

## Brief Report

## Lower-Extremity Function as a Marker of Frailty and Outcomes of Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction in Older Adults

DAE HYUN KIM, MD, MPH, ScD,<sup>1</sup> AND SANDRA M. SHI, MD<sup>2</sup>

*Boston, Massachusetts*

Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) remains predominantly a disease of aging and older adults.<sup>1</sup> Disease management programs have centered on management of heart failure without addressing coexisting geriatric conditions and have not successfully reduced hospitalizations in older adults with HFpEF.<sup>2</sup> Clinical management is uniquely challenged by complexities that result from the high burden of geriatric conditions, such as multimorbidity and frailty.<sup>3</sup> In fact, the rate of hospitalizations for reasons other than heart failure in this population is at least as high as or higher than that of heart failure hospitalizations.<sup>4</sup> Focused efforts to conquer a disease of the heart may lose sight of the person as a whole and ultimately fail to deliver meaningful clinical outcomes.<sup>5</sup>

Accumulating evidence suggests that frailty assessment can provide evaluation of physiologic reserve and function, thereby informing prognostication and individualized care in older adults with heart failure.<sup>6</sup> Several validated bedside assessments of frailty (eg, frailty phenotype, deficit accumulation index, Clinical Frailty Scale) have been shown to predict adverse outcomes in heart failure.<sup>6</sup> In this issue of the *Journal*, Hornsby et al report clinical outcomes associated with frailty, measured with the use of the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), in 114 patients with HFpEF who were evaluated in a university outpatient specialty clinic.<sup>7</sup> The SPPB gives a score of 0–12 based on 3 simple tests of lower extremity function: walking speed, standing balance, and chair rise. The mean SPPB score was 6.9 points; 34% had severe frailty (SPPB  $\leq$  6 points), and 46% had mild frailty (SPPB 7–9 points). Lower SPPB

scores were positively correlated with older age, higher burden of chronic conditions, and more severe heart failure symptoms. After adjusting for these variables, a 1-point increase in the SPPB score was associated with 21% lower odds of death or all-cause hospitalizations at 6 months. Notably, the authors found that the predictive power of the SPPB score was similar for cardiovascular and noncardiovascular hospitalizations. In a sensitivity analysis excluding patients whose low SPPB scores might reflect the acute effect of hospitalization in the previous month rather than frailty itself, SPPB scores remained prognostic of mortality and hospitalizations.

Since its development in 1994,<sup>8</sup> the SPPB has been shown to be a reliable objective measure of frailty and predictor of mortality, disability, and other poor clinical outcomes in community-dwelling and hospitalized older adults.<sup>9,10</sup> Its use is safe, feasible (administration time  $\sim$ 5 minutes), and inexpensive, and it requires only a stopwatch, a chair, and space to perform a timed walk test (training resources are available at <https://sppbguide.com>). As demonstrated by Hornsby et al.,<sup>7</sup> SPPB could be performed in the majority of patients in the clinic (116 of 122 patients). It can measure the vulnerability to poor clinical outcomes that is not well captured by a cardiac-specific severity score or comorbidity burden.<sup>7</sup> Considering the high burden of hospitalizations due to noncardiovascular reasons in older adults with HFpEF,<sup>4</sup> the usefulness of the SPPB in predicting both cardiovascular and noncardiovascular hospitalizations is attractive in selecting candidates for resource-intensive multidisciplinary interventions and case management. Moreover, the SPPB is sensitive to change in response to an intervention (eg, exercise or nutritional supplementation), which makes it a suitable surrogate marker to evaluate the benefit of the intervention.

Demonstration of how frailty assessments can be incorporated into clinical practice and inform clinical care is paramount to moving toward a more comprehensive model of cardiac care. The study by Hornsby et al. strengthens the growing body of evidence supporting unique interactions between frailty and cardiovascular disease. Although

From the <sup>1</sup>Institute For Aging Research, Hebrew SeniorLife, Boston, Massachusetts and <sup>2</sup>Division of Gerontology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts.

Manuscript received November 8, 2018; revised manuscript accepted November 8, 2018.

Reprint requests: Dae Hyun Kim, MD, MPH, ScD 110 Francis Street Suite 1A, Boston, MA 02215. Tel: 617-632-8696; Fax: 617-632-8968.

E-mail: [dkim2@bidmc.harvard.edu](mailto:dkim2@bidmc.harvard.edu)

1071-9164/\$ - see front matter

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.11.002>

cardinal features of frailty may often overlap with symptoms of HFpEF, the independent prognostic value of SPPB suggests that poor outcomes in this population are not driven solely by heart failure severity. To tackle HFpEF as an isolated disease is inevitably an exercise in disappointing results; the heart of the forest is still only a tree. Patients with HFpEF still bear the burden of multimorbidity, frailty, and aging. Therefore, it is imperative to integrate frailty and core geriatric domains as part of routine clinical evaluations to guide successful cardiovascular care of older adults with HFpEF.

### References

1. Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:251–9.
2. Dharmarajan K, Chaudhry SI. New approaches to reduce readmissions in patients with heart failure. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016;176:318–20.
3. Goldfarb M, Sheppard R, Afilalo J. Prognostic and therapeutic implications of frailty in older adults with heart failure. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2015;17:92.
4. Carson PE, Anand IS, Win S, et al. The hospitalization burden and post-hospitalization mortality risk in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: results from the I-PRESERVE trial (Irbesartan in Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction). *JACC Heart Fail* 2015;3:429–41.
5. Kitzman DW, Upadhyya B, Reeves G. Hospitalizations and prognosis in elderly patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: time to treat the whole patient. *JACC Heart Fail* 2015;3:442–4.
6. Zhang Y, Yuan M, Gong M, Tse G, Li G, Liu T. Frailty and clinical outcomes in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2018;19:1003–8. e1.
7. Hornsby WE, Sareini M, Golbus JR, et al. Lower extremity function is independently associated with hospitalization burden in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *J Card Fail* 2018.
8. Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, et al. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol* 1994;49:M85–94.
9. Pavasini R, Guralnik J, Brown JC, et al. Short Physical Performance Battery and all-cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med* 2016;14:215.
10. Volpato S, Cavalieri M, Sioulis F, et al. Predictive value of the Short Physical Performance Battery following hospitalization in older patients. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2011;66:89–96.