intact infrapatellar fat pad on outcomes after total knee arthroplasty. *Adv Orthop Surg* 2015.
- [8] Gandhi R, de Beer J, Leone J, Petruccelli D, Winemaker M, Adili A. Predictive risk factors for stiff knees in total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplast* 2006;21(1):46–52.
- [9] Gwyn R, Kotwal RS, Holt MD, Davies AP. Complete excision of the infrapatellar fat pad is associated with patellar tendon shortening after primary total knee arthroplasty. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2016;26(5):545–9.
- [10] Bohnsack M, Wilharm A, Hurschler C, Rühmann O, Stukenborg-Colsman C, Wirth CJ. Biomechanical and kinematic influences of a total infrapatellar fat pad resection on the knee. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32(8):1873–80.
- [11] Hozack WJ, Goll SR, Lotke PA, Rothman RH, Booth Jr RE. The treatment of patellar fractures after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1988(236):123–7.
- [12] Pinsornsak P, Naratrikun K, Chumchuen S. The effect of infrapatellar fat pad excision on complications after minimally invasive TKA: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2014;472(2):695–701.
- [13] White L, Holyoak R, Sant J, Hartnell N, Mullan J. The effect of infrapatellar fat pad resection on outcomes post-total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2016;136(5):701–8.
- [14] Maculé F, Sastre S, Lasurt S, Sala P, Segur JM, Mallofré C. Hoffa's fat pad resection in total knee arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2005;71(6):714–7.
- [23] Belluzzi E, El Hadi H, Granzotto M, Rossato M, Ramonda R, Macchi V, et al. Systemic and local adipose tissue in knee osteoarthritis. *J Cell Physiol* 2017;232(8):1971–8.