

body of evidence in order to define a “bladder phenotype.” This bladder phenotype can be simply and objectively defined by the anatomical bladder capacity measured under general anesthesia. In the present study, we demonstrated a statistically significant difference between these 2 groups of patients in terms of voiding diary, urodynamic parameters, and finding of cystoscopy under general anesthesia.

Finally, this phenotype stratification based on anatomical bladder capacity also appears to be interesting to predict the efficiency of treatments, but this must be analyzed with other prospective studies.

References

- Clemens JQ, Link CL, Eggers PW, et al. Prevalence of painful bladder symptoms and effect on quality of life in black, Hispanic and white men and women. *J Urol*. 2007;177:1390–1394.
- Davis NF, Gnanappiragasam S, Thornhill JA. Interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome: the influence of modern diagnostic criteria on epidemiology and on Internet search activity by the public. *Transl Androl Urol*. 2015;4:506–511.
- Patnaik SS, Lagana AS, Vitale SG, et al. Etiology, pathophysiology and biomarkers of interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2017;295:1341–1359.
- Berry SH, Elliott MN, Suttrop M, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of bladder pain syndrome/interstitial cystitis among adult females in the United States. *J Urol*. 2011;186:540–544.
- Dasgupta J, Tincello DG. Interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome: an update. *Maturitas*. 2009;64:212–217.
- Gillenwater JY, Wein AJ. Summary of the National Institute of Arthritis, Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases Workshop on Interstitial Cystitis, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, August 28-29, 1987. *J Urol*. 1988;140:203–206.
- Simon LJ, Landis JR, Erickson DR, Nyberg LM. The Interstitial Cystitis Data Base Study: concepts and preliminary baseline descriptive statistics. *Urology*. 1997;49:64–75.
- Hanno PM, Landis JR, Matthews-Cook Y, Kusek J, Nyberg Jr. L. The diagnosis of interstitial cystitis revisited: lessons learned from the National Institutes of Health Interstitial Cystitis Database study. *J Urol*. 1999;161:553–557.
- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2002;21:167–178.
- van de Merwe JP, Nordling J, Bouchelouche P, et al. Diagnostic criteria, classification, and nomenclature for painful bladder syndrome/interstitial cystitis: an ESSIC proposal. *Eur Urol*. 2008;53:60–67.
- Doggweiler R, Whitmore KE, Meijlink JM, et al. A standard for terminology in chronic pelvic pain syndromes: a report from the chronic pelvic pain working group of the international continence society. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2017;36:984–1008.
- Boudry G, Labat JJ, Riant T, et al. Validation of voiding diary for stratification of bladder pain syndrome according to the presence/absence of cystoscopic abnormalities: a two-centre prospective study. *BJU Int*. 2013;112:E164–E168.
- Parsons JK, Parsons CL. The historical origins of interstitial cystitis. *J Urol*. 2004;171:20–22.
- Hunner GL. A rare type of bladder ulcer: further notes, with a report of eighteen cases. *J Am Med Assoc*. 1918;70:203–212.
- Mullins C, Bavendam T, Kirkali Z, Kusek JW. Novel research approaches for interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome: thinking beyond the bladder. *Transl Androl Urol*. 2015;4:524–533.
- Suskind AM, Berry SH, Ewing BA, Elliott MN, Suttrop MJ, Clemens JQ. The prevalence and overlap of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic

pain syndrome in men: results of the RAND Interstitial Cystitis Epidemiology male study. *J Urol*. 2013;189:141–145.

- Tung A, Hepp Z, Bansal A, Devine EB. Characterizing health care utilization, direct costs, and comorbidities associated with interstitial cystitis: a retrospective claims analysis. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2017;23:474–482.
- Homma Y. Hypersensitive bladder: a solution to confused terminology and ignorance concerning interstitial cystitis. *Int J Urol*. 2014;21 (Suppl 1):43–47.
- Colaco M, Koslov DS, Keys T, et al. Correlation of gene expression with bladder capacity in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. *J Urol*. 2014;192:1123–1129.
- Walker SJ, Zambon J, Andersson KE, et al. Bladder capacity is a biomarker for a bladder centric versus systemic manifestation in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. *J Urol*. 2017;198:369–375.
- Wennevik GE, Meijlink JM, Hanno P, Nordling J. The role of glomerulations in bladder pain syndrome: a review. *J Urol*. 2016;195:19–25.
- Kim A, Han JY, Ryu CM, et al. Histopathological characteristics of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome without Hunner lesion. *Histopathology*. 2017;71:415–424.
- Jhang JF, Kuo HC. Pathomechanism of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome and mapping the heterogeneity of disease. *Int Neuro-urol J*. 2016;20:S95–104.
- Sastry DN, Hunter KM, Whitmore KE. Urodynamic testing and interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2010;21:157–161.

EDITORIAL COMMENT



The authors report bladder capacity (BC) under anesthesia (anatomic) in 134 patients with interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome (IC/BPS). After dividing the cohort based on a cutoff of 400 mL, they found that patients with a lower capacity had increased urinary frequency and nocturia, lower urodynamic (functional) BC, and were more likely to have Hunner’s lesions on cystoscopy. It is quite obvious that lower anatomic capacity is associated with worse frequency, urgency, nocturia, and functional capacity. However, the finding of a higher likelihood of harboring Hunner’s lesions is of clinical significance because fulguration of ulcers typically results in dramatic symptomatic improvement.

There is little agreement regarding initial management for patients with IC/BPS. The European Society for the Study of Interstitial Cystitis and European Association of Urology recommend cystoscopy under anesthesia with hydrodistention (HD) and biopsy for the diagnosis of IC/BPS.^{1,2} Similarly, the Japanese Urologic Association and the Society of Interstitial Cystitis of Japan suggest establishing the presence of Hunner’s lesions or mucosal bleeding after HD.³ On the other hand, the American Urological Association guidelines focus more on a clinical diagnosis, relegating cystoscopy and HD as third-line therapy.⁴ However, there is nearly universal agreement that fulguration, vaporization or other treatment of ulcers nearly always leads to symptomatic improvement, that can last up to 3 years in half the patients.⁵

And while Hunner’s lesions are relatively easy to identify either before or after HD, as “circumscribed, reddened mucosal area with small vessels radiating toward a central scar, with a fibrin deposit or coagulum,”¹ currently they can only be identified and treated cystoscopically, which is often too painful to perform in the awake patient with IC/BPS. It can be frustrating, however, that in patients without ulcers, cystoscopic HD alone has shown inconsistent therapeutic results.⁵

Hence, the search for ways to predict the patients who are most likely to have bladder ulcers, and thereby benefit from cystoscopic HD and fulguration. Unfortunately, there are several studies that show a general lack of clinical differences between those with and without bladder ulcers with regard to urinary symptoms and pain.⁶⁻⁸ While current trends in managing patients with IC/BPS have focused more on phenotyping patients based on bladder centric versus more systemic symptoms, such symptomatic grouping has not led to substantial improvements in treatment outcome. In contrast, there is a well-known substantial improvement in patients' symptoms following fulguration of bladder ulcers. It is clinically relevant, therefore, that the authors demonstrated that patients with <400 mL BC were 4 times more likely to have Hunner's lesions than those with BC > 400 mL, confirming results of a similar trial previously reported by Peters' group.⁸ Perhaps future studies may use continuous modeling based on BC in those with versus those without Hunner's lesions. This type of work may yield an even stronger ability to predict the presence or absence of ulcers than that based on a predetermined BC cutoff, with the goal of establishing for which patients' cystoscopy and fulguration are indicated.

Craig V. Comiter, Departments of Urology and Obstetrics and Gynecology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

References

1. Van de Merwe JP, Nordling J, Bouchelouche P, et al. Diagnostic criteria, classification, and nomenclature for painful bladder syndrome/interstitial cystitis: an ESSIC proposal. *Eur Urol*. 2008;53:60–67.
2. Engeler D, Baranowski AP, Borovicka J, et al. Guidelines on Chronic Pelvic Pain. The Annual EAU Congress. Spanish, 2015. Available online: <http://uroweb.org/guideline/chronic-pelvic-pain>.
3. Homma Y, Ueda T, Ito T, et al. Japanese guideline for diagnosis and treatment of interstitial cystitis. *Int J Urol*. 2009;16:4–16.
4. Hanno PM, Burks DA, Clemens JQ, et al. American Urological Association (AUA) Guideline; *Diagnosis and treatment of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome* 2014. Available online: <https://www.auanet.org/education/guidelines/ic-bladder-pain-syndrome>.
5. Ens G, Garrido GL. Role of cystoscopy and hydrodistention in the diagnosis of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. *Transl Androl Urol*. 2015;4:624–628.
6. Killinger KA, Boura JA, Peters KM. Pin in interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome: do characteristics differ in ulcerative and non-ulcerative subtypes. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2013;24:1295–1301.
7. Doiron RC, Tolls V, Irvine-Bird K, Kelly K-L, Nickel JC. Clinical phenotyping does not differentiate Hunner lesion subtype of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome: a relook at the role of cystoscopy. *J Urol*. 2016;198:1136–1140.
8. Peters KM, Killinger KA, Mounayer MH, et al. Are ulcerative and nonulcerative interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome 2 distinct diseases? A study of coexisting conditions. *Urology*. 2011;78:301–308.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.066>
UROLOGY 123: 91–92, 2019. © 2018 Elsevier Inc.