

tendon allograft to reconstruct irreparable posterior-superior rotator cuff tears. Techniques have been developed to perform this procedure arthroscopically. However, the outcome of arthroscopically assisted lower trapezius transfer is largely unknown. The purpose of this study is to report the outcome of arthroscopically assisted lower trapezius transfer to reconstruct irreparable posterior-superior rotator cuff tear.

Methods: Forty-one consecutive patients with irreparable posterior-superior rotator cuff tears who underwent an arthroscopically assisted transfer of the lower trapezius transfer were included in this study. There was an associated repairable tear of the subscapularis tendon in 25 shoulders. The average age of the patients was 52 (range, 37-71) years and average follow-up was 13 months (range, 6-17 months). Nineteen patients had true pseudoparalysis of the shoulder on preoperative examination. Outcome measures included visual pain analogue score (VAS), range of motion (ROM), subjective shoulder value (SSV), and Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) score.

Results: Thirty-seven patients had significant improvement of all outcome scores: VAS, SSV and DASH. At most recent follow-up, range of motion averaged: 133° flexion, 95° abduction, and 47° external rotation. Outcome was not affected by the presence of a subscapularis tear. However, three patients who had preoperative arthritic changes of the shoulder, 2 with Hamada 2 and one Hamada 3, had persistent pain and limited range of motion of the shoulder after surgery, and 2 of them underwent reverse shoulder arthroplasty. One patient had significant improvement of pain but with no improvement of motion, and elected not to have further surgery. Two additional patients had a traumatic rupture of the transfer as result of fall (at 5 and 8 months post op). One underwent revision arthroscopic repair and did well after surgery, and the other had good pain relief but recurrent weakness and limited range of motion, and elected not to have a revision surgery.

Conclusions: Arthroscopic assisted lower trapezius transfer may lead to a good outcome in patients with massive irreparable posterior-superior rotator cuff tears, including patients with pseudoparalysis. The presence of an associated repairable subscapularis tear did not affect the outcome. However, the presence of radiographic degenerative changes did lead to a worse outcome and the need for revision to reverse shoulder arthroplasty.

Paper #3 * LATARJET PROCEDURE VERSUS ILIAC-CREST BONE GRAFT TRANSFER FOR TREATMENT OF ANTERIOR SHOULDER INSTABILITY WITH GLENOID BONE LOSS: A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED TRIAL

Philipp Moroder, MD^a, Eva Schulz, MD^b, Guido Wierer, MD^b, Alexander Auffarth, MD^b, Peter Habermeyer, MD^c, Herbert Resch, MD^b, Mark Tauber, MD^c, ^aCenter for Musculoskeletal Surgery, Campus Virchow, Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany; ^bDepartment of Traumatology and Sports Injuries, Paracelsus Medical University, Strubergasse 21, 5020 Salzburg, Austria; ^cDepartment of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery, ATOS Clinic Munich, Effnerstrasse 38, 81925 Munich, Germany

Introduction: The Latarjet and iliac-crest bone graft transfer (ICBGT) procedure are competing treatment options for anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss. Despite the fact that several clinical, radiological, and biomechanical studies have shown both the advantages and disadvantages for either technique no prospective randomized clinical outcome trials are currently available. Goal of this study was to compare the clinical and radiological outcome of

the Latarjet and the ICBGT procedure by means of a prospective randomized trial. The hypothesis of this study was that the Latarjet and ICBGT procedure for treatment of anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss provide the same clinical and radiological outcome.

Methods: In a bi-centric prospective randomized study 60 patients with anterior shoulder instability and glenoid bone loss were included and randomly allocated with a 1:1 ratio to either an open Latarjet or open ICBGT procedure. Surgeries were performed by two experienced surgeons at each center with experience in both techniques. Exclusion criteria were unwillingness to participate in the randomization process, pre-existing ipsilateral shoulder pathology, previous ipsilateral shoulder surgery except open or arthroscopic Bankart repair, previous infection, neuro-muscular disease, lack of compliance, problems with attending the regular follow-ups, and chronic alcohol or drug abuse. Clinical evaluation was completed before surgery as well as 6, 12, and 24 months after surgery including the Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index (WOSI; main outcome measurement), Rowe Score, Subjective Shoulder Value (SSV), pain level, satisfaction level, work and sports impairment as well as assessment of instability, range of motion and strength. Additionally, adverse events were prospectively recorded. Radiographic evaluation included preoperative, postoperative, and follow-up CT scans with 3D reconstruction used for longitudinal evaluation of the changes of glenoid diameter, area, depth, and version as well as the glenoid track. The final follow-up rate was 90.0%. Power analysis and online trial registration were accomplished prior to the beginning of the study and approval of the local ethical committees was obtained.

Results: The WOSI, Rowe Score, SSV, satisfaction level, pain level, work and sports impairment showed no significant difference between both groups ($p>0.05$). Range of motion showed no significant difference except for significantly diminished internal rotation in the Latarjet group at every follow-up time-point ($p<0.05$). Strength in abduction, internal rotation, and external rotation showed no significant difference between both groups ($p>0.05$). No dislocation was recorded after either type of surgery within the monitored time period. Two patients in the ICBGT and one patient in the Latarjet group experienced a single postoperative traumatic subluxation event. Complications in the ICBGT group included eight paresthesias and two cases of superficial wound infection at the donor site, as well as one graft fracture one year after surgery due to a bicycle fall with subsequent graft re-union and without residual subjective or objective instability. Complications in the Latarjet group included one pseudoarthrosis of the graft without clinical consequence, one case of screw irritation requiring revision surgery, and one case of postoperative hematoma. The CT scan analysis revealed a larger glenoid augmentation effect of the ICBGT which, however, was attenuated at follow-up due to bony remodeling.

Conclusion: The Latarjet and ICBGT procedure for treatment of anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss showed no difference in the clinical and radiological outcome except for a significantly worse internal rotation capacity in the Latarjet group and frequently noted donor site sensory disturbances in the ICBGT group.

Paper #4 CLINICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL OUTCOMES AFTER ARTHROSCOPIC BANKART REPAIR USING THE ALL-SUTURE ANCHORS: COMPARISON WITH THE BIODEGRADABLE SUTURE ANCHORS

Sang-Jin Shin, MD^a, Jae-Hoo Lee, MD^b, In Park^a, Jun-Seok Kang^a, Yoon-Geol Jo^a, ^aDepartment of Orthopedic Surgery, College of Medicine, Ewha Womans University Seoul Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ^bDepartment of Orthopaedic Surgery, Inje University, Ilsan Paik Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Purpose: An all-suture anchor has been introduced to make it possible to place anchors with a smaller diameter, which allows to preserve more glenoid bone. Moreover, due to the softness of all-suture anchor, the curved guide for predrilling and anchor insertion is available and allowing the surgeons to maintain acceptable angle of

*Indicates paper nominated for the Neer Award