The Knee 26 (2019) 40–51

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Knee

Is knee neuromuscular activity related to anterior cruciate ligament injury risk? A pilot study

Annemie Smeets [a], Bart Malfait [a], Bart Dingenen [a,b], Mark A. Robinson [c], Jos Vanrenterghem [a], Koen Peers [d], Stefaan Nijs [e], Styn Vereecken [d], Filip Staes [a], Sabine Verschueren [a,*]

[a] Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation Research Group, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences and Physiotherapy, Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium
[b] Rehabilitation Research Centre, Biomedical Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, UHasselt, Diepenbeek, Belgium
[c] Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK
[d] Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University Hospitals Leuven, Campus Pellenberg, Belgium
[e] Division of Traumatology, Department of Development and Regeneration, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 22 February 2018
Received in revised form 17 July 2018
Accepted 11 October 2018

Keywords:
ACL injury
Drop vertical jump
Electromyography
Injury prevention
Neuromuscular activation
Risk factor

ABSTRACT

Background: There is limited evidence on neuromuscular risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries, with most work mainly focusing on hamstrings and quadriceps muscle strength. This prospective pilot study explored if neuromuscular activation patterns of the quadriceps and hamstrings during a drop vertical jump influence ACL injury risk.
Methods: Forty-six female athletes performed a drop vertical jump at baseline. Injuries were monitored throughout a one-year follow-up. Neuromuscular activation patterns of the vastus medialis, vastus lateralis, hamstrings medialis and hamstrings lateralis, and selected landing kinematic and kinetic profiles (knee flexion, knee abduction and hip flexion angles, and knee abduction moments), were compared between athletes who sustained a non-contact ACL injury and those who remained injury free. Electromyogram vector fields were created to represent neuromuscular activation patterns of muscle pairs around the knee joint rather than only considering individual muscle activations, and compared using Statistical Parametric Mapping.
Results: Four athletes sustained an ACL injury. Significantly greater {hamstrings medials, hamstrings lateralis}, {vastus lateralis, hamstrings lateralis} and {hamstrings lateralis, vastus medialis} activations, mainly due to greater hamstrings lateralis activation, were found in the injured group around peak loading and just before take-off ($P < 0.001$). No group differences were found in knee flexion, knee abduction and hip flexion angles, or knee abduction moments.
Conclusions: This pilot study revealed initial evidence that athletes already showed altered neuromuscular activation patterns prior to sustaining an ACL injury, namely increased lateral and posterior muscle activations.

* Corresponding author at: KU Leuven, Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation Research Group, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tervuursevest 101 bus 1501, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.
E-mail address: sabine.verschueren@kuleuven.be. (S. Verschueren).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2018.10.006
0968-0160/

1. Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common during dynamic sports activities in the young and active population. They often have important short-term and long-term physical, psychological and professional consequences resulting in lengthy absence from sports and high economic cost to society [1,2]. Establishing neuromuscular and biomechanical risk factors for ACL injury could assist the development of effective prevention programs [3], as both neuromuscular and biomechanical risk factors are potentially modifiable through interventions [4,5]. To establish the risk factors for a specific injury, prospective studies with injury as primary outcome are needed. So far, many studies have assessed neuromuscular and/or biomechanical changes after ACL injury or after intervention programs but very few prospective studies have assessed ACL injury risk with ACL injury as the primary outcome [6,7].

To date, four prospective studies have assessed neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injuries [8–11], and four prospective studies have assessed biomechanical risk factors [12–15], delivering contradictory outcomes. Of these studies investigating the relationship between neuromuscular factors and ACL injury risk, three focused on muscle strength (isokinetic strength of the quadriceps and hamstrings, and reciprocal muscle strength ratios) [8,9,11]. Myer et al. found that 22 female athletes who went on to sustain an ACL injury demonstrated decreased hamstrings strength and unchanged quadriceps strength during concentric isokinetic testing (300°/s) compared with 88 female controls [11]. Similarly, Söderman et al. found that five ACL injured female athletes out of 146 players showed a reduced hamstrings to quadriceps ratio (H/Q ratio) during concentric isokinetic strength tests (90°/s) prior to injury [9]. However, on the contrary, in the study by Uhorchak et al. [8], no differences were found in H/Q ratios during isokinetic strength tests at 60°/s (concentric action of quadriceps, eccentric action of hamstrings) between 24 ACL injured adolescents and 895 non-injured adolescents (males and females). One of the four studies focused on neuromuscular activation patterns [10]. Zebis et al. investigated neuromuscular activation patterns during cutting maneuvers in 55 elite female athletes (handball and soccer) [10]. The five athletes who sustained an ACL injury during the follow-up period (two match seasons) showed reduced activity of the semitendinosus and increased activity of the vastus lateralis during the preparatory phase (10 ms before initial contact).

Based on limited evidence, the current study hypothesized that imbalances in neuromuscular activation play a role in ACL injury risk. Furthermore, as neuromuscular activation patterns can be modified by prevention programs [16], more prospective studies on neuromuscular risk factors for ACL injury are needed in order to optimize prevention programs [17]. However, neuromuscular risk factors first need to be identified, and if possible independently confirmed by further prospective studies. This is very time consuming and often expensive, as a large number of participants need to be screened and subsequently followed over an extensive period of time to ensure that an adequate number of injurious events take place. The search for neuromuscular factors could therefore benefit from pilot work in which a comprehensive exploratory analysis precedes hypothesis testing [18,19]. Therefore, the aim of this pilot study was to help justify future large cohort studies by exploring whether female athletes who went on to sustain a non-contact ACL injury already showed meaningful differences in their knee neuromuscular activation patterns during the landing phase of a bilateral drop vertical jump (DVJ) prior to injury when compared with uninjured controls.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Forty-six female athletes (21 soccer, nine handball, and 16 volleyball) aged 16–28 years participated in this study. All athletes, who were members of an elite level team (first national division), were tested at the beginning of their respective playing season and injuries were monitored for one year. Participants who had a previous ACL or posterior cruciate ligament injury, a previous lower extremity injury within the three months prior to testing, or another lower extremity injury within the one-year follow-up period had been excluded. All participants provided informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee.

2.2. Injury registration

The medical staff of each involved team registered all lower extremity time-loss injuries during follow-up. A time-loss injury was defined as having occurred during sports participation and resulting in being unable to take full part in future training or match play [20]. This definition was adapted to include: unable to take full part in future training or match play for at least two consecutive weeks.

2.3. Test protocol

Each test session started with a standardized warm-up (two series of eight bilateral squats and eight bilateral jumps) [21–23]. Body mass and height were measured before the test session using scales (SECA, Hamburg, Germany) and a portable stadiometer (SECA, Hamburg, Germany). Standardized indoor footwear (Indoor Copa, Kelme, Elche, Spain) was worn and, where necessary, long hair was tied up to avoid marker occlusion.

Subsequently, all athletes were asked to perform bilateral DVJs. Bilateral DVJs are commonly used in clinical settings to assess and screen injury risk [13,14]. The protocol is briefly summarized: participants were instructed to drop off a 0.3-m high box with

their feet initially positioned 0.2 m apart on the box, and upon landing to immediately perform a maximum vertical jump. Participants were also instructed to reach upwards with both hands as high as possible, as if performing a block in volleyball [24]. Participants were allowed to familiarize themselves with the tasks by performing three practice repetitions before the start of the tests. Subsequently, a minimum of three valid trials was completed. A trial was excluded if subjects jumped off the box instead of dropping, if both feet did not land on the force plates, if subjects reached upwards with only one hand, or if they clearly lost balance upon landing [14]. A short rest period between consecutive trials was permitted to avoid fatigue [21].

2.4. Data collection

A wireless electromyography (EMG) system (Zerowire, Aurion, Milan, Italy) was used to record muscle activity at 1000 Hz of the vastus lateralis (VL), vastus medialis (VM), biceps femoris (referred to as hamstrings lateralis, HL) and semitendinosus (referred to as hamstrings medialis, HM) using surface electrodes positioned according to the surface EMG for non-invasive assessment of muscles (SENIAM) guidelines [25]. All electrode locations were shaved and gently cleaned with 70% isopropyl alcohol to reduce skin impedance. Silver–silver chloride, pre-gelled bipolar surface EMG electrodes (Ambu Blue Sensor, Ballerup, Denmark) were placed over the muscle belly and aligned with the expected muscle fiber orientations, with a two centimeter inter-electrode distance. Fauth et al. observed that surface EMG was reliable for measuring mean muscle activation of HL, HM, VL and VM during the performance of a DVJ (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) 0.83–0.97) [26]. As the current study was interested in muscle activation during the entire landing phase (from initial contact until take-off), rather than mean muscle activation, it additionally assessed the reliability of the EMG data in four uninjured subjects. These analyses showed both high intra-session and inter-session reliability (see Appendix A).

Secondary to the neuromuscular activation patterns, knee and hip flexion angles, knee abduction angles and knee abduction moments were also measured as these have previously been identified as potential biomechanical risk factors [12–14]. Therefore, three-dimensional kinematic data were recorded using six MX-T20 optoelectronic cameras (VICON, Oxford, UK) sampling at 100 Hz, synchronized with data recorded from two 0.8×0.3 m^2 force plates (AMTI, Watertown, USA) sampling at 1000 Hz. Each participant had 44 spherical reflective markers positioned according to the eight segment 'Liverpool John Moores University' model, including feet, upper and lower legs, pelvis and trunk. This model was previously described in detail and shown to be reliable for measuring kinematics and kinetics during DVJ [21].

2.5. Data analysis

All modeling and data processing were undertaken in Visual 3D (v.4.83, C-Motion, Kingston, ON, Canada). Only the first landing (first contact) within each DVJ trial was used for analysis [21]. Raw EMG signals were high-pass filtered using a digital filter at a cut-off frequency of 10 Hz, full wave rectified, and low-pass filtered with a fourth-order zero-lag Butterworth filter at a cut-off frequency of 6 Hz. The EMG signal amplitudes were subsequently normalized to the maximum root mean square amplitude (over a period of 100 ms) of three isometric maximum voluntary contractions. Marker trajectories and forces were filtered using a fourth-order low-pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 18 Hz [27]. Initial contact and take-off events were created when the vertical force crossed a 20 N threshold. Knee and hip flexion angles, knee abduction angles and moments were calculated using inverse dynamics. External joint moments were described in this study (i.e. an external knee abduction moment will abduct the knee (move the distal end of the tibia away from the midline of the participant's body)).

Kinetic, kinematic and EMG data were time normalized to 101 data points starting at 100 ms before initial contact until take-off (Figure 1). The short time period prior to initial contact was included based on Zebis et al., who showed that neuromuscular pre-activity might be a risk factor for ACL injury, albeit during cutting [10]. Therefore, 0% of normalized time corresponded to 100 ms prior to initial contact and 100% corresponded to take-off, whereas initial contact was situated around 17% and peak loading around 50%. For all included variables, the average of three trials was calculated for each participant and then means were calculated across the groups.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Participants' baseline characteristics were compared between the control and ACL-injured groups using independent sample Student's *t*-tests (Table 1).

To compare neuromuscular activation patterns of muscle pairs around the knee joint, rather than only considering individual muscle activation patterns as independent observations, time-varying EMG vector fields were created. A major advantage of this technique is that it accounts for inter-muscle covariance. The following vector fields were created to represent the vector magnitude of anatomically relevant muscle groupings: an overall EMG {VM, VL, HM, HL} (time) vector field, an anterior {VM, VL} (time) vector field, a lateral {VL, HL} (time) vector field, a posterior {HM, HL} (time) vector field, a medial {HM, VM} (time) vector field, and two diagonal vector fields: a {HM, VL} (time) vector field, and {HL, VM} (time) vector field [28]. To statistically compare these vector fields between groups, seven Hotelling's T^2 tests were conducted (the vector field equivalent of an independent samples Student's *t*-test) using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) [28,29], for which Alpha was set at 0.05. SPM has become well established in biomechanical research. A test statistic is first calculated for each time node (e.g. *t*-values). Second, the threshold problem of repeated comparisons over time is handled by modeling the behavior of random time-varying signals as a random field based on signal smoothness [18,30]. Given the differences in group sizes, equality of variance between groups was not


VL activity (*100% MVC)
VM activity (*100% MVC)
HL activity (*100% MVC)
HM activity (*100% MVC)
Knee Abduction Moment (Nm)
Preparatory phase
Deceleration phase
Acceleration phase
Initial contact
Peak loading
Take off

Table 1
Participants' characteristics.

	Control group	ACL-injured group	*P*-value
Participants (n)	35	4	
Age, years (mean (SD))	20.69 (3.19)	21.02 (2.96)	0.85
Body height, m (mean (SD))	1.72 (0.09)	1.72 (0.11)	0.88
Body weight, kg (mean (SD))	64.94 (7.45)	62.58 (6.94)	0.44
BMI, kg/m^2 (mean (SD))	22.05 (1.61)	21.21 (1.02)	0.31

n: number of participants; SD: standard deviation; BMI: body mass index.

assumed. Post hoc SPM independent *t*-tests were used to estimate the contribution of individual muscles to vector field-based group differences. Detailed examples, theoretical background, and interpretations of vector field and SPM statistics are available elsewhere [28,29]. In summary, if at certain times of the landing the T^2 or *t*-statistic exceeded the critical threshold, a significant between-group difference was assumed, of which the probability (*P*-value) depended on the magnitude and duration of the threshold crossing.

Finally, external knee abduction moments, knee abduction angles, knee flexion and hip flexion angles were compared between the ACL-injured and control groups across the landing phase (excluding the 100 ms pre-landing phase), again using SPM independent sample *t*-tests.

3. Results

Four participants sustained a non-contact ACL injury during the one-year follow-up. All injuries occurred during competitive match play (one in soccer, two in handball, one in volleyball). These ACL injuries were diagnosed with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and all underwent ACL reconstruction. Three out of four ACL injuries affected the non-dominant leg. The dominant leg was defined as the preferred leg to kick a ball [31].

Of the remaining non-ACL-injured participants, five participants sustained an ankle inversion trauma, and two others sustained an overuse knee injury during the one-year follow-up period (one pes anserinus tendinopathy and one degenerative lateral meniscus lesion with associated cyst). As the purpose of this study was to assess ACL injury risk, the latter seven participants who got injured during the follow-up were excluded, resulting in a control group of 35 participants in total (Figure 2). No significant differences were found for age, weight, height and body mass index between the ACL-injured and control groups (Table 1).

3.1. Within-group analysis

Both in the control group and the ACL-injured group, no significant differences were found between limbs for the amplitude of the overall EMG {VM, VL, HM, HL} (time) vector, for knee abduction moments, knee abduction angles, or for knee or hip flexion angles ($P > 0.05$). As this study was not concerned with leg preference and since there were no significant leg differences, data across legs were pooled, resulting in a control sample consisting of 70 legs and an ACL-injured sample consisting of eight legs.

3.2. Between-group analysis

To investigate whether there was any difference in neuromuscular activation between the ACL-injured athletes and the non-injured athletes, the overall multi-muscle EMG vector {VM, VL, HM, HL} was compared between both groups. A significant difference in the overall multi-muscle EMG vector (VM, VL, HM, HL) was found between the ACL-injured group and the control group from peak loading until the last part of the acceleration phase just before take-off (34–100% time; $P < 0.001$).

Subsequently, six Hotelling's T^2 tests were performed to identify which muscle pairs were significantly different between both groups, and were thus responsible for the significant differences in the overall multi-muscle EMG vector. These Hotelling's T^2 tests showed significantly greater amplitudes of the {HL, HM} (*t*) vector, the (HL, VL) (*t*) vector, and the {HL, VM} (*t*) vector in the ACL-injured group compared with the control group during the peak loading phase (43–80% time, $P < 0.001$; 55–71% time, $P < 0.001$; and 58–79% time, $P < 0.001$, respectively) and during the last part of the acceleration phase just before take-off (93–100% time, $P < 0.001$; 84–100% time, $P < 0.001$; and 90–100% time, $P = 0.002$, respectively) (Figures 3 and 4). Furthermore, significantly greater amplitudes in {HM, VL} (*t*) vector were found in the ACL-injured group during the last part of acceleration phase just before take-off (91–100% time, $P < 0.035$).

Finally, post hoc SPM independent *t*-tests showed significantly greater HL amplitudes in the ACL-injured group compared to the control group during the peak loading phase (51–78%, $P < 0.001$) and during the last part of the acceleration phase just before

Figure 1. Mean data of the ACL-injured group (dotted line) vs. control group (bold line) to illustrate the different time periods during drop vertical jump from 100 ms before initial contact until take-off. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; HL, hamstrings lateralis; HM, hamstrings medialis; VL, vastus lateralis; VM, vastus medialis.



Figure 2. Flowchart of the participants. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament.



Figure 3. Top view representation of group differences. *Central figure*: Differences in two-muscle activation patterns between the ACL-injured (dotted line) and control group (solid line) are visualized. The bold parts represent the time periods in which significant between-group differences were found. A, B, C, D: Trajectory level SPM analyses showing the between-group differences for the anterior {VM, VL}, lateral {HL, VL}, posterior {HM, HL}, and medial {HM, VM} electromyogram (EMG) vector. The horizontal dashed line represents the critical threshold ($P < 0.05$). *Corner figures*: Trajectory level SPM analyses show between-group differences for the individual amplitudes of VM, VL, HM and HL. The horizontal dashed line represents the critical threshold ($P < 0.05$). ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; HL, hamstrings lateralis; HM, hamstrings medialis; SPM, Statistical Parametric Mapping; VL, vastus lateralis; VM, vastus medialis.



Figure 4. Trajectory level SPM analyses showing the between-group differences between for the {HM, VL} and {HL, VM} electromyogram (EMG) vector. The horizontal dashed line represents the critical threshold ($P < 0.05$). HL, hamstrings lateralis; HM, hamstrings medialis; SPM, Statistical Parametric Mapping; VL, vastus lateralis; VM, vastus medialis.



Figure 5. This figure visualizes the activation of the HL, HM, VL and VM muscles. The eight dotted lines represent the data of the ACL injured athletes. The solid line represents the average activation of the respective muscles in the control legs, and the shaded zone represents the standard deviation. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; HL, hamstrings lateralis; HM, hamstrings medialis; VL, vastus lateralis; VM, vastus medialis.



Figure 6. The upper figures illustrate the mean data for the respective parameters. The dotted line represents the ACL-injured group and the bold line represents the control group. Standard deviation clouds are represented by the shaded areas. The lower figures illustrate the SPM output. No significant between-group differences were found for the external knee abduction moment, knee abduction angle, knee flexion angle and the hip flexion angle over the entire time period during DVJ. However, a clear tendency was observed for the ACL-injured group to show larger knee abduction angles and smaller knee flexion angles, seen by being consistently below and above 0, respectively. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament.

take-off (92–100% time, $P = 0.006$) (Figure 3). No between-group differences were found for the individual muscle activation amplitudes of VM, VL and HM (Figures 3 and 5). Furthermore, no significant differences in external knee abduction moments, knee abduction angles, knee flexion, and hip flexion angles were found between the ACL-injured group and control group (Figure 6). However, a clear tendency was observed for the ACL-injured group to show larger knee abduction angles and smaller knee flexion angles, seen by the SPM (t)-curve being consistently below and above 0, respectively.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this pilot study was to prospectively explore the presence of neuromuscular differences in athletes who would go on to suffer an ACL injury compared to uninjured controls.

Distinct muscle activation patterns were found in the ACL-injured group compared to controls, primarily showing greater activation levels during peak loading and just before take-off, mostly due to increased hamstrings lateralis activation.

It is commonly accepted that quadriceps and hamstrings co-contractions are necessary to ensure dynamic knee joint stability during dynamic activities [32]. Balanced hamstrings to quadriceps co-contraction might protect against anterior tibial translation [33,34], and appropriate medial to lateral co-contraction of the hamstrings and quadriceps should protect the knee joint from large knee abduction loads [35]. However, when the lateral hamstrings are favored in this co-contraction, this may have detrimental consequences leading to increased risk of injury. For example, Serpell et al. showed that during a step-up task, selectively increasing the lateral hamstrings–quadriceps co-activation results in more ACL elongation, while stronger medial hamstring–quadriceps co-activation reduces ACL elongation and knee joint rotation, abduction, translation and distraction [36]. Furthermore, the prospective study by Zebis et al. demonstrated that a neuromuscular imbalance between lateral and medial activation during a side cutting maneuver was associated with increased ACL injury risk [10]. The authors suggested that sufficient HM activity against VL activity is important to compress the medial knee joint and thereby limit the risk of excessive abduction of the knee and minimize the strain on the ACL [10]. This is in agreement with Palmieri-Smith et al., who showed that increased activation of the vastus lateralis and hamstrings lateralis during the preparatory phase of a one-meter forward hop was associated with higher peak knee valgus angles in female athletes [37].

However, care should be taken when comparing the current results with other studies, as muscle activations were assessed in a variety of dynamic tasks. For example, Husted et al. showed that neuromuscular pre-activity of the HM, HL and VL was low to moderately correlated between side cutting maneuvers and the DVJ [38]. Therefore, the relationship between muscle activation of quadriceps and hamstrings and the kinematics of the knee and hip joints during the performance of a DVJ in female athletes was recently investigated [22]. It was found that those athletes who had a more erect landing pattern (less knee and hip flexion) showed an increased VL, HL activation.

Thus, it was expected that the altered muscle activation patterns in the ACL-injured group would go together with significant differences in the selected landing kinematics or kinetics (knee abduction moment, knee abduction angle, knee flexion angle and hip flexion angle). This pilot study did not find significant between-group differences but a clear tendency was observed for the ACL-injured group to show larger knee abduction angles and smaller knee flexion angles. The fact that landing kinematics and kinetics were not significantly different between the ACL-injured group and control group suggests that neuromuscular activation patterns might be more sensitive for predicting injury risk in well-trained female athletes. Probably, subtle alterations in muscle activation only influence the stability and arthrokinematics of the knee joint and therefore not necessarily result in significant changes in joint angles and moments.

The risk of ACL injuries is multifactorial, and therefore the results of this paper should neither be interpreted in isolation nor prematurely. The aim of this study was not to find an 'ultimate predictive factor' for ACL injury risk, or to criticize existing factors, but was to explore whether neuromuscular activation patterns are a worthwhile consideration in the multifactorial approach to prevent ACL injuries. Recently, Bittencourt et al. published a conceptual paper to propose a new framework on sports injury prevention, in which injury prediction is based on risk pattern recognition [39]. They proposed a 'web of determinants' that visualizes the complex interactions between the different risk factors. Based on the results of the current pilot study it is suggested that neuromuscular activation patterns may also play a meaningful role in this 'web of determinants for ACL injury risk'. In the past, muscle activation could only be measured with laboratory techniques and therefore it was unfeasible to implement it in injury prevention programs. However, recent technological developments (e.g. sport clothes with embedded textile electrodes) have made it possible to also measure muscle activation in field settings.

It is believed that this prospective pilot study is the first study to comprehensively investigate the relationship between neuromuscular activation patterns and the incidence of ACL injuries. There were some limitations: (1) the sample size was small and therefore care should be taken not to generalize the observations as facts; (2) other muscles such as the gastrocnemius and glutei can influence dynamic knee joint stability and these were not measured [40,41]; and (3) as tibio-femoral contact forces were not measured, ACL strain or actual joint kinematics, for example through the use of video fluoroscopy, the underlying mechanics that explain whether a specific neuromuscular activation pattern would result directly in an increased ACL injury strain could not be explained. Calculating medial and lateral tibio-femoral contact forces might reveal an unloading phenomenon of the medial knee compartment compared to high contact forces at the lateral knee compartment, but this would need to be confirmed.

5. Conclusions

This pilot study prospectively demonstrated altered neuromuscular activation patterns in ACL injured elite female team sports athletes. Participants who sustained an ACL injury showed increased lateral hamstrings activation during peak loading and the push-off phase of a DVJ. Previously suggested kinematic or kinetic indicators of increased risk of injury were not confirmed, providing a preliminary rationale for including neuromuscular activations in future large scale prospective studies.

Conflict of interest

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Appendix A. Reliability of time-varying electromyography data

To investigate the intra-session and inter-session reliability of the time-varying surface electromyography (EMG) data, an additional reliability study was performed on four uninjured subjects.

All subjects (one female, three males, age 28.5 ± 3.5 years, height 185.6 ± 9.1 cm, weight 76.9 ± 9.6 kg) (mean ± standard deviation) were involved in recreational or competitive team sports (soccer, volleyball, handball and basketball) and performed the same protocol as described in the manuscript on three different days. They performed the protocol always at the same time during the day and all sessions were performed in the same week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday). All data were processed in the same way as described in the manuscript.

As the outcome was one dimensional (1D) data (e.g. EMG curves) and not traditional 0 dimensional (0D) data (e.g. peak/mean values), traditional 0D ICCs could not be calculated for investigating reliability. There are no explicit tests of reliability for 1D data yet, thus reliability was implicitly addressed using ANOVA. In particular, if inter-session reliability is high, then intra-subject variability is expected to be greater than inter-session variability, and thus produce a small session effect. Conversely, if inter-session reliability is low, then a large session effect is expected.



Appendix Figure 1. The upper figures illustrate the mean data for session 1 (light gray), session 2 (dark gray) and session 3 (black) for the respective muscles. Standard deviation clouds are represented by the shaded areas. The lower figures illustrate the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) output. No significant differences were found between sessions, as the F-curve never exceeded the critical threshold (horizontal dashed line).



Appendix Figure 2. The upper figures illustrate the mean data for trial 1 (light gray), trial 2 (dark gray) and trial 3 (black) of session 1 for the respective muscles. Standard deviation clouds are represented by the shaded areas. The lower figures illustrate the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) output. No significant differences were found between trials, as the F-curve never exceeded the critical threshold (horizontal dashed line).



Appendix Figure 3. Each row represents the EMG data of another subject. All subjects performed three trials per session (session 1 = blue, session 2 = red, session 3 = black).

To implicitly investigate the inter-session reliability, a Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) one-way ANOVA with one repeated measure (e.g. session) was used. These analyses showed no significant differences in muscle activation between the

three different sessions, as the F-curve never exceeded the critical threshold (see Figure 1). As there was no session effect, it could be concluded that inter-session reliability is high.

To implicitly investigate intra-session reliability, another SPM one-way ANOVA was performed with one repeated measure (e.g. trial) on the data of session 1. These analyses showed no significant differences in muscle activation between the three different trials (see Figure 2). As there was no trial effect, it can be concluded that intra-session reliability is high.

Figure 3 shows all of the individual EMG data of every trial and for every session.

The figures above confirm that the time-varying data of the individual muscles are highly reliable. As ANOVA testing does not exist for vectors, the same approach as described above could not just be repeated on the vector data of the muscle pairs. However, the reliability of muscle co-activation pairs relies on: (1) the reliability of measuring each individual muscle within the pairs and (2) the minimization of cross-talk between muscles. While cross-talk between muscles within the pairs would inflate the amount of co-variance, its impact on the muscle pairs is the same as on the individual muscles. In both cases cross-talk has to be avoided as much as possible, which is established by careful electrode placement.

This study did not investigate inter-rater reliability as there was one rater. It can be assumed that the rater was very consistent in electrode placement as both the quadriceps and hamstrings muscles were very easy to palpate in this athletic population. Furthermore, the rater was a qualified physiotherapist with extensive palpation experience, and used the surface EMG for non-invasive assessment of muscles (SENIAM) guidelines to standardize his electrode placement.

References

[1] Noyes FR, Mooar PA, Matthews DS, Butler DL. The symptomatic anterior cruciate-deficient knee. Part I: the long-term functional disability in athletically active individuals. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1983;65:154–62. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-5451-8_38.
[2] Gottlob CA, Baker CL. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: socioeconomic issues and cost effectiveness. Am J Orthop 2000;29:472–6.
[3] Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. J Sci Med Sport 2006;9:3–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2006.02.009.
[4] Zebis MK, Bencke J, Andersen LL, Dossing S, Alkjaer T, Magnusson P, et al. The effects of neuromuscular training on knee joint motor control during sidecutting in female elite soccer and handball players. Clin J Sport Med 2008;18:329–37. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e31817f3e35.
[5] Donnell-Fink LA, Klara K, Collins JE, Yang HY, Goczalk MG, Katz JN, et al. Effectiveness of knee injury and anterior cruciate ligament tear prevention programs: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144063.
[6] Rafeeuddin R, Sharir R, Staes F, Dingenen B, George K, Robinson MA, et al. Mapping current research trends on neuromuscular risk factors of non-contact ACL injury. Phys Ther Sport 2016;22:101–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.06.004.
[7] Sharir R, Rafeeuddin R, Staes F, Dingenen B, George K, Vanrenterghem J, et al. Mapping current research trends on anterior cruciate ligament injury risk against the existing evidence: in vivo biomechanical risk factors. Clin Biomech 2016;37:34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2016.05.017.
[8] Uhorchak JM, Scoville CR, Williams GN, Arciero RA, St. Pierre P, Taylor DC. Risk factors associated with noncontact injury of the anterior cruciate ligament. A prospective four-year evaluation of 859 West Point cadets. Am J Sports Med 2003;31:831–42 [doi:0363-5465/103/3131-0831$02.00/0].
[9] Söderman K, Alfredson H, Pietilä T, Werner S. Risk factors for leg injuries in female soccer players: a prospective investigation during one out-door season. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2001;9:313–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001670100228.
[10] Zebis MK, Andersen LL, Bencke J, Kjaer M, Aagaard P. Identification of athletes at future risk of anterior cruciate ligament ruptures by neuromuscular screening. Am J Sports Med 2009;37:1967–73. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509335000.
[11] Myer GD, Ford KR, Barber Foss K, Liu C, Nick T, Hewett TE. The relationship of hamstrings and quadriceps strength to anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes. Clin J Sport Med 2009;19:3–8.
[12] Leppänen M, Pasanen K, Kujala UM, Vasankari T, Kannus P, Äyrämö S, et al. Stiff landings are associated with increased ACL injury risk in young female basketball and floorball players. Am J Sports Med 2016. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546516665810 [363546516665810].
[13] Krosshaug T, Steffen K, Kristianslund E, Nilstad A, Mok K-M, Myklebust G, et al. The vertical drop jump is a poor screening test for ACL injuries in female elite soccer and handball players: a prospective cohort study of 710 athletes. Am J Sports Med 2016;44:874–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515625048.
[14] Hewett TE. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. Am J Sports Med 2005;33:492–501. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546504269591.
[15] Leppänen M, Pasanen K, Krosshaug T, Kannus P, Vasankari T, Kujala UM, et al. Sagittal plane hip, knee, and ankle biomechanics and the risk of anterior cruciate ligament injury: a prospective study. Orthop J Sports Med 2017;5. https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967117745487 [232596711774548].
[16] Zebis MK, Andersen LL, Brandt M, Myklebust G, Bencke J, Lauridsen HB, et al. Effects of evidence-based prevention training on neuromuscular and biomechanical risk factors for ACL injury in adolescent female athletes: a randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med 2015. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094776 [bjsports-2015-094776].
[17] Finni T, Hu M, Kettunen P, Vilavuo T, Cheng S. Measurement of EMG activity with textile electrodes embedded into clothing. Physiol Meas 2007;28:1405–19. https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/28/11/007.
[18] Pataky TC, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA. The probability of false positives in zero-dimensional analyses of one-dimensional kinematic, force and EMG trajectories. J Biomech 2016:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.03.032.
[19] Pataky TC, Robinson MA, Vanrenterghem J. Region-of-interest analyses of one-dimensional biomechanical trajectories: bridging 0D and 1D theory, augmenting statistical power. Peer J 2016;4:e2652. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2652.
[20] Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A, Andersen TE, Bahr R, Dvorak J, et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2006;16:83–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2006.00528.x.
[21] Malfait B, Sankey S, Azidin RMFR, Deschamps K, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA, et al. How reliable are lower-limb kinematics and kinetics during a drop vertical jump? Med Sci Sports Exerc 2014;46:678–85. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000170.
[22] Malfait B, Dingenen B, Smeets A, Staes F, Pataky T, Robinson MA, et al. Knee and hip joint kinematics predict quadriceps and hamstrings neuromuscular activation patterns in drop jump landings. PLoS One 2016;11:e0153737. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153737.
[23] Dingenen B, Malfait B, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA, Verschueren SMP, Staes FF. Can two-dimensional measured peak sagittal plane excursions during drop vertical jumps help identify three-dimensional measured joint moments? Knee 2015;22:73–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2014.12.006.
[24] Ford KR, Myer GD, Smith RL, Byrnes RN, Dopirak SE, Hewett TE. Use of an overhead goal alters vertical jump performance and biomechanics. J Strength Cond Res 2005;19:394–9. https://doi.org/10.1519/15834.1.
[25] Hermens H, Freriks B, Merletti R, Stegeman D, Blok J, Rau G, et al. SENIAM 8: European recommendations for surface electromyography; 1999.
[26] Fauth ML, Petushek EJ, Feldmann CR, Hsu BE, Garceau LR, Lutsch BN, et al. Reliability of surface electromyography during maximal voluntary isometric contractions, jump landings, and cutting. J Strength Cond Res 2010;24:1131–7.
[27] Bisseling RW, Hof AL. Handling of impact forces in inverse dynamics. J Biomech 2006;39:2438–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.07.021.
[28] Robinson MA, Vanrenterghem J, Pataky TC. Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) for alpha-based statistical analyses of multi-muscle EMG time-series. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2015;25:14–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2014.10.018.
[29] Pataky TC, Robinson MA, Vanrenterghem J. Vector field statistical analysis of kinematic and force trajectories. J Biomech 2013;46:2394–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.07.031.

[30] Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ, Poline J-P, Frith CD, Frackowiak RSJ. Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. Hum Brain Mapp 1995;2:189–210. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460020402.
[31] Ford KR, Myer GD, Hewett TE. Valgus knee motion during landing in high school female and male basketball players. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:1745–50. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000089346.85744.D9.
[32] Beynnon BD, Fleming BC. Anterior cruciate ligament strain in-vivo: a review of previous work. J Biomech 1998;31:519–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(98)00044-X.
[33] Hashemi J, Breighner R, Chandrashekar N, Hardy DM, Chaudhari AM, Shultz SJ, et al. Hip extension, knee flexion paradox: a new mechanism for non-contact ACL injury. J Biomech 2011;44:577–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.11.013.
[34] Beynnon B, Howe J, Pope M, Johnson R, Fleming B. The measurement of anterior cruciate ligament strain in vivo. Int Orthop 1992;16:1–12.
[35] Lloyd DG, Buchanan TS, Besier TF. Neuromuscular biomechanical modeling to understand knee ligament loading. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005;37:1939–47. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000176676.49584.ba.
[36] Serpell BG, Scarvell JM, Pickering MR, Ball NB, Newman P, Perriman D, et al. Medial and lateral hamstrings and quadriceps co-activation affects knee joint kinematics and ACL elongation: a pilot study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2015;16:348. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-015-0804-y.
[37] Palmieri-Smith RM, Wojtys EM, Ashton-Miller JA. Association between preparatory muscle activation and peak valgus knee angle. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2008;18:973–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2007.03.007.
[38] Husted RS, Bencke J, Andersen LL, Myklebust G, Kallemose T, Lauridsen HB, et al. A comparison of hamstring muscle activity during different screening tests for non-contact ACL injury. Knee 2016;23:362–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knee.2016.02.004.
[39] Bittencourt NFN, Meeuwisse WH, Mendonça LD, Nettel-Aguirre A, Ocarino JM, Fonseca ST. Complex systems approach for sports injuries: moving from risk factor identification to injury pattern recognition—narrative review and new concept. Br J Sports Med 2016;50:1309–14. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095850.
[40] Marouane H, Shirazi-Adl A, Adouni M. Knee joint passive stiffness and moment in sagittal and frontal planes markedly increase with compression. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin 2013:37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/10255842.2013.795555.
[41] Morgan KD, Donnelly CJ, Reinbolt JA. Elevated gastrocnemius forces compensate for decreased hamstrings forces during the weight-acceptance phase of single-leg jump landing: implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury risk. J Biomech 2014;47:3295–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.08.016.