Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Public Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/puhe

Original Research

Inadequacies of micronutrient intake in normal weight and overweight young adults aged 18–25 years: a cross-sectional study

G. Farhat[*], *E. Lees*, *C. Macdonald-Clarke*, *F. Amirabdollahian*

School of Health Sciences, Liverpool Hope University, Taggart Avenue L16 9JD, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 1 March 2018
Received in revised form
12 September 2018
Accepted 31 October 2018
Available online 11 January 2019

Keywords:
Micronutrient intake
Micronutrient inadequacies
University students
Young adults
Poor diet
Obesity

ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study aims to assess adequacy in micronutrient intake in comparison with reference nutrient intakes (RNI) and to identify differences in intakes between normal weight and overweight individuals.

Study design: A sample of 542 university students (18–25 years), normal weight ($N = 369$) and overweight ($N = 173$), were included in a cross-sectional study.

Methods: A three-day diet diary was used to assess energy and nutrient intake. Body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference were measured.

Results: Mean dietary vitamin D intake was lower than RNI in both men (4.44 μg) and women (5.04 μg). Mean intakes of calcium (597.44 mg), iron (8.62 mg) and folate (171.29 mg) were also lower than recommendations in women. Weight status (normal weight versus overweight) was significantly associated with micronutrient intake, and a trend towards a decrease in vitamin and mineral intake with increasing weight was noted.

Conclusions: Results suggest the need to increase the intake of some micronutrients to meet the RNI, to ensure optimal health. This study provides a helpful tool to reinforce recommendations and potential health promotion and intervention strategies in university settings and could influence manufacturers involved in new food product development targeted to this young population.

Introduction

There has been an increasing interest in micronutrient malnutrition because of its potential contribution to global disease burden.[1] Deficiency in micronutrients can affect the immune system, influence performance, impair development and cause metabolic disorders.[1,2] Although acute micronutrient deficiency is more prevalent in developing countries, it remains a public health issue in the Western countries.[1] This is mainly because of the increased consumption of high-energy low-micronutrient foods. In Europe, iron and iodine deficiencies have been identified as the most prevalent deficiencies in the adult population.[1] Inadequate micronutrient consumption (particularly iron and folate) is more common in young women in the Western world, because of increased requirements and poor intake.[3]

* Corresponding author. School of Health Sciences, Liverpool Hope University, Taggart Avenue L16 9JD, United Kingdom.
E-mail address: farhatg@hope.ac.uk (G. Farhat).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2018.10.016
0033-3506/

Adolescents and young adults have been described as having poor diet choices that are associated with obesity, meal skipping and snacking.[4] In particular, university students are known to have a low diet quality characterised by a high consumption of convenience and fast foods and a low intake of fruits and vegetables.[5] This could have long-term effects on the occurrence of cardiovascular diseases.[6] In addition, as lifestyle choices have been shown to affect peak bone mass by 20–40% and increase osteoporosis risk later in life,[7] an unhealthy diet could significantly affect those who are still in the phase of bone growth. According to the UK government, around half of the adult population goes onto higher education;[8] therefore, identifying micronutrient inadequacies could help develop strategies tailored to this population. Furthermore, it has been shown that obese individuals are at higher risk of nutrient deficiencies than normal weight controls with similar age and sex,[9,10] yet studies remain fairly limited, and none have focused on this issue solely in young adults. Therefore, the aim of this study is to identify the prevalence of micronutrient inadequacies in a sample of 18- to 25-year-old university students in England and to identify differences in micronutrient intake between body mass index (BMI) categories. This could help target future recommendations and health intervention strategies in universities and provide a reference for developing new food products/recipes tailored to young adults.

Methods

Study design and participants

After obtaining ethical approval, volunteers aged 18–25 years with no restriction to weight status and gender were recruited by convenience sampling from universities across the Northwest of England between 2014 and 2016. Participants with known metabolic diseases (diabetes, hypertension and/or cardiovascular diseases) were excluded from the study. The study was conducted within the framework of the Collaborative Investigation on Nutritional Status of Young Adults in the city of Liverpool, UK. Data collection took place at the Liverpool Hope University Health Sciences Laboratory. The study is part of an ongoing project that also aims to identify risk factors for diabetes and cardiovascular diseases in young adults.

All participants gave their written informed consent before participation. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at Liverpool Hope University. Demographic data were collected.

Anthropometric measurements

Participant's height was measured in Frankfort plane position using a SECA201 stadiometer (SECA GMBH & Co, Hamburg, Germany), and weight was measured via Tanita MC-180MA (Tokyo, Japan). Participants wore light clothing, and shoes and socks were removed before stepping on the equipment. A BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m^2 was identified as obese, between 25 and 29.9 kg/m^2 as overweight, and a BMI between 18 and 24.9 kg/m^2 was considered normal.[11] Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the top of the iliac crest.[12]

Diet and physical activity

A three-day (two weekdays and one weekend day) integrated diet and physical activity diary was used to assess energy and nutrient intake. The diet diary was extracted from the validated questionnaires of the UK's National diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) with minimal adjustments.[13] To improve compliance and enhance accuracy, a completed example and food portion pictures were supplied, and prompts on time, place and portion sizes were shown in the diet diary. The diaries were analysed for energy, macronutrients and micronutrients using Microdiet dietary analysis software (Microdiet v3; Downlee Systems Ltd, Salford, UK). The comparison of intakes to reference nutrient intakes (RNI) and not lower reference nutrient intake (LRNI) was primarily to assess adequacy of vitamin and mineral intake and reinforce recommendations, which ensure the needs of nearly all the population are met and deficiencies are reduced. A validated three-day physical activity diary produced by Bouchard et al.[14] was used to assess physical activity. Participants reported physical activity for each 15-min interval over 3 days. The activities ranked from one to nine (sedentary activity to high intensity), and the analysed output of the diary produced total energy expenditure as kcal/kg/day and min/day spent in light/moderate/vigorous activity.[14]

Statistical analysis

To reduce misreporting, participants with reported average energy intake lower than 800 Kcal or higher than 4200 Kcal were excluded. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 24 for Windows (IBM SPSS, Inc., NY, USA). Data are expressed as mean (standard deviation [SD]). The determination of sample size was originally based on its ability to predict an 8% prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the population. However, this sample will have 95% power to detect a vitamin inadequacy of 4% (a minimum of 511 participants is needed) when compared with the UK RNI. Difference between groups based on BMI status was assessed using independent *t*-test. Pearson and Spearman product–moment correlations were used to examine associations between selected variables. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

Participant characteristics

After excluding outliers ($N = 23$), 542 young adults were included in the analysis. Women constituted 57% of the sample. Among participants, 86.5% were British and 14.6% were smokers. Characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Male participants reported practising an average of 5 h of moderate to vigorous physical activity a week compared with 3 h for female participants (physical activity

Table 1 – Characteristics of the overall population of young adults [mean (SD)].

Characteristic	Male (N = 232)	Female (N = 310)
Age (years)	21.07 (1.4)	20.7 (1.5)
Physical activity (hours/day)	5	3
BMI (Kg/m^2)	24.52 (3.85)	23.84 (4.32)
Waist circumference (cm)	84.53 (10.4)	77.3 (11.5)

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

guidelines of 150 min of physical activity per week for both genders[15]). BMI and WC followed a strong linear correlation ($r = 0.75$, $P < 0.001$).

Macronutrient intake

Compared with the UK dietary reference values (DRVs),[16] mean carbohydrate intake was slightly below the recommendations for both men (48% of daily energy intake) and women (49% of daily energy intake). Mean fat intake met the recommendations of no more than 35% of daily energy intake (33% for men and 35% for women), whereas mean saturated fat intake was slightly above the recommendations of 11% for men (11.5%) and women (12%).

Vitamin and mineral intakes

Assessment of diet diaries showed that the mean intakes of vitamin A, vitamin D, magnesium, potassium, iodine and selenium were below the RNI recommendations for both men and women (Table 2). Women also reported to have lower intakes of iron, folate and calcium than the RNI. For vitamin A, women reported to closely meet the RNI, whereas men reported a lower intake of this vitamin. Iodine intake was also reported to be below the RNI recommendations (Table 2). The deficit in micronutrient intake compared with RNI is illustrated in the supplementary data (Table S1). In addition, participants consumed sodium above the recommendations (2971 mg for men and 2396 mg for women) [Table 2].

Effect of weight status

In males, independent t-test showed a significant difference in levels of iodine and vitamin B2 between participants with BMI < 25 Kg/m^2 (normal) and BMI > 25 Kg/m^2 (overweight). Compared to overweight participants, those with normal BMI had a higher intake of iodine (118.8 (80) mg versus 98.63 (59) mg, $P = 0.03$), and vitamin B2 (1.54 (0.74) mg versus 1.37 (0.62) mg, $P = 0.03$). In females, there were significant differences between overweight and normal weight participants for levels of magnesium (188 (88) mg versus 223 (136) mg respectively, $P = 0.03$), and selenium (35.75 (22) mg versus 42.78 (31.74) respectively, $P = 0.056$). No other significant differences were noted. However, there were no significant differences in reported energy intake between normal weight and overweight in women ($P = 0.52$) or men ($P = 0.71$). The comparison between overweight (BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m^2) and obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2) participants did not identity significant differences in micronutrient intakes with regard to all nutrients

Table 2 – Micronutrient intake with comparison with UK RNI in university students (18–25 years).

Micronutrients	Male (N = 232)				Female (N = 310)			
	Average intake/day	RNI[a]	% RNI	Nutrient density intake (per 1000 Kcal)[b]	Average intake/day	RNI[a]	% RNI	Nutrient density intake (per 1000 Kcal)
Vitamins								
Vitamin A (μg)	590	600	84	120	568	600	95	277
Thiamin (mg)	1.48	1	148	0.67	1.17	0.8	146	0.66
Riboflavin (mg)	1.48	1.3	114	0.29	1.12	1.1	102	0.68
Niacin (mg)	28.17	17	166	9.66	18.04	13	139	12.67
Vitamin B6 (mg)	2.01	1.4	144	0.81	1.57	1.2	131	0.87
Folate (mg)	220.4	200	110	80.74	171.29	200	86	79.79
Vitamin B12 (μg)	4.1	1.5	273	0.79	3.01	1.5	201	1.88
Vitamin C (mg)	68	40	170	57	69.83	40	175	22
Vitamin D (μg)	4.44	10	44	0.28	5.04	10	50	1.04
Vitamin E (mg)[c]	6.1	–	–	5.04	5.0	–	–	4.46
Minerals								
Calcium (mg)	729.46	700	104	225	597.44	700	85	327
Phosphorus (mg)	3819	550	694	1149	2254	550	410	1407
Magnesium (mg)	265.25	300	88	94	212.62	270	79	158
Iron (mg)	11.43	8.7	131	5	8.62	14.8	58	5.49
Zinc (mg)	9.33	9.5	98	3.85	6.74	7	96	5.25
Potassium (mg)	2716	3500	78	1149	2243	3500	64	1407
Iodine (mg)	110.55	140	79	14.67	82.7	140	59	58.4
Copper (mg)	1.24	1.2	103	0.47	1.3	1.2	108	0.94
Selenium (mg)	60.43	75	81	20.91	40.7	60	68	31.79
Sodium (mg)	2971	1600	186	1562	2395	1600	150	1964

RNI, reference nutrient intake.
[a] RNI is the recommended nutrient intakes for the UK population.
[b] Nutrient density intake is the amount of nutrients consumed per 1000 Kcal.
[c] The RNIs for Vitamin E have not been set; therefore, the percentage of RNI has not been calculated.

Table 3 – Micronutrient intake–related differences in different weight categories.

Micronutrients	Weight category[a]	Male (N = 232)		Female (N = 310)	
		Daily intake [Mean (SD)]	Test of significance (two-sided)	Daily intake [Mean (SD)]	Test of significance (two-sided)
Vitamins					
Vitamin A (μg)	Normal weight	640 (755)	0.26	591 (511)	0.28
	Overweight	522 (509)		555 (667)	
	Obese	421 (354)		426 (380)	
Thiamin (mg)	Normal weight	1.54 (0.74)	0.16	1.2 (0.62)	0.23
	Overweight	1.4 (0.51)		1.05 (0.49)	
	Obese	1.27 (0.84)		1.18 (0.53)	
Riboflavin (mg)	Normal weight	1.55 (0.86)	0.1	1.16 (0.69)	0.09
	Overweight	1.38 (0.61)		0.94 (0.5)	
	Obese	1.2 (0.72)		1.19 (1.11)	
Niacin (mg)	Normal weight	29.15 (16.93)	0.3	18.09 (9.16)	0.62
	Overweight	28.88 (12.86)		17.24 (9.06)	
	Obese	23.54 (11.98)		19.23 (9.76)	
Vitamin B6 (mg)	Normal weight	2.11 (1.01)	0.39	1.59 (0.83)	0.64
	Overweight	2.05 (0.79)		1.48 (0.74)	
	Obese	1.8 (0.96)		1.6 (0.66)	
Folate (mg)	Normal weight	233 (137)	0.1	177 (95)	0.63
	Overweight	214 (112)		163 (121)	
	Obese	170 (103)		173 (90)	
Vitamin B12 (μg)	Normal weight	4.24 (3.02)	0.56	3.11 (2.25)	0.28
	Overweight	4.17 (2.8)		2.59 (2.6)	
	Obese	3.5 (2.44)		3.2 (2.69)	
Vitamin C (mg)	Normal weight	69.83 (58.24)	0.15	74.22 (56.33)	0.12
	Overweight	70.7 (64.73)		57.34 (52.66)	
	Obese	129 (378)		70.85 (54.99)	
Vitamin D (μg)	Normal weight	4.74 (7.38)	0.82	5.42 (10.59)	0.33
	Overweight	4.11 (5.12)		3.32 (7.4)	
	Obese	4.96 (8.2)		5.75 (11.63)	
Vitamin E (mg)	Normal weight	6.39 (3.29)	0.11	5.16 (3.2)	0.15
	Overweight	5.8 (3.53)		4.3 (2.49)	
	Obese	4.83 (3.99)		5.08 (2.86)	
Minerals					
Calcium (mg)	Normal weight	742 (332)	0.23	619 (311)	0.15
	Overweight	749 (514)		523 (258)	
	Obese	588 (486)		589 (593)	
Phosphorus (mg)	Normal weight	2919 (1688)	0.3	2294 (995)	0.49
	Overweight	2703 (9820)		2115 (1089)	
	Obese	2404 (1786)		2244 (1134)	
Magnesium (mg)	Normal weight	273 (114)	0.06	223 (136)	0.06
	Overweight	268 (140)		180 (840)	
	Obese	205 (120)		205 (94)	
Iron (mg)	Normal weight	11.65 (5.37)	0.26	8.93 (4.61)	0.04[b]
	Overweight	11.56 (5.96)		7.35 (3.47)	
	Obese	9.52 (5.86)		8.97 (4.51)	
Zinc (mg)	Normal weight	9.6 (5.34)	0.09	6.8 (3.06)	0.05
	Overweight	9.46 (4.93)		6.03 (2.88)	
	Obese	6.96 (4.34)		7.75 (4.57)	
Potassium (mg)	Normal weight	2765 (1038)	0.36	2294 (995)	0.62
	Overweight	2870 (1591)		2148 (1068)	
	Obese	2404 (1786)		2244 (1134)	
Iodine (mg)	Normal weight	119 (80.4)	0.07	88.02 (66.44)	0.27
	Overweight	105 (60.47)		71.72 (48.05)	
	Obese	81.3 (52.31)		87.14 (118.47)	
Copper (mg)	Normal weight	1.27 (0.82)	0.26	1.47 (684)	0.71
	Overweight	1.28 (0.85)		0.87 (0.67)	
	Obese	0.97 (0.63)		0.9 (0.47)	
Selenium (mg)	Normal weight	60.43 (44.11)	0.74	42.78 (31.74)	0.11
	Overweight	62.61 (33.86)		33.82 (19.59)	
	Obese	54.55 (31.8)		39.67 (27.2)	

(continued on next page)

Table 3 – (continued)

Micronutrients	Weight category[a]	Male (N = 232)		Female (N = 310)	
		Daily intake [Mean (SD)]	Test of significance (two-sided)	Daily intake [Mean (SD)]	Test of significance (two-sided)
Sodium (mg)	Normal weight	2954 (1189)	0.2	2478 (1337)	0.11
	Overweight	3253 (1843)		2302 (1049)	
	Obese	2680 (966)		1985 (1108)	

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
[a] Normal weight: BMI between 18 and 24.9 kg/m^2; overweight: BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m^2; obese: BMI ≥30 kg/m.^{2}.
[b] $p < 0.05$.

except for sodium which has been reported to be consumed in higher amounts in overweight (3253 mg) than in obese individuals (2680 mg) [$P > 0.05$].

Moreover, spearman's correlation showed negative associations between BMI status (normal weight, overweight and obese) and calcium ($r = -0.13$, $P = 0.05$), magnesium ($r = -0.13$, $P = 0.05$) and vitamin E (-0.17, $P = 0.01$) in the male population. In the female population, there was a negative correlation between BMI status and sodium ($r = -0.13$, $P = 0.02$), calcium (-0.18, $P = 0.002$), magnesium ($r = -0.12$, $P = 0.04$), copper (-0.13, $P = 0.02$), iodine ($r = -0.14$, $P = 0.016$) and vitamin B2 ($r = -0.16$, $P = 0.006$). No other significant correlations were noted. However, there was a trend towards a lower intake of micronutrient-dense foods with increasing BMI (Table 3).

Discussion

This study aims to assess the micronutrient intake in the diet of young adults aged 18–25 years and identify whether weight status is associated with micronutrient intake, a particularly important issue due to the continuous rise of obesity rates in all age categories.[17]

Micronutrient intake

Both men and women reported lower dietary intakes of vitamin A, vitamin D, magnesium, potassium, iodine and selenium than the relevant RNI. Women also reported to have lower intakes of iron, folate and calcium than the RNI (Table 2). The low intakes of vitamin D in both groups constitute a serious issue because of the critical role of vitamin D in musculoskeletal and cardiovascular disease.[18,19] In fact, only 3% of this population reported an intake of vitamin D at the level of 10 μg/day. However, sun exposure plays a substantial role in vitamin status, and responsiveness to UV exposure largely varies among individuals.[20] Therefore, no conclusive evidence could be made without biochemical assessment of vitamin D status. The low vitamin intake is consistent with many studies undertaken in the UK in different age groups.[21,22] Results are also consistent with NDNS outcomes which reported low blood levels of vitamin D in one-fifth of adults aged between 19 and 64 years.[23] Despite the current recommendations on consuming vitamin D–rich foods,[24] the low intake in comparison with recommendations persists. The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition recommends that all adults consider taking a vitamin D supplement, particularly during autumn and winter.[25] This, along with potential food fortification policies, needs to be reinforced/considered for university students and young adults.

For vitamin A, results are inconsistent with the NDNS results and potentially suggest that young adults between 18 and 25 years might have lower intakes of vitamin A. A potential explanation would be the lack of fruits and vegetables intake in the diet, which are a source of beta-carotene. This suggests reinforcing recommendations to achieve adequate intake. In addition, assessment of iodine intake does not match with the NDNS results. The latter also reported a normal urine iodine concentration in adults aged between 19 and 64 years. Iodine deficiency constitutes an important issue as it is linked to goitre and can cause adverse effects on reproduction in adults.[26] Therefore, further investigations in young adults are needed, and studies analysing urinary iodine concentrations in a sample of young adults along with dietary intake would help clarify the iodine status in this age category.

Iron intake was reported to be lower than the recommendations only in women (58% of RNI) with a deficit of 6.18 mg compared with RNI (Tables 2 and 3). This deficit has shown to be higher than the results obtained in the NDNS report for women aged 19–64 years (76% RNI). Results nearly match with the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) report showing that iron intake of European women aged 18–49 years is 9.8 (SD 3.8) mg/day,[27] which corresponds to a mean deficit of 5 mg compared with the RNI. The low-quality diet of young university students could have resulted in this iron deficit, yet testing indicators of iron status (ferritin, haemoglobin) in conjunction with dietary intake would have provided a better overview of iron status in this population. Consequences of low iron status have been well established. Suboptimal iron status and anaemia are associated with weakness, reduced physical work capacity and work tolerance[28–30] and a potential deficit in cognitive function.[31] Therefore, there is a need for developing strategies that aim to eliminate or reduce this deficiency.

In addition to iron, the average female diet seems to be inadequate in folate and calcium (Table 2); the latter is known to play a significant role in reproduction, musculoskeletal health, immunity and performance.[1] Therefore, special attention needs to be provided to these inadequacies. As folate fortification of flour is still under consideration in the UK,[32] our results provide further supporting evidence for

folate fortification of commonly consumed foods. Furthermore, with the emergence of new food products, developing recipes enriched with these nutrients and targeted to young women might be considered. Finally, deficiencies in magnesium, potassium, selenium and zinc are consistent with the results of NDNS for years 5 and 6 for the 19–64 age category. However, as limited evidence has been used to set DRVs for the latter nutrients, data should be interpreted with caution.[23]

Interestingly, although women reported more inadequacies in micronutrients than men and commonly a higher deficit, the analysis of nutrient density per energy intake showed that men have lower intakes per 1000 Kcal for most nutrients (with the exception of vitamins C and E) [Table 2]. Thus, although women's diet appears to be more deficient in nutrients than men's, it is more micronutrient-dense per Kcal consumed. Therefore, it can be suggested that both men and women need diet improvement with regard to energy-to-micronutrient ratio, yet the lower energy intake in women renders the micronutrient deficiency more prominent. On the other hand, sodium consumption has been reported to be above the recommendations of 1600 mg in both men and women, which is associated with detrimental consequences on cardiovascular risk.[33] However, sodium intake could be imprecisely measured by dietary assessment. For a more accurate measure of dietary sodium intake, 24 h urinary sodium excretion could be assessed to better predict the degree of sodium overconsumption. Recommendations need then to be reinforced to lower the intake of high salt foods in this age group.

Effect of weight status

Results suggest a lower micronutrient intake in overweight and obese participants compared to normal weight participants for dietary intakes of magnesium (188 (88) mg versus 223 (136) mg, $P = 0.03$) and selenium (35.75 (22) mg versus 42.78 (31.74), $P = 0.056$). Results match with previous studies showing that micronutrient intakes are lower in the obese population compared to normal weight.[8,9] It would have been valuable to identify the types of foods consumed in this population that have contributed to these differences. Future studies identifying this would be of interest. Interestingly, the non-significant differences in reported energy intake between normal weight and overweight in women ($P = 0.52$) or men ($P = 0.71$) could be mostly explained by underreporting which can be affected by weight status. In fact, a study reported that in obese individuals, the reported energy intake constituted an average of 59% of their energy expenditure.[34] This suggests the need for potential studies that validate energy intake particularly in obese individuals, and possibly establish a correction factor to the self-reported energy intake. Diet could then be more accurately assessed in future studies. The negative association between BMI status and some micronutrients (calcium, magnesium, iron, iodine, vitamin E and vitamin B2) and the trend towards a lower intake of micronutrient-dense foods with increasing BMI (Table 3) lead to the suggestion that the extra amount of energy consumed by participants in the overweight population mostly involves low-nutrient dense foods.

Results of this study are useful to assess dietary micronutrient inadequacy in this group of the population and identify the nutrient deficit that would help reinforce recommendations and strategies specific to this age and demographic group. Given the large number of university students in UK higher education, developing health promotion and intervention strategies need to be considered in university settings. As there are some gaps with regard to fortification,[1] this study provides further guidance on evidence-based food fortification and food product development that could cover the deficit for most nutrients without risks of excesses and improve the diet without major changes in dietary patterns. A national survey reported that pizza, pasta and curry are among the top consumed foods by those aged between 16 and 20 years in the UK.[35] Furthermore, another survey including 2573 students in UK universities reported that the price is the main determinant when buying foods.[36] Therefore, these factors need to be taken into account in any practical recommendations or actions.

Limitations

The study presents limitations with regard to misreporting dietary intake, which is a drawback for all methods assessing diet in a free-living population. However, efforts have been made to limit misreporting by including a visual guide to portion sizes for participants and excluding those with particularly high or low reported energy intake. Using weighed food records may have helped to reduce the bias, although this method also has limitations. The use of the three-day diet diary can also present limitations in assessing the typical diet of participants, yet a similar protocol (i.e. the use of three productive days of completed four-day diet diary) was used in NDNS survey. In addition, the lack of biochemical tests did not provide a more accurate index of micronutrient status. Moreover, the assessment of type of food intake in conjunction with micronutrient intake would have been valuable in assessing how dietary habits can affect nutrient intake. Finally, the limitations of the diet analysis software used did not allow to explore the correlation between other diet components (such as free sugars and fibre intake) and micronutrient ingestion.

Conclusion

This study shows that the diet of university students aged 18–25 years is below recommendations for vitamin D in both genders and for calcium, folate and iron in women. Overweight and obese individuals seemed to have higher inadequacies than normal weight individuals. Therefore, there is a need to improve health by reducing vitamin and mineral deficiencies through education to emphasise nutritional recommendations, reinforcement of health intervention strategies and utilisation of potential food fortification/enrichment or targeted supplementation. This will help to ensure optimal health and avoid negative long-term consequences in this young adult population.

Author statements

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participants who took part in the study.

Ethical approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at Liverpool Hope University.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contribution

G.F. supervised data collection, analysed data and drafted the manuscript. F.A. designed and managed the study, supervised data collection and entry and written parts of the manuscript. E.L. and C.M.C. supervised data collection and entry. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. WHO. *Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients*. 2006. http://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/micronutrients/9241594012/en/. [Accessed 15 January 2018].
2. Johnston CS, Solomon RE, Corte C. Vitamin C status of a campus population: college students get a C minus. *J Am Coll Health* 1998;**46**:209–13.
3. Fayet-Moore F, Petocz Samman S. Micronutrient status in female university students: iron, zinc, copper, selenium, vitamin B12 and folate. *Nutrients* 2014;**6**:5103–16.
4. Isa KA, Masuri MG. The association of breakfast consumption habit, snacking behavior and body mass index among university students. *AJCN* 2011;**1**:55–60.
5. Deliens T, Clarys P, De Bourdeaudhuij, Deforche B. Determinants of eating behaviour in university students: a qualitative study using focus group discussions. *BMC Publ Health* 2014;**14**:53–65.
6. Dahm CC, Chomistek AK, Jakobsen MU, Mukamal KJ, Eliassen AH, Sesso HD, et al. Adolescent diet quality and cardiovascular disease risk factors and incident cardiovascular disease in middle-aged women. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016;**5**:e003583. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.003583.
7. Weaver CM, Gordon CM, Janz KF, Kalkwarf HJ, Lappe JM, Lewis R, et al. The National Osteoporosis Foundation's position statement on peak bone mass development and lifestyle factors: a systematic review and implementation recommendations. *Osteoporos Int* 2016;**27**:1281–386.
8. UK Government Department of Education. *Participation rates in higher education: academic years 2006/2007 – 2015/2016 (provisional)*. 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/participation-rates-in-higher-education-2006-to-2016. [Accessed 20 January 2018].
9. Kimmons JE, Blanck HM, Tohill BC, Zhang J, Khan LK. Associations between body mass index and the prevalence of low micronutrient levels among US adults. *MedGenMed* 2006;**8**:59.
10. Kaidar-Person O, Person B, Szomstein S, Rosenthal RJ. Nutritional deficiencies in morbidly obese patients: a new form of malnutrition? Part B: minerals. *Obes Surg* 2008;**18**:1028–34.
11. WHO. *Obesity and overweight*. 2013. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/. [Accessed 27 January 2018].
12. WHO. *Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation*. 2008. whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241501491_eng.pdf. [Accessed 17 January 2018].
13. Henderson L, Irving K, Gregory J, Swan G. *The National Diet & Nutrition Survey: adults aged 19 to 64 years. Vitamin and mineral intake and urinary analytes*. London: HMSO; 2003.
14. Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Leblanc C, Lortie G, Savard R, Theriault G. A method to assess energy expenditure in children and adults. *AJCN* 1983;**37**:461–7.
15. UK Government. *Physical activity guidelines for Adults (19–64 years)*. 2003. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-physical-activity-guidelines. [Accessed 22 January 2018].
16. BNF. *Nutrition requirements*. 2016. https://www.nutrition.org.uk/nutritionscience/nutrients.../nutrient-requirements.html. [Accessed 10 February 2018].
17. NHS. *Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet*. 2017. https://www.gov.uk/.../613532/obes-phys-acti-diet-eng-2017-rep.pdf. [Accessed January 2018].
18. Lee JH, O'Keefe JH, Bell D, Hensrud DD, Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency: an important, common, and easily treatable cardiovascular risk factor? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;**52**:1949–56.
19. Spiro A, Buttriss JL. Vitamin D: an overview of vitamin D status and intake in Europe. *Nutr Bull* 2014;**39**:322–50.
20. Binkley N, Novotny R, Krueger D, Kawahara T, Daida YG, Lensmeyer G, et al. Low vitamin D status despite abundant sun exposure. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2007;**92**:2130–5.
21. De La Hunty A, Wallace AM, Gibson S, Viljakainen H, Lamberg-Allardt C, Ashwell M. UK food standards agency workshop consensus report: the choice of method for measuring 25-hydroxyvitamin D to estimate vitamin D status for the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey. *BJN* 2010;**104**:612–9.
22. Pearce SH, Cheetham TD. Diagnosis and management of vitamin D deficiency. *Br Med J Int Ed* 2010;**340**:b5664.
23. UK Government. *National diet and nutrition survey. Results from years 5 and 6 (combined) of the rolling programme (2012/2013 – 2013/2014)*. 2016. https://www.gov.uk/.../file/551352/NDNS_Y5_6_UK_Main_Text.pdf. [Accessed 22 January 2018].
24. NHS. *Vitamin D*. 2017. https://www.nhs.uk/news/food-and-diet/the-new-guidelines-on-vitamin-d-what-you-need-to-know/. [Accessed 22 January 2018].
25. SACN. *SACN vitamin D and health report*. 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sacn-vitamin-d-and-health-report. [Accessed 5 January 2018].
26. Delange F. Iodine deficiency in Europe and its consequences: an update. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2002;**29**:S404–16.
27. EFSA. Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for iron. *EFSA J* 2015;**13**:4254.
28. Food and Nutrition Board: Institute of Medicine. Iron. *Dietary reference intakes for vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chrmoium, copper, iodine, iron, managanese, molybdenum, nickel,*

silicon, vanadium and zinc. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001. p. 290–393.
29. MacPhail AP. Iron. In: Mann J, Truswell AS, editors. *Essentials of human nutrition*. 3rd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2007. p. 125–47.
30. Zhu YI, Haas JD. Iron depletion without anemia and physical performance in young women. *AJCN* 1997;**66**:334–41.
31. Blanton C. Improvements in iron status and cognitive function in young women consuming beef or non-beef lunches. *Nutrients* 2013;**6**:90–110.
32. SACN. *Update on folic acid*. 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/folic-acid-updated-sacn-recommendations. [Accessed 8 January 2018].
33. Smith-Spangler CM, Juusola JL, Enns EA, Owens DK, Garber AM. Population strategies to decrease sodium intake and the burden of cardiovascular disease: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;**152**:481–7.
34. Garriguet D. Under-reporting of energy intake in the Canadian Community Health Survey. *Health Rep* 2008;**19**:37.
35. BBC News. *One in six young people eat fast food 'twice a day*. 2016. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-37511554. [Accessed 23 January 2018].
36. NUS. *Student eats evaluation baseline survey*. 2015. https://www.nus.org.uk/PageFiles/39505/Student Eats Evaluation. [Accessed 2 January 2018].

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2018.10.016.