



Moving nursing beyond $p < .05$

Matthew J. Hayat, PhD^{a,*}, Vincent S. Staggs, PhD^{b,1,2}, Todd A. Schwartz, DrPH^{c,1},
Melinda Higgins, PhD^{d,1}, Andres Azuero, PhD, MBA^e, Chakra Budhathoki, PhD^f,
Rameela Chandrasekhar, PhD^g, Paul Cook, PhD^h, Emily Cramer, PhDⁱ,
Mary S. Dietrich, PhD, MS^j, Mauricio Garnier-Villarreal, PhD^k, Alexandra Hanlon, PhD^l,
Jianghua He, PhD^m, Jinxiang Hu, PhD^m, MyoungJin Kim, PhDⁿ, Martina Mueller, PhD^o,
Joseph R. Nolan, PhD^p, Yelena Perkhounkova, PhD^q, Janet Rothers, PhD^r,
Glenna Schluck, PhD^s, Xiaogang Su, PhD^t, Thomas N. Templin, PhD^u,
Michael T. Weaver, RN, PhD, FAAN^v, Qing Yang, PhD^w, Sangbeak Ye, PhD^x

^aDepartment of Population Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Baydine F. Lewis College of Nursing & Health Professions (Joint), Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA

^bDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Biostatistics & Epidemiology Core, Children's Mercy Kansas City, Kansas City, MO

^cDepartment of Biostatistics, Gillings School of Global Public Health, School of Nursing, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC

^dSchool of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

^eSchool of Nursing, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

^fSchool of Nursing, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

^gDepartment of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, School of Nursing, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

^hCollege of Nursing, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO

ⁱSchool of Nursing, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

^jSchool of Medicine (Biostatistics, VICC, Psychiatry), School of Nursing, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

^kCollege of Nursing, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

^lDepartment of Statistics, Center for Biostatistics and Health Data Science, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA

^mDepartment of Biostatistics and Data Science, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

ⁿOffice of Nursing Research, Scholarship, and Innovation, Mennonite College of Nursing, Illinois State University, Normal, IL

^oCollege of Nursing, Department of Public Health Sciences (Joint), Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC

^pDepartment of Statistics, Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights, KY

^qOffice for Nursing Research and Scholarship, College of Nursing, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

^rCollege of Nursing, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

^sCollege of Nursing, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

^tDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX

^uCollege of Nursing, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI

^vCollege of Nursing, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

^wSchool of Nursing, Duke University, Durham, NC

^xSchool of Nursing and Health Studies, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, MO

We write as a community of professional statisticians and quantitative methodologists with extensive collective experience in nursing research. Our group

includes faculty in academic nursing programs, statistics reviewers for nursing research journals, statistics educators who teach nursing students, and statistics

Competing Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest and no competing interests.

* Corresponding author: Matthew J Hayat, School of Public Health, Georgia State University, PO Box 3984, Atlanta, GA 30302-3894.

E-mail address: mhayat@gsu.edu (M.J. Hayat).

¹ Primary author.

² Joint first author.

collaborators on nursing research studies. Members of our group have participated in expert panel discussions and presentations at international statistics conferences about the use of statistics in nursing research and education (Hayat, Eckardt Higgins, Kim, & Schmiede, 2013; Hayat, Higgins, Schwartz, & Staggs 2014). Our efforts are further described in the opening editorial for a special issue of *Nursing Research* devoted to statistics in nursing (Hayat, 2012). Since 2011 we have continued to maintain an email listserv for statisticians in nursing.

Over the years, members of our group have routinely encountered, and tried to address, misuses and misunderstandings of p values, and significance testing. Fortunately, the American Statistical Association (ASA) recently launched a large-scale effort aimed at “Moving to a World Beyond ‘ $p < .05$ ’” (Wasserstein, Schirm, & Lazar, 2019), publishing a 19-page editorial with this title in the ASA-sponsored journal *The American Statistician*, along with 43 thought-provoking papers from prominent statisticians and other experts on the topic. Wasserstein et al. (2019) call for abandoning the phrase “statistically significant” and discontinuing the practice of categorizing p values based on an arbitrary threshold such as .05, noting that, “Regardless of whether it was ever useful, a declaration of ‘statistical significance’ has today become meaningless.”

It is unfortunate that the term “significant” was ever attached to “ $p < .05$.” Webster’s dictionary defines the word “significance” as “importance.” Yet, statistical significance is not synonymous with importance. This misleading label has led to misinterpretations and poor decisions that have cost lives, money, and resources (Ziliak & McCloskey, 2008). Patients and healthcare providers have paid a heavy price for the science community’s reliance on statistical significance as a criterion for importance. Decisions should never be made based solely on a significance test or p value. The deep issues with inappropriately using p values as a measure of importance were addressed nearly a decade ago by Hayat (2010) in *Nursing Research*, but the reality is that p values continue to be poorly understood and widely misused.

Our hope is that editorial boards of nursing journals will consider revising their author submission and publication guidelines’ to provide clear guidance more consistent with the promotion of rigorous and reproducible science. Specifically, in line with the recent effort by the ASA, we recommend including the following manuscript submission requirements:

- 1) When a p value is reported, state its value regardless of how small or large it may be.

- 2) Avoid using .05 or any other cutoff for a p value as the basis for a decision about the meaningfulness/importance of an effect.
- 3) In reporting a p value, a measure of the effect size should be included, along with a corresponding interval estimate (e.g., confidence interval).

The “ $p < .05$ ” standard and concomitant language of “statistical significance” are well-entrenched, despite the damage that has resulted from their use. This recent initiative among statisticians to move beyond “ $p < .05$ ” is an escalation of a longstanding agenda in the statistics discipline to shift science away from “statistical significance” and toward measures of clinical and practical importance. We would like to see the nursing science community take this important step forward, abandoning the language of “statistical significance” in favor of meaningful statistics, which are essential for sound science and healthcare decision-making.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:[10.1016/j.outlook.2019.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2019.06.010).

REFERENCES

- Hayat, M. J. (2010). Understanding statistical significance. *Nursing Research*, 59(3), 219–223, doi:[10.1097/NNR.0b013e3181dbb2cc](https://doi.org/10.1097/NNR.0b013e3181dbb2cc).
- Hayat, M. J. (2012). Statistics in nursing research. *Nursing Research*, 61(3), 147–148, doi:[10.1097/NNR.0b013e318257f5dc](https://doi.org/10.1097/NNR.0b013e318257f5dc).
- Hayat, M. J., Eckardt, P., Higgins, M., Kim, M., & Schmiede, S. (2013). Teaching statistics to nursing students: an expert panel consensus. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 52(6), 330–334, doi:[10.3928/01484834-20130430-01](https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20130430-01).
- Hayat, M. J., Higgins, M., Schwartz, T. A., & Staggs, V. S. (2014). Statistical challenges in nursing education and research: an expert panel consensus. *Nurse Educator*, 40(1), 21–25, doi:[10.1097/NNE.0000000000000080](https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0000000000000080).
- Wasserstein, R. L., Schirm, A. L., & Lazar, N. A. (2019). Moving to a world beyond “ $p < 0.05$.” *The American Statistician*, 73, 1–19, doi:[10.1080/00031305.2019.1583913](https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2019.1583913).
- Ziliak, S. T., & McCloskey, D. N. (2008). *The cult of statistical significance: How the standard error costs us jobs, justice, and lives*. Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan Press.