



Efficacy and Safety of Mizoribine Combined With Tacrolimus in Living Donor Kidney Transplant Recipients: 3-Year Results by a Chinese Single Center Study

Yi Shi^{a,b,*}, Hang Liu^b, Xin-guo Chen^c, and Zhong-yang Shen^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Urology, Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, Beijing, China; ^bOrgan Transplantation Center, Tianjin First Center Hospital, Tianjin, China; and ^cInstitute of Liver Transplantation, General Hospital of Chinese People's Armed Police Force, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT

Background. Mizoribine (MZR) was effective and safe for living Chinese donor kidney transplantation (LDKT) on tacrolimus-based treatment 1 year after transplantation. We investigated whether MZR was effective and safe for LDKT on tacrolimus-based treatment with long follow-up periods.

Methods. We compared 22 LDKT recipients who were administered MZR, tacrolimus, and corticosteroids with a control group ($n = 20$) treated with mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), tacrolimus, and corticosteroids. Primary efficacy endpoints were 3-year patient survival, 3-year graft survival, and acute rejection (AR) rate within 3 years after transplantation.

Results. The 3-year patient and graft survival rates for the MZR and MMF groups were 100%. The AR rate after transplantation was 18.2% for the MZR group and 10.0% for the MMF group; the difference was not significant ($P = .665$). There was no significant difference in serum creatinine levels, glomerular filtration rates (eGFR), serum urate levels, blood urea nitrogen, and cystatin C levels 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation. No significant differences in the CD3, CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8, and CD45 were observed between the 2 groups 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation. There were no significant differences in adverse events among the MZR or the MMF group, whereas the prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms were significantly lower in the MZR treatment group ($P = .003$), especially acid reflux ($P = .007$). Compared with the MMF group, the MZR group should lighten the burden on patients.

Conclusion. MZR with tacrolimus and corticosteroids provides satisfactory immunosuppression and higher safety for Chinese LDKT over a 3-year follow-up.

MIZORIBINE (MZR) is an immunosuppressant developed in Japan that inhibits DNA synthesis by selectively inhibiting inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase in the de novo pathway [1–3]. The mechanism of action of MZR is similar to mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and is used for the suppression of acute rejection in renal transplantation instead of MMF.

A meta-analysis to compare the efficacy and safety of MZR with MMF in Asian renal transplant patients showed that those taking MMF had a higher incidence of diarrhea, leucopenia, viral infection, and liver dysfunction, which indicated that MZR has a stronger safety profile than MMF

[4]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have been no comparative studies of efficacy and safety during treatment with either MZR or MMF among Chinese living donor kidney transplant (LDKT) recipients. Therefore, we investigated the efficacy and safety of MZR and MMF in Chinese LDKT recipients. The preliminary results of this

*Address correspondence to Yi Shi, MD, PhD, Organ Transplantation Center, Tianjin First Center Hospital, 300192, Tianjin, China. Tel: +86 13552603674; Fax: +86 2223626189. E-mail: shiyi_2016@126.com

Table 1. Clinical and Demographic Data of the Recipients and Donors

	MZR (n = 22)	MMF (n = 20)	P
Cause of uremia (%)			
Chronic glomerulonephritis	10 (45.5%)	16 (80.0%)	.833
IgA	7 (31.8)	4 (20.0%)	
NS	1 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	
Diabetic nephropathy	1 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	
Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis	2 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	
Others	1 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	
Recipient sex (%)			
Male	20 (90.1%)	16 (80%)	.400
Female	2 (9.9%)	4 (20%)	
Recipient age (years)	30.4 ± 7.7	29.4 ± 8.4	.690
Recipient weight (kg)	67.0 ± 21.8	60.7 ± 13.0	.263
BMI (kg/m ²)	20.9 ± 3.1	23.6 ± 6.9	.101
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	140.6 ± 15.1	145.9 ± 18.8	.317
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	90.7 ± 12.0	91.8 ± 14.2	.779
HTN (%)	10 (45.5%)	11 (52.4%)	.650
Diabetes (%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Pretransplant dialysis (%)	22 (100%)	20 (100%)	1.000
Duration of dialysis before transplantation (months)	18.3 ± 32.8	16.5 ± 24.6	.841
Donor sex (%)			
Male	8 (36.4%)	9 (45%)	.799
Female	14 (63.6%)	11 (55%)	
Donor age (years)	51.6 ± 6.5	50.2 ± 7.7	.517
Donor Scr (μmol/L)	63.0 ± 12.2	60.9 ± 9.4	.515
Donor GFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	106.8 ± 16.1	110.0 ± 9.0	.433
Donor type (%)			
Father	7 (31.8%)	8 (40%)	.994
Mother	10 (45.5%)	8 (40%)	
Sibling	4 (18.2%)	4 (20%)	
Others	1 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	
HLA-AB mismatches (%)			
0	3 (13.6%)	2 (10%)	.715
1	6 (27.3%)	2 (10%)	
2	10 (45.5%)	15 (75%)	
3	3 (13.6%)	1 (5%)	
4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
HLA-DR mismatches (%)			
0	3 (13.6%)	5 (5%)	.544
1	19 (86.4%)	13 (65%)	
2	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	
ABO blood type (%)			
Identical	20 (90.9%)	20 (100%)	.489
Compatible	2 (9.1%)	0 (0%)	
lymphocyte cross-match	2.4 ± 0.73	2.7 ± 0.80	.227
Panel-reactive antibody PRA I (%)	<10	<10	
Panel-reactive antibody PRA II (%)	<10	<10	
CMV status			
D+/R-	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	.988
D+/R+	22 (100%)	20 (100%)	
D-/R-	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Valganciclovir treatment (%)	22 (100%)	20 (100%)	1.000

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CMV, cytomegalovirus; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HTN, hypertension; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine; PRA, panel reactive antibody; Scr, serum creatinine.

study at 1 year were presented at the Transplantation Science Symposium Asian Regional Meeting 2016, in Tokyo, Japan, on April 8 to 9, 2016, and have been published in *Transplantation Proceedings* [5]. In addition, there was no

long-term study with long follow-up periods to investigate the efficacy and safety of MZR and MMF; therefore, the present report details the results of the efficacy and safety studies at the 3-year point.

Table 2. Tacrolimus Trough Levels

	MZR Group	MMF Group	P
Trough level of tacrolimus (ng/mL)			
12 months after transplantation	7.45 ± 2.03	7.44 ± 3.86	.991
24 months after transplantation	6.38 ± 1.83	7.56 ± 4.49	.296
36 months after transplantation	6.81 ± 2.43	6.27 ± 2.41	.524

Abbreviations: MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Subjects and Immunosuppression

The study subjects comprised 22 patients who underwent the first LDKT at the General Hospital for the Chinese People's Armed Police Force from January 2012 to August 2014. The patients were administered MZR (3 mg/kg/d, given orally twice a day), tacrolimus (0.08–0.12 mg/kg/day; trough level, 8–12 ng/mL for the first 2 months), prednisolone (maintenance dose, 4 mg/d, after half a year post-transplantation). The control group comprised 20 patients who also underwent the first LDKT at the same institutions during the same period. Patients in the control group were administered MMF (an average dose of 1.5 g/day, divided into twice per day), tacrolimus, and prednisolone, and the 2 groups were compared. Tacrolimus and steroids were administered according to the same protocol as that for the MZR group. The following exclusion criteria were implemented: patients who did not receive MZR or MMF, those with less than 1-year post-transplantation follow-up data, pediatric patients, those whose grafts were lost due to extensive medication, and patients with poor compliance. There were no intergroup differences in patient background characteristics, such as an underlying disease in the recipient, sex, age, body weight, dialysis period, and number of HLA mismatches.

This study was approved by the local institutional review board and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent before participating in the study.

Endpoints

Primary efficacy endpoints of this study were 3-year patient survival, 3-year graft survival, and the acute rejection rate within 3 years after transplantation. The other goals of this study were to compare the 2 groups in terms of 1. kidney function, serum creatinine levels, estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR), serum urate levels, blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels, and Cystatin C levels at the 12, 24, and 36 months post-transplantation time-points; 2. lymphocyte subsets, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8 and CD45 at the 12, 24, and 36 months post-transplantation time-points; and 3. adverse effects of each group.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviations or percentages. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 19.0, IBM, Armonk, NY, United States). Nominal data were compared using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test, and numeric means were compared using the unpaired *t* test. All tests were 2-sided, and a *P* value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics

No significant differences were observed in baseline characteristics, including sex, age, body weight, dialysis period, number of HLA-incompatible cases, and cytomegalovirus

Table 3. Comparison of Kidney Function Between the 2 Treatment Groups

	MZR group	MMF group	P
Serum Creatinine Level (mg/dL)			
12 months after transplantation	1.23 ± 0.25 (n = 22)	1.23 ± 0.30 (n = 20)	.987
24 months after transplantation	1.30 ± 0.38 (n = 22)	1.31 ± 0.45 (n = 19)	.954
36 months after transplantation	1.23 ± 0.40 (n = 20)	1.35 ± 0.66 (n = 18)	.484
eGFR (mg/L)			
12 months after transplantation	74.9 ± 15.9 (n = 22)	74.8 ± 25.1 (n = 20)	.835
24 months after transplantation	74.3 ± 18.8 (n = 22)	70.0 ± 21.8 (n = 19)	.500
36 months after transplantation	80.7 ± 23.1 (n = 20)	74.7 ± 24.1 (n = 18)	.445
Serum urate level (μmol/L)			
12 months after transplantation	384.5 ± 94.8 (n = 22)	378.9 ± 66.0 (n = 20)	.838
24 months after transplantation	371.3 ± 155.7 (n = 22)	382.3 ± 157.8 (n = 19)	.661
36 months after transplantation	343.8 ± 164.5 (n = 20)	369.5 ± 161.6 (n = 18)	.352
BUN (mg/L)			
12 months after transplantation	5.87 ± 1.26 (n = 22)	6.54 ± 1.69 (n = 20)	.196
24 months after transplantation	6.66 ± 3.04 (n = 22)	6.69 ± 1.86 (n = 19)	.969
36 months after transplantation	5.80 ± 2.12 (n = 20)	6.73 ± 3.00 (n = 18)	.316
Cystatin C (mg/L)			
12 months after transplantation	1.40 ± 0.22 (n = 22)	1.54 ± 0.50 (n = 20)	.363
24 months after transplantation	1.66 ± 0.86 (n = 22)	1.78 ± 1.10 (n = 19)	.729
36 months after transplantation	1.37 ± 0.40 (n = 20)	1.63 ± 0.62 (n = 18)	.154

Abbreviations: BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine.

Table 4. Comparison of Lymphocyte Subsets Between the 2 Treatment Groups

	MZR group	MMF group	P
CD3 ($10^3/\mu\text{L}$)			
12 months after transplantation	1.66 ± 0.56 (n = 22)	1.44 ± 0.51 (n = 20)	.254
24 months after transplantation	1.86 ± 0.71 (n = 20)	1.97 ± 0.67 (n = 19)	.631
36 months after transplantation	2.01 ± 0.79 (n = 20)	1.97 ± 0.64 (n = 18)	.876
CD4 ($10^3/\mu\text{L}$)			
12 months after transplantation	0.83 ± 0.32 (n = 22)	0.72 ± 0.28 (n = 20)	.294
24 months after transplantation	0.97 ± 0.40 (n = 20)	1.02 ± 0.44 (n = 19)	.737
36 months after transplantation	1.10 ± 0.40 (n = 20)	0.99 ± 0.38 (n = 18)	.426
CD8 ($10^3/\mu\text{L}$)			
12 months after transplantation	0.73 ± 0.28 (n = 22)	0.64 ± 0.23 (n = 20)	.351
24 months after transplantation	0.79 ± 0.37 (n = 20)	0.87 ± 0.29 (n = 19)	.473
36 months after transplantation	0.82 ± 0.41 (n = 20)	0.91 ± 0.35 (n = 18)	.519
CD4/CD8 (%)			
12 months after transplantation	1.18 ± 0.42 (n = 22)	1.19 ± 0.40 (n = 20)	.953
24 months after transplantation	1.44 ± 1.14 (n = 20)	1.22 ± 0.38 (n = 19)	.464
36 months after transplantation	1.59 ± 0.77 (n = 20)	1.17 ± 0.40 (n = 18)	.067
CD45 ($10^3/\mu\text{L}$)			
12 months after transplantation	2.24 ± 0.78 (n = 22)	1.80 ± 0.67 (n = 20)	.097
24 months after transplantation	2.51 ± 0.88 (n = 20)	2.28 ± 0.96 (n = 19)	.449
36 months after transplantation	2.62 ± 0.93 (n = 20)	2.48 ± 0.80 (n = 18)	.648

Abbreviations: MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine.

status (D+/R-, D+/R+, D-/R-), between the MZR treatment group and the MMF treatment group (Table 1).

Immunosuppressive Therapy

Administration of MZR was an average dose of 150 mg/d (divided into morning and afternoon doses). MMF was started at an average dose of 1500 mg/d (divided into twice per day) and 1000 mg/d as a maintenance dose after 3 months. Furthermore, as shown in Table 2, the trough levels of tacrolimus at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation were 7.45 ± 2.03 , 6.38 ± 1.83 , and 6.81 ± 2.43 (ng/mL), respectively, in the MZR treatment group and 7.44 ± 3.86 , 7.56 ± 4.49 , and 6.27 ± 2.41 (ng/mL), respectively, for the MMF treatment group. There were no significant differences in any of the measured values between the 2 groups.

Patient and Graft Survival

Patient and graft survival rates were 100% in both groups 3 years post-transplantation. During the follow-up period, 2 patients in the MZR group were lost to follow-up 30 months

after transplantation, and 2 patients in the MMF group were lost to follow-up 18 and 30 months after transplantation. The above 4 patients left the study because of a transfer to another hospital for a nonmedical reason.

Acute Rejection Rate and Graft Function

The acute rejection (AR) rate 1 year after the transplantation was 18.2% in the MZR treatment group and 10% in the MMF treatment group without significant intergroup differences ($P = .665$). On the other hand, no AR was observed between the 2 groups between 2 and 3 years. The kidney functions are shown in Table 3; serum creatinine levels at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation were 1.23 ± 0.25 , 1.30 ± 0.38 , and 1.23 ± 0.40 (mg/dL), respectively, in the MZR treatment group and 1.23 ± 0.30 , 1.31 ± 0.45 , and 1.35 ± 0.66 (mg/dL), respectively, for the MMF treatment group. There was no significant difference in any of the measured values between the 2 groups. Similarly, eGFR levels, serum urate levels, BUN

Table 5. Comparison of Adverse Events Between the 2 Treatment Groups

	MZR Group (n = 20)	MMF Group (n = 18)	P
Between 1 and 3 years			
No. (%) of patients with GI symptoms	0 (0%)	7 (38.9%)	.003
Diarrhea	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	.474
Acid reflux	0 (0%)	6 (33.3%)	.007
Bloated feeling in stomach	0 (0%)	2 (11.1%)	.218
Constipation	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	.474
Diabetes	1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Pneumonia	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	.474
Hyperuricemia	11 (55.0%)	8 (44.4%)	.746

Abbreviations: GI, gastrointestinal; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine.

Table 6. MZR and MMF Costs in China

	MZR Group	MMF Group	
Description	50 mg (100 tablets)	250 mg (40 tablets)	
Unitary cost (RMB)	13.12	12.63	
Average dose (mg/d)	150	1500 (0–3 months)	1000 (3–36 months)
Daily cost (RMB)	39.36	75.78	50.52
Monthly cost (RMB)	1180.8	2273.4	1515.6
Annual cost (RMB)	14,169.6	20,460.6 (1 year)	18,187.2 (2–3 years)
Total cost within 3 years (RMB)	42,508.8	56,835.0	

Abbreviations: MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MZR, mizoribine; RMB, renminbi (Chinese currency, yuan).

Source: Integrated Management Platform of Beijing Medicine Sunshine Purchase.

levels, and cystatin C levels were not significantly different between the 2 groups.

Lymphocyte Subsets

The Immunological Index is shown in Table 4. CD3, CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8, and CD45 at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation were not significantly different between the 2 groups.

Adverse Effects

The results of adverse events are summarized in Table 5. Between 1 and 3 years after transplantation, the prevalence of gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms in the MZR and MMF groups were observed as 0 of 20 patients (0%) and 7 of 18 patients (38.9%), respectively ($P = .003$). Acid reflux was the most frequent GI symptom observed in the MMF treatment group, in which the incidence rate was 33.3%, whereas none of the recipients developed acid reflux in the MZR treatment group ($P = .007$). On the other hand, 11 patients had hyperuricemia in the MZR treatment group (55.0%), and 8 cases occurred in the MMF treatment group (44.4%) with no significant differences between the 2 groups ($P = .746$). There were no significant differences in the incidence rates of other adverse events, such as diabetes and pneumonia.

Cost Effectiveness

The MZR and MMF costs in China are summarized in Table 6. The unitary cost and the total cost, respectively, within 3 years were 13.12 yuan and 42,508.8 yuan in the MZR treatment group and 12.63 yuan and 56,835.0 yuan in the MMF treatment group.

DISCUSSION

MZR has been approved in Japan for induction and maintenance of immunosuppressive therapy after renal transplantation, and it has also been released in South Korea and China. It is often used in conversion treatment for the maintenance of renal transplant recipients receiving MMF who develop the adverse events of GI symptoms, cytomegalovirus infection, and pneumonia. However, it is used in Chinese de novo kidney transplant recipients only as a second-line choice [6]. Previously, few Chinese studies have suggested that the combination of a calcineurin

inhibitor and MZR therapy was effective and safe for de novo kidney transplant recipients [7–9]. However, there are almost no comparative studies of efficacy and safety during treatment with either MZR or MMF among living Chinese donor kidney transplant (LDKT) recipients with long follow-up periods. We therefore investigated the efficacy and safety of MZR and MMF in Chinese LDKT recipients within 3 years of follow-up.

In the present study, the results indicated that patients in the MZR group had outcomes equivalent to those of the MMF group in terms of 3-year patient survival and 3-year graft survival. The AR rate within 3 years after transplantation was 18.2% in the MZR treatment group and 10% in the MMF treatment group without significant intergroup differences ($P = .665$). Regarding serum creatinine, eGFR, serum urate, BUN, and cystatin C level at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation, there were no significant differences between the 2 groups (Table 3). The lymphocyte subsets, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8, and CD45, at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation were also not significantly different between the 2 groups (Table 4). Furthermore, for trough level of tacrolimus at 12, 24, and 36 months after transplantation, there were no significant differences between the 2 groups (Table 2). That is, like MMF, MZR was shown to be an effective immunosuppressive agent in combination with tacrolimus and corticosteroids.

Between 1 and 3 years after transplantation, the prevalence of GI symptoms in the MZR and MMF groups was observed as 0 of 20 patients (0%) and 7 of 18 patients (38.9%), respectively ($P = .003$). Acid reflux was the most frequent GI symptom observed in the MMF treatment group in which the incidence rate was 33.3%, whereas none of the recipients developed acid reflux in the MZR treatment group ($P = .007$). MMF is also known to increase the frequency of GI symptoms of diarrhea. In the present study, the incidence of GI symptoms in the MZR treatment group was much lower than in the MMF treatment group, the symptoms occurred with increased frequency in patients who were treated with MMF, and a similar observation was reported in other studies [5,10]. In addition, numerous studies have confirmed that diarrhea was the most frequent GI symptom observed in the MMF treatment group during the 1-year post-transplantation period [5,11,12]. However, the results of this study show that acid reflux was the most

frequent GI symptom observed in the MMF treatment between 1 and 3 years after transplantation.

Both MZR and MMF inhibit the enzyme inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase in the de novo pathway of lymphocytes, which result in the accumulation of inosine monophosphate and lead to higher uric acid production caused by increased concentrations of inosine, hypoxanthine, and xanthine [1–3,13,14]. As has been reported in previous studies [10–15], in this study, the incidence of hyperuricemia was 55.0% in the MZR treatment group and 44.0% in the MMF treatment group between 1 and 3 years after transplantation with no significant differences between the 2 groups ($P = .746$). Therefore, recipients should monitor serum uric acid levels in both the MZR and MMF treatment groups after transplantation.

There were no significant differences in the incidence rates of other adverse events, such as diabetes and pneumonia. In addition, no notable differences in adverse events were observed between groups.

Although this study had a number of limitations (this study was conducted at a single hospital in a mid-sized city in central China, bias may be present in patient characteristics, and the study sample size was small), it can be concluded that the regimen of MZR in combination with tacrolimus and steroids was safe and effective in Chinese LDKT recipients with long follow-up periods.

REFERENCES

- [1] Koyama H, Tsuji M. Genetic and biochemical studies on the activation and cytotoxic mechanism of bredinin, a potent inhibitor of purine biosynthesis in mammalian cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1983;32:3547–53.
- [2] Kusumi T, Tsuda M, Katsunuma T. Dual inhibitory effect of bredinin. *Cell Biochem Funct* 1989;7:201–4.
- [3] Turka LA, Dayton J, Sinclair G. Guanine ribonucleotide depletion inhibits T cell activation. Mechanism of action of the immunosuppressive drug mizoribine. *J Clin Invest* 1991;87:940–8.
- [4] Xing S, Yang J, Zhang X, Zhou P. Comparative efficacy and safety of mizoribine with mycophenolate mofetil for Asian renal transplantation: a meta-analysis. *Clin Biochem* 2014;47:663–9.
- [5] Shi Y, Liu H, Chen XG. Comparison of mizoribine and mycophenolate mofetil with a tacrolimus-based immunosuppressive regimen in living-donor kidney transplantation recipients: a retrospective study in China. *Transplant Proc* 2017;49:26–31.
- [6] Organ Transplantation Branch of Chinese Medical Association, Organ Transplantation Physician Branch of Chinese Medical Association. [The guideline of the immunosuppressive therapy for Chinese kidney transplantation recipient]. *Organ Transpl* 2016;7:327–31 [in Chinese].
- [7] Chen HZ, Sui WG, Xie SP. [Application of various dose of mizoribine in clinical kidney transplantation]. *Chin J Organ Transplant* 2011;32:403–6 [in Chinese].
- [8] Zhan SL, Cai M, Shi BY. [Large-dose mizoribine in combination with cyclosporine prevents cytomegalovirus infection after kidney transplantation: a randomized controlled study]. *CJTER* 2008;12:878–80 [in Chinese].
- [9] Chen LP, Liu L, Qian YY, et al. [Efficacy and safety of mizoribine in early postoperative renal transplantation]. *Chin J Organ Transplant* 2012;33:18–21 [in Chinese].
- [10] Yoshimura N, Ushigome H, Akioka K. The beneficial effect of high-dose mizoribine combined with cyclosporine, basiliximab, and corticosteroids on CMV infection in renal transplant recipients. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2013;17:127–33.
- [11] Reinke P, Budde K, Hugo C. Reduction of gastrointestinal complications in renal graft recipients after conversion from mycophenolate mofetil to enteric-coated mycophenolate sodium. *Transplant Proc* 2011;43:1641–6.
- [12] Aptaramanov B, Seyahi N, Alagoz S. A comparison of mycophenolate mofetil with mycophenolate sodium in renal transplant recipients on tacrolimus-based treatment. *Transplant Proc* 2011;43:833–6.
- [13] Eugui EM, Almquist SJ, Muller CD, Allison AC. Lymphocyte-selective cytostatic and immunosuppressive effects of mycophenolic acid in vitro: role of deoxyguanosine nucleotide depletion. *Scand J Immunol* 1991;33:161–73.
- [14] Allison AC, Eugui EM. Immunosuppressive and other effects of mycophenolic acid and an ester prodrug, mycophenolate mofetil. *Immunol Rev* 1993;136:5–28.
- [15] Yoshimura N, Ushigome H, Matsuyama M. The efficacy and safety of high-dose mizoribine in ABO incompatible kidney transplantation using anti-CD20 and anti-CD25 antibody without splenectomy treatment. *Transplant Proc* 2012;44:140–3.