



Invited Commentary

A commentary on “challenges encountered in the management of gallstone-induced pancreatitis in pregnancy” (Int J Surg 2019;71:72–8)



Dear Editor,

We read the recent comprehensive review article by Samaraee et al. with great interest [1]. In this review, the authors systematically and critically analyzed the published evidence on pre-interventional imaging modalities and interventional options to highlight that gallstone-induced pancreatitis in pregnancy should be managed with a multidisciplinary team approach, while conservative management would have a high chance of early recurrent attacks. Importantly, the question remains whether early ERCP or cholecystectomy is safe in pregnant patients.

A population-based study by Luthra et al. [2] revealed that ERCP and cholecystectomy for gallstone-induced pancreatitis were inversely associated with early (30-day) readmission in pregnant women. To avoid miscarriage or premature labor during the first or third trimesters, clinicians tend to perform invasive interventions in pregnant women preferentially during the second trimester. However, delaying necessary invasive interventions in pregnant patients could lead to serious and life-threatening consequences to both the mother and fetus. Thus, input by a multidisciplinary team of experienced clinicians is necessary to come up with an appropriate management plan for these patients. The team should involve highly experienced surgeons, perinatologists, obstetricians, obstetric anesthesiologists and radiation safety officers.

The main concerns in using ERCP in pregnant women include potential fetal teratogenic and carcinogenic effects secondary to radiation exposure, as well as post-ERCP pancreatitis in the mother. The most important factors determining fetal radiation exposure are total radiation time and dosage. Draping the lower abdomen and pelvis of the mother with lead shields is beneficial in minimizing uterine radiation exposure. Dosimetry monitors should be placed externally on top of the uterus to monitor the fetal radiation exposure [3]. In addition, as post-ERCP pancreatitis occurs more frequently in pregnant women, therapeutic ERCP should only be performed by highly qualified and experienced ERCP endoscopists to maximize radiation safety and

minimize potential risks. As open or laparoscopic cholecystectomy may increase the risk of premature uterine contractions and fetal loss, each case should be individually and thoroughly assessed by weighing the risks against the benefits. Severe acute pancreatitis or cholangitis caused by gallstone impaction in the common bile duct or at the ampulla requires urgent intervention regardless of the gestational age.

In summary, our commentary is written to support the recommendations made in the review article to draw the attention of clinicians to the necessity of timely invasive intervention which is indicated in some pregnant patients with gallstone-induced pancreatitis.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Provenance and peer review

Invited Commentary, internally reviewed.

References

- [1] A. Al Samaraee, V. Bhattacharya, Challenges encountered in the management of gallstones induced pancreatitis in pregnancy (review article), *Int. J. Surg.* 71 (2019) 72–78.
- [2] A.K. Luthra, K.P. Patel, F. Li, et al., Endoscopic intervention and cholecystectomy in pregnant women with acute biliary pancreatitis decrease early readmissions, *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 89 (6) (2019) 1169–1177 e1110.
- [3] M.S. Cappell, S.N. Stavropoulos, D. Friedel, Systematic review of safety and efficacy of therapeutic endoscopic-retrograde-cholangiopancreatography during pregnancy including studies of radiation-free therapeutic endoscopic-retrograde-cholangiopancreatography, *World J. Gastrointest. Endosc.* 10 (10) (2018) 308–321.

Yu-pu Hong*, Wei-xing Wang
 Department of General Surgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University,
 China
 E-mail addresses: hongyupu@whu.edu.cn (Y.-p. Hong),
sate.llite@163.com (W.-x. Wang).

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2019.09.016>

* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2019.09.032>

Received 26 September 2019; Accepted 27 September 2019

Available online 05 October 2019

1743-9191/ © 2019 IJS Publishing Group Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.