

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Is anatomic acetabular orientation related to pelvic morphology? CT analysis of 150 healthy pelvises” [Orthop. Traumatol. Surg. Res. 104 (2018) 347–351]



P. Sautet^{a,*}, H. Giorgi^b, P. Chabrand^a, P. Tropiano^b, J.-N. Argenson^a, S. Parratte^a,
B. Blondel^b

^a Institut du mouvement et de l'appareil locomoteur, groupe interdisciplinaire en biomécanique, hôpital Sainte-Marguerite, Aix-Marseille université, 270, boulevard Sainte-Marguerite, 13009 Marseille, France

^b Unité chirurgie vertébrale et orthopédique, Aix-Marseille université, CHU de Timone, 264, rue Saint-Pierre, 13005 Marseille, France

The authors regret some errors have been introduced in this article.

In the Results section of the abstract, it should have been written “Mean anatomic acetabular anteversion (AAA) was greater in females (23.4°; range, 11.5°–34.5°) than in males (20°; range, 7.5°–31.5°) ($p < 0.001$). PI did not correlate with any of the acetabular parameters (PI/AAA, $r = 0.08$ and $p = 0.33$; PI/acetabular inclination on the horizontal, $r = -0.096$ and $p = 0.24$).”

In paragraph 3.2 Pelvic parameters, it should have been written “Our anatomic study showed no significant correlations linking PI to the acetabular parameters (PI and AAI: $r = -0.096$, $p = 0.24$; PI and AAA: $r = 0.08$, $p = 0.33$)”.

In table 2, the value of Anatomic acetabular anteversion (AAA), both sides pooled, for males, is: “20.0° (7.5–31.5)”.

We would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2017.10.006>.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: pierre.sautet@ap-hm.fr (P. Sautet).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2018.12.001>

1877-0568/© 2018 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.