

Results. Overall, use of mortality risk prediction scores was acceptable and feasible. There was some disagreement related to the use of SOFA scores as an effective way for determining patient mortality risk. Providers with limited ICU experience were eager and accepting of the scores while those with vast experience found the scores to be an adjunct to their own intuition. All providers acknowledged the benefit of looking at daily scores or ‘trends’ and the most substantial theme was the need to consider SOFA scores in relation to patient context.

Conclusion. Use of SOFA scores for potentially increasing EOL goals-of-care conversations appears to be most beneficial for providers with limited ICU experience. A case example will be provided for attendees.

Implications for Research, Policy, or Practice. Deficiencies in EOL care communication can compromise quality of EOL care and increase resource utilization. Although large-scale studies are needed to determine the effect on patient EOL outcomes, routine consideration of mortality prediction scores may provide an avenue for more accurate and timelier EOL goals-of-care communication.

For Change You Need a Roadmap: An Implementation Model to Improve Serious Illness Communication Across Health Systems (S860)



Joanna Paladino, MD, Ariadne Labs, Boston, MA. Francine Maloney, MPH, Ariadne Labs, Boston, MA. Jane Kavanagh, BA, Ariadne Labs/Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA. Erik Fromme, MD MSCR FAAHPM, Ariadne Labs, Boston, MA.

Objectives

1. Describe the three phases of a novel implementation model designed to achieve system-level improvement in serious illness communication.
2. Apply incremental milestones derived from implementation science and organizational change to primary palliative care programs.

Original Research Background. Programs that aim to improve clinician-patient communication about values and goals (‘serious illness communication’) often focus on skills-training while neglecting the system in which communication takes place. Driving measurable improvements in communication requires organization-level change.

Research Objectives. Develop a novel implementation model for improving serious illness communication across a health system with practical steps for palliative care leaders.

Methods. Researchers and implementers with the Serious Illness Care Program (SICP) at Ariadne Labs

(AL) conducted a four-stage process: 1) Assembled an expert panel (n=10) to draft a theory of change. 2) Reviewed the implementation science and organizational change literature. 3) Synthesized learnings into key implementation features and engaged a designer to create a “roadmap.” 4) Refined the roadmap with feedback from its application in three systems.

Results. The ‘Implementation Roadmap’ has three phases: Prepare; Train/Coach; Sustain. Phase one creates a supportive environment for implementation. Actions include engaging leaders and colleagues to gain buy-in, assembling and training a team of champions (trainers + implementation team) with dedicated resources, selecting levers to support practice change, choosing pilot sites, and customizing the program (clinician training/coaching; workflow; EHR template; metrics for monitoring/evaluation). Phase two launches the program in pilot sites with rapid-cycle-improvement. The team trains early-adopter clinicians in serious illness communication who initiate the workflow, resulting in documented conversations with patients. The team uses metrics to track conversations, get feedback, and provide support to frontline clinicians. Phase three expands the program to new sites and plans for evaluation and sustainability, e.g. dashboards, automated triggers.

Conclusion. An Implementation Roadmap provides incremental milestones and practical steps to support palliative care leaders interested in organization-level, measurable improvements in serious illness communication across populations and settings.

Implications for Research, Policy, or Practice. Improving communication for a population requires education plus systems-change; following a roadmap may increase the likelihood of reaching the destination: every patient, every time.

Measuring the Quality of Palliative Care for Patients with End Stage Liver Disease (S861)



Arpan Patel, MD, Mount Sinai, New York, NY. Steven Asch, MD MPH, Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA. Anna Liza Antonio, DRPH, University of California, Los Angeles Health, Los Angeles, CA. Fasiha Kanwal, MD MSHS, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX. Karl Lorenz, MD MS MSHS, Stanford - VA Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA. Deborah Riopelle, MSPH, VA Health Services Research and Development Service/CSHIIP, Los Angeles, CA. Martin Lee, PhD, University of California, Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA.

Anne Walling, MD, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

Objectives

1. Discuss sampling strategies for measuring palliative care quality among Veterans with end stage liver disease (ESLD).
2. Describe approaches for measuring the quality of care for Veterans with (ESLD).

Original Research Background. Nineteen palliative care quality indicators (QIs) for patients with End Stage Liver Disease (PC-ESLD) were recently developed using the RAND/UCLA appropriateness method (RAM).

Research Objectives. These indicators were developed to measure the quality of care for patients with decompensated cirrhosis and a MELD > 19 or a CTP Score of 12 or greater.

Methods. We identified patients newly diagnosed with decompensated cirrhosis in 2012 who lived at least 30 days after diagnosis at a single Veteran health center using any of three ICD-9 based sampling strategies and followed them for one year or until death. A chart abstraction tool established a gold standard for clinical documentation of ESLD to compare the sensitivity and specificity of sampling strategies. We also pilot tested the PC-ESLD QIs in all patients confirmed to have ESLD.

Results. Out of 167 patients identified using at least one sampling strategy, 62 were confirmed to meet eligibility criteria after chart abstraction. The best performing sampling strategy had a sensitivity of 62% and specificity of 60%. The addition of laboratory values can improve specificity while limiting sensitivity. 98% of Veterans in the cohort were male, mean age at diagnosis was 61, 74.2% were white, 14.5% African American, 1.6% Asian, 6.5% other, and 3.2% did not have data on race. 38% were married or living with significant other, 36% homeless, and 66% had a history of drug or alcohol use. The overall QI pass rate for our cohort was 65% (59% for information care planning QIs and 76% for supportive care QIs). Patients receiving palliative care consultation were more likely to meet criteria for information care planning QIs (68% vs. 36%, $p=0.02$).

Conclusion. PC-ESLD Quality Indicators are valid and feasible.

Implications for Research, Policy, or Practice. Measuring the quality of palliative care for patients with ESLD is feasible and can identify opportunities for quality improvement in a vulnerable population.

Palliative Care Needs of Individuals with Cystic Fibrosis: A National Survey of Patients, Caregivers, and Cystic Fibrosis Care Team Members (S862)

Elisabeth Dellon, MD MPH, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC. Mara

Hobler, PhD, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, WA. Anna Georgiopoulos, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA. Jessica Goggin, MAS RN, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA. Elaine Chen, MD, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL. Sarah Hempstead, MS, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Bethesda, MD. Albert Faro, MD, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Bethesda, MD. Dio Kavalieratos, PhD, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.

Objectives

1. Describe patient, caregiver, and providers perceptions of how palliative care in cystic fibrosis differs from palliative care in other conditions.
2. Identify the top palliative care needs of individuals with cystic fibrosis.

Original Research Background. Although palliative care (PC) has been demonstrated to alleviate suffering for patients with serious illness, no evidence exists for its role in cystic fibrosis (CF).

Research Objectives. To ensure the relevance of future CF-specific PC education and interventions, we 1) identified top PC needs of individuals with CF; and 2) examined perceptions of if/how PC may need to be different in CF.

Methods. Adults with CF (“patients”), family caregivers (“caregivers”), and CF care providers (“providers”) recruited from online listservs maintained by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation completed an online survey. We used descriptive statistics to analyze responses.

Results. All participant groups, including 85% of 70 patients, 87% of 100 caregivers, and 84% of 350 providers felt PC in CF differs from PC in other conditions. All groups ranked the unpredictable disease course as the top difference (44% of patients, 42% of caregivers, 39% of providers). Other key differences included shortened life expectancy and CF being a lifelong disease. Emotional support was identified as the top PC need of individuals with CF (ranked first by 38% of patients, 45% of caregivers, 49% of providers), with emotional symptom management also being a top need per patients and caregivers. Patients and providers felt advance care planning is a top PC need. Adult providers ranked physical symptom management as a top need more often than pediatric providers (47% vs 29%, $P=0.001$). Pediatric providers identified caregiver support as a top need more often than adult providers (28% vs 16%, $P=0.011$).

Conclusion. Most patients, caregivers, and providers feel that PC is different in CF than in other serious illnesses and identify a variety of PC needs.

Implications for Research, Policy, or Practice. PC education and interventions targeting key differences and needs could enhance the impact of PC in CF.

