



Letter to the Editor

**Geography, global pandemics & air travel:
Faster, fuller, further & more frequent**

“Over recent decades, multiple epidemic events have underscored how highly vulnerable we are to viral threats. Our world is globally connected—and an “emerging threat” in one part of the world can pose a threat everywhere and to everyone” [1].

Over the last decade the world has seen the emergence and re-emergence of a number of lethal viral infections, including the Zika virus [2], Ebola [3], Influenza and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) [4,5]. It has been noted that the frequency of emergence of new pathogens is increasing, notably in relation to population density [6]. Although there are long-standing concerns over the spread of disease via airline travel [7–10], it is not surprising that these relatively recent outbreaks have re-focused considerable attention on the potential of airline networks to facilitate the spread of such pathogens on a global scale [11–14].

Alarming, there is general agreement that the World is ill-prepared for the next pandemic to emerge or resurface [15]. There are numerous mega, macro, meso and micro level factors behind such pessimism ranging from civil war and poor public health infrastructure [16,17] to growing nationalist isolationism [18,19] and linguistic differences [20]. Particular issues and concerns have been raised in relation to airline passenger surveillance monitoring and response including missing passenger information and difficulties in follow-up in contact tracing [21].

To compound this issue concerns have emerged in relation to international guidance relating to the control of infectious diseases related to airline travel [22–26]. Standard World Health Organization (WHO) guidance on potential transmission onboard airlines for TB, for example, suggests follow-up contact tracing on the row of an infected person, plus two rows ahead and behind [27]. However, there is growing evidence that this ‘two row rule’ may be ineffective [28–31].

Given the fragile nature of international public health [16,17], and concerns over inadequate though authoritative contact tracing advice [28–31], it is vitally important to acknowledge developments that are likely to further challenge this weak infrastructure. This piece examines three ongoing developments in air travel that are likely to adversely hamper pandemic preparedness in the forthcoming decades.

The first issue relates to the significant increase in air traffic passengers across the globe. Agencies such as the World Bank and the International Air Transport Association (IATA) have shown an unrelenting increase in air traffic passenger numbers in recent decades. The World Bank estimates that there were 0.3 billion airline passengers in 1970 [32]. The IATA noted air traffic numbers rose dramatically over the next forty years to 2.8 billion in 2011 [33]. Airline passenger volume was estimated to have risen to 3.8 billion in 2016, and is expected to rise to 7.2 billion by 2035 [34].

It is estimated that in 2016 commercial flights flew a total of 6.7 trillion kilometres. In terms of disease diffusion, it is perhaps even more of an issue to note that half those flights, accounting for more than 3 trillion kilometres, originated in just 10 countries [35].

The second issue relates to the growing size of passenger aircraft. Such developments have dramatically increased the number of passengers that may be exposed to a pathogen en-route and require follow-up contact tracing. Recent developments in the aeronautical industry have focused on economies of scale with the development of ever larger passenger aircraft. The leading market example of this phenomenon is the Airbus A380 [36]. In a one class (economy/coach) configuration, the Airbus A380 is certified to carry 868 passengers over two levels. Although seldom configured in this manner, given increased room for first class and business class travellers, this figure is indicative of the substantive size of this new aircraft. Such passenger numbers could easily overwhelm ground facilities in many airports, particularly given the significant variation in the ‘extent to which airports have infrastructure to triage and isolate sick passengers’ [35].

The third issue is concerned with an anticipated growth in the speed of international travel. To date there have only been two commercial supersonic passenger jet aircraft developed. The first of these, Concorde, was developed as a result of an Anglo-French partnership in the late 1960’s [37]. This aircraft entered service in the mid 1970’s and remained in service until 2003. The only other commercial supersonic passenger jet to date was the Russian built Tupolev Tu-144, which was withdrawn from service after just 102 scheduled flights [38]. However, the airline manufacturer, Boom Supersonic, has recently developed the XB-1 supersonic aircraft and currently has orders from Virgin Airways and four other companies [39]. This aircraft will halve flight times across the Atlantic and the Pacific [40]. In the event of an outbreak such speed potentially significantly reduces the time for quarantine and control measures to be implemented, as well as reducing the time span for infected individuals to become symptomatic and hence identifiable before landing and dispersion.

Concerns over the international spread of infectious pathogens via airline networks are longstanding. Recent outbreaks have served to focus attention on this issue. However, Public Health must be proactive, rather than reactive. Therefore, although it is important to be cognizant of the past in order to understand the present, it is vital to be future oriented. As such it is essential to acknowledge that the situation in relation to airline traffic and pandemic preparedness is far from static. Airline travel is becoming more prevalent, while at the same time the airline industry is developing both larger and faster aircraft. The implications for infectious disease preparedness are cause for concern and planning. However, to keep these emerging issues in context, it is important to remember that the most effective preparedness intervention is undoubtedly the development of strong and accessible health and public health infrastructures in each jurisdiction throughout the globe. As noted

above a threat to health anywhere is a threat to health everywhere [1].

Funding

No funding Sources.

Competing interests

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

References

- [1] USAID. Emerging pandemic threats; 2019,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <https://www.usaid.gov/what-we-do/global-health/pandemic-influenza-and-other-emerging-threats>.
- [2] Hu T, Li J, Carr MJ, Duchene S, Shi W. The Asian lineage of Zika virus: transmission and evolution in Asia and the Americas. *Virology* 2019;(January). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12250-018-0078-2>. Epublication ahead of the print.
- [3] To KK, Chan JF, Tsang AK, Cheng VC, Yuen KY. Ebola virus disease: a highly fatal infectious disease reemerging in West Africa. *Microbes Infect* 2015;17(Febuary (2)):84–97. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2014.11.007>.
- [4] de Wit E, van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2016;14(August (8)):523–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.81>.
- [5] Dawson P, Malik MR, Parvez F, Morse SS. What have we learned about Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus emergence in humans? A systematic literature review. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2019;19(January (3)):174–92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2017.2191>.
- [6] Morse SS, Mazet JA, Woolhouse M, Parrish CR, Carroll D, Karesh WB, et al. Prediction and prevention of the next pandemic zoonosis. *Lancet* 2012;380(9857):1956–65.
- [7] Moser MR, Bender TR, Margolis HS, Noble GR, Kendal AP, Ritter DG. An outbreak of influenza aboard a commercial airliner. *Am J Epidemiol* 1979;110:1–6.
- [8] Klontz KC, Hynes NA, Gunn RA, Wilder MH, Harmon MW, Kendal AP. An outbreak of influenza A/Taiwan/1/86 (H1N1) infections at a naval base and its association with airplane travel. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989;129:341–8.
- [9] Health Protection Agency and Health Protection Scotland New Influenza A (H1N1) Investigation Teams. Epidemiology of new influenza A (H1N1) in the United Kingdom, April–May 2009. *Euro Surveill* 2009;14:19213.
- [10] Foxwell AR, Roberts L, Lokuge K, Kelly PM. Transmission of influenza-like illness on international flights, May 2009. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17:1188–94.
- [11] Siettos CI, Russo L. Mathematical modeling of infectious disease dynamics. *Virulence* 2013;4(4):295–306.
- [12] Bogoch II, Creatore MI, Cetron MS, Brownstein JS, Pesik N, Miniota J, et al. Assessment of the potential for international dissemination of Ebola virus via commercial air travel during the 2014 west African outbreak. *Lancet* 2015;385(9962):29–35.
- [13] Althaus CL, Low N, Musa EO, Shuaib F, Gsteiger S. Ebola virus disease outbreak in Nigeria: transmission dynamics and rapid control. *Epidemics* 2015;11(June):80–4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2015.03.001>.
- [14] Wang L, Wu JT. Characterizing the dynamics underlying global spread of epidemics. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:218.
- [15] Walsh B. The world is not ready for the next pandemic. *Time Magazine*; 2017. May 15th 2017. <http://time.com/magazine/us/4766607/may-15th-2017-vol-189-no-18-u-s/>. [Accessed 12 February 2019].
- [16] Garrett L. The coming plague: newly emerging diseases in a world out of balance. London: Penguin; 1995.
- [17] Garrett L. Betrayal of trust: the collapse of global public health. New York: Hyperion; 2001.
- [18] Houghton F. Isolationism, populism, and infectious disease: uncertainty over international emergency response under the Trump regime. *J Infect Public Health* 2018;11(May–June (3)):444–5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2017.07.011>.
- [19] Houghton F. Learning from the 'Muslim ban': implications of the Trump presidency for international public health. *N Z Med J* 2017;130(March (1451)):75–7.
- [20] Choi J, Cho Y, Shim E, Woo H. Web-based infectious disease surveillance systems and public health perspectives: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2016;16(1):1238. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3893-0>. Published 2016 Dec 8.
- [21] Abubakar I, Welfare R, Moore J, Watson JM. Surveillance of air-travel-related tuberculosis incidents, England and Wales: 2007–2008. *Euro Surveill* 2008;13(23). pii=18896 <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=18896>.
- [22] WHO. Guide to hygiene and sanitation in aviation: module 1: water, module 2: cleaning and disinfection of facilities. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] http://www.who.int/ihr/ports_airports/guide_hygiene_sanitation_aviation_3-edition_wcov.pdf.
- [23] WHO. WHO interim guidance for ebola virus disease: exit screening at airports, ports and land crossings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/139691/WHO-EVD-Guidance-PoE-14.2-eng.pdf>.
- [24] WHO. Handbook for the management of public health events in air transport: updated with information on Ebola virus disease and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <http://www.who.int/ihr/publications/9789241510165-eng/en/>.
- [25] WHO. Vector surveillance and control at ports, airports, and ground crossings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <http://www.who.int/ihr/publications/9789241549592/en/>.
- [26] World Health Organization. WHO technical advice for case management of Influenza A(H1N1) in air transport. Developed in cooperation with the International Civil Aviation Organization and the International Air Transport Association; 2009.
- [27] WHO. Tuberculosis and air travel: guidelines for prevention and control. Geneva: WHO; 2006,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2006/who_tbm.tb.2006.363.pdf.
- [28] Hertzberg VS, Weiss H. On the 2-Row rule for infectious disease transmission on aircraft. *Ann Glob Health* 2016;82(September–October (5)):819–23. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aogh.2016.06.003>.
- [29] Young N, Pebody R, Smith G, Olowokure B, Shankar G, Hoschler K, et al. International flight-related transmission of pandemic influenza A (H1N1)pdm09: an historical cohort study of the first identified cases in the United Kingdom. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2014;8(January (1)):66–73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/irv.12181>.
- [30] Beard F, Franklin L, Donohue S, Moran R, Lambert S, Maloney M, et al. Contact tracing of in-flight measles exposures: lessons from an outbreak investigation and case series, Australia, 2010. *Western Pac Surveill Response J* 2011;2(August (3)):25–33. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5365/WPSAR.2011.2.2.010>.
- [31] Shankar AG, Janmohamed K, Olowokure B, Smith GE, Hogan AH, De Souza V, et al. Contact tracing for influenza a(H1N1)pdm09 virus-infected passenger on international flight. *Emerging Infect Dis* 2014;20(1):118–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2001.120101>.
- [32] The World Bank. Air transport, passengers carried. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IS.AIR.PSGR>. [Accessed 12 February 2019].
- [33] IATA. Airlines to welcome 3.6 billion passengers in 2016. IATA; 2012,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <http://www.iata.org/pressroom/pr/Pages/2012-12-06-01.aspx>.
- [34] IATA. IATA forecasts passenger demand to double over 20 years. IATA; 2016,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <http://www.iata.org/pressroom/pr/Pages/2016-10-18-02.aspx>.
- [35] National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Airport roles in reducing transmission of communicable diseases. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2019. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/25367>.
- [36] Duudu P. The biggest passenger airplanes in the world. Aerospace Technology; 2013 <http://www.aerospace-technology.com/features/feature-biggest-passenger-airplanes-in-the-world/>.
- [37] Orlebar C. The concorde story. Oxford: Osprey Publishing; 2011.
- [38] Gordon Y, Rigmant V, Komissarov D. Tupolev Tu-144: russia's concorde. Madison, Wisconsin: Midland Publishing; 2005.
- [39] Reid D. Supersonic flight promised by 2023 as Boom announces airline orders. CNBC; 2017,. [Accessed 12 February 2019] <https://www.google.ie/amp/s/www.cnbc.com/amp/2017/06/20/supersonic-flight-2023-as-boom-announces-airline-orders.html>.
- [40] Boom. XB-1 is a critical step toward mainstream supersonic travel. <https://boomsupersonic.com/xb-1>. [Accessed 12 February 2019].

Frank Houghton
HEALR Research Group, Limerick Institute of
Technology, Limerick, Ireland
E-mail address: Frank.Houghton@LIT.ie

12 February 2019