



## Editorial

## FLASH radiotherapy International Workshop

Marie-Catherine Vozenin<sup>a,b</sup>, Michael Baumann<sup>c</sup>, Rob P. Coppes<sup>d</sup>, Jean Bourhis<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology and UNIL; <sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Radiation Oncology and UNIL, University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland; <sup>c</sup> Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>d</sup> Departments of Radiation Oncology and Biomedical Sciences of Cells & Systems, Section Molecular Cell Biology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands

This issue of Radiotherapy & Oncology encloses original articles and review papers coming from presentations given at the second international workshop dedicated to FLASH radiation therapy (FLASH-RT). The meeting was organized at the CHUV University Hospital in Lausanne, Switzerland on the 12th and 13th of September 2018, in follow-up to the first workshop held at the Curie Institute, Orsay, France in 2016. Interestingly, this was the third meeting organized this year on FLASH-RT (one was held in April at the University of California – Irvine and another in August 2018 in Washington, DC by the RadioSurgery Society in conjunction with the NIH/NCI); these events show the strong interest generated by this recent exciting development in radiotherapy.

Despite major advances in high-precision treatment delivery, particle treatment, continued modality treatment and biomarker research including multimodal imaging, there is an unmet clinical need for further improvement. Still an important proportion of cancers are resistant to conventional radiation therapy warranting more effective and better tolerated treatments. However, opportunities to optimize the biological efficacy of radiation beams have probably been underexplored, and in this context, the first shared objective of the teams present at the workshop was to foster scientific knowledge to promote innovations in radiation therapy using FLASH-RT. The workshop was built around five sessions as well as round table discussions focusing on: dosimetry and medical physics, physico-chemistry, radiobiology, new accelerators, and clinical transfer.

FLASH-RT uses ultra-high dose rate irradiation produced by Linacs to deliver a single high dose of irradiation in a very short time (less than 200 milliseconds). The short duration of dose delivery was recently found to markedly modify the radiobiological response of tissues with an increase in the differential effect between tumors and normal tissues comparing single dose irradiations. In preclinical experiments, FLASH-RT is able to kill tumors while in experimental systems providing better protection for normal tissues and preventing side effects [1–7]. Biological results are reviewed in this issue of Radiotherapy & Oncology by Bourhis et al. [8]. Additional results are presented on tumor response to FLASH-RT as well as a case report describing the first treatment of a cancer patient with FLASH-RT [9]. Hypotheses regarding the possible radiochemical mechanisms respectively, namely the possible role of depletion of oxygen to organic hydroperoxides and lipid

peroxidation are proposed by Spitz et al. [10]. Interestingly, the radioprotective benefit of ultra-high dose rate irradiation and its dependency on oxygen was already explored and validated in bacteria and cell models more than 40 years ago [11–15] and has been recently demonstrated in the brain of mice *in vivo* [16].

However, FLASH irradiation is still in its infancy and more work is clearly needed to narrow and understand the parameters relevant for the generation of the FLASH effect [17]. In this respect, researchers need to keep in mind that today the only relevant parameter that defines FLASH beam is the reduced toxicity at the normal tissue level at high single doses. Therefore, the physical definition of a given beam based on its ultra-high dose rate is not sufficient to characterize FLASH-beams, biological validations *in vivo* are mandatory. The so far presented studies compare mostly large single dose irradiations, whereas clinically fractionated irradiation is used to spare the normal tissue. Thorough studies comparing clinically relevant hypofractionated schemes with FLASH irradiation are necessary to determine the level of the potential therapeutic gain. Moreover, whether the physical parameters associated with a FLASH mediated sparing of healthy tissues in small volumes are the same as the ones needed for sparing healthy tissues in larger volumes remains to be studied. The ultimate goal of the teams present at the FLASH-RT Workshop is to translate this approach to the clinic. Beyond radiobiological benefits, further advantages might be associated with FLASH-RT, in particular the fact that ultra-fast irradiation is able to “freeze” organ and tumor motion, as authored by Maxim et al. in this issue [18].

To facilitate clinical translation, major physical and technological challenges remain to be solved. Issues related to dosimetry at ultra-high dose rates have been investigated and solutions have been found with passive dosimeters for accurate and reproducible dosimetry [19,20]. However, further improvements are needed to develop monitor chambers and treatment planning systems as discussed by Jorge et al. [21].

Another challenge is that very few devices can deliver ultra-high dose rate irradiation. Some have been designed for delivering ultra-high dose rates (above 40 Gy/s) and are operating on large volumes of tissue (100 cm<sup>2</sup> range) with electron beams (Kinatron and Oriatron Linacs, 4–6 MeV built by PMB-Alcen) [22]. Next to this, clinical Linacs can be converted into FLASH-machines as described in [23] and Petersson et al. [24] in this issue. However,

the use of dedicated devices is currently restricted to experimental research or the treatment of very superficial tumors in a patient as reported by Bourhis et al. [9]. Therefore, optimization or development of a new generation of machines is required to readily deliver FLASH radiation to all cancer patients that may benefit.

Proton therapy beams can be modified and optimized to operate at ultra-high dose rate [25] and the main vendors are now racing to produce the first clinical Proton-FLASH device with relatively bold communication at radiation oncology meetings. However, with conventional planning and conventional spot-scanning machines FLASH dose rates were not achieved [26]. Moreover, in this issue, Beyreuther et al. [27] and Buonanno et al. [28] report contradictory data about biological evidences of a FLASH effect obtained with experimental Proton-FLASH beams. These discrepant results illustrate the need for more research and stress out the absolute necessity of defining FLASH-Beams using well defined physical parameters *combined* with their ability to produce the biological FLASH effect *in vivo*. Synchrotron facilities also operate at an ultra-high dose rate and are able to trigger the FLASH effect [4]. In this issue, Eling et al. [29] give an overview of the work performed by the teams working at the European synchrotron (ESRF) and discuss the possible dose rate limitation at synchrotrons as published earlier by Smyth et al [30]. Lastly, novel technologies of laser-plasma accelerated beams [31] as well as very high-energy electron (VHEE) and photon devices are being developed. Maxim et al. [18] provide the example of the PHASER project at Stanford University, USA, which is a treatment machine without any moving parts and pluri-directional delivery system. Other options can be proposed with a laser-plasma accelerated beam for VHEE and Proton, in which the magnetic focusing of the beam on the target might provide high conformality. These approaches are still in development and considered immature in terms of clinical transfer, but hold great promises for cancer cure in the future.

In summary, FLASH-RT appears as a promising new tool to improve differential effect between normal tissues and tumors and has potential to change the delivery of radiation therapy, with a potential significant impact on therapeutic outcome. This mandates further mechanistic inquiries and justifies promoting its rapid translation into clinical studies. However, this will necessitate tangible technological improvements for FLASH beam production and delivery.

### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no conflict-of-interest.

### Acknowledgements

The FLASH Workshop was supported by the SNF/Scientific exchange, La Ligue Suisse contre le Cancer and l'Institut Curie. We also would like to thank our industrial support PMB/Alcen, Précision X-ray Inc and Accuray.

In the past 5 years, Dr. Baumann attended an advisory board meeting of MERCK KGaA (Darmstadt), for which the University of Dresden received a travel grant. He further received funding for his research projects and for educational grants to the University of Dresden by Teutopharma GmbH (2011–2015), IBA (2016), Bayer AG (2016–2018), Merck KGaA (2016–2030), Medipan GmbH (2014–2018).

For the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ, Heidelberg) Dr. Baumann is on the supervisory boards of HI-STEM gGmbH (Heidelberg).

Dr. Baumann, as former chair of OncoRay (Dresden) and present CEO and Scientific Chair of the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ, Heidelberg), signed/signs contracts for his institute(s) and

for the staff for research funding and/or collaborations with a multitude of companies worldwide.

Dr. Baumann confirms that none of the above funding sources were involved in the design of this study, the preparation of this paper, the materials used, or the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

### References

- [1] Favaudon V, Caplier L, Monceau V, Pouzoulet F, Sayarath M, Fouillade C, et al. Ultrahigh dose-rate FLASH irradiation increases the differential response between normal and tumor tissue in mice. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6:245ra93.
- [2] Montay-Gruel P, Petersson K, Jaccard M, Boivin G, Germond JF, Petit B, et al. Irradiation in a flash: unique sparing of memory in mice after whole brain irradiation with dose rates above 100Gy/s. *Radiother Oncol* 2017;124:365–9.
- [3] Vozenin MC, De Fornel P, Petersson K, Favaudon V, Jaccard M, Germond JF, et al. The advantage of Flash radiotherapy confirmed in mini-pig and cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018. accepted.
- [4] Montay-Gruel P, Bouchet A, Jaccard M, Patin D, Serduc R, Aim W, et al. X-rays can trigger the FLASH effect: ultra-high dose-rate synchrotron light source prevents normal brain injury after whole brain irradiation in mice. *Radiother Oncol* 2018;129:582–8.
- [5] Loo Jr BW, Schuler E, Lartey F, Rafat M, King GJ, Trovatin S, et al. Delivery of ultra-rapid flash radiation therapy and demonstration of normal tissue sparing after abdominal irradiation of mice. *Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Phys* 2017;98:E16.
- [6] Harrington KJ. Ultrahigh dose-rate radiotherapy: next steps for FLASH-RT. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018.
- [7] Simmons DA, Lartey FM, Schuler E, Rafat M, King G, Kim A, et al. Reduced cognitive deficits after FLASH irradiation of whole mouse brain are associated with less hippocampal dendritic spine loss and neuroinflammation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:4–10.
- [8] Bourhis J, Montay-Gruel P, Goncalves Jorge P, Bailat C, Petit B, Olivier J, et al. Clinical translation of FLASH radiotherapy: why and how?. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:11–7.
- [9] Bourhis J, Jeanneret Sozzi W, Jorge PG, Gaide O, Bailat C, Duclos F, et al. Treatment of a first patient with FLASH-radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:18–22.
- [10] Spitz DR, Buettner GR, Petronek MS, St-Aubin JJ, Flynn RT, Waldron TJ, et al. An integrated physico-chemical approach for explaining the differential impact of FLASH versus conventional dose rate irradiation on cancer and normal tissue responses. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:23–7.
- [11] Weiss H, Epp ER, Heslin JM, Ling CC, Santomaso A. Oxygen depletion in cells irradiated at ultra-high dose-rates and at conventional dose-rates. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med* 1974;26:17–29.
- [12] Field SB, Bewley DK. Effects of dose-rate on the radiation response of rat skin. *Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med* 1974;26:259–67.
- [13] Epp ER, Weiss H, Djordjevic B, Santomaso A. The radiosensitivity of cultured mammalian cells exposed to single high intensity pulses of electrons in various concentrations of oxygen. *Radiat Res* 1972;52:324–32.
- [14] Dewey DL. An oxygen-dependent X-ray dose-rate effect in *Serratia marcescens*. *Radiat Res* 1969;38:467–74.
- [15] Hendry JH, Moore JV, Hodgson BW, Keene JP. The constant low oxygen concentration in all the target cells for mouse tail radionecrosis. *Radiat Res* 1982;92:172–81.
- [16] Montay-Gruel P, Acharya MM, Petersson K, Alikhani L, Yakkala C, Allen BD, et al. Long-term neurocognitive benefits of FLASH radiotherapy driven by reduced reactive oxygen species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019;116:10943–51.
- [17] Vozenin MC, Hendry JH, Limoli CL. Biological benefits of ultra-high dose rate FLASH radiotherapy: sleeping beauty awoken. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2019.
- [18] Maxim PG, Tantawi SG, Loo Jr BW. PHASER: a platform for clinical translation of FLASH cancer radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:28–33.
- [19] Jaccard M, Petersson K, Buchillier T, Germond JF, Duran MT, Vozenin MC, et al. High dose-per-pulse electron beam dosimetry: usability and dose-rate independence of EBT3 Gafchromic films. *Med Phys* 2017;44:725–35.
- [20] Petersson K, Jaccard M, Germond JF, Buchillier T, Bochud F, Bourhis J, et al. High dose-per-pulse electron beam dosimetry – a model to correct for the ion recombination in the Advanced Markus ionization chamber. *Med Phys* 2017;44:1157–67.
- [21] Jorge PG, Jaccard M, Petersson K, Gondre M, Duran MT, Desorgher L, et al. Dosimetric and preparation procedures for irradiating biological models with pulsed electron beam at ultra-high dose-rate. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:34–9.
- [22] Jaccard M, Duran MT, Petersson K, Germond JF, Liger P, Vozenin MC, et al. High dose-per-pulse electron beam dosimetry: commissioning of the Oriatron eRT6 prototype linear accelerator for preclinical use. *Med Phys* 2018;45:863–74.
- [23] Schuler E, Trovati S, King G, Lartey F, Rafat M, Villegas M, et al. Experimental platform for ultra-high dose rate FLASH irradiation of small animals using a clinical linear accelerator. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017;97:195–203.
- [24] Lempart M, Blad B, Adrian G, Back S, Knoos T, Ceberg C, et al. Modifying a clinical linear accelerator for delivery of ultra-high dose rate irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:40–5.

- [25] Patriarca A, Fouillade C, Auger M, Martin F, Pouzoulet F, Nauraye C, et al. Experimental set-up for FLASH proton irradiation of small animals using a clinical system. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2018;102:619–26.
- [26] van de Water S, Safai S, Schippers JM, Weber DC, Lomax AJ. Towards FLASH proton therapy: the impact of treatment planning and machine characteristics on achievable dose rates. *Acta Oncol* 2019:1–7.
- [27] Beyreuther E, Brand M, Hans S, Hideghety K, Karsch L, Lessmann E, et al. Feasibility of proton FLASH effect tested by zebrafish embryo irradiation. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:46–50.
- [28] Buonanno M, Grilj V, Brenner DJ. Biological effects in normal cells exposed to FLASH dose rate protons. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:51–5.
- [29] Eling L, Bouchet A, Nemoz C, Djonov V, Balosso J, Laissue J, et al. Ultra high dose rate synchrotron microbeam radiation therapy. Preclinical evidence in view of a clinical transfer. *Radiother Oncol* 2019;139:56–61.
- [30] Smyth LML, Donoghue JF, Ventura JA, Livingstone J, Bailey T, Day LRJ, et al. Comparative toxicity of synchrotron and conventional radiation therapy based on total and partial body irradiation in a murine model. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:12044.
- [31] Karsch L, Beyreuther E, Enghardt W, Gotz M, Masood U, Schramm U, et al. Towards ion beam therapy based on laser plasma accelerators. *Acta Oncol* 2017;56:1359–66.