

Key considerations when reviewing subsequent primary cancers following radiotherapy

Authors' reply

We thank Amar Kishan and colleagues for their interest in and comments on our research.¹ We agree that our study has several limitations, which were extensively discussed in the Article. We also acknowledge the concern about the biological plausibility of the increased risk of subsequent primary cancers in the surgery versus carbon ion radiotherapy comparison. Theoretically, unmeasured confounders can be adjusted for, but they are difficult to completely eliminate in the real world, which can be done in randomised trials. Therefore, we agree with the need for prospective studies in this field.

Additionally, we agree that the crude and cumulative incidences reported in our Article are higher than those in the referenced studies. However, we caution that comparing the incidence of subsequent primary cancers across studies might be inappropriate. Different studies have different populations with different ethnicities, age distributions, comorbidities, exposures, follow-up routines, treatment eras, competing risks, and subsequent primary cancers definitions, which all heavily affect subsequent primary cancer incidence. The referenced US Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results study,² for example, excluded patients with a time interval to second malignancy of less than 5 years for solid cancers or 2 years for haematological malignancies, which was not done in our study. Comparing subsequent primary cancer incidence across studies might be misleading. For example, patients who received whole pelvic radiotherapy for localised prostate cancer in RTOG 9413³ have a 13.2%

incidence of death from a secondary cancer (median follow-up 8.8 years, IQR 5.07–13.84), which is higher than the incidence of death reported in EORTC 22863,⁴ which treated a similar cohort of patients with similar follow-up. Thus, we believe that not much could be gained from such comparisons. Notably, the 10-year cumulative incidence of cancer (excluding prostate cancer) is estimated to be 16.4% in 70-year-old men according to the Japanese National Cancer Center data.⁵ The cumulative incidence of subsequent primary cancers reported for the surgical and carbon ion radiotherapy cohorts in our study did not largely differ from this value.

Nonetheless, we feel the data remain unique and valuable for guiding prospective studies comparing carbon ion radiotherapy to photon radiotherapy and surgery.

We declare no competing interests.

Osama Mohamad, Takahiro Tabuchi,
Toshitaka Morishima,
*Hirokazu Makishima, Isao Miyashiro,
Hiroshi Tsuji
makishima.hirokazu@qst.go.jp

National Institute of Radiological Sciences,
National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological
Science and Technology, Chiba 263-8555, Japan
(OM, HM, HT); Cancer Control Center, Osaka
International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan
(TT, TM, IM); Department of Radiation Oncology,
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center,
Dallas, TX, USA (OM); and Graduate School of
Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan (IM)

- 1 Mohamad O, Tabuchi T, Nitta Y, et al. Risk of subsequent primary cancers after carbon ion radiotherapy, photon radiotherapy, or surgery for localised prostate cancer: a propensity score-weighted, retrospective, cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 2019; **20**: 67–85.
- 2 Wang C, King CR, Kamrava M, et al. Pattern of solid and hematopoietic second malignancy after local therapy for prostate cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2017; **123**: 133–38.
- 3 Roach M, Moughan J, Lawton CAF, et al. Sequence of hormonal therapy and radiotherapy field size in unfavourable, localised prostate cancer (NRG/RTOG 9413): long-term results of a randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2018; **19**: 1504–15.
- 4 Bolla M, Van Tienhoven G, Warde P, et al. External irradiation with or without long-term androgen suppression for prostate cancer with high metastatic risk: 10-year results of an EORTC randomised study. *Lancet Oncol* 2010; **11**: 1066–73.

- 5 The Editorial Board of Cancer Statistics in Japan. Cancer statistics in Japan 2018. Tokyo: Foundation for the Promotion of Cancer Research, 2019.