



Letter to the editor

## Preoperative CT scan for postoperative stroke prediction in minimally invasive mitral valve surgery: Statistical concern for clinical factors in regression analyses

Baohui Lou <sup>a,\*</sup>, Chenghui Zhou <sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Radiology, Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology, Beijing, China<sup>b</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College Beijing, 100037, China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 30 December 2018

Received in revised form 9 January 2019

Accepted 16 January 2019

## Keywords:

CT

Stroke

Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery

Clinical factors

Regression analysis

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the paper by Leonard et al. [1] online published in *IJC* in Dec 12, 2018. They included 57 articles (19 CT versus 38 non-CT) with a total of 13,731 patients in the pooled analysis, and tried to identify the potential influential clinical factors of stroke occurrence following minimally invasive mitral valve surgery (MIMVS). Finally, 10 clinical factors (age, female gender, CAD, hypertension, non-CT screening, etc.) have been found to be with significant importance for the incidence of stroke using univariate meta-regression analyses.

Exploration of the potential sources of significant heterogeneity is a very important process for the clinical inconsistency among the included studies in meta-analysis. In the exception of subgroup analysis, meta-regression analysis is also a regular method [2]. To reduce the possibility of overfitting in the regression model, at least five studies

or substudies were set for the identification of every one influential factor [3–5]. In this study, a total of 57 studies could theoretically identify at least 10 factors (up to  $57/5 = 11.4$ ) in a relative stable regression model. Nevertheless, they only did univariate regression analyses without subsequent multivariate regression processes for the 10 potential meaningful factors. Hence, we suggest an ignored multivariate regression analyses with 2–3 influential factors every one time to furtherly confirm the main confounding factors, which will provide more solid and informative evidence for the clinical practice in this meta-analysis.

## Conflict of interest

None declared.

## References

- [1] R.J. Leonard, M. Henry, M. Rahouma, M.F. Khan, M. Wingo, I. Hameed, et al., Systematic preoperative CT scan is associated with reduced risk of stroke in minimally invasive mitral valve surgery: a meta-analysis, *Int. J. Cardiol.* 278 (2018) 300–306.
- [2] H.C. van Houwelingen, L.R. Arends, T. Stijnen, Advanced methods in meta-analysis: multivariate approach and meta-regression, *Stat. Med.* 21 (2002) 589–624.
- [3] C.H. Schmid, P.C. Stark, J.A. Berlin, P. Landais, J. Lau, Meta-regression detected associations between heterogeneous treatment effects and study-level, but not patient-level, factors, *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 57 (2004) 683–697.
- [4] C. Zhou, Y. Yao, Z. Zheng, J. Gong, W. Wang, S. Hu, et al., Stenting technique, gender, and age are associated with cardioprotection by ischaemic postconditioning in primary coronary intervention: a systematic review of 10 randomized trials, *Eur. Heart J.* 33 (2012) 3070–3077.
- [5] C. Zhou, H. Bulluck, N. Fang, L. Li, D.J. Hausenloy, Age and surgical complexity impact on renoprotection by remote ischemic preconditioning during adult cardiac surgery: a meta analysis, *Sci. Rep.* 7 (2017) 215.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [loubao19@126.com](mailto:loubao19@126.com) (B. Lou).