

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Letter to the Editor

Corticosteroids and osteoarthritis progression: a confounded issue



Keywords:

Intra-articular corticosteroids
Radiographic progression
Osteoarthritis

Dear Dr Block

I read with interest the article from Dr Zeng and colleagues investigating the effects of intra-articular corticosteroids (IACs) on osteoarthritis (OA) progression.¹ This is a hugely important issue given the paucity of effective pharmacological treatments for OA pain and the need for us to optimise the use of existing therapies with strong analgesic effect sizes, such as IACs. As the authors state, previous *in vivo* and clinical retrospective studies have raised questions about potential IAC toxicity that remain unanswered. To add to the confusion, the *in vivo* studies referenced by the authors were performed in normal joints, and it is unclear how they relate to OA joints with pre-existing cartilage loss, inflammation and abnormal cell metabolism. Indeed, results from *in vivo* studies across a variety of species demonstrated that IACs did not induce damage in osteoarthritic joints, and in some cases produced a protective effect.^{2–4} The randomized clinical study referenced in Zeng *et al.* as providing evidence for deleterious effects of IACs, as highlighted by the authors, demonstrated a very small cartilage volume change based on 8 IAC injections over a 2-year period, where injections were repeated irrespective of clinical response;⁵ it is unclear how this reflects usual clinical care.

Unfortunately this recent analysis also leaves us with major uncertainty. It is very possible that people with ‘true’ structural progression (progression more than measurement error) have more pain and require IACs. The authors have discussed the potential for unaccounted confounders, but it is impossible to fully adjust for these even using the excellent Osteoarthritis Initiative dataset, where pain is measured annually and the timing of injections in relation to subsequent radiographic assessment is not clear. The current analysis used a radiographic grade at the “nearest visit prior to the “index [injection] visit””, which highlights the difficulty the authors had with the dataset.

It is worth noting that these analyses were applied in a relatively small number of patients, far fewer than would be required in a clinical DMOAD trial to assess progression. The duration of follow-up for cases was very short indeed: only 44/148 (30%) and 27/104 (26%) of KL and radiographic joint space width (rJSW) worsening cases were included with 2 years of follow-up.

Very importantly, this study uses radiographic endpoints with their known limitations,^{6,7} Kellgren–Lawrence grading has been a great tool for epidemiologic studies, however each KL grade comprises a range of pathologies and hence quantitative rJSW outcomes are preferred for assessing knee progression when using X-ray outcomes.^{6,7} However even rJSW is a composite endpoint including meniscal damage and extrusion,⁸ which is why directly assessing tissues of interest with MRI quantitative cartilage measurements have been employed in modern trials in order to understand who is a real progressor in terms of cartilage loss. It is unclear from the current paper whether the KL and rJSW progressors were the same knees (people) but it seems unlikely – supporting questions about the radiographic outcomes as described here.

Taken together, the issue of IAC detrimental effects on cartilage remains confounded and worthy of further investigation, but we should be very careful about sending any message to practicing clinicians on the basis of the existing evidence.

Disclosures

PGC has done consultancies or speakers bureaus for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Centrexion, Flexion Therapeutics, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche and Samumed.

Acknowledgement

PGC is funded in part by the NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, United Kingdom. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

References

1. Zeng C, Lane NE, Hunter DJ, Wei J, Choi HK, McAlindon TE, *et al.* Intra-articular corticosteroids and the risk of knee osteoarthritis progression: results from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2019 Jan 29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2019.01.007>. Epub ahead of print.
2. Pelletier JP, Martel-Pelletier J. Protective effects of corticosteroids on cartilage lesions and osteophyte formation in the Pond-Nuki dog model of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989;32(2):181–93.
3. Huebner KD, Shrive NG, Frank CB. Dexamethasone inhibits inflammation and cartilage damage in a new model of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Res* 2014;32(4):566–72.
4. Kumar A, Bendele AM, Blanks RC, Bodick N. Sustained efficacy of a single intra-articular dose of FX006 in a rat model of repeated localized knee arthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015;23(1):151–60.

5. McAlindon TE, LaValley MP, Harvey WF, Price LL, Driban JB, Zhang M, *et al.* Effect of intra-articular triamcinolone vs saline on knee cartilage volume and pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 2017;317:1967–75.
6. Eckstein F, Guermazi A, Gold G, Duryea J, Hellio Le Graverand MP, Wirth W, *et al.* Imaging of cartilage and bone: promises and pitfalls in clinical trials of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2014;22:1516–32.
7. Hunter DJ, Altman RD, Cicuttini F, Crema MD, Duryea J, Eckstein F, *et al.* OARSI Clinical Trials Recommendations: knee imaging in clinical trials in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2015 May;23(5):698–715.
8. Hunter DJ, Zhang YQ, LaValley M, Niu JB, Amin S, Guermazi A, *et al.* Change in joint space width. Hyaline articular cartilage loss or alteration in meniscus? *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54(8):2488–95.

P.G. Conaghan

*Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine,
University of Leeds and NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre,
Leeds, UK*

19 February 2019