



Original research

Classifying motor coordination impairment in Para swimmers with brain injury



Luke Hogarth^{a,*}, Carl Payton^b, Vaughan Nicholson^c, Jemima Spathis^d, Sean Tweedy^{e,f}, Mark Connick^e, Emma Beckman^e, Peter Van de Vliet^g, Brendan Burkett^a

^a School of Health and Sport Sciences, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia

^b Musculoskeletal Science & Sports Medicine Research Centre, Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom

^c School of Physiotherapy, Australian Catholic University, Australia

^d School of Exercise Science, Australian Catholic University, Australia

^e School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, University of Queensland, Australia

^f I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia

^g Medical and Scientific Department, International Paralympic Committee, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 September 2018

Received in revised form 1 November 2018

Accepted 6 November 2018

Available online 22 November 2018

Keywords:

Paralympic

Sports for persons with disabilities

Swimming

Freestyle

Classification

Cerebral palsy

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The International Paralympic Committee has mandated that International Sport Federations develop sport-specific classification systems that are evidence-based. This study examined the predictive and convergent validity of instrumented tapping tasks to classify motor coordination impairments in Para swimming.

Design: Cross-sectional.

Methods: Thirty non-disabled participants and twenty-one Para swimmers with brain injury completed several instrumented tapping tasks as an assessment of upper and lower limb motor coordination. Para swimmers also completed a maximal freestyle swim to obtain a performance measure. The predictive and convergent validity of instrumented tapping tasks was examined by establishing differences in test measures between participants with and without brain injury and defining the strength of association between test measures and maximal freestyle swim speed in Para swimmers, respectively.

Results: Random forest successfully classified 96% of participants with and without brain injury using test measures derived from instrumented tapping tasks. Most test measures had moderate to high correlations ($r = 0.54$ to 0.72 ; $p < 0.01$) with maximal freestyle swim speed and collectively explained up to 72% of the variance in maximal freestyle swim performance in Para swimmers with brain injury.

Conclusions: The results of this study evidence the predictive and convergent validity of instrumented tapping tasks to classify motor coordination impairments in Para swimmers with brain injury. These tests can be included in revised Para swimming classification to improve the objectivity and transparency in determining athlete eligibility and sport class for these Para athletes.

© 2018 Sports Medicine Australia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Practical implications

- Instrumented tapping tasks can be used to infer loss of motor coordination resulting from brain injury and determine athlete eligibility in Para swimming.
- These tapping tasks provide an objective estimate of activity limitation in Para swimming resulting from motor coordination impairment and can be used to assign sport class.

- A revised Para swimming classification system due to be implemented following the 2020 Tokyo Paralympic games can include these tapping tasks to improve the objectivity and transparency of athlete classification.

1. Introduction

Swimming is one of the inaugural Paralympic sporting events and includes athletes with physical, visual and intellectual impairment. Distinguishing Paralympic events from their Olympic counterparts are classification systems that are used to minimise the impact of impairment on the competition outcome. Para swimming has used a functional classification system to classify athletes

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lhogarth@usc.edu.au (L. Hogarth).

with physical impairment since the 1992 Barcelona Paralympic games. The effectiveness and fairness of the system has since been questioned.^{1–3} This has prompted World Para Swimming, the international federation that governs the sport, to establish international research projects to provide the scientific evidence that underpins a new classification system in Para swimming.

Some of the most challenging cases in Para swimming classification are those athletes with congenital or acquired brain injury (e.g. cerebral palsy). These athletes have injury to the pyramidal or extrapyramidal tracts of the brain that causes altered efferent output and effects neuromuscular function.⁴ The clinical features presented by athletes with brain injury include decreased central motor output, hypertonia, incoordination and coactivation of agonist and antagonist muscle groups, although the distribution and severity of these features varies considerably depending on the aetiology of brain injury.⁴ Research has provided some understanding of how these clinical features impact on sport and exercise performance,^{5–7} with performance deficits being attributed to losses in strength,^{8,9} joint range of motion¹⁰ and motor coordination.¹¹

Motor coordination, which is defined as the ability to produce skilled movement fluidly, rapidly and accurately is affected in Para athletes with brain injury.^{4,10} It is yet to be reported how swim performance is affected by motor coordination impairment. Swimming speed is fundamentally dependent on stroke length and stroke rate, increasing either one of these determinants whilst maintaining the other will increase swim speed.^{12,13} Motor coordination impairment could limit stroke length and stroke rate in several ways. For instance, injury to the basal ganglia causes decreased central motor output and control of antagonist muscles that might affect hand speeds during the above and underwater stroke phases and limit stroke rate¹⁴; and injury to the cerebellum can affect inter-limb coordination and postural control causing inefficient stroke patterns.¹⁵

The assessment of motor coordination in current Para swimming classification lacks many of the key measurement properties required for evidence-based classification.¹⁶ Para swimmers with hypertonia, ataxia and athetosis may undertake several dry-land tests that assess their ability to ‘coordinate’ their limbs during repetitive single-joint swimming actions (e.g. shoulder flexion and extension) that are performed at a steady pace and of increasing speed.¹⁷ Para swimmers are given a score from 0 to 5 for each joint based on subjective assessment of the movement, such as “. . .moderate range of movement, moderate spasticity with time restricting movement and/or moderate coordination problems. . .” for a score of 3.¹⁷ While the classifiers conducting these tests are experts and this is current best practice, these tests are not suitable for evidence-based classification as they have a high dependence on clinical judgment and provide only ordinal-scale measures that are limited when quantifying the relationship between impairment and performance.¹⁶

Previous research has developed instrumented tapping tasks that might provide valid methods of assessing motor coordination impairment for Para sport classification.^{10,18} Reciprocal and discrete tapping tasks that are specific to running, throwing and wheelchair sports have been shown to be reliable in non-disabled participants, and provide ratio-scale measures that discriminate between Para athletes and non-disabled participants.^{10,18} Further, the difficulty of tapping tasks can be manipulated to detect intentional misrepresentation of abilities by evaluating conformity with Fitts’ law.¹⁹ Despite these promising results, there is limited evidence to suggest that they have a meaningful association with sport performance and no such work has been undertaken in swimming.^{10,11}

The aims of this study were to: (i) examine the predictive validity of instrumented tapping tasks to discriminate between participants

Table 1

Characteristics of non-disabled participants and Para swimmers with brain injury.

	Para swimmers with brain injury	Non-disabled participants
Males	n = 16	n = 15
Females	n = 5	n = 15
Age (yrs)		
Males	28.2 (6.8)	23.5 (4.1)
Females	20.0 (4.5)	23.3 (4.5)
Body mass (kg)		
Males	68.7 (9.9)	79.8 (11.4)
Females	59.0 (11.3)	68.1 (9.7)
Stature (cm)		
Males	171.2 (11.7)	182.7 (7.7)
Females	160.9 (10.0)	171.4 (7.0)
Reported exercise frequency (n/week)	Median = 7	Median = 6
Range	Range = 2–15	Range = 3–14
Accumulated exercise duration (min/week)	Median = 525	Median = 360
Range	Range = 180–1200	Range = 150–1200
Reported activities	Competitive swimming (n = 21)	Resistance training (n = 17)
	Resistance training (n = 15)	Recreational fitness ^a (n = 13)
		Competitive sport ^b (n = 12)
		Recreational sport ^c (n = 8)
		Pilates and Yoga (n = 4)
Competitive standard	International ^d (n = 7)	
	National (n = 14)	
Competitive swim experience (yrs)	Median = 9.5	
	Range = 3–26	
S Class	S3 (n = 1)	
	S4 (n = 5)	
	S5 (n = 1)	
	S6 (n = 6)	
	S7 (n = 2)	
	S8 (n = 4)	
	S9 (n = 2)	
Medical conditions	Diplegic, spastic (n = 8)	
	Hemiplegic, spastic (n = 7)	
	Quadriplegic, spastic (n = 3)	
	Quadriplegic, mixed (n = 1)	
	Quadriplegic, Athetoid (n = 1)	
	Other (n = 1)	

S Class = para swimmers’ current class for freestyle, backstroke and butterfly swimming events.

^a Reported recreational fitness activities included moderate to high-intensity aerobic exercise, and group fitness classes.

^b Reported competitive sports training or competition included athletics, rugby, Australian rules football (AFL), football, powerlifting and swimming.

^c Reported recreational sport competition included football, badminton, netball, juditsu, dance and surfing.

^d Para swimmers were classified as international standard if they had competed at a Paralympic or World Championship event.

with and without brain injury, and (ii) establish the convergent validity of instrumented tapping tasks by defining their strength of association with swim performance in Para swimmers with brain injury. If tests are found to discriminate between participants with and without brain injury and explain activity limitation in swimming, then they will likely have utility in a revised Para swimming classification system.

2. Methods

Data were collected from 51 participants including Para swimmers with congenital or acquired brain injury and non-disabled participants (Table 1). Para swimmers had received national or international classification and were undertaking planned train-

ing regimes at the time of testing. Non-disabled participants were between the ages of 18 and 35 years of age and were undertaking planned exercise, training or competition at least twice a week for a minimum of 80 min. All participants gave written informed consent under approved ethical guidelines from institutional human research ethics committees (100517ESSHJ and A16892).

Participants completed a questionnaire regarding demographics, their typical training regime (mode, frequency and duration of training), and training activity on the day of testing. Para swimmers also provided information pertaining to their training experience, competition standard attained, current sport class, and type of physical impairment. These data were verified against information obtained from classification records listed in the IPC Sports Data Management System (<https://db.ipc-services.org/sdms>). Participant's stature and body mass were recorded prior to testing. Stature was estimated from sitting height recorded from a custom-built chair for Para swimmers with no or poor locomotor ability.¹⁷

Motor coordination was assessed with novel tapping tasks using custom-made wireless tapping pads (Ergotest, Porsgrunn, Norway). The tapping pads, which consisted of resistive touch panels that provided a 0.195 m × 0.10 m target, were connected to a personal computer via Bluetooth connection with a Muscle Lab data synchronisation unit (Ergotest, Porsgrunn, Norway). The tapping pads were positioned with a 0.195 m distance between their centres. Participants completed four motor coordination tests: (i) bilateral upper limb tapping, (ii) dominant and non-dominant upper limb tapping, (iii) bilateral lower limb tapping and (iv) dominant and non-dominant lower limb tapping. The test protocols are outlined in Supplementary material Appendix A. Tests involved the participants completing as many cycles as possible within 15 s test duration. Participants were instructed to tap as rapidly and accurately as possible between the two pads. They commenced the tests on their own time and an audio signal was used to notify participants of the end of the test. Following a minimum of one practice trial, participants completed three trials for each test. Participants were given at least 45 s rest between consecutive trials. Trials were deemed successful if above 90% accuracy was achieved. All trials were recorded using a tripod mounted video camera to verify the number and accuracy of contacts. The best trial, indicated by the lowest mean movement time (MMT), was used for analysis. Three Para swimmers with diplegic cerebral palsy were unable to complete the lower limb tapping tasks due to severe spasticity in the lower limbs. To provide a ratio-scale score, these participants were given a score representing one complete cycle (15 000 ms) for these tests.

Para swimmers' maximal clean swim speed was assessed over a 10 m calibrated test zone for their preferred freestyle swim stroke. Clean swim speed was determined using standard two-dimensional video analysis procedures. Output from a 50 Hz video camera (Sony HDR HC9, Sony Corporation, Japan) placed perpendicular to the swimmers' direction of travel was captured using commercial software (Dartfish TeamPro version 7.0, Dartfish UK). Participants were instructed to reach maximal swim speed prior to the start of the 10 m test zone and sustain maximal swim speed until 5 m past the end of the test zone. They performed two maximal effort trials separated by a minimum of 3 min rest and the fastest time to cover the 10 m test zone was used to compute their maximal clean swim speed.

Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017). Test measures were log-transformed for analysis. The Shapiro–Wilk test indicated most variables had non-uniform distribution and so non-parametric techniques were used for analysis. Wilcoxon rank tests were used to identify differences between non-disabled participants and Para swimmers. Cliff's delta (d) was calculated with 95% confidence intervals to indicate the magnitude of group differences. The magnitudes of d scores were evaluated

as negligible (<0.147), small (0.147–0.33), medium (0.33–0.474), or large (>0.474).²⁰ Male and female participants were pooled for analysis as there was no effect of sex found in the non-disabled participant group. Random forest algorithm was used to further examine the predictive validity of motor coordination tests in discriminating between participants with and without brain injury. Random forest is a non-linear machine learning technique that uses an ensemble learning method for classification and regression. Details on the random forest analysis are shown in Supplementary material Appendix C.

Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the strength of association between log-transformed test measures and Para swimmers' maximal freestyle swim speeds. An alpha value of 0.05 was used to indicate a significant association. The strength of correlations was interpreted as negligible (0.0–0.2), low (0.21–0.40), moderate (0.41–0.60), high (0.61–0.80) and very high (>0.80).²¹ Test measures that were found to have a significant association with freestyle swim speed were included in ensemble partial least squares regression as dependent variables. Details on model training, internal cross validation and feature selection methods used in partial least squares regression are shown in Supplementary material Appendix D.

3. Results

The results of instrumented tapping tasks in non-disabled participants and Para swimmers with brain injury are shown in Supplementary material Appendix B. Test measures were found to be reliable in a subsample of 15 non-disabled participants (Supplementary material Table S2). Wilcoxon rank tests showed significant differences ($p < 0.01$) in all test measures between Para swimmers and non-disabled participants (Fig. 1), with larger differences reported for non-dominant limb tapping ($d = 0.93$ to 0.99) compared with dominant limb tapping ($d = 0.78$ to 0.82). Random forest algorithm, that included test measures as predictor variables, successfully classified 96% of participants with and without brain injury (out-of-bag [OOB] error estimate = 3.92%). The rank importance of predictor variables, and ratio of votes assigned for individual cases are shown in Supplementary material Appendix C.

All test measures had significant correlations with maximal freestyle swim speed, except for bilateral upper limb tapping, upper limb symmetry score, and lower limb symmetry score (Fig. 2). Supplementary material Appendix D shows the prediction accuracy and error, internal cross validation, and importance of predictor variables for partial least squares regression. Dominant upper limb tapping, nondominant upper limb tapping, and bilateral lower limb tapping were the most important predictors of maximal freestyle swim speed and showed the best prediction accuracy ($R^2 = 0.724$, RMSE = 0.184) and stability ($cvR^2 = 0.675$, $cvRMSE = 0.199$) when included in partial least squares regression.

4. Discussion

Para athletes with congenital or acquired brain injury present some of the most challenging cases in Para swimming classification. The development of valid tests of strength, range of motion and motor coordination is a key research objective that is required to guide an evidence-based classification system for these Para athletes.^{22,23} This study found instrumented tapping tasks to be valid tests of motor coordination impairment that can be included in a revised Para swimming classification system.

Eligibility in Para sport is determined by the type of impairment and whether the severity of impairment conforms with the minimum eligibility criteria.¹⁶ Para swimmers with brain injury have one or a combination of hypertonia, ataxia and athetosis,




Fig. 1. Test measures for instrumented tapping tasks in non-disabled participants and Para swimmers with brain injury. Data are Cliff's delta with 95% confidence interval and p values derived from Wilcoxon rank tests showing differences between non-disabled participants and Para swimmers for (A) bilateral upper limb tapping, (B) dominant upper limb tapping, (C) non-dominant upper limb tapping, (D) upper limb symmetry score, (E) bilateral lower limb tapping, (F) dominant lower limb tapping, (G) non-dominant upper limb tapping, and (H) lower limb symmetry score. Data are reported for male (dark points) and female (white points) participants, and outlying participants (crosses) that could not complete the lower limb tapping tasks due to severe spasticity.




Fig. 2. Strength of association between motor coordination test measures and maximal freestyle swim speed in Para swimmers with brain injury. Data are Spearman correlation coefficients for (A) bilateral upper limb tapping, (B) dominant upper limb tapping, (C) non-dominant upper limb tapping, (D) upper limb symmetry score, (E) bilateral lower limb tapping, (F) dominant lower limb tapping, (G) non-dominant upper limb tapping, and (H) lower limb symmetry score. Data are reported for male (dark points) and female (white points) Para swimmers, and outlying participants (crosses) that have been removed from analysis due to severe lower limb spasticity.

all of which can result in loss of motor coordination that might affect swimming performance. The instrumented tapping tasks presented in this study were able to differentiate between participants with and without brain injury (Fig. 1). This result shows that the Para swimmers in this study did in fact have impaired motor coordination, and that the instrumented tapping tasks provide useful assessments to infer the extent of motor coordination impairment resulting from brain injury.

In support of the above, test measures successfully classified 96% of participants with and without brain injury using random forest algorithm (Supplementary material Appendix C). The ensemble learning method used during random forest allows the probability of classification to be attained, which could be useful for determining athlete eligibility. For example, two Para swimmers were incorrectly classified as non-disabled participants by random forest algorithm, suggesting they have similar motor coordination to

non-disabled participants. On further inspection it is apparent that there was a degree of uncertainty in the classification assigned by the random forest model as approximately 35% of the votes were allocated to the priori case for both Para swimmers (i.e. the Para swimmers were assigned to the correct class 35% of the time). These Para swimmers had mild or moderate hemiplegic cerebral palsy, and although they might have achieved similar scores to non-disabled participants in some tapping tasks, it is evident that they have some loss of motor coordination in their non-dominant limbs when comparing their upper (0.64 and 0.79) and lower limb (0.71 and 0.89) symmetry scores with non-disabled participants (upper limb = 0.88 ± 0.05 and lower limb = 0.94 ± 0.07). These findings highlight the potential of using ratio-scale measures to improve the objectivity and transparency of athlete eligibility in Para swimming, particularly as most test measures were related to freestyle swimming performance (Fig. 2). Classification models that are trained in Para swimming cohorts with more homogeneous impairment location and distribution (e.g. hemiplegic versus diplegic) might improve the accuracy of these models to better guide athlete eligibility.

An important measurement property of impairment tests used in classification is that they explain activity limitation in the sport of interest.¹⁶ All motor coordination test measures, except for bilateral upper limb tapping **and symmetry scores**, were found to have moderate to large correlations with maximal freestyle swim speed (Fig. 2). These test measures also explained 72.4% of the variance in swim performance when included in partial least squares regression. These results evidence the convergent validity of the instrumented tapping tasks in Para swimming classification.

In agreement with previous research,^{23,24} motor coordination tests relevant to the upper limbs were found to be more important predictors of freestyle swim speed than lower limb test performance (Supplementary material Fig. S4). Indeed, the upper limbs contribute most of the propulsive force during freestyle swimming,²⁵ and motor coordination impairment of the upper limbs might impact on hand speeds during the above and underwater stroke phases and inter-arm coordination associated with propulsion and propelling efficiency.^{14,15,26} It is interesting that lower limb tapping tasks had moderate to high correlations ($r = -0.57$ to -0.62 , $p < 0.01$) with maximal swim speed contrasting with no or low correlations ($r = 0.27$ to 0.44) that have been reported previously for lower limb strength tests in a similar cohort of Para swimmers.²³ This infers that lower limb coordination is more important than lower limb strength in freestyle swimming. Although the lower limbs contribute less to propulsion than the upper limbs in freestyle, leg-to-arm coordination might impact on the propulsion and propelling efficiency of the upper limbs,^{26,27} or motor coordination impairment in the lower limbs could be collinear with reduced range of motion that causes a poor streamline position and increased form drag.^{22,28}

Despite the more similar action of the bilateral upper limb tapping task to freestyle swimming than unilateral tasks, this test was found to have no association with freestyle swim speed (Fig. 2a). This might be explained by different strategies that were used by participants during this test; although they were instructed to maintain extended arms to encourage movement at the shoulder joint, participants adopted tapping strategies that involved movements at the shoulder, wrist and/or finger joints. Further, the larger correlation found for dominant upper limb tapping compared with nondominant upper limb tapping suggests that Para swimmers with a relatively unaffected dominant limb might be able to compensate more effectively for the activity limitation caused by the more affected limb. Similar findings have been reported for strength and range of motion impairment in swimming,²³ cycling,²⁹ and running.^{9,10}

The results of this study support the use of instrumented tapping tasks to derive valid classification structures for Para swimmers with brain injury. Further research that establishes the relationship between motor coordination tests and performance in the other swim strokes will confirm their utility in Para swimming classification. Cluster analysis of test measures can derive classes of Para swimmers with similar location, severity and/or distribution of motor coordination impairment,^{30,31} or an independent measure of estimated activity limitation that is derived from test measures can be used to assign classification.²⁴ However, it is likely that strength and range of motion impairment also explain activity limitation in Para swimmers with brain injury.^{22,23} In the only other study to establish the impact of physical impairment on Para swimming performance, measures of limb length were found to explain 80% of the variance in 100 m freestyle performance in Para swimmers with limb deficiency.²⁴ It is interesting then that motor coordination test measures were found to account for 72% of the variance in swim performance in this study, despite the absence of measures related to strength and range of motion that are affected by brain injury. This could be explained by the fact that most Para swimmers had hypertonia, and severity of motor coordination impairment might be collinear with strength and range of motion impairment within this group.³² Indeed, partial least squares regression considerably underestimated swim performance (-0.44 m s^{-1}) for one Para swimmer with athetosis who would predominately be affected by motor coordination impairment. This suggests that motor coordination, strength and range of motion tests should be used concurrently to guide classification of Para swimmers with brain injury. Further research in a larger cohort of Para swimmers, including those with dyskinesia (i.e. athetosis and dystonia) and ataxia, is required to establish the impact these impairments have on swimming performance.

5. Conclusion

The development of valid tests of impairment is one of the key challenges in guiding the development of evidence-based classification systems in Para sport. This study showed instrumented tapping tasks that were reliable in non-disabled participants, were able to discriminate between participants with and without brain injury and explained most of the variance in maximal freestyle swim performance in Para swimmers with brain injury. These results evidence the predictive and convergent validity of instrumented tapping tasks to classify motor coordination impairments in Para swimmers with brain injury. Future research that establishes the relative impact of strength, range of motion and motor coordination on Para swimming performance is required to help guide evidence-based classification structures for these Para athletes.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the International Paralympic Committee (IPC), UK Sport, Exercise & Sports Science Australia [Applied Sports Science Research Grant], and the University of the Sunshine Coast [Faculty Research Initiatives Grants Scheme]. The funding sources had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2018.11.015>.

References

- Burkett B, Payton C, Van de Vliet P et al. Performance characteristics of Para swimmers: how effective is the swimming classification system? *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2018; 29(2):333–346. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2018.01.011>.
- Wu SK, Williams T. Paralympic swimming performance, impairment, and the functional classification system. *Adapt Phys Act Q* 1999; 16(3):251–270. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/apaq.16.3.251>.
- Daly DJ, Vanlandewijck Y. Some criteria for evaluating the fairness of swimming classification. *Adapt Phys Act Q* 1999; 16(3):271–289. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/apaq.16.3.271>.
- Runciman P, Derman W. Athletes with brain injury: pathophysiological and medical challenges. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2018; 29(2):267–281. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2018.01.004>.
- Reina R, Iturricastillo A, Sabido R et al. Vertical and horizontal jump capacity in international cerebral palsy football players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2018; 13(5):597–603. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2017-0321>.
- Runciman P, Derman W, Ferreira S et al. A descriptive comparison of sprint cycling performance and neuromuscular characteristics in able-bodied athletes and paralympic athletes with cerebral palsy. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2015; 94(1):28–37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000000136>.
- Bezodis IN, Cowburn J, Brazil A et al. A biomechanical comparison of initial sprint acceleration performance and technique in an elite athlete with cerebral palsy and able-bodied sprinters. *Sports Biomech* 2018; 1–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2018.1459819>.
- Antunes D, Rossato M, Kons RL et al. Neuromuscular features in sprinters with cerebral palsy: case studies based on paralympic classification. *J Exerc Rehabil* 2017; 13(6):716–721. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12965/jer.1735112.556>.
- Beckman EM, Connick MJ, Tweedy SM. How much does lower body strength impact Paralympic running performance? *Eur J Sport Sci* 2016; 16(6):669–676. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2015.1132775>.
- Connick MJ, Beckman E, Spathis J et al. How much do range of movement and coordination affect Paralympic sprint performance? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015; 47(10):2216–2223. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000643>.
- Roldan A, Sabido R, Barbedo D et al. Manual dexterity and intralimb coordination assessment to distinguish different levels of impairment in boccia players with cerebral palsy. *Front Neurol* 2017; 8:582. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00582>.
- Pelayo P, Alberty M, Sidney M et al. Aerobic potential, stroke parameters, and coordination in swimming front-crawl performance. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2007; 2(4):347–359. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2.4.347>.
- Barbosa TM, Bragada JA, Reis VM et al. Energetics and biomechanics as determining factors of swimming performance: updating the state of the art. *J Sci Med Sport* 2010; 13(2):262–269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2009.01.003>.
- Samson M, Monnet T, Bernard A et al. Kinematic hand parameters in front crawl at different paces of swimming. *J Biomech* 2015; 48(14):3743–3750. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.07.034>.
- Satkunskiene D, Schega L, Kunze K et al. Coordination in arm movements during crawl stroke in elite swimmers with a loco-motor disability. *Hum Mov Sci* 2005; 24(1):54–65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2005.04.001>.
- Tweedy SM, Vanlandewijck YC. International Paralympic Committee position stand—background and scientific principles of classification in Paralympic sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2011; 45(4):259–269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.065060>.
- International Paralympic Committee. *World Para Swimming Classification Rules and Regulations: International Paralympic Committee*, 2018. Available at: <https://www.paralympic.org/swimming/rules-and-regulations>. [Accessed 29 October 2018].
- Connick MJ, Beckman E, Deuble R et al. Developing tests of impaired coordination for Paralympic classification: normative values and test–retest reliability. *Sports Eng* 2016; 19(3):147–154. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12283-016-0199-5>.
- Deuble RL, Connick MJ, Beckman EM et al. Using Fitts' law to detect intentional misrepresentation. *J Mot Behav* 2016; 48(2):164–171. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2015.1058744>.
- Romano J, Kromrey JD. Appropriate statistics for ordinal level data: Should we really be using t-test and Cohen's d for evaluating group differences on the NSSSE and other surveys? *Annual meeting of the Florida Association of Institutional Research* 2006.
- Mukaka MM. Statistics corner: a guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. *Malawi Med J* 2012; 24(3):69–71.
- Nicholson VP, Spathis JG, Hogarth LW et al. Establishing the reliability of a novel battery of range of motion tests to enable evidence-based classification in Para Swimming. *Phys Ther Sport* 2018; 32:34–41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2018.04.021>.
- Hogarth L, Nicholson V, Spathis J et al. A battery of strength tests for evidence-based classification in Para swimming. *J Sports Sci* 2016; 2018:1–10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1504606>.
- Hogarth L, Payton C, Van de Vliet P et al. A novel method to guide classification of para swimmers with limb deficiency. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2018; 28:2397–2406. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sms.13229>.
- Morouco PG, Marinho DA, Izquierdo M et al. Relative contribution of arms and legs in 30 s fully tethered front crawl swimming. *Biomed Res Int* 2015; 2015:563206. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/563206>.
- Seifert L, Komar J, Barbosa T et al. Coordination pattern variability provides functional adaptations to constraints in swimming performance. *Sports Med* 2014; 44(10):1333–1345. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0210-x>.
- Osborough C, Daly D, Payton C. Effect of swim speed on leg-to-arm coordination in unilateral arm amputee front crawl swimmers. *J Sports Sci* 2015; 33(14):1523–1531. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.996181>.
- Oh YT, Burkett B, Osborough C et al. London 2012 Paralympic swimming: passive drag and the classification system. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47(13):838–843. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092192>.
- de Groot S, Dallmeijer AJ, Bessems PJ et al. Comparison of muscle strength, sprint power and aerobic capacity in adults with and without cerebral palsy. *J Rehabil Med* 2012; 44(11):932–938. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2340/16501977-1037>.
- Altmann VC, Groen BE, Hart AL et al. Classifying trunk strength impairment according to the activity limitation caused in wheelchair rugby performance. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2018; 28(2):649–657. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sms.12921>.
- Connick MJ, Beckman E, Vanlandewijck Y et al. Cluster analysis of novel isometric strength measures produces a valid and evidence-based classification structure for wheelchair track racing. *Br J Sports Med* 2018; 52(17):1123–1129. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097558>.
- Delgado MR, Albright AL. Movement disorders in children: definitions, classifications, and grading systems. *J Child Neurol* 2003; 18(Suppl 1):S1–S8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/08833073803018001S0301>.