



Coronary sinus reducer for the treatment of refractory angina



Fan Ouyang¹, Yi He¹, Shuhong Guo, Chengming Wang, Weiqing Liu, Yuan Guo^{*}

Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Affiliated Zhuzhou Hospital Xiangya Medical College, Central South University, Zhuzhou, Hunan 412000, China.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 July 2018

Accepted 19 September 2018

Keywords:

Coronary sinus reducer

Refractory angina

Safety and efficacy

The very interesting and extremely powerful report by Giannini et al. [1] from the REDUCE, a multi-center clinical registry study, data collection investigated the safety and efficacy of coronary sinus reducer (CSR) implantation for the treatment of refractory angina. The CSR is a novel technology designed to reduce disabling symptoms and improve quality-of-life of patients suffering from refractory angina [2–5].

At present, refractory angina is common in both patients with and without revascularization, and these patients usually associated with various complications and concomitant diseases [2,5]. Thus, indications of the novel device implantation should be clarified. In Giannini's study, CSR was implanted in patients with refractory angina accompanying previous myocardial infarction and stroke, familial coronary artery diseases and atrial fibrillation and so on [1]. We believe subgroup analysis effects of the CSR application on patients with different complications or concomitant diseases could reduce deviation of results. Besides, subgroup analysis could directly guide the recommendation of CSR implantation in different patients, which will make more sense for guiding clinical therapy.

In addition, the measured outcomes in this study include angina severity and quality-of-life, but these indicators are relatively subjective. Dobutamine stress echocardiography as an objective parameter to measure left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was not available for all the patients and the result had no statistical significance [1]. Therefore, more objective outcomes could enhance authenticity of the results, such as indicators measured by cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), which is widely used in various cardiovascular diseases.

Acknowledgments

None.

Conflicts of interest

The authors reported no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest.

References

- [1] F. Giannini, L. Baldetti, M. Königstein, et al., Safety and efficacy of the reducer: a multi-center clinical registry - REDUCE study. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 269 (2018) 40–44.
- [2] A. Ielasi, M.C. Todaro, G. Grigis, M. Tespili, Coronary sinus reducer system: a new therapeutic option in refractory angina patients unsuitable for revascularization. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 209 (2016) 122–130.
- [3] F. Giannini, L. Baldetti, F. Ponticelli, et al., Coronary sinus reducer implantation for the treatment of chronic refractory angina: a single-center experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 11 (2018) 784–792.
- [4] S. Banai, S. Ben Muvhar, K.H. Parikh, et al., Coronary sinus reducer stent for the treatment of chronic refractory angina pectoris: a prospective, open-label, multicenter, safety feasibility first-in-man study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 49 (2007) 1783–1789.
- [5] M. Königstein, F. Giannini, S. Banai, The reducer device in patients with angina pectoris: mechanisms, indications, and perspectives. *Eur. Heart J.* 39 (2018) 925–933.

^{*} Corresponding author at: 116 South Changjiang Road, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Affiliated Zhuzhou Hospital Xiangya Medical College, Central South University, Zhuzhou, Hunan 412000, China.

E-mail address: guoyuan8141@csu.edu.cn (Y. Guo).

¹ F.O. and Y.H. contributed equally.