



Elizabethkingia meningoseptica diagnostic hitch

G. R. Rahim¹ · Neha Gupta¹

Received: 26 August 2018 / Accepted: 28 August 2018 / Published online: 5 September 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

To the editor,

With reference to the case report titled “First case of *E. meningoseptica* in Italy in a patient with necrotic hemorrhagic pancreatitis” by Montrucchio et al [1], *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* isolate was isolated in bronchial aspirate sample. However, there are certain fallacies we would like to highlight in this context.

First and foremost, identification method for *E. meningoseptica* was not mentioned by Montrucchio et al. [1]. How *E. meningoseptica* was identified? Whether identified by Vitek2 automated system or by MALDI-TOF MS (matrix-assisted laser desorption and ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry) system? If MALDI-TOF MS was used, whether it was Vitek MS (Biomerieux) or Bruker BioTyper (Bruker Daltonics, Germany)? What was the version of MALDI-TOF MS database used? Was the isolate identification confirmed by repeat culture? If at all it was *E. meningoseptica* even after repeat culture, was the identification confirmed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing or whole genome sequencing? Answers to these queries need to be mentioned when reporting cases of such unusual pathogens as accurate identification is essential for better understanding of such isolates, their pathophysiology, clinical course and their management strategies.

Discrepancies in identification of *Elizabethkingia* species by Vitek2 or even MALDI-TOF MS have been demonstrated in some recent studies [2–5]. Most *E. meningoseptica* isolates though have been correctly identified by Vitek2 system; however, a few isolates have been misidentified as *Chryseobacterium indologenes* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* [2, 3]. MALDI-TOF MS (whether Vitek MS or Bruker Biotyper) has also shown misidentifications of few *E. meningoseptica*

isolates as *Pseudoxanthomonas* species, *E. miricola*, *C. indologenes*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* [2, 3].

Not only *E. meningoseptica* isolates have been misidentified, isolates of other *Elizabethkingia* species such as *E. anophelis* and *E. miricola* have been falsely identified as *E. meningoseptica* by Vitek2 and MALDI-TOF MS systems due to lack of database coverage for *Elizabethkingia* species other than *E. meningoseptica* [3–5]. Only a few studies have been done for accurate identification of these species by expanding the database of MALDI-TOF MS with species-specific spectra [3, 4].

To conclude, isolated *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* may be a true isolate or an isolate of *Elizabethkingia* species falsely identified as *E. meningoseptica* by the automated system. Hence, *E. meningoseptica* isolate by these systems must be confirmed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing to prevent pseudo-reporting of such cases. Also, we recommend database updation of these systems for reliable identification of *Elizabethkingia* species in clinical laboratories routinely.

Funding None.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

References

1. Montrucchio G, Corcione S, Vaj M, Zaccaria T, Costa C, Brazzi L, et al. First case of *E. meningoseptica* in Italy in a patient with necrotic hemorrhagic pancreatitis. *Infection*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-017-1055-7>.
2. Carvalho Filho EB, Marson FAL, Levy CE. Challenges in the identification of *Chryseobacterium indologenes* and *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* in cases of nosocomial infections and patients with cystic fibrosis. *New Microbes New Infect*. 2017;20:27–33.
3. Han M-S, Kim H, Lee Y, Kim M, Ku NS, Choi JY, et al. Relative prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Elizabethkingia* species based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

✉ Neha Gupta
nehagupta0606@gmail.com

¹ Microbiology Lab, Department of Microbiology, Shah SatnamJi Speciality Hospitals, Near Shah SatnamJi Dham, Dera Sacha Sauda, Bhadra Road, Sirsa, Haryana 125055, India

- J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:274–80. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01637-16>.
4. Lau SKP, Chow WN, Foo CH, Curreem SOT, Lo GCS, Teng JLL, et al. *Elizabethkingia anophelis* bacteremia is associated with clinically significant infections and high mortality. Sci Rep. 2016;6:26045. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26045>.
 5. Teo J, Tan SYY, Tay M, Ding Y, Kjelleberg S, Givskov M, et al. First case of *E anopheles* outbreak in an intensive-care unit. Lancet. 2013;382:855–6.