ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Investigating a dose–response relationship between high-fat diet consumption and the contractile performance of isolated mouse soleus, EDL and diaphragm muscles

Josh Hurst[1] · Rob S. James[1] · Val M. Cox[1] · Cameron Hill[1] · Jason Tallis[1]

Abstract

Purpose Recent evidence has demonstrated an obesity-induced, skeletal muscle-specific reduction in contractile performance. The extent and magnitude of these changes in relation to total dose of high-fat diet consumption remains unclear. This study aimed to examine the dose–response relationship between a high-fat diet and isolated skeletal muscle contractility.

Methods 120 female CD1 mice were randomly assigned to either control group or groups receiving 2, 4, 8 or 12 weeks of a high-calorie diet ($N = 24$). At 20 weeks, soleus, EDL or diaphragm muscle was isolated ($n = 8$ in each case) and isometric force, work loop power output and fatigue resistance were measured.

Results When analysed with respect to feeding duration, there was no effect of diet on the measured parameters prior to 8 weeks of feeding. Compared to controls, 8-week feeding caused a reduction in normalised power of the soleus, and 8- and 12-week feeding caused reduced normalised isometric force, power and fatigue resistance of the EDL. Diaphragm from the 12-week group produced lower normalised power, whereas 8- and 12-week groups produced significantly lower normalised isometric force. Correlation statistics indicated that body fat accumulation and decline in contractility will be specific to the individual and independent of the feeding duration.

Conclusion The data indicate that a high-fat diet causes a decline in muscle quality with specific contractile parameters being affected in each muscle. We also uniquely demonstrate that the amount of fat gain, irrespective of feeding duration, may be the main factor in reducing contractile performance.

Keywords Force · Muscle quality · Muscular lipid · Lipid accumulation · Power

Abbreviations

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
CF	Cycle frequency
EDL	Extensor digitorum longus
HFD	High-fat diet
PO	Power output
WL	Work loop

✉ Josh Hurst

1   Centre for Sport, Exercise and Life Sciences, Science and Health Building, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK

Introduction

Recent data have indicated that 1.4 billion adults (approximately 19% of the world's population) over the age of 18 are either overweight or obese (WHO 2017), which is disproportionally higher in adults residing in westernised nations (WOF 2017). The increased prevalence of obesity has been closely linked with an increase in diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer and other potentially life-threatening illnesses and diseases (Kopelman 2007). Furthermore, there is an emerging body of evidence suggesting that obesity significantly affects the contractile performance of skeletal muscle (Ciapaite et al. 2015; Tallis et al. 2017b; Tomlinson et al. 2016; Tuttle et al. 2012). Given that skeletal muscle is the primary site of substrate metabolism in the body (Zurlo et al. 1990), and that contractile function is needed to fulfil activities of daily living and increase calorie expenditure, it has been proposed that the effects of obesity on skeletal

muscle may be a catalyst for obesity-related disease (Tallis et al. 2014).

Human in vivo studies that have examined the effects of obesity on muscle performance have demonstrated an improvement in the absolute force-producing capacity of postural and locomotor muscles (Abdelmoula et al. 2012; Maffiuletti et al. 2007; Szymura et al. 2011), but little effect on the contractile performance of other muscles (Capodaglio et al. 2009; Hulens et al. 2001). The improved performance in postural and locomotor muscles has been proposed to relate to a positive training adaptation induced by the elevated body mass of obese individuals. When contractile function is reported as a function of body mass, in humans, muscle function is significantly reduced in obese individuals in both sexes and across a range of ages (Aucouturier et al. 2007; Miyatake et al. 2000; Rolland et al. 2004; Szymura et al. 2011; Ward et al. 1997).

A recent review examining the effect of obesity on skeletal muscle function (Tallis et al. 2018) outlines the value of using isolated muscle to assess the effects of obesity on contractility. Although in vivo work has offered a valuable insight into the effects of obesity on skeletal muscle function, a more comprehensive understanding can be gained by also undertaking studies using isolated skeletal muscle. It has been suggested that human studies do not make accurate assessments of obesity-associated changes in muscle quality (muscle performance normalised to muscle size) (Tallis et al. 2017b). Understanding obesity-induced changes in muscle quality is important, as producing the highest contractile performance per quantity of tissue reduces the muscle mass required, hence lowering body mass, and decreases the cost of maintaining that muscle mass (Tallis et al. 2017b). It is common for in vivo research to report absolute changes in contractile performance, or that normalised to body mass (Abdelmoula et al. 2012; Miyatake et al. 2000; Paolillo et al. 2012; Ward et al. 1997) which provides little insight into obesity-induced changes in muscle quality. Only a very small number of in vivo studies have used techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography scans in an attempt to assess muscle quality (Blimkie et al. 1989); however, these only provide estimates of muscle size which has led to ambiguity with respect to the effect of obesity on the intrinsic force-producing capacity of the contractile proteins. Isolated skeletal muscle studies allow for a more accurate assessment of muscle quality as the whole muscle mass can be determined, and the performance of one particular muscle is measured. Furthermore, an isolated skeletal muscle approach allows assessment of the fibre type and muscle-specific effect of obesity on contractile performance without the confounding effects of the central nervous system, including central inhibition. Previous work has stated that the effect of obesity on skeletal muscle fatigue cannot be accurately measured in vivo as the muscle of the obese

individual will be working at a greater intensity to overcome the increased body inertia (Tallis et al. 2017b). As such, it has been proposed that assessment of the fatigue resistance of isolated skeletal muscle allows a true examination of the effects of obesity on skeletal muscle performance.

To date, only a small number of studies have assessed the effect of obesity on isolated skeletal muscle contractility with the majority of these studies using rodents (Bott et al. 2017; Ciapaite et al. 2015; Eshima et al. 2017). Results demonstrate that the effect of obesity is muscle and contractile parameter specific. There is evidence to suggest that the absolute force and power-producing capacity of skeletal muscle is either increased or maintained, whereas there is an obesity-induced reduction in muscle quality, meaning that obesity may result in a reduction in the intrinsic force-producing capacity of the contractile proteins (Tallis et al. 2017b). Tallis et al. (2018), suggested that the ambiguity in findings is likely related to methodological approach (i.e. mechanical assessment method and differences in the temperature at which the experiments were performed), or feeding duration, with previous work administering HFD for durations between 3 and 16 weeks (Ciapaite et al. 2015; Tallis et al. 2017b; Thomas et al. 2014). Although this has not been thoroughly investigated, several proposed mechanisms, such as a reduction in protein synthesis (Akhmedov and Berdeaux. 2013; Anderson et al. 2008), changes in the expression of proteins involved with calcium handling (Bruton et al. 2002; Warmington et al. 2000), fibre-type shift (Eshima et al. 2017; Shortreed et al. 2009) and an altered metabolic profile (Ciapaite et al. 2015; Seebacher et al. 2017; Tallis et al. 2017b) have been suggested as potential mechanisms for the obesity-associated reduction in muscle performance. The onset and magnitude of changes in muscle performance seems likely to be muscle specific and to vary with feeding duration.

The present study used the work loop technique to uniquely examine the effects of 2, 4, 8 or 12 weeks of HFD feeding on the mechanical performance of isolated soleus, extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and diaphragm muscle to provide an insight into the muscle-specific onset and magnitude of the obesity response. This has been prioritised as an important area for future work in a recent review by Tallis et al. (2018). The range of feeding durations used in this study was selected as previous work has shown mechanistic changes, such as altered fibre-type composition, from as little as 3 weeks of a HFD consumption (Thomas et al. 2014). Results gained from this study will provide clarity on the magnitude of the effects of a HFD over different durations of feeding and will help rationalise previous ambiguous finding untimely providing a better understanding of the effects of obesity on isolated skeletal muscle function. Furthermore, previous studies examining the obesity effect on isolated muscle performance have primarily used assessments

of isometric force (Bott et al. 2017; Ciapaite et al. 2015; Eshima et al. 2017; Matsakas et al. 2015; Shortreed et al. 2009; Thomas et al. 2014; Warmington et al. 2000) and to date only two studies have used the work loop technique to examine obesity effect on muscular power (Seebacher et al. 2017; Tallis et al. 2017b). Studies assessing isometric muscle force are limited with respect to their in vivo application given that dynamic power-producing muscle activity is needed for the completion of locomotor tasks (Josephson 1985). Although isotonic and isovelocity assessments of muscle function have been used to assess isolated skeletal muscle power in previous work (Barclay 1996; James et al. 1996), such techniques have been criticised and suggested to substantially overestimate muscle power output (James et al. 1996). The work loop techniques consider muscle force generation during dynamic activity and account for the interaction between force produced during shortening, passive resistance to re-lengthening, and changes in activation and relaxation time using length change magnitudes and waveform that more closely replicate those used in vivo (Josephson 1985; James et al. 1995). In vivo, locomotor muscles are used to produce and absorb work during shortening and lengthening, meaning examination of obesity effects on muscle performance using the work loop technique provides a valuable insight into the effects of obesity on a contraction type fundamental for tasks of daily living previous work has only examined the effect of obesity on work loop power at a

fixed cycle frequencies (number of length changes per unit time) (Tallis et al. 2017b; Seebacher et al. 2017). Here, we uniquely measure obesity effects on work loop power over a range of cycle frequencies to gain a better understanding of obesity effects on power across and range of length change velocities. This offers a greater insight on how obesity affects range of length change velocities used during locomotor tasks *in vivo* (Table 1).

Results

Morphology

Whole animal body mass, absolute gonadal fat pad mass, gonadal fat pad mass as a percentage of whole body mass and abdominal circumference were significantly affected by treatment (Table 2; ANOVA $P < 0.004$ in each case). More specifically 8 and 12 weeks of feeding resulted in significantly greater body mass, absolute gonadal fat pad mass, gonadal fat pad mass as a percentage of body mass and abdominal circumference compared to lean controls (Dunnett's $P < 0.01$). Muscle mass for soleus was significantly greater in treatments groups of 8 and 12 weeks of feeding when compared to lean controls (Table 2, ANOVA $P < 0.001$, Dunnett's $P < 0.001$ in both cases). Muscle mass of the EDL was significantly greater in treatment groups of

Table 1 The effect of HFD feeding duration on the whole animal and muscle morphology

Treatment group	Control		2 weeks		4 weeks		8 weeks		12 weeks	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Body mass (g)	33.3	4.1	36.4	4.9	35.9	5.6	41.2*	7.1	38.7*	6.0
Body length (cm)	10.4	0.4	10.6	0.3	10.8	0.4	10.9	0.5	10.9	0.3
Gonadal fat pad mass (g)	0.91	0.79	1.91	1.01	1.99	1.28	2.92*	2.39	2.30*	1.39
Gonadal fat pad mass (% of body mass)	2.86	0.5	5.39	0.6	5.06	0.6	7.34*	1.0	6.00*	0.5
Circumference (cm)	7.48	0.49	8.52	0.79	8.49	0.84	9.24*	1.03	9.03*	0.93
SOL mass (mg)	8.50	0.2	10.1	0.5	9.90	0.4	10.8*	0.5	12.3*	0.4
EDL mass (mg)	10.4	0.6	11.9	0.7	12.9*	0.3	12.6*	0.3	13.7*	0.3

Data represented as Mean + SEM; $N = 24$ for groups control, and 2 and 4 weeks; $N = 30$ for groups 8 and 12 weeks; *Indicate significant differences between treatment group and lean control group

Table 2 The effect of different durations of a HFD on isometric time from last stimulus to half tetanus relaxation (LSHR) of isolated mouse soleus, EDL and diaphragm

Treatment group	Control		2 weeks		4 weeks		8 weeks		12 weeks	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Soleus (ms)	45.0	2.9	45.9	4.8	47.8	6.2	52.7*	5.2	55.1*	4.0
EDL (ms)	12.9	0.9	12.8	1.0	13.2	1.2	14.6	0.7	14.4	1.1
Diaphragm (ms)	25.1	2.5	25.8	2.1	25.5	3.0	26.2	2.8	24.9	3.1

Data represented as Mean + SEM $N = 8$ for SOL, DIA and EDL in groups control, and 2 and 4 weeks, and $N = 10$ for Soleus, Diaphragm and EDL in groups 8 and 12 weeks

*Represents significant difference between treatment group and lean control group

4, 8 and 12 weeks of feeding when compared to lean controls (Table 2, ANOVA $P < 0.01$, Dunnett's $P > 0.01$). Diaphragm mass is not compared between treatment groups as it was not possible to consistently remove the exact same section of the diaphragm on each occasion.

Isometric tetanus force and stress

For the soleus and the EDL, maximal isometric stress and force was not significantly affected by treatment (Fig. 1a, b, 1c, 1d; ANOVA $P > 0.1$ in each case). The maximal isometric stress of diaphragm was significantly lower than lean controls in the 8-week HFD treatment group (Fig. 1e ANOVA $P = 0.01$; Dunnett's $P = 0.04$).

Time to half peak tetanus (THPT) was not significantly different between the treatment groups for soleus, EDL or diaphragm (ANOVA $P > 0.5$ in each case). Similarly, time from the last stimulus to half relaxation (LSHR) for EDL and diaphragm was not significantly affected (Table 3 ANOVA $P = 0.7$). However, LSHR for soleus was significantly affected by feeding duration (Table 3, ANOVA $P = 0.01$, Dunnett's $P > 0.04$), with LSHR being significantly greater in the 8- and 12-week feeding groups.

Work loop power, fatigue and recovery

There was no significant interaction between treatment and the optimal cycle frequency of work loop (two-way ANOVA $P = 1.00$) on any of the muscles tested, the shape of the force


Fig. 1 The effect of different durations of a HFD on the maximal isometric tetanus force and stress of isolated mouse soleus (**a** & **b**), EDL (**c** & **d**) and diaphragm (**e**) [Data represented as Mean + SEM $N = 8$ for soleus, diaphragm and EDL in groups control, and 2 and 4 weeks, and $N = 10$ for Soleus, Diaphragm and EDL in groups 8 and 12 weeks; *Represent significant differences between treatment group and lean control group]

Table 3 Correlation between gonadal fat pad mass, and body mass, tetanus force, tetanus stress, absolute power output, normalised power output and fatigue for soleus, EDL and diaphragm. All experimental groups were pooled for this analysis

	Body mass	Tetanus force	Tetanus stress	Absolute PO	Normalised PO	Fatigue (% of max)
Soleus						
R value	0.792	0.326	0.081	0.151	−0.216	0.191
P value	0.001*	0.03*	0.606	0.331	0.164	0.221
EDL						
R value	0.155	0.068	0.082	−0.008	−0.267	−0.357
P value	0.321	0.664	0.603	0.957	0.074	0.019*
Diaphragm						
R value	0.613		−0.334		−0.021	−0.413
P value	0.001*		0.03*		−0.891	0.005*

$N = 44$ for each group

*Represents significant correlation between body mass and variable

velocity curve remained unchanged. For both the soleus and EDL, absolute work loop power output was not significantly different in any of the treatment groups when compared to the lean control (Fig. 2b, d ANOVA $P > 0.13$ in both muscles). When work loop power output was normalised to muscle mass, treatment group of 8 weeks of HFD for the soleus was significantly lower than the lean control group, with the 12-week group approaching significance (Fig. 1a, ANOVA $P < 0.019$, Dunnett's $P = 0.03$ & $P = 0.06$ respectively). For the EDL, treatment groups of 8 and 12 weeks of HFD were significantly lower than the lean control group (Fig. 2c, ANOVA, $P < 0.01$, Dunnett's $P > 0.03$). For the diaphragm, 12 weeks of a HFD resulted in significantly lower normalised work loop power output than the lean control group (Fig. 2e, ANOVA $P = 0.01$, Dunnett's $P = 0.019$). Absolute work loop power output for the diaphragm was not recorded as only a section of the whole muscle was taken.

There was an overall significant difference in time to fatigue for soleus (Fig. 3a, ANOVA $P = 0.02$); however, there was no differences among treatment groups and the lean control group in post hoc analysis. EDL from treatment groups 8 and 12 fatigued significantly quicker than the lean control group (Fig. 3b, ANOVA, $P = 0.02$, Dunnett's $P < 0.03$). Diaphragm had no significant changes in time to fatigue between any of the treatment groups and the lean control group (Fig. 3c, ANOVA $P > 0.36$). The ability for the muscle to recover over a 30-min period post-fatigue protocol was not significantly affected by any treatment for all the muscles examined (ANOVA, $P > 0.06$).

Morphological data indicated that body fat accumulation is specific to the individual (Table 4) and independent of the feeding duration. For example, one individual from the 2-week feeding group had a body mass of 40.96 g with a FPM of 3.86 g in comparison with another individual from the 12-week feeding group which had a body mass of 30.78 g with a FPM of 0.5 g. As such, correlations between gonadal fat pad mass and contractility measures were analysed. For soleus, there was a significant positive correlation between body mass and gonadal fat pad mass, body mass and absolute force (Table 4, $R > 0.42$; $P < 0.001$), and a significant negative relationship between body mass and normalised work loop power output ($R = -0.3$; $P = 0.045$). For EDL, there was a significant positive relationship between body mass and absolute work loop power output (Table 4 $R = 0.385$; $P = 0.011$), and a significant negative relationship between body mass and time to fatigue (Table 4 $R = -0.323$; $P = 0.035$). For the diaphragm, there was a significant correlation between body mass and gonadal fat pad mass ($R = 0.613$; $P < 0.001$), and a significant negative relationship between body mass and maximal tetanus stress and time to fatigue (Table 4. $R = -0.339$; $P = 0.025$).

Discussion

The present study is the first to assess the effect of a range of high-fat feeding durations on the contractile performance of skeletal muscle using a method which more closely replicates *in vivo* muscle function. When considered with respect to feeding duration, the results indicated that HFD consumption for a period shorter than 8 weeks has no significant effect on animal morphology or muscle isometric performance; results that agree with previous findings showing short durations (3–8 weeks) of feeding have little effect on muscle contractility (Eshima et al. 2017; Shortreed et al. 2009; Thomas et al. 2014). Only after 8 weeks of HFD consumption, contractile performance was affected, with this duration being the first associated with a significant increase in whole body mass, gonadal fat pad mass (Table 2) and gonadal fat pad mass as a percentage of body mass (Table 2). These data, therefore, indicate that changes in skeletal muscle performance are related to changes in body composition. When gonadal fat pad mass was correlated with skeletal muscle mechanical performance variables and considered irrespective of feeding duration, it was evident that for some individuals changes in contractile performance ensued prior

Fig. 2 The effect of different durations of a HFD on the normalised net WL PO and absolute net WL PO of isolated mouse soleus (**a** & **b**), EDL (**c** & **d**) and diaphragm (**e**) over a range of cycle frequencies. [Data represented as mean; $N = 8$ for soleus, diaphragm and EDL in groups control, and 2 and 4 weeks, and $N = 10$ for soleus, diaphragm and EDL in groups 8 and 12 weeks *Represents significant difference between treatment group and lean control group]

to 8 weeks of HFD consumption. As such, the present findings infer that quantity of fat accumulation rather than feeding duration is the key factor driving obesity-associated changes in muscle contractility and rationalising previous ambiguous findings in this area of research where studies report outcomes following fixed feeding durations.

Obesity effects on force and power

There were no changes in peak isometric force or peak isometric stress (force/cross-section area) of SOL in any of treatment groups when compared to the lean control group. This is contradictory to the findings of previous work

which has demonstrated obese individuals have increased absolute force of postural muscles (Tallis et al. 2017b). In human studies, this has been attributed to an added training effect from increased body mass (Maffiuletti et al. 2013). One possible reason for the discrepancy in our results is the body mass of the animals used; body masses of the 8- and 12-week treatment groups were 41.2 ± 7.1 and 38.7 ± 6, respectively. However, the body mass of the obese animals in the work by Tallis et al (2017b) was 52.7 ± 2.30, with this additional body mass likely from the longer duration of feeding the animal received (16 weeks). A greater body mass is likely to evoke a greater training stimulus, given the higher force requirement needed to overcome body inertia.

Fig. 3 The effect of different durations of a HFD on the fatigue resistance of maximally stimulated mouse soleus **a**, EDL **b** and diaphragm **c** [Data represented as Mean ± SEM; $N = 8$ for soleus, diaphragm and EDL in groups control, and 2 and 4 weeks, and $N = 10$ for soleus, diaphragm and EDL in groups 8 and 12 weeks]


Table 4 Nutritional value of the diets given to the animals

	SDS RM-1 maintenance	Advanced protocol picolab natural sunflower
Calories provided by		
Protein (%)	17.49	17.95
Fat (%)	7.42	63.66
Carbohydrates (%)	75.09	18.39
Gross energy (kcal.g^{-1})	3.52	5.24
Digestible energy (kcal.g^{-1})	2.57	3.80
Fatty acid content		
Saturated (%)	0.51	2.61
Monounsaturated (%)	0.88	5.36

Absolute power output was also unaffected by treatment in the present study; however, when normalised to muscle mass, power output from the 8-week HFD group was significantly less than their lean counterparts and was approaching significance ($P = 0.06$) in the 12-week HFD group. This reduction in the normalised power of soleus was not evident in our previous work using a longer feeding duration (Tallis et al. 2017b), further exacerbating the complexity with respect to the effect of feeding duration and fat gain has on muscle performance.

When compared to controls, isometric stress, absolute force or absolute power output in the EDL or soleus was unchanged in the HFD groups. Shortreed et al. (2009), and also reported no force changes in the EDL after 8 weeks

of feeding. Previous literature has reported a decrease in both tetanic force and stress (Eshima et al. 2017; Matsakas et al. 2015), although variation in methodology (both age and assessment temperature) may account for these discrepancies. In the EDL, normalised power output was significantly reduced in animals from HFD treatment groups of 8- and 12-week duration, indicating that the increase in muscle mass induced by obesity was not associated with an improvement in contractile performance. These data also demonstrate that the reduction in muscle quality may be reduced earlier than 8 weeks and that the magnitude of the decline is dependent on fat accumulation rather than feeding duration (see Table 4). As such, findings from the present study infer that an obesity-associated reduction in muscle quality is likely to occur following much shorter feeding durations than those previously cited (Tallis et al. 2017b). When considered in vivo, larger muscles of poorer quality will be produced, raising metabolic demand in protein synthesis and further increasing body mass and body inertia.

Our results infer that the magnitude and onset of the obesity-associated changes in contractile performance are muscle specific, and likely related to mechanical function, anatomical location and fibre-type distribution. These findings are the first to indicate that obesity may cause a reduction in skeletal muscle quality across all muscles, which has not been the case in previous work. For example, Tallis et al (2017b) demonstrated that muscle quality was relatively well maintained in the soleus, which is not the case in the present findings. Such results further highlight the complexity and ambiguity in relation to this area of research. However, we propose that the changes on body composition, or more specifically fatness, are the most important mediator of obesity-associated changes in muscle performance. Given the rate of fat accumulation is not uniform across all individuals, assessing the effects of obesity using HFD during a fixed feeding duration and failing to consider individual results may be the cause of ambiguity in recent findings.

Correlation data (Table 4) between morphological and contractility measurements plotted against fat pad mass support the theory that fatness rather than feeding duration is the main influence on the decline on muscle performance.

Obesity effects on fatigue resistance

The effect of obesity on fatigue resistance of skeletal muscle has been previously assessed in vivo (Maffiuletti et al. 2007). However, in vivo work does not give an accurate assessment of skeletal muscle fatigue as muscle from an obese individual will have to move a bigger mass resulting in faster fatigue. Only one study has previously assessed the effect of obesity on the fatigue of muscle power output. Tallis et al (2017b) reported that soleus fatigued significantly quicker in the obese group when compared to the lean controls; given

the postural support role of the sol this would have detrimental effects on sustained locomotion. Results from the current study showed little change in time to fatigue for the soleus and no change in the diaphragm. Contrary to Tallis et al. (2017b), the present study reported a significant reduction in time to fatigue in the EDL in both 8- and 12-week treatment groups; as a longer duration of feeding was used in the Tallis et al. (2017b) study, it is possible the fibre type of the EDL began to take on the metabolic formula of the adjacent fibres in preparation to alter fibre type in accordance with the nearest neighbour theory (Pette and Staron 1997). As per previous work (Tallis et al. 2017b), it would be expected that when such muscle performance is considered in vivo, that all muscles would experience greater fatigue given the obesity-induced reduction in normalised work loop power and the elevated segmental mass. This point is particularly important when considering the in vivo role of the diaphragm; reduced fatigue resistance could lead to reduced oxygen delivery, deteriorating fatigability for all muscles. Although significant differences were shown in the time to fatigue in the EDL, correlation data (Table 4) show individuals from all treatment groups can be found at both ends of the trend line, again suggesting that fatness, not duration of feeding if the key underlying issue.

Mechanisms for an obesity-associated change in skeletal muscle contractile performance

There are a number of mechanisms which have been suggested to affect the decline in muscle performance due to obesity, such as a change in fibre-type composition (Eshima et al. 2017; Shortreed et al. 2009), a reduction in protein synthesis (Akhmedov and Berdeaux 2013; Anderson et al. 2008), altered calcium handling (Bruton et al. 2002; Warmington et al. 2000) and changes to metabolic profile (Ciapaite et al. 2015; Seebacher et al. 2017; Shortreed et al. 2009; Tallis et al. 2017b). The variation in mechanisms proposed for how obesity contributes to a decline in muscular contractility may at least partially be due to variation in methodology used in previous literature and the dearth of research which attempts to couple mechanistic changes with effects on contractile performance. The changes in mechanisms relating to muscle function are likely to be muscle specific given that the changes in performance are, there is also some relation to the magnitude of fatness. These mechanisms may be further exacerbated by long duration feeding.

The findings regarding changes in fibre-type expression as a result of obesity in rodents are equivocal, with some literature reporting no change (de Wilde et al. 2008; Denies et al. 2014; Shortreed et al. 2009; Tallis et al. 2017b; Trajcevski et al. 2013), and some reporting a shift to either slow twitch or fast twitch fibres (Eshima et al. 2017; Kemp et al. 2009; Shortreed et al. 2009; Tanner et al. 2002; Thomas

et al. 2014; Warmington et al. 2000). Part of this ambiguity may be related to evidence derived from dietary-induced obesity models compared to genetically obese rodent models. Generally, studies using OB/OB rodents show a shift to slower, more oxidative fibres (Warmington et al. 2000) with a lower muscle mass and those using dietary-induced obesity demonstrate a shift towards faster fibres (Eshima et al. 2017; Thomas et al. 2014) and a larger muscle mass (Ciapaite et al. 2015; Tallis et al. 2017b). Although fibre-type composition and metabolic capacity were not analysed, a decrease in slow twitch fibres or altered AMPK activation may go some way in explaining the reduction in time to fatigue in obese individuals; however, a reduction in contractile performance without a change in fibre-type composition has also been reported (Tallis et al. 2017b). Ciapaite et al (2015) reported a decrease in tetanus force in the soleus with no change in MyHC; however, an increase in slow Tnnt1 isoform and decrease in fast Tnnt3 isoform were reported. This change would increase Ca^{2+} sensitivity and decrease Ca^{2+} cooperativity of force production, therefore, reducing force production of the muscle (Brotto et al. 2006). Changes in both fibre type and speed of actin–myosin cycling need further investigation.

Obesity has been associated with chronic inflammation leading to elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-6 which has been linked to a decline in skeletal muscle contractile protein synthesis (Akhmedov and Berdeaux 2013; D'Souza et al. 2015; Tallis et al. 2017b). The increase in muscle mass and decrease in normalised muscle power output from the obese group in the current study suggest that although skeletal muscle remodelling is continued in obese individuals, the quality of the contractile proteins being synthesised is likely to be reduced.

Broader applications of the findings

Elevated fatness has the potential to cause individuals to fall into a negative cycle, as body mass increases and muscle quality decreases; obese individuals may have their movement reduced due to earlier fatigue and reduced ability to produce muscular power. Obese individuals may also have reduced ability to oxidise fat due to metabolic changes, further adding to the negative cycle. This lack of movement will not only decrease quality of life, but also lead to further decreases in calorie expenditure which may lead to further increases in body mass. An obesity-associated reduction in the performance of the diaphragm may affect pulmonary function and oxygen delivery to working tissue thus further limiting muscle performance.

This data have shown important results with respect of the effect of obesity on muscle function at the muscle level, but to consider effect on whole body functional performance, contractile function in relation to elevated body inertia should be considered. The effect of a reduction in muscle quality on locomotor performance has already been outlined. In addition, the limited effects on the absolute force and power of soleus and EDL muscles would also present substantial problems for functional performance in vivo given that such musculature is expected to cause movement in a system that has a greater inertia. As a result, the decline in fatigue resistance will also be on a greater magnitude given that musculature of the obese group would have to work at a greater intensity to sustain movement at the same speed as their lean counterparts. Importantly, these data add growing evidence that indicates that the obesity-associated reduction in locomotor performance is caused by factors further to elevated inertia induced by greater fat mass, but a significant reduction in the decline of the contractile performance of skeletal muscle. Furthermore, the effects seen at a muscle level may be further exaggerated as obesity has reportedly caused changes in neuromuscular recruitment (Yoshida et al. 2012).

Limitations and future work

One limitation for this study is normalising work loop power to whole muscle mass in an attempt to assess muscle quality. Goodpaster et al. (2001) reported that skeletal lipid content can double in obese individuals; this would mean a smaller proportion of the muscle mass would be contractile protein; therefore, these results may overestimate the decline in contractile performance. Normalising work loop power to lean muscle mass would allow for a more accurate representation of muscle quality. Although obese individuals may have a higher intramuscular lipid content than their lean counterparts, Machann et al. (2003) reported this difference was limited with intramuscular fat in the soleus rising from 2.5% in lean individuals to 3.8% in obese individuals. It would be possible to quantify the volume of the contractile machinery in the muscle fibre using transmission electron microscopy (Singh et al. 2009). This would provide a more detailed assessment of muscle quality in an obese model.

The current study used gonadal fat pad mass as an indicative measure of whole animal body fat. Although this is a valuable measurement for determining differences in fatness between the experimental groups, evidence has indicated that mechanistic responses to obesity may be influenced by the specific location of lipid accumulation (Wronska et al. 2012). The effect of lipid accumulation location on skeletal muscle contractile performance is yet to be explored and should be a specific focus of future work. In particular, a focus on muscular lipid accumulation and skeletal muscle function should be preauthorised.

The current study reports the effect of a range of short-term feeding durations on muscle contractility; however, it

would be valuable to look at the effects of longer durations of feeding which reflect a 'lifetime' of a high-fat diet and more sustained obesity. This would also take into consideration both obesity and sarcopenic effects. Given that this study replicates the negative effects of a high-fat diet on skeletal muscle contractility, reversibility of these effects should be investigated further. Both exercise and calorie restrictive diet models should be implemented on dietary-induced obese animals.

As mentioned in a recent review article (Tallis et al. 2018), a key direction for future work should be to add to the dearth of littering examining the underpinning mechanisms which cause the decline in performance onset by obesity.

Conclusion

In summary, our findings offer a complex and novel insight into the effects of a HFD on skeletal muscle mechanics. The data suggest that although feeding duration may have some influence, it is the amount of fat gained during a HFD that affects muscle contractility. An increase in muscle mass in the soleus and EDL along with no change in absolute tetanic force or absolute power output shows a decrease in muscle quality as bigger muscles are required to produce the same amount of force. Such effects would be exacerbated in vivo when considering the additional body mass gained during obesity, which could lead to a negative cycle of further weight gain, reduced mobility and a reduction in quality of life. Our data suggest that it may be possible for some individuals to see substantial negative changes at low durations of a HFD if considerable fat gain occurs, compromising both function performance and health. The decline in muscular performance due to obesity may be caused by a range of morphological and biochemical changes and future work should aim to correlate these changes to better identify the underpinning mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Animals

Following ethics approval from Coventry University, 150 female CD1 mice (Charles River, UK; Harlan Laboratories, UK) were randomly assigned to five different experimental groups—four treatment groups of differing feeding durations and one lean control group. The treatment groups were given 2, 4, 8 or 12 weeks of a high-fat forage diet. Mice were housed in a 12-h light/dark cycle at 50% humidity. Animals were age matched and kept in cages containing eight to ten individuals. All animals were given water and standard lab chow (SDS RM-1 Maintenance) *ad libitum*. Mice in the

treatment groups also had *ad libitum* access to a HFD, in the form of a laboratory-supplied forage diet (Advanced Protocol PicoLab Natural Sunflower). Nutritional information for both the lab chow and the sunflower seeds is given in Table 1.

Mice were aged to 20 weeks, with the high-fat diet delivered at the defined period prior to 20 weeks (e.g. 12 weeks of feeding began at 8 weeks of age). Once an experimental group was of age, they were weighed and sacrificed via cervical dislocation in accordance with British Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, Schedule one. Gonadal fat pad mass was dissected and weighed as an indicative measure of total body fat (Rodgers et al. 1980). Either the rib cage or hind limbs were removed and placed in chilled oxygenated (95% O_2; 5% CO_2) Krebs–Henseleit solution [(mM) NaCl 118; KCl 4.75; $MgSO_4$ 1.18; $NaHCO_3$ 24.8; KH_2PO_4 1.18; glucose 10; $CaCl_2$ 2.54; pH 7.55 at room temperature (James et al. 2005)]. The target muscle was then isolated from either the right hind limb or the rib cage and dissected under microscope.

Dissection and preparation

The limb containing the target muscle (EDL or soleus) or the rib cage containing the whole, intact diaphragm ($n = 8$–10 per experimental group) was isolated and pinned out on a Sylgard dish containing frequently changed, chilled, oxygenated (95% O_2, 5% CO_2) Krebs–Henseleit solution. These muscles were used due to their different anatomical location, function and fibre-type composition, as well as to replicate previous literature where these muscles are commonly used for contractile performance studies. Once the muscle (whole sol, whole EDL, section of the DIA) had been isolated, aluminium foil T clips were used to wrap around the tendons at the distal end of the muscle whilst a small piece of bone was left at the proximal end of the muscle, these were placed into crocodile clips to secure the muscle in the bath.

Experimental set-up

The equipment used to assess contractile performance was custom built, controlling changes in both muscle length and stimulation parameters. Each muscle was placed in an organ bath and attached at one end to a force transducer (UF1, Pioden Controls Ltd, UK) and at the other to a motor arm (V201, Ling Dynamic Systems, UK). Position of the motor arm was detected via a Linear Variable Displacement Transformer (LVDT, DFG5.0, Solartron Metrology, UK). The motor arm was used to change the length of the muscle during assessments of work loop power. The muscle was immersed in circulated oxygenated Krebs solution (37 °C ± 0.2) which was pumped from a central reservoir maintained at a constant temperature by a heater/cooler

(Grant LTD6G, Grant Instruments Ltd, UK). A digital thermometer (Checktemp C, Harvard Apparatus, UK) was used inside the bath to monitor temperature throughout the duration of the experiment.

The muscle was stimulated to produce force via parallel platinum electrodes which were submerged in the Krebs solution inside the bath, and the amplitude of the stimulation was controlled by an external power source (PL320, Thurlby Thandar Instruments, Huntingdon, UK). Visual representation of the force and length data was provided by a storage oscilloscope (2211, Tektronix, Marlow, UK). Length change and stimulation parameters were controlled by a custom programme within Testpoint software (Testpoint, CEC, Massachusetts, USA).

Experimental testing of skeletal muscle

The procedure for assessing the contractile performance of isolated skeletal muscle aligns with that of previous work (James et al. 1996; Tallis et al. 2014, 2017b). Once the muscle was successfully clamped in the bath, it was allowed 10 min to equilibrate to the new temperature. Following this, the resting length of the muscle and stimulation amplitude (typically 14–16V, 14–16V and 12–14V for soleus, EDL and diaphragm respectively) were altered to attain maximal twitch force. Assessment of isometric tetanus force was then measured. Electrical stimulation was delivered at a fixed burst duration (350 ms, 200 ms and 250 ms for soleus, EDL and diaphragm, respectively), and stimulation frequency (typically 120–140 Hz, 200–220 Hz and 120–140 Hz for soleus, EDL and diaphragm, respectively) was optimised to elicit maximal tetanus force. Each tetanus assessment was separated by a 5-min recovery period. Measures of activation time (time to half peak tetanus; THPT) and relaxation time (time from last stimulus to half tetanus relaxation; LSHR) were obtained from the peak isometric tetanus force trace.

The muscle length that elicited maximal isometric force was measured by an eyepiece graticule fitted to a microscope and was defined as L_0. As per previous work, mean muscle fibre length was calculated as 85% and 75% of L_0 for soleus and EDL muscle, respectively (James et al. 1995). Due to the variances in each diaphragm dissection, no such estimation of muscle fibre length exists. Therefore, the exact diaphragm preparation length reading gained from the graticule was used as per previous studies (Tallis et al. 2014, 2017a).

Post-isometric optimisation and a 5-min rest, the work loop technique was used. This technique assesses the ability of the muscle to produce power whilst undergoing cyclical length changes (James et al. 2005, 1995; Josephson 1985). During the work loop, optimal length and stimulation parameters determined during the isometric assessments were used. Each muscle was subjected to four sinusoidal length changes at a symmetrical strain of 0.10 (10% of L_0),

lengthening the muscle from its original L_0 length by 5% and then shortening the muscle to 5% less than L_0, before returning to L_0 length. Cycle frequency, phase and burst duration were altered to attain maximal net work loop power and to construct a power output–cycle frequency curve. Between each work loop, a 5-min rest period was used to ensure recovery (Tallis et al. 2012).

The cycle frequency determines the speed at which the muscle undergoes changes in length. Due to the differences in fibre-type composition, the different muscles tested produced maximal power at different length change velocities. Previous work demonstrates that the cycle frequency to elicit maximal work loop power is 5 Hz, 7 Hz and 10 Hz for soleus, diaphragm and EDL, respectively, in healthy young female mice (Altringham and Young 1991; James et al. 1995). Given that the optimal cycle frequency to elicit maximal power may be affected by obesity, here we assessed work loop power over a range of cycle frequency (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 Hz for soleus; 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16 Hz for EDL; 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 Hz for diaphragm). This methodological approach has been used in previous studies (James et al. 1995, 1996; Tallis et al. 2014, 2017b). To optimise work at each cycle frequency, strain was also altered. Typically, to obtain maximal work loop power strain had to be reduced slightly at higher cycle frequency and increased slightly at lower cycle frequency.

To optimise the net work produced during the work loop cycle, stimulation parameters were adjusted. Burst duration equates to the number of electrical stimuli which the muscle receives during the work loop. The optimal burst duration is one that maximises net work during the whole work loop cycle. If the burst duration is too short, then maximal work will not be achieved due to limited Ca^{2+} release limiting the duration of force production during shortening. If the burst duration is too long, then the muscle will be too active during muscle lengthening and at rest, causing increased work done on the muscle during lengthening and as result decreased net work (net work = work done by the muscle during shortening—work done on the muscle during lengthening (Josephson 1993). The phase shift denotes the time at which the electrical stimulation is applied, relative to peak muscle length. Negative values were used (− 10 ms for soleus, − 5 ms for diaphragm and − 2 ms for EDL). The value of − 10 ms used for the soleus meant that 10 ms prior to maximal length, stimulation of the muscle began. This meant that 55 ms (based on a burst duration of 65) of stimulation occurred during shortening. The values for phase are typical of previous work (Tallis et al. 2014, 2017b). The values for strain, cycle frequency, burst duration and stimulus phase were altered after interpreting the work loop shapes and net work value.

It is recognised that the performance of isolated mouse muscle will decrease very slowly over time due to the

development of an anoxic core (Barclay 2005). In accordance with previous studies using a similar protocol (James et al. 1996, 1995), a series of 'control' assessments of work were made at various time points across the protocol. As such the performance of the muscle across the time course of the experiment could be corrected by considering the small decline in muscle performance over time.

Following the final work loop, each muscle was rested for 10 min before being subjected to 50 consecutive work loops (at 5 Hz, 7 Hz and 10 Hz cycle frequency for SOL, DIA and EDL, respectively) to assess fatigue resistance. Net work was analysed for every second work loop until the muscle began to produce negative work. This protocol has been used previously to assess fatigabilty in isolated skeletal muscle (Tallis et al. 2014, 2017b).

Each muscle underwent a 30-min recovery period with four work loops being performed at each of 10, 20 and 30 min. These were used to measure the ability of the muscle to recover following fatigue (Tallis et al. 2014, 2017b). Each experiment lasted between 2.5 and 3 h; this was dependent on the time taken to optimise work loop power at each cycle frequency.

Muscle mass measurements

Upon completion, the muscle was removed from the crocodile clips, and the remaining tendon, bone and aluminium clips were removed. Any excess fluid remaining on the muscle was removed by blotting the tissue on absorbent tissue paper. The muscle was then weighed to attain wet muscle mass to the nearest 0.0001 g. Muscle cross-sectional area was then calculated from the wet muscle mass, fibre length and an assumed muscle density of 1060 kg m^{-3} (Mendez and Keys 1960). Isometric stress was calculated as force divided by mean muscle cross-sectional area. Muscle power output was normalised to muscle mass to express power as W.kg^{-1}.

Statistical methods

Following appropriate checks of normality and homogeneity, data for animal morphology, isometric stress, force, work loop power output, and fatigue were analysed using a one-way ANOVA with planned contrasts with all treatment groups compared against the lean control group in SPSS (SPSS, IL, USA), and Dunnett's post hoc analysis was used with $P < 0.05$ to indicate significant differences between treatment groups. Two-way ANOVA was used to assess the effects of feeding duration and cycle frequency on maximal power output. Correlations were performed on all muscles between fat free mass and body mass, isometric force, isometric stress, absolute work loop power output, normalised work loop power output and fatigue resistance.

Compliance with ethical standards

References

Abdelmoula A, Martin V, Bouchant A et al (2012) Knee extension strength in obese and nonobese male adolescents. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 37:269–275

Akhmedov D, Berdeaux R (2013) The effects of obesity on skeletal muscle regeneration. Front Physiol 4:371. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2013.00371

Altringham JD, Young IS (1991) Power output and the frequency of oscillatory work in mammalian diaphragm muscle: the effects of animal size. J Exp Biol 157:381–389

Anderson SR, Gilge DA, Steiber AL, Previs SF (2008) Diet-induced obesity alters protein synthesis: tissue-specific effects in fasted versus fed mice. Metab Clin Exp 57:347–354

Aucouturier J, Lazaar N, Dore E, Meyer M, Ratel S, Duche P (2007) Cycling peak power in obese and lean 6-to 8-year-old girls and boys. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 32:367–371

Barclay C (1996) Mechanical efficiency and fatigue of fast and slow muscles of the mouse. J Physiol 497:781–794

Barclay C (2005) Modelling diffusive O$_2$ supply to isolated preparations of mammalian skeletal and cardiac muscle. J Muscle Res Cell Motil 26:225–235

Blimkie CJ, Ebbesen B, MacDougall D, Bar-Or O, Sale D (1989) Voluntary and electrically evoked strength characteristics of obese and nonobese preadolescent boys. Human biol 61:515–532

Bott KN, Gittings W, Fajardo VA et al (2017) Musculoskeletal structure and function in response to the combined effect of an obesogenic diet and age in male C57BL/6J mice. Mol Nutr Food Res

Brotto MA, Biesiadecki BJ, Brotto LS, Nosek TM, Jin JP (2006) Coupled expression of troponin T and troponin I isoforms in single skeletal muscle fibers correlates with contractility. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 290:C567–C576. doi:00422.2005

Bruton JD, Katz A, Lännergren J, Abbate F, Westerblad H (2002) Regulation of myoplasmic Ca 2 in genetically obese (ob/ob) mouse single skeletal muscle fibres. Pflügers Arch Euro J Physiol 444:692–699

Capodaglio P, Vismara L, Menegoni F, Baccalaro G, Galli M, Grugni G (2009) Strength characterization of knee flexor and extensor muscles in Prader–Willi and obese patients. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 10:47

Ciapaite J, Van Den Berg SA, Houten SM, Nicolay K, van Dijk KW, Jeneson JA (2015) Fiber-type-specific sensitivities and phenotypic adaptations to dietary fat overload differentially impact fast-versus slow-twitch muscle contractile function in C57BL/6J mice. J Nutr Biochem 26:155–164

de Wilde J, Mohren R, van den Berg S et al (2008) Short-term high fat-feeding results in morphological and metabolic adaptations in the skeletal muscle of C57BL/6J mice. Physiol Genomics 32:360–369. doi:00219.2007

Denies MS, Johnson J, Maliphol AB et al (2014) Diet-induced obesity alters skeletal muscle fiber types of male but not female mice. Physiol Rep 2:e00204. https://doi.org/10.1002/phy2.204

Eshima H, Tamura Y, Kakehi S et al (2017) Long-term, but not short-term high-fat diet induces fiber composition changes and impaired contractile force in mouse fast-twitch skeletal muscle. Physiol Rep 5:e13250. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.13250

Goodpaster BH, He J, Watkins S, Kelley DE (2001) Skeletal muscle lipid content and insulin resistance: evidence for a paradox in endurance-trained athletes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86:5755–5761

Hulens M, Vansant G, Lysens R, Claessens A, Muls E, Brumagne S (2001) Study of differences in peripheral muscle strength of lean versus obese women: an allometric approach. Int J Obes 25:676

James RS, Altringham JD, Goldspink DF (1995) The mechanical properties of fast and slow skeletal muscles of the mouse in relation to their locomotory function. J Exp Biol 198:491–502

James RS, Young IS, Cox VM, Goldspink DF, Altringham JD (1996) Isometric and isotonic muscle properties as determinants of work loop power output. Pflügers Archiv Euro J Physiol 432:767–774

James RS, Kohlsdorf T, Cox VM, Navas CA (2005) 70 μM caffeine treatment enhances in vitro force and power output during cyclic activities in mouse extensor digitorum longus muscle. Eur J Appl Physiol 95:74–82

Josephson RK (1985) Mechanical power output from striated muscle during cyclic contraction. J Exp Biol 114:493–512

Josephson RK (1993) Contraction dynamics and power output of skeletal muscle. Annu Rev Physiol 55:527–546

Kemp J, Blazev R, Stephenson DG, Stephenson G (2009) Morphological and biochemical alterations of skeletal muscles from the genetically obese (ob/ob) mouse. Int J Obes 33:831

Kopelman P (2007) Health risks associated with overweight and obesity. Obesity Rev 8:13–17

Mendez J, Keys A (1960) Density and composition of mammalian muscle. Metabolism 9:184–188

Machann J, Bachmann OP, Brechtel K et al (2003) Lipid content in the musculature of the lower leg assessed by fat selective MRI: intra-and interindividual differences and correlation with anthropometric and metabolic data. J Magn Reson Imaging 17:350–357

Maffiuletti NA, Jubeau M, Munzinger U et al (2007) Differences in quadriceps muscle strength and fatigue between lean and obese subjects. Eur J Appl Physiol 101:51–59

Maffiuletti NA, Ratel S, Sartorio A, Martin V (2013) The impact of obesity on in vivo human skeletal muscle function. Curr Obesity Rep 2:251–260

Matsakas A, Prosdocimo DA, Mitchell R et al (2015) Investigating mechanisms underpinning the detrimental impact of a high-fat diet in the developing and adult hypermuscular myostatin null mouse. Skeletal muscle 5:38

Miyatake N, Fujii M, Nishikawa H et al (2000) Clinical evaluation of muscle strength in 20–79-years-old obese Japanese. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 48:15–21

Paolillo FR, Milan JC, Bueno Pde G et al (2012) Effects of excess body mass on strength and fatigability of quadriceps in postmenopausal women. Menopause 19:556–561. https://doi.org/10.1097/gme.0b013e3182364e80

Pette D, Staron RS (1997) Mammalian skeletal muscle fiber type transitions. Int Rev Cytol 170:143–223

Rogers P, Webb GP (1980) Estimation of body fat in normal and obese mice. Br J Nutr 43(1):83–86

Rolland Y, Lauwers-Cances V, Pahor M, Fillaux J, Grandjean H, Vellas B (2004) Muscle strength in obese elderly women: effect of recreational physical activity in a cross-sectional study. Am J Clin Nutr 79:552–557

Seebacher F, Tallis J, McShea K, James R (2017) Obesity-induced decreases in muscle performance are not reversed by weight loss. Int J Obes

Shortreed KE, Krause MP, Huang JH et al (2009) Muscle-specific adaptations, impaired oxidative capacity and maintenance of contractile function characterize diet-induced obese mouse skeletal muscle. PloS one 4:e7293

Singh R, Kaushik S, Wang Y, Xiang Y, Novak I, Komatsu M, Tanaka K, Cuervo AM, Czaja MJ (2009) Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. Nature 458(7242):1131

Szymura J, Gradek J, Maciejczyk M, Więcek M, Cempla J (2011) The anaerobic capacity in obese children. Gastroenterol Polska 18:147–153

Tallis J, James RS, Cox VM, Duncan MJ (2012) The effect of physiological concentrations of caffeine on the power output of maximally and submaximally stimulated mouse EDL (fast) and soleus (slow) muscle. J Appl Physiol (1985) 112:64–71. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00801.2011

Tallis J, James RS, Little AG, Cox VM, Duncan MJ, Seebacher F (2014) Early effects of ageing on the mechanical performance of isolated locomotory (EDL) and respiratory (diaphragm) skeletal muscle using the work-loop technique. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 307:R670–R684. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00115.2014

Tallis J, Higgins MF, Seebacher F, Cox VM, Duncan MJ, James RS (2017a) The effects of 8 weeks voluntary wheel running on the contractile performance of isolated locomotory (soleus) and respiratory (diaphragm) skeletal muscle during early ageing. J Exp Biol 220:3733–3741. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.166603

Tallis J, Hill C, James RS, Cox VM, Seebacher F (2017b) The effect of obesity on the contractile performance of isolated mouse soleus, EDL, and diaphragm muscles. J Appl Physiol (1985) 122:170–181. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00836.2016

Tallis J, James RS, Seebacher F (2018) The effects of obesity on skeletal muscle contractile function. J Exp Biol 221(13):jeb163840. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.163840

Tanner CJ, Barakat HA, Dohm GL et al (2002) Muscle fiber type is associated with obesity and weight loss. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 282:E1191–E1196. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00416.2001

Thomas MM, Trajcevski KE, Coleman SK et al (2014) Early oxidative shifts in mouse skeletal muscle morphology with high-fat diet consumption do not lead to functional improvements. Physiolo Rep 2:e12149

Tomlinson D, Erskine R, Morse C, Winwood K, Onambélé-Pearson G (2016) The impact of obesity on skeletal muscle strength and structure through adolescence to old age. Biogerontology 17:467–483

Trajcevski KE, O'Neill HM, Wang DC et al (2013) Enhanced lipid oxidation and maintenance of muscle insulin sensitivity despite glucose intolerance in a diet-induced obesity mouse model. PLoS One 8:e71747

Tuttle LJ, Sinacore DR, Mueller MJ (2012) Intermuscular adipose tissue is muscle specific and associated with poor functional performance. J Aging Res 2012

Ward DS, Trost SG, Felton G et al (1997) Physical activity and physical fitness in African-American girls with and without obesity. Obesity 5:572–577

Warmington S, Tolan R, McBennett S (2000) Functional and histological characteristics of skeletal muscle and the effects of leptin in the genetically obese (ob/ob) mouse. Int J Obes 24:1040

Wronska A, Kmiec Z (2012) Structural and biochemical characteristics of various white adipose tissue depots. Acta Physiol 205(2):194–208

Yoshida Y, Marcus RL, Lastayo PC (2012) Intramuscular adipose tissue and central activation in older adults. Muscle Nerve 46:813–816

Zurlo F, Larson K, Bogardus C, Ravussin E (1990) Skeletal muscle metabolism is a major determinant of resting energy expenditure. J Clin Invest 86:1423–1427. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI114857 [doi]

World health organisation (WHO) (2017) Available at http://www.who.int/en/news-room/factsheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. Visited on 05 Dec 2017

World obesity federation (WOF) (2017) Available at https://www.worldobesity.org/data/child-obesity/. Visited on 05 Dec 2017

D'Souza DM, Trajcevski KE, Al-Sajee D et al (2015) Diet-induced obesity impairs muscle satellite cell activation and muscle repair through alterations in hepatocyte growth factor signaling. Physiol Rep. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.12506