

Diverse Characteristics of Addiction Necessitate Multiple Preclinical Models

Bryan F. Singer

There is an urgent need for the development of treatments and preventative therapies for opioid use disorder. Approximately 27 million people worldwide have an opioid use disorder, with the majority of people misusing heroin (1). In 2015, it was globally estimated that 118,000 deaths were attributed directly to opioid use, and overdoses accounted for up to half of these deaths (1). Beyond the risk of overdosing, unsafe drug injection techniques pose another threat to opioid users: the spread of virally transmitted diseases. In this commentary, I highlight the work of Venniro *et al.* (2), published in this issue of *Biological Psychiatry*, and argue that a multimodel research approach should be used to enhance our understanding of addiction and to develop therapies.

Across individuals, a combination of factors contributes to the development and escalation of drug use. Accordingly, personalized delivery of both biomedical and psychosocial treatments may have the most significant impact for the individual who is trying to either achieve abstinence or lessen potential addiction-related harms. Biomedical interventions for opioid use disorder may involve transferring the individual to another, safer drug. Methadone and buprenorphine, for example, are sometimes used as substitution treatments for heroin addiction. While these replacement drugs might not bring about the same magnitude of desired effects as heroin, their use mitigates withdrawal symptoms. Furthermore, the oral administration of these replacement drugs is safer than the injection of heroin.

Psychosocial treatments for opioid use disorder can take many forms, ranging from forced court-ordered programs to voluntary cognitive and behavioral therapies. For example, in the United States, Hawaii's Opportunity Probation with Enforcement program puts drug-possession arrestees on probation and subjects them to random or scheduled drug tests. Failure to pass these tests results in short and predictable periods of incarceration, and this threat is believed to motivate abstinence. In contrast to strategies that involve punishment to combat addiction, contingency management therapies offer incentives or rewards (e.g., vouchers) to substance misusers for meeting specific behavioral goals (3). Similarly, community reinforcement programs provide a social reward (e.g., access to work, friends, or family) that is contingent upon stopping drug use (4). Despite the achievements of these reward-based programs, they are expensive to run, abstinence success rates often diminish over time, and the danger of relapsing into drug misuse remains when the program ends (3,5). Regardless, the initial recovery observed during psychosocial programs highlights how individuals with a substance use disorder might be able to adapt their behavior to reduce drug seeking and drug taking. Furthermore, long-term abstinence may be achievable by combining biomedical and psychosocial therapies.

The magnitude of the opioid use crisis necessitates the development of preventative measures and new treatment options. Much of our knowledge on how drug use impacts the brain is based on the study of preclinical animal models. In such experiments, the neurobiology of addiction is assessed in rodents (e.g., rats) that are placed in chambers and trained to perform an action (e.g., press a lever) to take a drug of abuse (e.g., heroin or cocaine). Unfortunately, scientific attempts to translate knowledge gained from these rodent studies to improve the human condition have been limited in their success. Perhaps one reason for this problem is that self-administration studies in rodents often do not consider psychosocial factors influencing addiction; it is essential to account for psychosocial features in animal models because such factors aid recovery in humans (3,4).

Translational medical research uses the knowledge gained from basic and preclinical studies to develop new therapies to treat disorders in people (a "bench to bedside" approach). Reverse-translational research starts in the opposite direction: knowledge of how to effectively treat medical conditions in people (based on observation, experimentation, and clinician expertise) informs the development of basic and preclinical research projects (Figure 1). Objectives of reverse-translational research include understanding why treatments work and refining/improving therapies. Reverse-translational preclinical studies can account for psychosocial influences on addiction development and its treatment, including how giving substance misusers nondrug rewards can reduce their drug intake (3,4). An example of such an approach is the rat community reinforcement model developed by Venniro *et al.* (2,6). In this model, rats are first trained on separate operant tasks; in distinct sessions, rats learn that by pressing one lever they receive an infusion of a drug reward (e.g., heroin), while pressing a different lever gives them a social reward (e.g., interaction with a familiar rat they have previously lived with). Later, when given a choice to press a lever for heroin or access to their social partner, rats primarily choose their partner over drug—the authors refer to this as "voluntary abstinence" from the drug (2). Similar findings were also recently observed for methamphetamine (6).

Another issue that Venniro *et al.* (2) investigate is susceptibility to relapse into drug pursuit after re-exposure to cues (conditioned stimuli) that are predictive of drug availability. Studying how cues can instigate relapse is essential because neurological responsiveness to stimuli may predict relapse susceptibility in people (7). Venniro *et al.* (2) found that compared with rats that underwent "forced abstinence" from heroin (similar to quitting "cold turkey"), rats that had

SEE CORRESPONDING ARTICLE ON PAGE 848




Figure 1. Reverse-translational strategy for developing substance use disorder treatments. This general overview shows how both how human observational and human experimental studies can inform the design of preclinical models of addiction.

undergone voluntary abstinence were less likely to “relapse” into heroin pursuit (2). The ability of social reward choice to decrease relapse was independent of sex. Similarly, the success of contingency management-based therapies appears to not differ by sex (8)—other reports, however, suggest differences in patterns of drug use between sexes in animal models and observations of human behavior; more research is needed. Finally, compared with previous articles, voluntary abstinence was more successful at reducing relapse into methamphetamine pursuit than reducing relapse for heroin (2,6)—this finding highlights the need for modeling and developing diverse treatment options across substance use disorders.

In addition to successfully testing a reverse-translational animal model of addiction, Venniro *et al.* (2) should be commended for publishing details on an improvement to their model. By doing so, they enable other researchers to replicate and build upon their findings—it is my hope that this speeds the collaborative development of treatments for addiction. The original version of the rat community reinforcement model required the experimenter to physically separate the rodent social partners in the operant chambers between trials during a single testing session (experiment 1). Experiment 2 in their study removed the need for researcher intervention; an automated sliding door with a barrier was installed in the operant chambers that enabled the rats to physically interact for a limited period of time (2). The results from experiment 2 mirrored those of experiment 1, demonstrating how rats choose to be social instead of taking heroin, and that voluntary abstinence may protect against relapse.

Beyond reverse-translating the success of community reinforcement, other recent preclinical studies have developed representative models of addiction that are based on observations of human behavior. For example, evidence shows that people prefer to consume cocaine outside the home but prefer to take heroin at home—such findings are also seen in rats (9). Therefore, environmental contexts play pivotal roles in determining drug choice. Could behavioral therapies that alter motivation to consume a certain drug in specific settings perhaps be modeled in rats?

In another study, we created a preclinical model based on how certain people with a substance use disorder show flexibility and ingenuity when procuring drugs (10). We have argued that such observations of adaptable human behavior suggest that the act of drug seeking is not necessarily controlled by

“drug-seeking habits.” Accordingly, we found that despite the need to be flexible in their drug seeking, rats still developed “addictive” behaviors. Finally, recent preclinical models of addiction replicate the intermittent binge-like pattern by which people take cocaine (10). We and others have shown that rats who intermittently binge on a drug display exaggerated addiction-like behaviors compared with other animals that have continuous access to the drug. By accurately replicating in rodents how people seek and take drugs, basic research scientists may be better able to develop treatments for addiction.

Addiction is an extremely complex condition that is influenced by numerous biopsychosocial factors, drugs of choice, and patterns of use. For example, Venniro *et al.* (2) demonstrate drug-specific intricacies by showing how social choice prevents methamphetamine craving but only reduces heroin craving (2,6). In addition, if a drug is easily accessible to an individual (e.g., for a drug dealer with constant supply), then adaptability during drug procurement may not be essential, so drug seeking may (to some extent) become controlled by habit. Thus, when trying to develop viable treatment options for addiction, it is critical to acknowledge how unlikely it is for any single preclinical model to capture the complete multifaceted nature of substance use and misuse across drugs and people. Instead, scientists should accept that a variety of preclinical models of addiction are required to replicate the diverse contributing factors and features of addiction in people. By collaborating (instead of competing) on the design of translational and reverse-translational preclinical models of addiction, we stand a better chance of creating accurate representations of the human condition that can be used to develop treatments for substance use disorders.

Acknowledgments and Disclosures

Early Career Investigator Commentaries are solicited in partnership with the Education Committee of the Society of Biological Psychiatry. As part of the educational mission of the Society, all authors of such commentaries are mentored by a senior investigator. This work was mentored by Aldo Badiani, Ph.D.

I thank Prof. Badiani (School of Psychology, University of Sussex) for serving as a senior mentor and nominating me to write this commentary.

This work was supported by The Royal Society Grant No. RGS\N2\180345.

The author reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Article Information

From the School of Psychology, University of Sussex, Brighton, and the School of Life, Health and Chemical Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom.

Address correspondence to Bryan F. Singer, M.D., University of Sussex, School of Psychology, JMS, Room 5D11, Brighton, BN1 9QG, United Kingdom; E-mail: b.f.singer@sussex.ac.uk.

Received Sep 11, 2019; revised and accepted Sep 25, 2019.

References

1. World Health Organization (2018): Information sheet on opioid overdose. Available at: https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/information-sheet/en/. Accessed September 25, 2019.
2. Venniro M, Russell TI, Zhang M, Shaham Y (2019): Operant social reward decreases incubation of heroin craving in male and female rats. *Biol Psychiatry* 86:848–856.
3. Gupta S (2015): Contingency management: Why it pays to quit. *Nature* 522:S57–S59.
4. Hunt GM, Azrin NH (1973): A community-reinforcement approach to alcoholism. *Behav Res Ther* 11:91–104.
5. Silverman K, DeFulio A, Sigurdsson SO (2012): Maintenance of reinforcement to address the chronic nature of drug addiction. *Prev Med* 55(suppl):S46–S53.
6. Venniro M, Zhang M, Caprioli D, Hoots JK, Golden SA, Heins C, *et al.* (2018): Volitional social interaction prevents drug addiction in rat models. *Nat Neurosci* 21:1520–1529.
7. MacNiven KH, Jensen ELS, Borg N, Padula CB, Humphreys K, Knutson B (2018): Association of neural responses to drug cues with subsequent relapse to stimulant use. *JAMA Netw Open* 1:e186466.
8. Ledgerwood DM, Petry NM (2006): Does contingency management affect motivation to change substance use? *Drug Alcohol Depend* 83:65–72.
9. Caprioli D, Celentano M, Dubla A, Lucantonio F, Nencini P, Badiani A (2009): Ambience and drug choice: Cocaine- and heroin-taking as a function of environmental context in humans and rats. *Biol Psychiatry* 65:893–899.
10. Singer BF, Fadanelli M, Kawa AB, Robinson TE (2018): Are cocaine-seeking “habits” necessary for the development of addiction-like behavior in rats? *J Neurosci* 38:60–73.