Secular trends in the association between obesity and hypertension among adults in the United States, 1999–2014

Seungho Ryu[a], Emily Frith[b], Zeljko Pedisic[c], Minsoo Kang[a], Paul D. Loprinzi[b,*]

[a] Health and Sport Analytics Laboratory, Department of Health, Exercise Science and Recreation Management, The University of Mississippi, United States of America
[b] Physical Activity Epidemiology Laboratory, Exercise Psychology Laboratory, Department of Health, Exercise Science and Recreation Management, The University of Mississippi, United States of America
[c] Institute for Health and Sport (IHES), Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia

A B S T R A C T

Objective: To examine the secular trends in the association between obesity and hypertension among American adults between 1999 and 2014.
Methods: Data from the 1999–2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (eight survey cycles) were used. Obesity was determined from measured body mass index, with hypertension assessed from measured blood pressure and self-reported medication use. Meta-regression was used to examine the linear, quadratic, and cubic trends of the relationship between the observed odds ratio effect sizes (obesity and hypertension) and the NHANES cycles (year) using a random-effects model.
Results: Across the years of 1999 to 2014, there was a significant, positive linear trend ($p = .006$) in the association between overweight/obesity and hypertension.
Conclusion: Our findings suggest that the association between overweight/obesity and hypertension is becoming stronger over time. Continued surveillance of temporal changes associated with obesity and hypertension is necessary to monitor how such changes may underlie changes in the risk for chronic disease.
Significance of the Study: This novel study evaluates whether the magnitude of association between obesity and hypertension has changed over the last 15-years.

1. Introduction

Obesity is becoming an "epidemic" that plagues many parts of the industrialized world, contributing to a global health and economic burden [16,24]. Recent estimates suggest that obesity affects 36.5% of all adults in the United States [27]. Excess weight is associated with various negative effects on health outcomes such as cardiovascular disease [19,22], hypertension [20], diabetes [11,23], cancer [1,32], and early mortality [1,2].

Between 2015 and 2016, the overall prevalence of hypertension was 29% and was higher among non-Hispanic black (40.3%) than among non-Hispanic white (27.8%), non-Hispanic Asian (25.0%), or Hispanic (27.8%) adults [9]. Hypertension is a well-established risk factor for stroke [18], kidney disease [17], and mortality [10]. A diagnosis of hypertension is warranted for individuals with a systolic blood pressure (BP) > 130 mmHg, a diastolic BP > 80 mmHg, or taking an antihypertensive medication [26].

Moreover, overweight and obesity are associated with hypertension [4]. According to previous research, obese and hypertensive patients report common risk factor symptomology, such as insulin resistance and/or type 2 diabetes [6], hyperinsulinemia [25], systemic hemodynamic [15], chronic kidney disease [14], and obstructive sleep apnea. [30]. Therefore, the synchronous deleterious effects of obesity and hypertension, in combination, may effectively contribute to increasing health care expenditures [5] and early mortality [21].

A comprehensive understanding of secular trends in the association between obesity and hypertension is necessary as public health educators, clinicians and professionals attempt to demarcate time-sensitive changes in the distribution of health-influencing factors over time. Tracking potential temporal trends are useful for healthy policy reform, and national and local resource allocation to epidemiological research and disease prevention. Additionally, evaluating secular trends in the

---

association between weight status and hypertension will provide useful information as to the acceptability and utility of pooling data from multiple time periods (e.g. different survey years) when examining the association between weight status and hypertension, or investigating factors that influence this relationship. Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to evaluate potential secular trends in the relationship between weight status and hypertension among American adults, which, to our knowledge, has yet to be examined.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

Data were extracted from eight cycles of the 1999–2014 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Data was released in two-year cycles (e.g., 1999–2000, 2001–2002). The NHANES is an ongoing survey conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention that uses a representative sample of non-institutionalized United States civilians selected by a complex, multistage, stratified, clustered probability design. The multistage design includes the following four stages: 1) identification of counties; 2) identification of segments (city blocks); 3) random selection of households within the selected segments; and 4) random selection of individuals within the selected households. Procedures were approved by the review board of the National Center for Health Statistics. All participants provided consent to participate in the study prior to data collection. More details about NHANES methodology and data collection can be found on the NHANES website (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm).

2.2. Measurement of weight and height status

Participants' weight was measured using a digital weight scale and expressed in kilograms. After the examiner briefly introduced the exam to the participants, the recorder instructed the examinee to look straight at the center of the scale platform towards the recorder. Once the participant was correctly positioned and the reading of the digital measuring device stabilized, the recorder clicked the screen's weighing button to capture the result in the Integrated Survey Information System (ISIS). Participants' height was measured using a digital stadiometer. The participant stood up straight against the backboard with the body weight evenly distributed and both feet flat on the platform. Participants were instructed to stand with their heels together and toes apart. While the participants were correctly positioned and holding the breath, the measurement on the ISIS screen was taken. Further information on NHANES body measures collection is available on the NHANES website (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio between weight in kilograms and height in meters squared (kg/m^2). Overweight and obese,

respectively, were defined as having a BMI of 25–30 kg/m^2 and $\geq 30\,kg/m^2$.

2.3. Measurement of hypertension

Participants were classified as hypertensive, if they were currently taking BP medication or if the average of four measurements of their BP was $\geq 130/80$ mmHg [26]. After five minutes of resting quietly in a sitting position and assessing the maximum inflation level, the BP measurements were taken. The BP technicians of NHANES obtained certification in BP measurement through a training program provided by the Shared Care Research and Education Consulting [28]. The program included a didactic section, audio-video practice watching and listening to systolic and diastolic BP sounds, and measuring BP of volunteers concurrently with a certified, gold standard BP instructor.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Using Stata (v. 12), multiple logistic regression was used to evaluate the association between weight status and hypertension (outcome variable) for each of the eight evaluated NHANES cycles (1999–2014). Models were computed for three separate weight status metrics: (1) BMI as a continuous variable; (2) obese vs. not obese; and (3) overweight/obese vs. not overweight/obese). In each model, covariates included age, gender, and race-ethnicity.

Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software was used to evaluate the trend in the effect size between weight status and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles. Specifically, we used a meta-regression to examine the linear, quadratic, and cubic trends of the relationship between the observed odds ratio effect sizes and the NHANES cycles (year) using a random-effects model (under the assumption of between-study heterogeneity). The degree of heterogeneity of the effect sizes was evaluated with the Cochran's Q-statistic, while the proportion of variation attributable to between-study heterogeneity was evaluated with I^2 index.

3. Results

Across the 1999–2014 NHANES cycles, only adults 20+ years of age were included in the analyses. Among those with complete data on the study variables (age, gender, race-ethnicity, BMI, and BP), the total sample size was 38,993. Table 1 displays the study variable characteristics across each cycle. Participants, on average, were similar for each of the evaluated variables across the cycles, with the exception of age and BMI, which slightly increased over time.

Fig. 1 displays the results for the association between BMI (continuous) and hypertension. Across the years of 1999 to 2014, the pooled association between BMI and hypertension was statistically significant

Table 1
Characteristics of the sample across the eight NHANES cycles.

	NHANES cycle							
	1999–2000	2001–2002	2003–2004	2005–2006	2007–2008	2009–2010	2011–2012	2013–2014
N	4234	4520	4337	4466	5358	5731	5008	5339
Age, mean yrs	45.8 (0.4)	45.7 (0.4)	46.0 (0.4)	46.4 (0.7)	46.7 (0.4)	47.0 (0.4)	47.3 (0.8)	47.3 (0.4)
Gender, % female	51.7	51.8	51.2	51.7	51.9	51.6	51.4	51.7
Race-Ethnicity, % white	69.8	73.2	72.6	72.4	69.6	68.4	66.8	65.9
BMI, mean kg/m2	28.0 (0.2)	28.0 (0.2)	28.1 (0.1)	28.4 (0.2)	28.5 (0.1)	28.7 (0.1)	28.7 (0.2)	29.1 (0.2)
SBP, mean mmHg	123.8 (0.7)	123.0 (0.5)	123.1 (0.5)	122.5 (0.4)	121.9 (0.3)	120.7 (0.4)	121.8 (0.6)	121.7 (0.3)
DBP, mean mmHg	72.3 (0.4)	72.1 (0.4)	70.9 (0.3)	70.2 (0.3)	70.7 (0.3)	69.5 (0.6)	71.4 (0.5)	69.8 (0.3)
% Hypertensive	39.5	39.2	40.8	40.1	39.3	39.2	41.4	40.6

BMI, body mass index.
DBP, diastolic blood pressure.
SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Values in parentheses are standard errors.

NHANES Cycle	Statistics for each study			Odds ratio and 95% CI	
	Odds ratio	**Lower limit**	**Upper limit**		**Relative weight**
1999-2000	1.077	1.055	1.099		8.33
2001-2002	1.066	1.048	1.084		10.67
2003-2004	1.080	1.061	1.100		9.82
2005-2006	1.090	1.080	1.100		18.56
2007-2008	1.080	1.068	1.092		16.22
2009-2010	1.102	1.086	1.118		12.66
2011-2012	1.078	1.062	1.095		11.95
2013-2014	1.097	1.080	1.114		11.79
	1.085	1.077	1.092		

0.1   0.2   0.5   1   2   5   10

1 kg/m² increase in BMI

Fig. 1. Associations between BMI (continuous) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.


Fig. 2. Trend in the association between BMI (continuous) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.

(OR = 1.09, 95% CI = 1.08–1.09, $p < .001$). That is, for every 1 kg/m² increase in BMI, adults had a 9% increased odds of being hypertensive. Regarding the trend in the association between BMI and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles, there were no statistically significant linear trend (unstandardized regression coefficient $[b] = 0.001$, $p = .081$), quadratic trend ($p = .852$), or cubic trend ($p = .123$); however, at the sample level, there was a slight increase in the odds ratio effect sizes across the NHANES cycles (Fig. 2). There was moderate evidence of heterogeneity ($Q(7) = 13.59$, $p = .058$, $I^2 = 48.50\%$).

Fig. 3 displays the results for the association between obesity (vs. not obese) and hypertension. Across the years of 1999 to 2014, the pooled association between obesity and hypertension was statistically significant (OR = 2.51, 95% CI = 2.27–2.76, $p < .001$). That is, on average across the cycles, obese individuals had 2.51 times higher odds

of being hypertensive when compared to non-obese adults. Regarding the trend in the association between obesity and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles, there were no statistically significant linear trend ($b = 0.02$, $p = .091$), quadratic trend ($p = .980$), or cubic trend ($p = .261$); however, at the sample level, there was a slight increase in the odds ratio effect sizes across the NHANES cycles (Fig. 4). There was moderate heterogeneity in the effect sizes ($Q(7) = 12.91$, $p = .074$, $I^2 = 45.79\%$).

Fig. 5 displays the results for the association between overweight/obesity (vs. not overweight/obese) and hypertension. Across the years 1999 to 2014, the pooled association between overweight/obesity and hypertension was statistically significant (OR = 2.23, 95% CI = 2.03–2.44, $p < .001$). That is, on average across the cycles, overweight/obese individuals had a 2.23 higher odds of being hypertensive when compared to non-overweight/obese adults. Regarding

Fig. 3. Association between obesity (vs. not) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.

the trend (Fig. 6) in the association between overweight/obesity and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles, there was statistically significant linear trend ($b = 0.02$, $p = .006$) indicating a slight increase in the odds ratios across the NHANES cycles. Quadratic trend ($p = .972$) and cubic trend ($p = .046$) were not statistically significant. There was moderate heterogeneity in the effect sizes ($Q(7) = 13.12$, $p = .069$, $I^2 = 46.66\%$).

Given the observed linear trend between the association of overweight/obesity and hypertension, we evaluated whether there were parallel secular trends in the prevalence of overweight/obesity during this same period. Across the 8 respective NHANES cycles, the prevalence of overweight/obesity was 64%, 65%, 66%, 66%, 68%, 69%, 68%, and 70% ($p_\text{trend} < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated an association between increased weight status and various deleterious health outcomes [19,22], including hypertension [20]. Other work has also evaluated secular trends in weight status alone [13], as well as trends in hypertension status [31]. To date, however, no study has evaluated the secular trends of the relationship between weight status and hypertension, which was the purpose of this project. By pooling results from eight NHANES cycles, including a total of 38,993 participants, we found that people with higher BMI, and people who were categorized as overweight or obese, were more likely to be hypertensive.

Further, our findings demonstrate that, between 1999 and 2014, the association between overweight/obesity (vs. not overweight/obese) and hypertension among the broader American adult population


Fig. 4. Trend in the association between obesity (vs. not) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.

NHANES Cycle	Statistics for each study			Odds ratio and 95% CI	Relative weight
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit		
1999-2000	1.995	1.552	2.564		8.97
2001-2002	1.920	1.678	2.197		17.21
2003-2004	2.322	1.894	2.847		11.63
2005-2006	2.131	1.799	2.525		14.15
2007-2008	2.067	1.716	2.490		12.85
2009-2010	2.744	2.295	3.281		13.41
2011-2012	2.287	1.848	2.830		11.05
2013-2014	2.554	2.053	3.177		10.73
	2.225	2.030	2.439		

0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10

Not overweight/obese   overweight/obese

Fig. 5. Association between overweight/obesity (vs. not) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.


Fig. 6. Trend in the association between overweight/obesity (vs. not) and hypertension across the eight NHANES cycles.

became stronger.

When evaluated across the years 1999 to 2008, the prevalence of obesity was 33.8% among adults in 2007 to 2008, with similar rates observed between 1999 and 2000 [8]. According to another study, the prevalence of obesity was 35.5% in adult males and 35.8% in adult females, with no significant change in obesity prevalence over the 12-year period from 1999 through 2010 [7]. Additionally, secular trends in hypertension diagnoses among U.S adults have also been evaluated. When assessed across the years 1999 to 2010, the prevalence of hypertension was 30.5% among men and 28.5% among women. Similar to the aforementioned papers, there was no evidence of changes in the prevalence across the time-period investigated [12]. Relatedly, Yoon et al. [34] assessed hypertension prevalence from 2011 to 2014, reporting an average of 29% (30% for men and 28.1% for women) across the four-year assessment period. Taken together, there is little evidence

of a secular trend in hypertension among American adults from 1999 to 2014 [12,34].

In the present study, we confirmed the results of other studies demonstrating an association between weight status and hypertension [3,20,29,33]. Adding novelty to the literature, our results suggest that the magnitude of this robust association is increasing over time. Given these findings, data-driven public health initiatives will be able to provide useful information to aid funding and resource allocation, as well as to substantiate proposals for local or national-level public health policy development, implementation and evaluation of intervention efforts to mitigate the ill-effects of increased weight and hypertension.

In conclusion, we observed relatively strong associations between weight status and hypertension. We also observed evidence that the magnitude of association between overweight/obesity and hypertension has been increasing over time. This finding should be taken into

account when combining [NHANES] weight status and hypertension data across multiple years, particularly if the research objective is to evaluate the association between obesity and hypertension. Future studies should aim to identify reasons for the increasing magnitude of association between overweight/obesity and hypertension over time. Other work on this topic should evaluate whether there are secular trends in the relationship between determinants (e.g., dietary, environmental) that may influence the obesity-hypertension relationship.

Conflict of interest

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of US adults. N Engl J Med 2003;348(17):1625–38.

[2] Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, Rodriguez C, Heath Jr. CW. Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of US adults. N Engl J Med 1999;341(15):1097–105.

[3] Cheung EL, Bell CS, Samuel JP, Poffenbarger T, Redwine KM, Samuels JAJP. Race and obesity in adolescent hypertension. 2017;139(5):e20161433.

[4] Chiang BN, Perlman LV, Epstein FH. Overweight and hypertension: a review. Circulation 1969;39(3):403–21.

[5] Condliffe S, Link CR, Parasuraman S, Pollack MF. The effects of hypertension and obesity on total health-care expenditures of diabetes patients in the United States. Appl Econ Lett 2013;20(7):649–52.

[6] DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E. Insulin resistance: a multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Diabetes Care 1991;14(3):173–94.

[7] Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among US adults, 1999–2010. Jama 2012;307(5):491–7.

[8] Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2008. Jama 2010;303(3):235–41.

[9] Fryar CD, Ostchega Y, Hales CM, Zhang G, Kruszon-Moran D. Hypertension prevalence and control among adults: United States, 2015–2016. NCHS Data Brief. vol. 289. 2017. p. 1–8.

[10] Garland C, Barrett-Connor E, Suarez L, Criqui MH. Isolated systolic hypertension and mortality after age 60 years: a prospective population-based study. Am J Epidemiol 1983;118(3):365–76.

[11] Gregg EW, Cheng YJ, Narayan KV, Thompson TJ, Williamson DF. The relative contributions of different levels of overweight and obesity to the increased prevalence of diabetes in the United States: 1976–2004. Prev Med 2007;45(5):348–52.

[12] Guo F, He D, Zhang W, Walton RG. Trends in prevalence, awareness, management, and control of hypertension among United States adults, 1999 to 2010. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60(7):599–606.

[13] Hales CM, Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Freedman DS, Ogden CL. Trends in obesity and severe obesity prevalence in US youth and adults by sex and age, 2007-2008 to 2015-2016. JAMA 2018;319(16):1723–5. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.3060.

[14] Hall JE. The kidney, hypertension, and obesity. hypertension 2003;41(3):625–33.

[15] Hall JE, Brands MW, Dixon WN, Smith MJ. Obesity-induced hypertension. Renal function and systemic hemodynamics. Hypertension 1993;22(3):292–9.

[16] Hall JE, Crook ED, Jones DW, Wofford MR, Dubbert PM. Mechanisms of obesity-associated cardiovascular and renal disease. Am J Med Sci 2002;324(3):127–37.

[17] Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH, Landa M, Maschio G, de Jong PE, et al. Progression of chronic kidney disease: the role of blood pressure control, proteinuria, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition: a patient-level meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2003;139(4):244–52.

[18] Kannel WB, Wolf PA, McGee D, Dawber T, McNamara P, Castelli W. Systolic blood pressure, arterial rigidity, and risk of stroke. Jama 1981;245(12):1225–9.

[19] Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D, Wilson PW, Benjamin EJ, Larson MG, et al. Obesity and the risk of heart failure. N Engl J Med 2002;347(5):305–13.

[20] Krauss RM, Winston M, Fletcher BJ, Grundy SM. Obesity: impact on cardiovascular disease. Circulation 1998;98(14):1472–6.

[21] Kurukulasuriya LR, Stas S, Lastra G, Manrique C, Sowers JR. Hypertension in obesity. Med Clin 2011;95(5):903–17.

[22] Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53(21):1925–32.

[23] Leibson CL, Williamson DF, Melton LJ, Palumbo PJ, Smith SA, Ransom JE, et al. Temporal trends in BMI among adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2001;24(9):1584–9.

[24] Mark AL, Correia M, Morgan DA, Shaffer RA, Haynes WG. Obesity-induced hypertension: new concepts from the emerging biology of obesity. hypertension 1999;33(1):537–41.

[25] Masuo K, Mikami H, Ogihara T, Tuck ML. Weight gain–induced blood pressure elevation. hypertension 2000;35(5):1135–40.

[26] Muntner P, Carey RM, Gidding S, Jones DW, Taler SJ, Wright Jr. JT, et al. Potential US population impact of the 2017 ACC/AHA high blood pressure guideline. Circulation 2018;137(2):109–18.

[27] Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Flegal KM. Prevalence of Obesity among Adults and Youth: United States, 2011–2014. US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2015.

[28] Ostchega Y, Prineas RJ, Paulose-Ram R, Grim CM, Willard G, Collins D. National Health and nutrition examination survey 1999–2000: effect of observer training and protocol standardization on reducing blood pressure measurement error. J Clin Epidemiol 2003;56(8):768–74.

[29] Parker ED, Sinaiko AR, Kharbanda EO, Margolis KL, Daley MF, Trower NK, et al. Change in weight status and development of hypertension. vol. 137. 2016. p. e20151662. 3.

[30] Phillips BG, Hisel TM, Kato M, Pesek CA, Dyken ME, Narkiewicz K, et al. Recent weight gain in patients with newly diagnosed obstructive sleep apnea. J Hypertens 1999;17(9):1297–300.

[31] Rao D. Trends in hypertension. Indian Heart J 2012;64(2):132–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-4832(12)60046-7.

[32] Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet 2008;371(9612):569–78.

[33] Someya Y, Tamura Y, Kohmura Y, Aoki K, Kawai S, Daida HJPO. Slightly increased BMI at Young Age is a Risk Factor for Future Hypertension in Japanese Men. 13. 2018. p. e0191170. 1.

[34] Yoon SS, Fryar CD, Carroll MD. Hypertension Prevalence and Control among Adults: United States, 2011–2014. US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics; 2015.