Optimal parameters estimation and modelling of photovoltaic modules using analytical method
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ABSTRACT

This paper introduces a proposed approach to estimate the optimal parameters of the photovoltaic (PV) modules using in-field outdoor measurements and manufacturers’ datasheet as well as employing the nonlinear least-squares fitting algorithm. The main goal is to determine the optimal parameter values of the implemented model which are: series resistance, reverse saturation current, photocurrent, ideality factor and shunt resistance in case of the five parameters model. A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet is developed in order to perform modeling and analysis of the parameters analytical initial values using manufacturer datasheet specifications regarding to the changing in solar irradiance and ambient temperature. Then, the sum of the squared residuals between in-field measured and simulated data are calculated and minimized using Excel solver in order to obtain the optimal values of the parameters simultaneously, to describe the best fit for the outdoor measured data. The proposed approach is used to find the optimal parameters of the PV module TRINA TSM-295 using an array tester. The convergence confidence of the estimated parameters are presented and assessed in an easy way. This approach allows all parameters to be optimized, simultaneously. The results are verified and compared with other research studies for different PV cell technologies. The obtained results are useful for the tested PV module manufacturer and assess the performance of the products in different weather conditions.

1. Introduction

Appropriate circuit modeling and optimal parameters estimation of the photovoltaic (PV) modules are critical topics for different activities such as: simulation, design, assessing efficiency computations, performance evaluation under various conditions and control of PV systems [1, 2, 3]. Also, it is useful for monitoring operation of PV systems, forecasting produced power, developing fault detection methods, calculating losses, developing and testing maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms [4] and reproducing the references of PV simulators as a function of real time variation of irradiance and temperature values to test the commercial PV inverters [5].

The PV cells are usually characterized using current-voltage (I-V) and power-voltage (P-V) curves. The manufacturers present the datasheet specifications at the standard test conditions (STC) for open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$), short circuit current ($I_{sc}$) and maximum power point (mpp) i.e. current ($I_{mpp}$), voltage ($V_{mpp}$) and power ($P_{mpp}$) [6]. For some manufacturers, the values of the temperature coefficients for open circuit voltage ($I_{oc}$) and short circuit current ($I_{sc}$) are also tabulated. The PV cell equivalent circuit is conventionally represented using current source and one diode without any hint to series resistance ($R_s$) and parallel resistance ($R_p$) in the ideal PV cell model. Various studies have been presented for development the PV cell models. However, these models have different levels of the complexity. The differences between these models are based on the employed diodes numbers, finite or infinite shunt resistance, fixed or variable ideality factor and the utilized algorithms to find required parameters. So far, a comparison between the presented PV cell models is an important topic in the scientific community [7].

The model of the PV cell characteristic is obtained based on physical principles. The equivalent model of single diode parameters is based on the employed circuit, such as photocurrent current ($I_{ph}$), saturation current ($I_0$), diode ideality factor ($n$), $R_s$ and $R_p$ resistances. However, these parameters are neither always explicitly nor completely provided by the manufacturers of PV modules. Consequently, choice of electrical PV cells model and the method of parameters extraction are based on different principles such as estimation speed, PV technology, complexity and accuracy [8]. In [8], the authors discussed five PV cells mathematical models of varying complexity, such as lumped four parameters (L4P) and
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lumped five parameters (L5P) methods in order to investigate the long term performance of direct coupled PV systems. The authors concluded that, the L4P model of the multicrystalline PV module presented the best match to the experimental measured data, at low irradiance of 200 W/m² [9].

Authors in [10] referred to an iterative method proposed by [11] based on fitting the theoretical curve to the experimental points (the voltage and slope at the open circuit point, the maximum power point (V_{mpp}, I_{mpp}), the current and slope at the short circuit point) as 5-points method. This method did not fit the model to every point of the curve, but achieved good fitting with experimental measured data. However, there are practical difficulties in measuring the slopes, specially the short circuit slope. Authors in [12] presented a direct analytical extraction of 5-points method using experimental data based on least square technique. The authors employed an iterative procedure in order to determine the equation of a silicon solar array from empirical data measured at 21 points, where all points were considered. Finally, the authors in [10], presented a comparison between curve-fitting, iterative 5-points and analytical 5-points methods for estimating the parameters of the single-diode model.

As stated in [13], there is a need for analytical expression to determine the parameters I_{ph}, n, I_0, R_s, and R_P directly. A comparison between an analytical expression and an iterative method for parameters estimation was presented in [13]. Analytical methods are derived for simple and fast procedures by simplifying the assumptions without sacrificing accuracy [13, 14, 15]. Numerical methods employ a set of equations and find a solution using iterative algorithms [16, 17]. Several techniques have been presented to find the optimal parameters of the PV modules using analytical or iterative techniques based on the manufacturers data sheet information only [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. In [14], six prevalent analytical methods for modeling and extracting parameters of the PV modules were evaluated. The authors concluded that, the analytical methods can compete in terms of accuracy with the numerical methods. In [23], the authors presented a set of analytical solutions, which can be used as initial values for estimating L5P double-diode model for numerical solutions based on Newton–Raphson method.

There are many databases of research publications under the topic of PV modelling and the parameter estimation. A review on the important research works on modelling and parameters estimation of the PV cells can be found in [24]. The authors discussed three PV cell models, namely the single diode R_s, R_P and the two diodes. Also, the authors covered both the analytical and the soft computing approaches for parameters estimation. Finally, the review in [24] recommended hybrid approach between soft computing and analytical methods. Most of the used PV cell parameters extraction methods are graphical, analytical, numerical, heuristics or from artificial intelligence as presented in [9].

As stated in a review can be found in [2] that, the PV cell parameters can be evaluated using manufacturer data sheet information and experimentally measured I-V curves. Also, the author classified the PV cell parameters estimation methodologies into three main approaches as: analytical, metaheuristic optimisation and hybrids of analytical and metaheuristic. The nonlinear least square fitting is widely used to find parameters of PV modules. The nonlinear least squares approach extracts parameters of PV cells by reducing least squared error between simulated target variables and experimentally measured data. However, the current and voltage in PV cell equation (I_{pv}, V_{pv}) takes the form of an implicit function, which includes dependent and independent variables in both sides of the equation at the same time. Such implicit nature of the PV module equation increases the complexity and the difficulty of parameters extraction [25].

A modified non-linear least error square optimization approach was presented in [26] based on Newton’s method to extract five illuminated PV cell parameters using the experimentally measured data. The authors introduced an initialization routine of two parameters in order to overcome the difficulty in initializing the parameters. In [27], the authors presented an analytical solution technique based on Co-content function, which was expressed in terms of the Lambert W function to extract the PV cell parameters by numerical fitting of the equations. Similar analytical solution methods were presented in [28, 29, 30]. In [31, 32, 33, 34], the authors employed the pattern search optimization technique [31], Bacterial Foraging Algorithm [32], harmony search-based algorithms [33] and bird mating optimizer [34] for extracting the parameters of different PV cell models.

In [35], an approach to determine the required parameters of the PV cell using a single I-V curve under constant illumination value was presented. The authors used Lambert W function and reduced the estimated parameters, So that, the expression for I_{pv} was depending only on n, R_s, and R_P. Then, the PV cell parameters were directly extracted by fitting the analytical expression with the experimentally measured data. In [36], the authors presented a technique for determining the PV cell parameters using the light generated I-V curve based on an assumption for different technologies of the PV cells. This technique neither involved an initial approximations nor included an iteration processes. This approach was programmed and implemented on C platform.

The determination of PV module parameters using experimentally measured data is important for designing and sizing of PV systems [10]. An accurate model of PV cells is useful to predict performance of the system [6]. The PV systems designers select between different PV modules. The optimization of such systems is the main goal for designers in order to select PV module with the best performance based on available information. But, the manufacturers do not provide sufficient data in order to get an accurate analysis [37]. The objectives of this paper are...
modeling and estimating the optimal parameters of PV modules using the proposed approach. A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet is employed to simulate the I-V curve of the PV modules and determine the initial values of parameters based on analytical expressions and finally to estimate the optimal parameters of parameters based on the curve fitting to measured data using the Excel solver. This paper proposes an approach to estimate the PV module parameters using outdoor test data and simulated data. A simple and rapid procedure is proposed to find the optimal parameters of the PV module. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the mathematical model of PV modules and reviews the different approaches of analytical initial conditions and parameter estimation studies. Section 3 presents the proposed approach to estimate the optimal parameters of the PV modules. Section 4 contains the experimental measurements data of the case studied and the implementation of least squares data fitting using the Excel solver. Section 5 affirms on the proposed approach validation and its accuracy. Results and discussions are declared in section 6. Finally, the conclusions can be found in Section 7.

2. Background

2.1. Background of the solar cell mathematical modeling

There are different approaches for modeling and simulating the PV cells. In [38], the authors used artificial neural network and Lambert W function for modeling the PV cells characteristics and estimating the model parameters using real experimentally measured data. Most common approaches are employing the equivalent electrical circuit model of PV cells [2]. However, Authors in [39], focused on multi-junction PV cells to improve the conversion efficiency based on an electrical equivalent circuit of the multi-junction cells. The electrical characteristics of the PV modules are defined by I-V characteristic curves as shown in Fig. 1. The manufacturer’s data sheet presents information about the PV modules to improve the conversion efficiency based on electrical equivalent circuit model parameters values, i.e. Voc, Isc, Pmax, Vmpp and Impp at different indoor conditions such as the standard test condition (STC) as well as the nominal operating cell temperature (NOCCT). The different weather conditions, types of the used materials and manufacturing technologies are the main items which affect on the PV module parameters. The PV systems installations environment are different from the STCs. Parameters determination using only the manufacturers data sheet leads to an inaccurate result of the estimated parameters.

The equivalent circuit of the single diode L5P is shown in Fig. 2 [1].

\[
I_{pv} = I_{ph} - I_o - I_p
\]  
(1)

where, \(I_{ph}\) is the generated current by the PV cell, \(I_o\) is the Shockley diode current and \(I_p\) is parallel resistance current.

The Shockley diode (Iod) equation can be expressed as follows [40]:

\[
I_o = I_0 \left( \exp \left( \frac{q(V_{pv} + R_p I_{pv})}{n k T_c} \right) - 1 \right)
\]  
(2)

where; \(I_0\) is the dark saturation current (A),

\(n\) is the diode ideality factor (unitless),

\(K\) is the Boltzmann’s constant (1.38066×10⁻²³ J/K),

\(q\) is the elementary charge (1.60218×10⁻¹⁹ coulomb),

\(R_s\) is the series resistance (Ω),

\(T_c\) is the module temperature (°C).

Finally, by developing the terms \(I_{ph}\), \(I_p\) and \(I_{ph}\), the I-V characteristic can be calculated using Eq. (3), which presents the single diode \(R_s\) model [2, 40]:

\[
I_{pv} = I_{ph} - I_o \left( \exp \left( \frac{q(V_{pv} + R_p I_{pv})}{n k T_c} \right) - 1 \right) - \frac{V_{pv} + R_s I_{pv}}{R_p}
\]  
(3)

The single diode L5P model seeks about five parameters values, i.e. \(I_{ph}\), \(I_o\), \(R_s\) and \(R_p\). There are different methods to solve Eq. (3) using the different approximation mathematical models. The different models generally employ the manufacturers data sheet information. The L4P model assumes that the shunt resistance has infinite value and can be neglected. Eq. (3) can be rewritten using the following equation:

![Fig. 2. Equivalent circuit for a single diode model.](image-url)
Then, piecewise fitting algorithm and L4P have been used in order to obtain two differential values for short circuit and open circuit points at the maximum power point of theoretical and experimental measured curves. In [36], the authors presented analytical technique in order to estimate all parameters without any initial approximations or iterations. Also, this analytical technique was employed for estimating parameters of different PV cell technologies. This technique was programmed and implemented using C platform. The author in [54], presented hybrid approach of numerical and analytical methods. The obtained values of the analytical approach were used as an initial values for solving the nonlinear equations of the parameters in MATLAB. The author validated this approach using indoor and outdoor experimental measurements. A hybrid approach of numerical and analytical solution was presented by [55]. The author estimated Rs and the ideality factor n using analytical formulations based on the available data in the manufacturer’s datasheet. The other three parameters of Iph, Io and Rs were extracted using measured I-V curves of PV module using the linear least squares method. But, the author appended the ideality factor equal to 1.3. Other model as in [17] required additional information such as air mass modifier. Authors in [19] presented analytical equations to estimate the initial values of Rp, Rs and n using the available data in the manufacturer datasheet. Then, the model parameters were computed by solving the equations in the MATLAB environment by using the Newton Raphson method. The author highlighted that, the use of proper initial values leads to decrease the maximum number of iterations to 12.

2.1.1. Parameters for real outdoor weather conditions

Generally, the PV modules are operating in different weather conditions consequently the required parameters are dependent on the environmental conditions such as solar radiation and temperature. Therefore, it is necessary to relate all parameters to outdoor conditions [2, 9, 40, 54].

The Iph values depend on the cell temperature and the irradiance. Iph can be calculated as the following equation [2, 52, 54]:

$$I_{ph} = \frac{G}{G_{STC}} (I_{ph,STC} + \alpha_e (T_e - T_{STC}))$$

(6)

where, G, Iph, STC, and TSTC are solar irradiance, photocurrent and cell temperature at STC conditions, respectively. G, Iph, and Tc are the relevant parameters at the real outdoor conditions, \(\alpha_e\) is the current temperature coefficient of the PV module.

Reverse saturation current (I0) changes with PV cell temperature and can be computed using the following equation [52, 54]:

$$I_0 = I_{0,STC} \left( \frac{T_e}{T_{STC}} \right)^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{q}{kE_{g,STC}} \frac{E_g}{E_{g,STC}} \frac{T_e}{T_{STC}} \right)$$

(7)

where, I0,STC and TSTC are the reverse saturation current and the PV cell temperature at STC conditions, respectively. I0 and Tc are dependent on parameters at real outdoor condition. Eg,STC is the material band gap energy and equal to 1.121 eV for silicon PV cell at STC.

The band gap energy (Eg) is slightly dependent on the PV cell
temperature at outdoor conditions and can be expressed as follows [9, 52]:

\[ E_s = E_s,STC (1 - 0.0002677(T_c - T_{STC})) \]  

(8)

Also, authors in [9] presented the formula of \( E_s \) as in Eq. (9), which is suitable for the silicon cells technology and the two diode model.

\[ E_s = 1.17 - 0.000473 \frac{T_c^2}{T_c + 636} \]  

(9)

The factor (a) can be estimated using the following equation [43, 52, 56]:

\[ a = a_{STC} \left( \frac{T_c}{T_{STC}} \right) \]  

(10)

The influence of \( R_p \) parameter cannot be neglected because it is responsible about the shape of I-V curve at maximum power point and depends on PV cell temperature and solar irradiance [52]. So, it is rational to determine \( R_p \) and \( R_s \) values corresponding to thermal parameters of the material. The formula of \( R_p \) can be described as follows [2, 9, 52, 54, 56, 57]:

\[ R_p = R_{p,STC} \frac{T_{STC}}{T_c} \left[ 1 - \beta_{oc} \ln \left( \frac{G}{G_{STC}} \right) \right] \]  

(11)

where, \( R_{s,STC} \) is the series resistance at STC conditions and \( R_p \) is the resistance value at the real outdoor weather condition. The value of \( \beta_{oc} \) approaches 0.217.

Concerning the parallel resistance, the high values of \( R_p \) lead to flat slope of the short circuit point [52]. Authors in [9, 17, 56, 57] reported that, the \( R_p \) value is inversely proportional to the solar irradiance as follows:

\[ R_p = R_{p,STC} \frac{G_{STC}}{G} \]  

(12)

where \( R_{p,STC} \) is the parallel resistance at STC conditions. \( R_p \) is the parameter values at the real outdoor weather condition.

It can be noticed that the PV cell temperature \( T_c \) has significant value for estimating other parameters at outdoor conditions. \( T_c \) can be represented as a function of ambient temperature \( T_a \) and \( G \) using the following expression [9, 52]:

\[ T_c = T_a + \frac{G}{800} (T_{NOCT} + 293.15) \]  

(13)

where, \( T_{NOCT} \) is the nominal temperature of the PV cells at 800 W/m², an ambient temperature of 20 °C and a wind speed of 1 m/s⁻¹.

The PV module L4P can be obtained at the operating temperature and solar irradiance using Eq. (14) [40]:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{ph} &= \frac{G}{G_{ref}} \star [I_{ph,STC} + a_{STC} \star (T_c - T_{STC})] \\
I_o &= I_{o,STC} \star \exp \left[ \frac{E_s}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{T_{STC}}{T_c} \right) \right] \\
R_s &= R_{s,STC} \\
a &= a_{STC} \star \left( \frac{T_c}{T_{STC}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(14)

These four parameters (\( I_{ph}, I_o, R_s, a \)) are corrected to the environmental conditions changes using Eq. (14) to be used in Eq. (5)

2.1.2. Analytical initial conditions of parameters extraction

An unsuitable choice of bad initial parameters leads to increase the iteration number and sometimes leads to a divergence of the optimal solution [9, 36, 54]. In this section, literature on the analytical solutions are discussed to obtain the initial values for the numerical employed algorithm. The photocurrent (\( I_{ph,STC} \)) was estimated as the short circuit current in many research studies. This assumption was considered as the best initial point in various refined iterative algorithms [9]. But, authors in [54] presented the following equation to obtain \( I_{ph,STC} \) at the standard test conditions:

\[ I_{ph,STC} = I_{s,STC} \star \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{q(V_{o,STC})}{N_s K T_c} \right) - 1 \right\} + \frac{V_{o,STC}}{R_{p,STC}} \]  

(15)

where, \( I_{s,STC} \) is the dark saturation current at standard test conditions, \( a_{STC} \) is the diode ideality factor at standard test conditions and \( N_s \) is the number of series cells.

Many authors as in [16] set the diode ideality equals 1. These values are accepted only for the ideal cell and cannot be accurate for a real diode. As reported and adopted by [9], real solar cells present high values of ideality factor (1 ≤ n ≤ 2). Consequently, authors in [54] presented the following equation at the standard test conditions:

\[ a_{STC} = \frac{q (2 V_{app,STC} - V_{o,STC})}{N_s K T_c \ln \left( 1 - \frac{I_{ph,STC} \star V_{ph,STC}}{I_{app,STC}} \right)} \]  

(16)

The saturation current is dependent on the cell temperature rise and it is a function of cell temperature, short circuit current and open circuit voltage. According to [53] and [9], the initial value of the saturation current can be expressed as follow:

\[ I_{s,STC} = \frac{I_{o,STC}}{\exp \left\{ - \frac{q(V_{o,STC})}{N_s K T_c} \right\}} \]  

(17)

Consequently, in [54], the authors used Eq. (18) in the final calculations, but it can be noted that the equation is function in \( R_{p,STC} \) and \( R_{p,STC} \). So, the authors used Eq. (17) firstly to calculate the series and shunt resistances in order to avoid the rotating references. The main idea is that, the values of \( I_{ph,STC} \) and \( I_{s,STC} \) can be calculated, if the values of \( R_{p,STC} \) and \( R_{p,STC} \) are known.

\[ I_{o,STC} = \frac{I_{s,STC} \left( R_{p,STC} + R_{s,STC} \right) - V_{o,STC}}{R_{p,STC} \star \exp \left\{ - \frac{q(V_{o,STC})}{N_s K T_c} \right\} - \exp \left\{ \frac{q(V_{o,STC} \star R_{s,STC})}{N_s K T_c} \right\}} \]  

(18)

When the \( R_{p,STC} \) value is set to a very low, this parameter can be neglected sometimes. However, many researchers assumed that the initial value of the \( R_{p,STC} \) is fixed to zero. Authors in [9], used the following expression to compute \( R_{p,STC} \):

\[ R_{p,STC} = n_s \frac{V_1}{I_2 - I_1} \star \log \left( \frac{I_{ph} - I_1}{I_{ph} - I_2} \right) \]  

(19)

where, \( (V_2, I_2) \) and \( (V_1, I_1) \) are two points on measured I-V curve, which are closed to the open circuit voltage point. Concerning the shunt resistance, the following formula can be used to calculate initial value of \( R_{p,STC} \) as:

\[ R_{p,STC} = \frac{V_{app,STC}}{I_{app,STC} - \frac{V_{o,STC} - V_{app,STC}}{I_{app,STC}}} \]  

(20)

On the other hand in [54], The authors presented the following equation to calculate \( R_{s,STC} \) and \( R_{p,STC} \) as:

\[ R_{s,STC} = \frac{V_{app,STC}}{I_{app,STC} - \frac{2 V_{app,STC} - V_{o,STC}}{(I_{o,STC} - I_{app,STC}) \ln \left( 1 - \frac{I_{ph,STC} \star V_{ph,STC}}{I_{app,STC}} \right) + \frac{I_{ph,STC} \star V_{ph,STC}}{I_{o,STC} \star V_{o,STC}}}} \]  

(21)
\[ R_{p,STC} = \frac{R_{s,STC}}{C_s} \]  
\[ R_{v,STC} = \left[ \ln \left( \frac{I_m,STC - R_{p,STC}I_{m,STC}}{V_m,STC} \right) \right] N_s, V_0 \]  
\[ \frac{V_{oc,STC}}{L_{app,STC}} = \frac{V_{app,STC} + R_{p,STC}I_{app,STC}}{I_{ph,STC} - R_{s,STC} \exp \left( \frac{V_{app,STC} + R_{p,STC}I_{app,STC}}{N_s V_0} \right) - 1} - I_{app,STC} \]  

Authors in [20], presented a procedure to estimate the L5P of one diode model using performance tabular data that have the minimal data provided by PV manufacturers data sheet. The resistances \( R_{s,STC} \) and \( R_{p,STC} \) are extracted using the graphical data given by the manufacturers. Also, three analytical correlations are defined based on the performance data for more than one hundred PV modules. Reciprocal for slope of I-V curve is dependent on the short circuit and the open circuit points corresponding to \( R_{p,STC} \) and \( R_{s,STC} \), respectively. Finally, The authors represented \( R_{s,STC} \) and \( R_{p,STC} \) using the following empirical equation:

\[ R_{s,STC} = C_s \frac{V_m}{I_m} \quad R_{p,STC} = C_p \frac{V_m}{I_m} \]

where, \( C_s = 0.11175 \) and \( C_p = 34.49692 \).

The following analytical expressions are used as a start point for a numerical solution. The following approximations as in Eq. (26) are used to determine the initial values of the L4P at the standard test conditions as [40]:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{ph,STC} &= I_{0,STC} \\
I_{STC} &= \beta \frac{T_{STC}}{T_{ref}} - \frac{V_{oc,STC} + E_g}{(T_{STC}^2 \alpha_c / I_{p,STC}) - 1} \\
L_{s,STC} &= \frac{I_{ph,STC}}{\exp \left( V_{oc,STC} / \alpha_c \right) - 1} \\
R_{s,STC} &= \frac{a_{STC}}{\exp \left( \frac{V_{app,STC} / I_{p,STC}}{L_{app,STC}} \right) - 1} - V_{oc,STC} + V_{oc,STC} + V_{oc,STC} \\
\end{align*}
\]

3. Methodology

3.1. Methodology of the proposed approach

To estimate the performance of PV systems, reliable and effective predictive tools are important and must be sensitive to all the physical parameters. Predictive performance tools are designed by developers of the PV systems to optimize the system performance and to maximize the cost effectiveness of the systems. It is better to use less accurate predictive tool and to optimize the system performance and to maximize the cost parameters. This routine was easily used, like Visual Basic (VB) macros in Microsoft Excel.

Complex mathematical software packages such as SPICE, Mathematica and Matlab can carry out the nonlinear least squares fitting (NLSF). These programs have built in additional features such as the statistical tests to determine the confidence of the best fit and the advanced procedures such as the automated global fits [58]. Although such tools are used in the power electronics and for engineering applications, it might be beneficial to use Microsoft Excel, which is widely used for diverse calculations. Excel solver has been used in numerous and different applications. The authors in [58], introduced and described all details about the Excel solver.

Using Excel, a wider class of students can be enabled to become more interested in studying PV systems. Thus, this work presents a propsoed approach using Microsoft Excel, where Microsoft Excel is used to model and estimate the optimal parameters of PV modules. Output values of solar simulator and array tester devices are used as input to the developed Excel worksheet. It is noted that, Eq. (5) is implicit and involves the parameters. This routine was easily used, like Visual Basic (VB) macros in Microsoft Excel.

Complex mathematical software packages such as SPICE, Mathematica and Matlab can carry out the nonlinear least squares fitting (NLSF). These programs have built in additional features such as the statistical tests to determine the confidence of the best fit and the advanced procedures such as the automated global fits [58]. Although such tools are used in the power electronics and for engineering applications, it might be beneficial to use Microsoft Excel, which is widely used for diverse calculations. Excel solver has been used in numerous and different applications. The authors in [58], introduced and described all details about the Excel solver.

Using Excel, a wider class of students can be enabled to become more interested in studying PV systems. Thus, this work presents a propsoed approach using Microsoft Excel, where Microsoft Excel is used to model and estimate the optimal parameters of PV modules. Output values of solar simulator and array tester devices are used as input to the developed Excel worksheet. It is noted that, Eq. (5) is implicit and involves the parameters.
The presented approach, to extract the optimal parameters of the PV module, is based on the least-squares fitting (LSF), i.e. by minimizing the difference between measured data point \(I_{\text{model}}\) and its calculated counterpart \(I_{\text{measured}}\). The evaluation is going on all data points which are considered in the regression analysis. This evaluation is used to guarantee the accuracy of the model using the real data \([59]\). Many references used the standard error analysis like the root mean squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) to determine the accuracy of their methods \([24]\). The employed objective function is to minimize the sum squared residuals between the measured data and simulation results and employ the relative weighting based on the measured data. The percentage RMS errors are presented as follows \([51]\):

\[
\text{RMS}(\%) = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(I_{\text{measured}} - I_{\text{model}})^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}I_{\text{measured}}} \times 100 \tag{27}
\]

\[
\text{NRMSE} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(I_{\text{measured}} - I_{\text{model}})^2}}{I_{\text{measured}}} \times 100 \tag{28}
\]

This approach is practically straightforward and applicable whenever the error scales employ the measured variable as relative weight. The implementation of the proposed approach to find the optimal parameters of the PV modules using the measured data and the simulated data is shown in the flow chart as in Fig. 3. The simplicity of this approach makes it handy for power electronics engineers, assists the designers with an effective tool for accurate analysis and simulation of the PV modules.

4. Experimental

4.1. Experimental measurements of the studied case

4.1.1. Characteristics of PV module

In order to validate the PV model using in-field measurements, an experimental setup using the PV module TRINA-295 PCI4 (Poly-crystalline cells) and the array tester is established for detailed modeling. The PV module provides 295 W of nominal power and consists of 72 cells connected in series. The measurements of the I-V and P-V characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(I_{\text{oc}}) (A)</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{oc}}) (V)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{mp}}) (V)</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{\text{mpp}}) (A)</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_{\text{oc}}) (%/K)</td>
<td>- 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_{\text{sc}}) (%/K)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Electrical data of TSM-295 PV module at standard test conditions.

-  
Fig. 4. PV module TSM-295 I-V curves for the experimental measurements and the L4P Excel worksheet model.

Fig. 5. PV module TSM-295 P-V curves for the experimental measurements and the L4P Excel worksheet model.
curves of the considered PV module technology are performed with the array tester 550 at the Electronics Research Institute, Egypt. Experimental evaluation and characterization for power quality parameters of 8 kW grid connected system and all details about the considered field location in this paper can be found in [60, 61]. The solar irradiance and the ambient temperature as well as the I-V, P-V curves are all measured by the array tester 550, which is a reference cell and array tester. The tester contains a data acquisition card connected to a personal computer, and the output data can be collected using LabVIEW software. The manufacturer specifications of the PV module at the standard test conditions are presented in Table 2.

The importance of parameters estimation are presented using the measured actual data at the real outdoor conditions rather than the information given by the datasheet only. Developed Excel worksheet is constructed using the parameters given in the datasheet at the STC for PV module TRINA TSM-295 PC14. Firstly, by using Eq. (26) and the manufacture template data, the analytical solution of the four parameters initial values at STC conditions can be calculated. Then, the four parameters of the L4P model (Iph, Io, Rs, a) are corrected to the real time measurement values of solar irradiance and ambient temperature using Eq. (14) and to be used in Eq. (5).

The simulation results of the I-V and P-V curves are compared to the measured actual data for the tested PV module TSM-295 at different solar irradiances and ambient temperatures. The measured values are shown in Fig. 6 to Fig. 8. The Excel worksheet model for the fitted L4P model parameters is also shown in the figures for comparison.
measurement results as provided in Figs. 4 and 5. These results show the
difference between the analytical solution output obtained from the
datasheet values at STC and the outdoor measured data. These results
confirm that, estimated parameters which are dependent on the infor-
mation given by the manufacturer data sheet only, have drawbacks and
not more accurate to be applied for performance analysis.

4.1.2. Implementation of least squares data fitting

The importance of the algorithm is to fit the PV module current as in
Eq. (3) for each measured I-V points. For each I-V curve, set of parameter
values (i.e., \( I_{ph} \), \( I_0 \), \( R_n \), \( R_p \), a) are obtained. Parameter values of the PV
model are estimated by fitting the simulated I-V curve at the irradiance
and temperature conditions with the measured I-V curve. The imple-
mentation of the nonlinear least-squares technique, to find the parame-
ters of the PV module is shown in details in flow chart presented in Fig. 3.
To relieve the effects of cabling connections on the calculated values of
\( R_n \), currents and voltages measurements are obtained at the PV module
terminals.

The proposed approach of data fitting with the aid of Excel worksheet
and solver can be divided into the following steps: (i) The RMS or NRMSE
Fig. 12. Four series TSM-295 modules I-V curve for experimental measurements and Excel worksheet model at 653.8 W/m² and 30 °C.

Fig. 13. Four series TSM-295 modules P-V curve for experimental measurements and Excel worksheet model. 653.8 W/m² and 30 °C.

Fig. 14. Convergence characteristics IAP.
between the measured and calculated data are computed using an Excel worksheet. (ii) The Solver add-in is adopted with the help of visual basic. The objective function is to minimize RMS or NRMSE. Excel worksheet cells, which contain the values of the required parameters are specified. (iii) The fitting procedure is repeated many times using the estimated values of the adjustable parameters at each step. (iv) The confidence of the estimated parameter value is assessed by fixing the investigated parameter at a value, which is slightly different from the optimal one.

Figs. 6 and 7 show the PV module I-V and P-V characteristics obtained using the implemented model after the curve fitting with the obtained experimentally measured curve at solar radiation 999.5 W/m² and ambient temperature 29 °C. These figures illustrate an excellent agreement between the measurements and the developed model output. Further, as shown in Figs. 8 and 9, the developed Excel worksheet model of I-V and P-V characteristics show excellent agreement with the similar measurements of solar radiation value 801.8 W/m² and ambient temperature value 30 °C. These figures show the capability, efficiently and accurately of the estimated parameters using the proposed approach.

5. Analysis

5.1. Analysis of the proposed approach validation

The characteristics of two and four series connected PV modules are simulated using the proposed approach and their responses are fitted to the experimental measurements as shown in Figs. 10, 11, 12, and 13. The proposed Excel worksheet approach for I-V and P-V curves show excellent agreement with the experimental measurements of various PV modules connections at different weather conditions. In case of double series modules, as shown in Figs. 10 and 11, the solar radiation value is 694 W/m² and the ambient temperature value is 31 °C. Finally, in the case of four series modules as shown in Figs. 12 and 13, the solar radiation value is 653.8 W/m² and the ambient temperature value is 30 °C. The confidence of the estimated parameter values are assessed by fixing the parameter to be inspected at a value which is somewhat different from the optimal one. The convergence characteristics of the estimated L4P parameters of polycrystalline PV module TSM-295 are presented in Table 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>One Module</th>
<th>Two series modules</th>
<th>Four series modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ph}$ (A)</td>
<td>8.10563</td>
<td>6.39638</td>
<td>5.40645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.3838</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>1.4277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
<td>0.32828</td>
<td>0.36944</td>
<td>0.5137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>2.62166</td>
<td>2.39017</td>
<td>2.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>0.45409</td>
<td>0.47955</td>
<td>1.46412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>One Module</th>
<th>Two series modules</th>
<th>Four series modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ph}$ (A)</td>
<td>8.17229</td>
<td>6.48199</td>
<td>5.57698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$ (μA)</td>
<td>9.62610</td>
<td>5.2983*10^{-10}</td>
<td>2.9813*10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
<td>0.35709</td>
<td>0.417502</td>
<td>0.89404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_p$ (Ω)</td>
<td>275.394</td>
<td>246.5277</td>
<td>519.56788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>2.42461</td>
<td>2.15729</td>
<td>3.96764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>0.36762</td>
<td>0.35286</td>
<td>0.63126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated parameters</th>
<th>SST 230 Polycrystalline</th>
<th>Shell SP75 Monocrystalline</th>
<th>Shell SQ150 Monocrystalline</th>
<th>Shell ST40 Thin-film</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ph}$ (A)</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>8.53831</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.84726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$ (μA)</td>
<td>3.6230</td>
<td>1.56212</td>
<td>1.4356</td>
<td>1.4356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
<td>0.1293</td>
<td>0.15548</td>
<td>0.2524</td>
<td>0.2524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>1.6230</td>
<td>2.46294</td>
<td>1.5619</td>
<td>1.5619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{ph}$ (A)</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>8.53831</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.84726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_0$ (μA)</td>
<td>0.094629</td>
<td>1.56212</td>
<td>0.06957</td>
<td>0.06957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.16864</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_p$ (Ω)</td>
<td>152.6382</td>
<td>202.9909</td>
<td>236.768</td>
<td>236.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5382</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. Polycrystalline module SST230 I-V characteristics using the proposed approach.
Fig. 14. The estimated L4Ps are obtained and listed in Table 3. Firstly, by using \( I_{ph,STC} = I_{sc,STC} \) and \( a_{STC} \) as in Eq. (26) as well as Eqs. (17), (19), and (20) and the manufacture template data, the parameters, \( I_0, R_s, R_p, a \) at STC conditions are determined, correspondingly. According to the real-time measurement values of solar irradiance and ambient temperature, the five parameters of the L5P model are calculated using Eqs. (6), (7), and (11) of \( I_{ph}, I_0, R_s \) corresponding, \( R_p = R_{p,STC} \) and \( a = a_{STC} \). Also, \( E_g \) can be calculated using Eq. (8), While \( E_{g,STC} = 1.17 \). The estimated parameters are obtained and listed in Table 4 as method 1.

**Table 6**

RMS Errors four parameter model calculated for SQ150, ST40 and SST230 modules (at \( T = 25 \) °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irradiance (W/m²)</th>
<th>SST 230 Proposed Method (%)</th>
<th>SQ150 Proposed Method (%)</th>
<th>ST40 Proposed Method (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.1082</td>
<td>0.3125</td>
<td>1.7421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.6553</td>
<td>0.3065</td>
<td>1.8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.9864</td>
<td>0.3827</td>
<td>1.6951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS error four parameter model (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.3313</td>
<td>0.3013</td>
<td>2.2239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.8523</td>
<td>0.73614</td>
<td>2.1550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.5111</td>
<td>1.35779</td>
<td>2.2515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7**

PV module KC-200GT estimated parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated parameters</th>
<th>Kyocera KC-200GT Proposed Method [%]</th>
<th>[16]</th>
<th>[50]</th>
<th>[19]</th>
<th>[14]</th>
<th>[55]</th>
<th>[41]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( I_{ph} ) (A)</td>
<td>8.214</td>
<td>8.2132</td>
<td>8.2132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2119</td>
<td>8.211</td>
<td>8.2252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_0 ) (μA)</td>
<td>0.09825</td>
<td>0.097631</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17097</td>
<td>0.00212</td>
<td>0.0076324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_s ) (Ω)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.2198</td>
<td>0.2172</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.1958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_p ) (Ω)</td>
<td>415.405</td>
<td>597.3855</td>
<td>991.5159</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132.88</td>
<td>106.0344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3370</td>
<td>1.3405</td>
<td>1.0758</td>
<td>1.1833</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( NRMSE )</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>0.0269</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Results and discussion

In this section, the estimated L4P and L5P are compared with other research studies. The estimated L4P and L5P described in this paper are validated by estimating the parameters of three different modules such as polycrystalline, amorphous, and thin film as presented in Table 5. The identified parameters are compared with previously reported study given in [51]. The $I_{ph,STC}$ and $I_{o,STC}$ can be computed after obtaining the values of $R_s, R_p$ and $a$ using Eqs. (15), (18), (21), and (22) for L5P of the single diode PV model. $I_{ph,STC}$ is computed firstly using Eq. (17) in order to facilitate the calculations of $R_s$ and $R_p$, then $I_{ph,STC}$ can be computed using $I_{o,STC}$ as in Eq. (18) to avoid the rotating references. According to real-time measurement values of solar irradiance and ambient temperature, the five parameters of L5P are calculated dependent on Eqs. (6), (7), (11), and (12) of $I_{ph}$, $I_o$, $R_s$ and $R_p$, respectively, and $a = a_{stc}, E_{stc}$ can be calculated using Eq. (8), while $E_{ph,STC} = 1.17$. Finally, The estimated parameters are obtained and listed in Table 5 as method 2.

Figs. 15, 16, and 17 present comparison for some of the results that are obtained at different operating conditions using the proposed L5P approach. The obvious conclusion from these figures is that the I-V curves generated by the L5P model are accurate in fitting with the measured data which are given by the corresponding module manufacturers for different PV cell technologies.

Table 6 exhibits the RMS errors that are obtained using the proposed approach of L4P and L5P for three types of PV cells with different technologies. It can be noticed that, the proposed approach provides the best match with the experimental data compared with the results by [51]. Also, the proposed approach can be integrated into the sun simulator and array tester devices.

The extracted five parameters of Kyocera KC-200 GT PV module are shown in Table 7. The identified model parameters and NRMSE percentage of the proposed method of Kyocera KC-200 GT PV module are compared with [16, 41, 50, 55]. The authors in [55], presented an analytical expression for estimating the series resistance and the ideality factor using datasheet information and employed the least squares method for solving the three equations of saturation current, photocurrent and shunt resistance. Authors in [41, 55] presented lower than expected values of the ideality factor and the saturation current and consequently, led to the low value of the shunt resistance. The differences between these parameter values using these researches and the proposed approach are due to the lack of accurate initial conditions. The proposed approach using Excel worksheet employs five analytical equations to estimate initial values and solves for the optimal values of five parameters, simultaneously, based on the least squares method. The authors in [14], reviewed and evaluated six analytical methods for modeling and extracting circuit parameters of KC200GT PV module. The authors compared the results with an iterative method as in [19] and the Numerical method, Newton-Raphson as in [16]. Also, the authors in [14], concluded that the analytical methods can compete in terms of accuracy with their numerical counterparts. In [19], the authors used Newton Raphson method in order to solve the equations of $R_s$, $R_p$ and ideality factor by starting from the presented analytical initial values. Results in [14] were presented based on the presented method by [19]. It can be noticed that the difference between results of Kyocera KC-200 GT PV module are due to the impact of initial values as well as the main effect of the employed formula for the ideality factor and the saturation current.

7. Conclusions

This paper presents a proposed approach to estimate the parameters of PV module using the experimental measurements based on the nonlinear least-squares algorithm. This approach has been implemented to estimate parameters of 295W polycrystalline TRINA TSM-295 PC14 PV module using the single diode models. Good agreement has been obtained between the simulation output with the calculated parameters and the experimental measurements at different environmental conditions. The developed Excel worksheet can facilitate to study PV system performance, design and analysis of various technologies of PV cells. In this way, the proposed approach is expected to be useful in the development of the solar cells simulator, degradation analysis and for development of MPP tracking algorithms, employing few steps and few milliseconds execution times using a simple notebook. Finally, It is concluded that the proposed approach can be employed as an easy tool for the PV power converter designers and the circuit simulator developers. In the future work, the impact of different operating conditions such as the dynamic shading of PV modules can be studied. Finally, the proposed Excel worksheet approach for PV module modeling can be implemented in an optimization toolbox with the aid of visual basic and presented handy method for power electronics engineers and designers.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

A. Elkholy: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

A. A. Abou El-Ela: Conceived and designed the experiments; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

References


