




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Contraception

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/con](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/con)



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Beyond intent: exploring the association of contraceptive choice with questions about *Pregnancy Attitudes, Timing and How important is pregnancy prevention (PATH) questions*” [Contraception volume 99 Issue 1 (2019) 22-26]



Claudia Geist <sup>a,\*</sup>, Abigail RA Aiken <sup>b</sup>, Jessica N. Sanders <sup>a</sup>, Bethany G. Everett <sup>a</sup>, Kyl Myers <sup>a</sup>, Patty Cason <sup>c</sup>, Rebecca G. Simmons <sup>a</sup>, David K. Turok <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The University of Utah

<sup>b</sup> University of Texas at Austin

<sup>c</sup> UCLA School of Nursing

The authors regret that a word was omitted from their published article.

In section 2.4, the manuscript reads:

“We excluded age and relationship status from our models, as they were significantly associated with method choice at the imposed minimum significance threshold of  $p < .10$ .”

This should read:

“We excluded age and relationship status from our models, as they were **NOT** significantly associated with method choice at the imposed minimum significance threshold of  $p < .10$ .”

The online version of the paper has been corrected. The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2018.08.014>.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [claudia.geist@soc.utah.edu](mailto:claudia.geist@soc.utah.edu) (C. Geist).