

CORRESPONDENCE



Biomarker cruises in sepsis: who is the CAPTAIN? Discussion on “Circulating biomarkers may be unable to detect infection at the early phase of sepsis in ICU patients: the CAPTAIN prospective multicenter cohort study”

George Briassoulis^{1*} , Panagiotis Briassoulis¹, Marianna Miliaraki¹, Stavroula Ilia¹, Marianna Parlato², François Philippart^{3,4}, Alexandra Rouquette^{5,6}, Virginie Moucadel⁷, Jean-Marc Cavaillon⁸, Benoît Misset⁹ and Combined Approach for The eArly diagnosis of INfection in sepsis (CAPTAIN) study group

© 2018 Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature and ESICM

Initial correspondence from Dr. Briassoulis et al.

Dear Editor,

With great interest, we have read the article by Parlato et al. assessing the accuracy of circulating biomarkers to discriminate between sepsis and non-septic SIRS [1]. In their multicenter study (CAPTAIN), the authors showed that only eight biomarkers had an area under the receiver-operating curve (ROC-AUC) > 0.6. Although these are important findings, some limitations to this assessment need to be addressed. First of all, the authors used the 2003 Sepsis-2 criteria (in the “Methods” section), although they defined sepsis by the 2016 Sepsis-3 definition (“Introduction”–“Discussion”). Using updated definitions, their conclusion that the circulating biomarkers tested discriminate poorly between sepsis and SIRS and that no combination performs better than CRP might be misleading. Second, only patients with SIRS, performing poorly on discriminant validity and convergent validity in sepsis, were eligible, as soon as the physician considered antibiotic therapy (sepsis 87.3%; SIRS

67.0%). Only 13.3% of septic patients had septic shock, 15.4% had cancer, and lower lactate compared to SIRS. Excluding cancer patients, using the Sepsis-3 definition, including trauma-SIRS only, it was recently shown [2] that resistin and extracellular heat-shock protein (eHSP)-90α (ROC-AUC > 0.85) could discriminate sepsis (82.6% septic shock) from SIRS ($p < 0.001$) better than CRP or lactate in adults. In children, eHSP-72, eHSP-90α, and lactate were all strong discriminators of sepsis (94.4% septic shock; ROC-AUC > 0.85) ($p < 0.001$) compared with CRP or resistin (ROC-AUC > 0.75) [2]. An independent (captain) diagnostics model augmenting the discriminatory ability of Sepsis-3 novel biomarkers should be explored across heterogeneous populations in extensive validation studies.

Reply from Dr. Parlato et al.

As indicated by Dr. Briassoulis, we used the 2003 definitions to include patients as our study started before the publication of the 2016 consensus. We aimed to help clinicians to discriminate between intensive care unit (ICU) patients with actual infection from patients without. This could only be done by using a definition of acute inflammation such as SIRS, a term that no longer appears in the 2016 consensus, but still appropriately defines the status of many critically ill patients without infection. According to the 2016 consensus, “suspicion” of infection is part of the syndrome. Therefore, it remains useful to attempt

*Correspondence: ggbriss@otenet.gr

¹ Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital, University of Crete, 71110 Heraklion, Crete, Greece
Full author information is available at the end of the article

The members of the Combined Approach for The eArly diagnosis of INfection in sepsis (CAPTAIN) study group were given in Acknowledgements section.

to distinguish “actual” from “suspected” infections (in our study by assessing patients’ evolution in the days following inclusion) in order to prompt antibiotic therapy only for those patients with confirmed infection [3].

Dr. Briassoulis pointed out that only 13.3% of our septic patients had shock at ICU admission. However, at inclusion in the study, based on the use of vasopressor drugs, this percentage was higher (40.9%) and consistent with the severity and the mortality of our patients. Discriminant levels of CRP, heat shock proteins, or lactates between septic and SIRS children have been reported by the Briassoulis group [2, 4]. Before these publications, not enough accumulative proofs justified the selection of HSPs among the long list of biomarkers of interest [5], although we had also observed increased HSP-70 among sepsis patients [6]. We found opposite results for CRP and lactates, which may reflect similar severity between our septic and non-septic SIRS patients while their non-septic SIRS patients had low severity [2]. Those biomarkers may rather be markers of severity than of infection [7].

Author details

¹ Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital, University of Crete, 71110 Heraklion, Crete, Greece. ² Laboratory of Intestinal Immunity and Institut Imagine, INSERM, UMR1163, Paris, France. ³ Service de Médecine Intensive et Réanimation, Groupe Hospitalier Paris Saint-Joseph, Paris, France. ⁴ Unité Endotoxines Structures et Réponse de l’hôte, Département de Microbiologie, Institut de Biologie Intégrative de la Cellule, Université Paris-Saclay, Saclay, France. ⁵ Service de Santé Publique et d’Épidémiologie, Hôpital du Kremlin-Bicêtre, CHU de Bicêtre, Assistance Publique, Hôpitaux de Paris, Bicêtre, France. ⁶ CESP, INSERM, Université Paris-Sud, UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Paris, France. ⁷ Medical Diagnostic Discovery Department, BioMérieux SA, Lyon, France. ⁸ Experimental Neuropathology Unit, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France. ⁹ Service de Réanimation Médicale, Hôpital Charles Nicolle and Groupe de Recherche sur l’Adaptation Microbienne, Université de Rouen Normandie, EA 2656, Rouen, France.

Acknowledgements

Members of the Combined Approach for The eArly diagnosis of INfection in sepsis (CAPTAIN) study group:

Sébastien JACQMIN, Didier JOURNOIS, Alix LAGRANGE, Gabrielle PINOT de VILLECHENON (Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP – HP – Université Paris Descartes, Service d’Anesthésie-Réanimation), Nadia AISSAOUI, Jean-Luc DIEHL, Emmanuel GUEROT, Marion VENOT (Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP – HP – Université Paris Descartes, Service de Réanimation Médicale), Olfa HAMZAOUI, Dominique PRAT, Benjamin SZTRYMF (Hôpital Antoine Béchère, AP – HP – Université Paris Sud, Clamart), Djillali ANNANE, Virginie MAXIME, Andrea POLITO (Hôpital Raymond Poincaré, AP – HP – Univer-

sité Paris Ile de France Ouest, Service de Réanimation Médico-chirurgicale), Belaïd BOUHEMAD, Cédric BRUEL, Frédéric ETHUIN, Julien FOURNIER, Maïté GARROUSTE-ORGEAS, Charles GREGOIRE, Nicolas LAU, Benoît MISSET, François PHILIPPART (Groupe Hospitalier Paris Saint Joseph, Service de Réanimation), Jean-Pierre BEDOS, Pierrick CROSNIER, Virginie LAURENT, Sybille MERCERON (Hôpital André Mignot, Versailles, Service de Réanimation médicochirurgicale), Elsa BOURNAUD, Laurence LECOMTE, Jean-Marc TRELUYER, (Hôpital Cochin, AP-HP – Université Paris Descartes, Unité de Recherche Clinique), Alexandre PACHOT, Javier YUGUEROS-MARCOS, Laurent ESTEVE, Sophie BLEIN, Virginie MOUCADEL (bioMérieux, Lyon & Grenoble), Myriam BEN BOUTIEB, Alexandra ROUQUETTE, Joël COSTE (Hôpital Hotel-Dieu, AP-HP – Université Paris Descartes, Unité de Biostatistique et d’Épidémiologie), Minou ADIB-CONGUY, Jean-Marc CAVAILLON, Catherine FITTING, Marianna PARLATO, Virginie PUCHOIS, Fernando SOUZA-FONSECA GUIMARAES (Institut Pasteur, Paris, Unit Cytokines & Inflammation).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Accepted: 2 November 2018

Published online: 7 January 2019

References

1. Parlato M, Philippart F, Rouquette A et al (2018) Circulating biomarkers may be unable to detect infection at the early phase of sepsis in ICU patients: the CAPTAIN prospective multicenter cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 44:1061–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5228-3>
2. Tavladaki T, Spanaki AM, Dimitriou H et al (2017) Similar metabolic, innate immunity, and adipokine profiles in adult and pediatric sepsis versus systemic inflammatory response syndrome—a pilot study. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 18:e494–e505. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0000000000001300>
3. De Waele JJ, Akova M, Antonelli M et al (2018) Antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic stewardship programs in the ICU: insistence and persistence in the fight against resistance. A position statement from ESCM/ESCMID/WAAAR round table on multi-drug resistance. *Intensive Care Med* 44:189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-5036-1>
4. Vardas K, Apostolou K, Briassouli E et al (2014) Early response roles for prolactin cortisol and circulating and cellular levels of heat shock proteins 72 and 90α in severe sepsis and SIRS. *Biomed Res Int* 2014:803561. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/803561>
5. Parlato M, Cavaillon J-M (2015) Host response biomarkers in the diagnosis of sepsis: a general overview. *Methods Mol Biol* 1237:149–211. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1776-1_15
6. Adib-Conquy M, Cavaillon J-M (2007) Stress molecules in sepsis and systemic inflammatory response syndrome. *FEBS Lett* 581:3723–3733. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.03.074>
7. Sager R, Wirz Y, Amin D et al (2017) Are admission procalcitonin levels universal mortality predictors across different medical emergency patient populations? Results from the multi-national, prospective, observational TRIAGE study. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 55:1873–1880. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2017-0144>