



# Global Health and Epilepsy: Update and Future Directions

Mary C. Spiciarich<sup>1</sup> · Jane R. von Gaudecker<sup>2</sup> · Laura Jurasek<sup>3</sup> · Dave F. Clarke<sup>4</sup> · Jorge Burneo<sup>5</sup> · Jorge Vidaurre<sup>6</sup>

Published online: 1 May 2019

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

## Abstract

**Purpose of Review** Efforts to improve epilepsy care outcomes in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are occurring through global health work. Despite an increase in these efforts, several barriers exist and a significant epilepsy treatment gap remains. This paper will review barriers to epilepsy care in LMICs and summarize recent published and unpublished data about global health projects which aimed to improve epilepsy care in these regions, focusing on work in the past 5 years.

**Recent Findings** There are multiple recent and ongoing projects including clinical, research, education, and advocacy programs.

**Summary** We conclude that collaborative efforts are necessary in order to develop long-term and sustainable projects. The creation of a database and a formal method of communication between stakeholders can contribute to improving the efficiency and impact of global health efforts in epilepsy.

**Keywords** Global health · Epilepsy · Disparity

## Introduction

Epilepsy is one of the most common neurological diseases worldwide. Approximately 80% of the world's 70 million patients with epilepsy reside in resource-poor settings. The disease can affect people of any age, gender, race, or class. Approximately 500 million people are affected by epilepsy, including family members and caregivers of patients with epilepsy. Globally, epilepsy ranks 20th as a cause of years lived

with disability (YLDs) [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has long recognized epilepsy as a public health concern, due to the physical and psychological consequences of the disorder. This includes an increased risk of premature death, loss of work productivity, and increased healthcare needs and expenditure [2–5].

The purpose of this review paper is to summarize the current state of global health research, education, clinical, and advocacy efforts in epilepsy, focusing on work within the past 5 years (2013–2018) after giving a brief background on the challenges low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) face in caring for people with epilepsy (PWE). Future directions will also be suggested, including ILAE Global Health Task Force investigations and projects.

---

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Epilepsy*

---

✉ Mary C. Spiciarich  
mcs2287@cumc.columbia.edu

Jane R. von Gaudecker  
jvongaud@iu.edu

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurology, Division of Child Neurology, New York-Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons, 180 Fort Washington Avenue, New York, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup> Indiana University School of Nursing, Indianapolis, IN, USA

<sup>3</sup> Stollery Children's Hospital and the University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

<sup>5</sup> Western University, London, Ontario, Canada

<sup>6</sup> Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, OH, USA

## Methods

### Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

Articles dating from January 2013 to December 2018 were identified through searches of CINAHL, EMBASE, PubMed, Scopus, Global Health (via CAB Direct), and Proquest Public Health with the following terms: “(Global Health)” AND “(Epilepsy OR Seizure\*).” We identified a total of 2396 articles. The CINAHL search identified 26; EMBASE, 1203; Global Health via CABI, 25; SCOPUS, 447; and PubMed 695 articles. We removed 1450 duplicates

and 946 studies were screened. Our search aimed to identify studies published in English that reported epilepsy-related global health activities. We excluded studies which were not conducted in low- and middle-income countries, and studies in which epilepsy was not the main focus. No restrictions were made with respect to study design or patient selection. We included 182 original papers for this review.

## Etiologies

In LMICs, epilepsy has a variety of etiologies which often differ from the causes seen in higher-income countries [6]. The most commonly reported known etiologies are obstetrical complications and perinatal conditions, infections such as neurocysticercosis [7–10, 11•], onchocerciasis [12–16] malaria, and HIV/AIDS [17–19] as well as traumatic brain injuries [20, 21•, 22]. Additionally, other rare and poorly understood epilepsy syndromes such as nodding syndrome and nakalanga syndrome have been reported in a few regions such as Uganda/South Sudan and Tanzania [23, 24].

## Epidemiology

People with epilepsy have a two to three times increase in mortality compared to the general population. Causes of death could be directly related to epilepsy, including sudden unexpected death in epilepsy patients (SUDEP) and status epilepticus [25, 26], as well as those indirectly related to seizures, including different types of trauma and suicide. According to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) Study 2016, the age-standardized death rates of epilepsy declined between 2006 and 2016 by 14.2% (95% UI 6.9 to 19.2), and age-standardized years lost to life (YLL) due to epilepsy reduced by 16.9% (95% UI 8.1 to 23.1) [27•]. The GBD study reports the prevalence and incidence in thousands to be 23,962 and 2761 respectively, and the age-standardized prevalence of epilepsy-related impairment has declined by 0.6% (95% UI 7.0 to 7.7) [28•].

A study conducted in Egypt reported the lifetime prevalence of epilepsy to be 6.9/1000, prevalence of active epilepsy as 5.1/1000 people, with bimodal peaks in adolescents and the elderly. The treatment gap was reported to be 66.7% [29]. The estimated prevalence of epilepsy in northern India (rural and urban) was reported to be 1.1/1000 population, and 71% of cases belonged to low socio-economic background [30].

Epidemiological studies have explored the association between infectious diseases including onchocerciasis, neurocysticercosis, and epilepsy. A population-based study conducted in Peru reported that 36.9% of residents were found seropositive for neurocysticercosis and 18.8% had brain

calcifications on CT scan which could provoke seizures [31]. A study done in Tanzania reported that the overall incidence of epilepsy was 111 cases per 100,000 population, and that of onchocerciasis-associated epilepsy was 131 [32]. A cross-sectional study conducted in onchocerciasis endemic rural Congo reported prevalence of active epilepsy to be 4.6%; Colebunder (2017) reported that the prevalence and incidence of onchocerciasis is influenced by environmental factors, such as vegetation near fast flowing river, climatic factors such as rainfall, vector-related factors, human behavior (frequent contact with the river), and size of population at risk [13].

Some studies conducted in LMIC evaluated specific population features. A multi-site study done to determine convulsive status epilepticus among people with active convulsive epilepsy in South Africa, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, and Ghana reported a prevalence of 2.3 per 1000 population ( $p < 0.0001$ ) [33]. The investigators also explored the prevalence and pattern of electroencephalographic features of epilepsy and the associated factors in the above-mentioned five countries. They reported that 53% of patients had an abnormal EEG with an adjusted prevalence of 2.7 per 1000 population (95% confidence interval 2.5–2.9). The frequency and pattern was site dependent (highest in Uganda) and increasing steadily with age and declining after 28 years of age [33].

## Disparities

LMIC face many challenges in caring for people with epilepsy (PWE). Obstacles exist within the healthcare systems themselves, but also stem from the patient, family, and community perceptions of seizures and epilepsy. As a result, there is often a large treatment gap which in some LMIC approaches 100% [20, 21•, 22, 34, 35•].

One significant problem is the lack of knowledge and understanding about the etiology of epilepsy, features of the condition, and prognosis. Numerous studies have shown that in many LMIC, epilepsy is often perceived as a form of mental illness, anxiety, or thought to be caused by evil spirits, and that it may occur as a punishment for wrongdoings [36–38]. These beliefs occur even in educated community members such as school teachers [37, 39]. Due to these beliefs, PWE and their families often do not seek any treatment or receive care from traditional healers [40–43]. Furthermore, epilepsy is thought by many to be contagious which results in social isolation and poorer quality of life for PWE [44]. Many PWE are also unable to marry or obtain employment because of the stigma attached to their condition and as a result, they suffer discrimination and isolation which leads to further disability [20, 45].

Another barrier to care is the scarceness of resources and difficulty accessing care in these regions. Lack of diagnostic testing makes it difficult to diagnose the etiology of a patient's

epilepsy which prevents targeted treatment from being delivered. Many LMIC do not have access to newer-generation AEDs which are often better tolerated, and at times even older generation AEDs are scarce or counterfeit [46–49]. PWE in rural or remote areas may live a great distance from medical centers, making it difficult for them to access care and furthermore even patients who are able to reach medical centers are often treated by healthcare workers with a low level of knowledge of epilepsy [41, 50]. It is estimated that about 23,000 neurosurgeons (approximately 11,300 in South East Asia and 8400 in Africa) are needed in low- and middle-income countries to address the demand in neurosurgical care, and often there are few or no neurologists and neurosurgeons in these regions [51–53].

## Activities/Interventions

### Clinical/Research

Clinical guidelines and program development, including different models of clinical care, have been implemented in order to reduce the existent treatment gap [54]. Documented research addressing these models reveal lack of conformity and paucity of successful programs. A very simplistic model aims to ensure that patients receive “the right care, at the right time, by the right team and in the right place” [55].

The vast majority of programs target epilepsy as a specific disorder; however, others consider epilepsy as one of a wider group of non-communicable diseases or a mental health disease [56–63]. Few initiatives have a more global approach of identifying deficits in care or outlining practice guidelines, for implementation of surgical, medical, or dietary programs (i.e., [64, 65, 66]). Epidemiological research aimed at identifying epilepsy risk in specific patient populations (i.e., [67, 68]).

Epidemiological research and clinical interventions directed at novel disease-causing pathogens (with higher prevalence in LMIC) are essential. Higher-income countries do not have knowledge or experience in dealing with these entities.

Unique but easily implemented ideas could provide “real-life” research and clinical inter-country road maps. Door-to-door surveys in Bolivia [69] or the methodology of testing small pig farmers in Nigeria [9] could easily be translated to other LMIC. Concepts used in the Lifeline Express mobile clinic in India could address access to care in many regions of the world [70]. The use of plants in Peru to treat epilepsy could be an example of how to fuse traditional and modern medicine in resource-poor regions [43]. Working out the details of how to work collaboratively with traditional healers in South Africa may foster similar collaborations in South, Central America, the Caribbean, and many regions of the world [71].

### Education

Educating local healthcare providers is essential to changing the current view and introduce the concept of epilepsy as a “treatable condition without stigma” [20, 72]. Educational programs to raise awareness would improve access to care and quality of care delivered, and could lower mortality rates in this population [73].

Community-based programs have proven to be effective in improving knowledge and reducing the treatment gap in patients with epilepsy living in areas with no access to neurological care. These measures help to minimize the stigma associated with epilepsy [74]. A study conducted in rural Bolivia demonstrated that continuous educational activities targeting “non-specialists health care workers” in rural communities are extremely effective in increasing knowledge about epilepsy in the participants, minimizing stigma, and reducing treatment gap [75]. The beyond epilepsy-awareness, education, and advocacy program developed in collaboration with the Tanzania National Nurses Association and a Canadian Nurse Practitioner was implemented successfully in rural Tanzania. Frontline healthcare providers, the majority of whom were nurses, participated in the 5-day education and advocacy initiative covering diagnosis, treatment (using locally available medications), stigma reduction, and action planning which resulted in creation of local epilepsy groups, simple health messaging for radio, education of other healthcare providers, and teachers with subsequent inclusion in schools in one region that previously excluded children with epilepsy. This program demonstrated the broad impact for frontline providers and their role as change agents; this was replicated in Belize and could likely be implemented in many LMICs.

Programs designed to train healthcare workers and primary care physicians are effective in decreasing the burden of many endemic infections which may cause epilepsy. Such is the case of onchocerciasis-associated epilepsy. Onchocerciasis is transmitted by the black fly and affects 37 million people of whom 99% live in Africa [23, 76]. Education to prevent this disorder should include the affected population, in order to minimize misconceptions and stigma [14].

The inappropriate use of available AEDs is another problem in poor-resource areas with no access to subspecialized neurological care. Training of physicians, nurses, community workers, or even traditional healers is needed to reduce this management gap [77]. This training can be provided locally or in academic centers with adequate expertise [78].

Educational programs launched by national neurosurgical societies are important, but restricted local resources may limit them. Collaborations to improve neurosurgical training in LMIC are ongoing and they are producing a positive impact. These include training of neurosurgeons from countries lacking adequate training centers in neighboring regions [79]. There are collaborative efforts between neurosurgical

academic centers in the USA and sub-Saharan Africa, South East Asia Latin America. Advocacy programs from the World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies are raising awareness about the unmet neurosurgical care, as an important global health problem. These programs help to facilitate collaborative efforts [52].

Individual epilepsy and neurological societies have limited budgets for international outreach programs. Multi-society collaboration is then crucial to create high-impact and sustainable educational programs. One such example is the collaboration between the Child Neurology Society and International Child Neurology Association. With the support of local leaders, the societies organized multiple educational symposiums and training workshops in different regions in Africa, the Caribbean, Asia, and Latin America [66•]. These workshops included EEG training, development of ketogenic diet programs, and improvement of infrastructure. The World Health Assembly's resolution on Epilepsy is another example of multi-society collaboration. The resolution consisted of a large-scale global program targeting epilepsy education with implementation in multiple countries [80••].

### Advocacy

Advocacy at many levels is essential to increase awareness and drive change to improve the lives of PWE in LMICs. Obtaining epidemiological data about incidence and prevalence of epilepsy in each country is important to create strategies to reduce the treatment gap and evaluate the cost of medical therapy [81].

The global campaign against epilepsy's mission is to improve acceptability, services, treatment, and prevention of epilepsy worldwide. The campaign has been a collaborative effort between the International League against Epilepsy, the International Bureau of Epilepsy, and World Health Organization with local governments and societies in multiple countries. This has been achieved by raising political and public awareness and promoting education, training services, and treatment [82••]. The campaign identified multiple gaps that needed to be addressed. These included knowledge gap, advocacy gap, and public education gap. This global effort has been effective and efforts still continue, with demonstration projects in multiple regions. The resolution has proven to be a powerful tool to engage governments into the creation of concrete action plans directed to improve the care of patients with epilepsy, and it is probably one of the major examples of the impact of advocacy programs at a global level [80••].

Advocacy programs are important in raising awareness at a community level as well. Patients with epilepsy have difficulties accessing education, finding jobs, or getting married. These programs must include local governments, schoolteachers, social workers, and non-governmental agencies in order to be successful. One example of a successful advocacy

intervention is the “global campaign against epilepsy demonstration project” in China. This was a very successful program with 167,400 patients screened for epilepsy and diagnosis confirmed. After starting treatment (mainly with phenobarbital), 2/3 of patients were seizure free or had seizures reduced by 50% [83].

Children with epilepsy constitute a vulnerable population and face unique challenges because of stigma. The resolution on the global burden of epilepsy was approved in May 2015 by the World Health Assembly. This is an important instrument to improve epilepsy care for children around the world.

Epilepsy has been considered in many countries as part of mental health disorders and included in large-scale advocacy programs. For example, the WHO one health tool, based in campaigns of “global mental health,” was used in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia. This appears to be a useful instrument to create patient-centered programs to promote general wellbeing [84], but epilepsy-specific interventions are needed to dispel myths and improve care. Implementing a global health delivery (GHD) framework can help to improve epilepsy care in low-income countries by focusing on prevention, screening diagnosis, patient–community education, and therapy. This model can reduce the treatment gap in rural areas, improving outcomes and efficiency, reducing disease burden, and increasing economic productivity [85].

The funding for international advocacy and educational programs is limited. Societies can collaborate with short-term training, symposia, and collaborative research, but a global fund for epilepsy is necessary to create a meaningful response to treatment gaps in poor-resource countries [86].

### Conclusions and Future Directions

Although many successful programs to close the treatment gap for patients with epilepsy have been implemented in LMIC, there is still more work to be done. Program design and planning should focus on the local needs of the specific regions. These models should be sustainable. Collaboration between local and international societies is essential to create efficient, long-term projects that can benefit patients living in poor-resource areas. By improving communication between the different stakeholders, ideas and resources can be shared to design high-impact programs.

The creation of databases of ongoing global epilepsy projects can facilitate project planning and distribution of resources. Databases should be widely accessible, web-based, and frequently updated. The ILAE Global Health Task Force is piloting a central database for 2019 in order to accelerate its mission of improving epilepsy care worldwide.

## Compliance with Ethical Standards

**Conflict of Interest** Mary C. Spiciarich, Jane R. von Gaudecker, Laura Jurasek, Dave F. Clarke, Jorge Burneo, and Jorge Vidaurre each declare no potential conflicts of interest.

**Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent** This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

## References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Megiddo I, Colson A, Chisholm D, Dua T, Nandi A, Laxminarayan R. Health and economic benefits of public financing of epilepsy treatment in India: an agent-based simulation model. *Epilepsia*. 2016;57:464–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13294>.
2. Thurman DJ, Logroscino G, Beghi E, Hauser WA, Hesdorffer DC, Newton CR, et al. The burden of premature mortality of epilepsy in high-income countries: a systematic review from the Mortality Task Force of the International League Against Epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2017;58(1):17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13604>.
3. Kutlu A, Mülayim S. Psychiatric Comorbidities and Quality of Life in Epilepsy. In: Kalinin VV, editor. *Epileptology-The Modern State of Science*. London, United Kingdom: IntechOpen; 2016.
4. Kobau R, Dilorio C, Thompson NJ, Bamps YA, St Louis EK. Epilepsy, comorbidities, and consequences: implications for understanding and combating stigma. Epilepsy and the interictal state: co-morbidities and quality of life Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 2015:15–25.
5. Jeong S. Direct and indirect cost of epilepsy: Latest estimates from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. Houston: The University of Texas School of Public Health. 2016.
6. Ba-Diop A, Marin B, Druet-Cabanac M, Ngoungou EB, Newton CR, Preux P-M. Epidemiology, causes, and treatment of epilepsy in sub-Saharan Africa. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2014;13(10):1029–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(14\)70114-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70114-0).
7. Debaq G, Moyano LM, Garcia HH, Boumediene F, Marin B, Ngoungou EB, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis estimating association of cysticercosis and neurocysticercosis with epilepsy. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017;11(3):e0005153. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005153>.
8. Amudhan S, Gururaj G, Satishchandra P. Epilepsy in India I: epidemiology and public health. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol*. 2015;18(3):263–77. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-2327.160093>.
9. Edia-Asuke AU, Inabo HI, Mukaratirwa S, Umoh VJ, Whong CM, Asuke S, et al. Seroprevalence of human cysticercosis and its associated risk factors among humans in areas of Kaduna metropolis. *Nigeria J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2015;9(8):799–805. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.5415>.
10. Del Brutto OH, Garcia HH. Taenia solium cysticercosis—the lessons of history. *J Neurol Sci*. 2015;359(1–2):392–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2015.08.011>.
11. Del Brutto OH, Arroyo G, Del Brutto VJ, Zambrano M, Garcia HH. On the relationship between calcified neurocysticercosis and epilepsy in an endemic village: A large-scale, computed tomography-based population study in rural Ecuador. *Epilepsia*. 2017;58(11):1955–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13892>. **A large community study in Ecuador identifying a high prevalence of neurocysticercosis among those with epilepsy. The study demonstrates the relationship between NCC and epilepsy.**
12. Colebunders R, Mandro M, Mokili JL, Mucinya G, Mbandu G, Pfarr K, et al. Risk factors for epilepsy in Bas-Uele Province, Democratic Republic of the Congo: a case-control study. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2016;49:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.05.018>.
13. Colebunders R, Njamnshi AK, van Oijen M, Mukendi D, Kashama JM, Mandro M, et al. Onchocerciasis-associated epilepsy: from recent epidemiological and clinical findings to policy implications. *Epilepsia Open*. 2017;2(2):145–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.12054>.
14. Colebunders R, Nelson Siewe FJ, Hotterbeekx A. Onchocerciasis-associated epilepsy, an additional reason for strengthening onchocerciasis elimination programs. *Trends Parasitol*. 2018;34(3):208–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.009>.
15. Lenaerts E, Mandro M, Mukendi D, Suykerbuyk P, Dolo H, Wonya-Rossi D, et al. High prevalence of epilepsy in onchocerciasis endemic health areas in Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2018;7(1):68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-018-0452-1>.
16. Vinkeles Melchers NVS, Mollenkopf S, Colebunders R, Edlinger M, Coffeng LE, Irani J, et al. Burden of onchocerciasis-associated epilepsy: first estimates and research priorities. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2018;7(1):101. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-018-0481-9>.
17. Siddiqi OK, Elafros MA, Bositis CM, Koranik IJ, Theodore WH, Okulicz JF, et al. New-onset seizure in HIV-infected adult Zambians: a search for causes and consequences. *Neurology*. 2017;88(5):477–82. <https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000003538>.
18. Siddiqi OK, Elafros MA, Sikazwe I, Birbeck GL, Kalungwana L, Potchen MJ, et al. Acute EEG findings in HIV-infected Zambian adults with new-onset seizure. *Neurology*. 2015;84(13):1317–22. <https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000001411>.
19. Sikazwe I, Elafros MA, Bositis CM, Siddiqi OK, Koranik IJ, Kalungwana L, et al. HIV and new onset seizures: slipping through the cracks in HIV care and treatment. *HIV Med*. 2016;17(2):118–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12283>.
20. Espinosa-Jovel C, Toledano R, Aledo-Serrano A, Garcia-Morales I, Gil-Nagel A. Epidemiological profile of epilepsy in low income populations. *Seizure*. 2018;56:67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2018.02.002>.
21. Meyer A-C, Dua T, Ma J, Saxena S, Birbeck G. Global disparities in the epilepsy treatment gap: A systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2010;88:260–6. **This paper reviews the epilepsy treatment gap in low- and middle-income countries and suggests further investigation into the reasons for this gap is needed.**
22. Kale R. The treatment gap. *Epilepsia*. 2002;43(s6):31–3. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1528-1157.43.s.6.13.x>.
23. Foger K, Gora-Stahlberg G, Sejvar J, Ovuga E, Jilek-Aall L, Schmutzhard E, et al. Nakalanga syndrome: clinical characteristics, potential causes, and its relationship with recently described nodding syndrome. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017;11(2):e0005201. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005201>.
24. Dowell SF, Sejvar JJ, Riek L, Vandemaale KAH, Lamunu M, Kuesel AC, et al. Nodding syndrome. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2013;19(9):1374–84. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1909.130401>.
25. Lhatoo SD, Sander JW. Cause-specific mortality in epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2005;46(s11):36–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2005.00406.x>.
26. Nevalainen O, Ansakorpi H, Simola M, Raitanen J, Isojärvi J, Artama M, et al. Epilepsy-related clinical characteristics and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurology*. 2014;83(21):1968–77.

27. Naghavi M, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, et al. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*. 2017;390(10100):1151–210. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32152-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32152-9). **This article reports the findings of the Global Burden of Disease 2016 Study (GBD 2016) and provides a comprehensive assessment of cause-specific mortality for 264 causes in 195 locations from 1980 to 2016.**
28. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abate KH, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*. 2017;390(10100):1211–59. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32154-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2). **This article reports the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2016 (GBD 2016) that provides a comprehensive assessment of prevalence, incidence, and years lived with disability (YLDs) for 328 causes in 195 countries and territories from 1990 to 2016.**
29. Hashem S, Al-Kattan M, Ibrahim SY, Shalaby NM, Shamloul RM, Farrag M. Epilepsy prevalence in Al-Manial Island, Egypt. A door-to-door survey. *Epilepsy Res*. 2015;117:133–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2015.08.003>.
30. Panagariya A, Sharma B, Dubey P, Satija V, Rathore M. Prevalence, demographic profile, and psychological aspects of epilepsy in North-Western India: a community-based observational study. *Ann Neurosci*. 2018;177–86. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000487072>.
31. Moyano LM, Saito M, Montano SM, Gonzalez G, Olaya S, Ayvar V, et al. Neurocysticercosis as a cause of epilepsy and seizures in two community-based studies in a cysticercosis-endemic region in Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014;8(2):e2692. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002692>. **An epidemiological study indentifying a high prevalence of epilepsy in an endemic rural community in Peru, which also found how neurocysticercosis is an important cause of it (very important).**
32. Mmbando BP, Suykerbuyk P, Mnacho M, Kakorozya A, Matuja W, Hendy A, et al. High prevalence of epilepsy in two rural onchocerciasis endemic villages in the Mahenge area, Tanzania, after 20 years of community directed treatment with ivermectin. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2018;7(1):64. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-018-0450-3>.
33. Kariuki SM, Kakooza-Mwesige A, Wagner RG, Chengo E, White S, Kamuyu G, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with convulsive status epilepticus in Africans with epilepsy. *Neurology*. 2015;84(18):1838–45. <https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000001542>.
34. Nwani PO, Nwosu MC, Enwereji KO, Asomugha AL, Arinzechi EO, Ogunniyi AO. Epilepsy treatment gap: Prevalence and associated factors in Southeast Nigeria. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2013;128(2):83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12096>.
35. Meinardi H, Scott RA, Reis R, On behalf of the Ilae commission on the developing world JWASS. The treatment gap in epilepsy: the current situation and ways forward. 2001;42(1):136–49. Doi:doi: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1528-1157.2001.32800.x>. **This paper highlights the treatment gap in epilepsy and proposes ways to close it.**
36. Al-Eryani B, Saied KG, Sharaf Aladdin R, Al-Sobaihi S, Lutf W, Al-Taiar A. Knowledge of, attitudes toward, and perceptions of epilepsy among university students in Yemen. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2015;52(Pt A):102–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2015.08.011>.
37. Elhassan MA, Alemairy AA, Amara ZM, Hamadelneel AA, Mohamed AH, Elaimer AA. Epilepsy: knowledge, attitude, and practice among secondary school teachers in Khartoum state. *Neurol Ther*. 2017;6(2):225–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40120-017-0083-7>.
38. Tanywe A, Matchawe C, Fernandez R. The experiences of people living with epilepsy in developing countries: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. *JBIS Database System Rev Implement Rep*. 2016;14(5):136–92. <https://doi.org/10.11124/jbisrir-2016-002182>.
39. Gebrewold MA, Enquesselassie F, Teklehaimanot R, Gugssa SA. Ethiopian teachers: Their knowledge, attitude and practice towards epilepsy. *BMC Neurol*. 2016;16(1):167. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-016-0690-4>.
40. Munthali A, Braathen SH, Grut L, Kamaleri Y, Ingstad B. Seeking care for epilepsy and its impacts on households in a rural district in southern Malawi. *Afr J Disabil*. 2013;2(1):54. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajod.v2i1.54>.
41. Mwaka AD, Okello ES, Abbo C, Odwong FO, Olango W, Etulu JW, et al. Is the glass half full or half empty? A qualitative exploration on treatment practices and perceived barriers to biomedical care for patients with nodding syndrome in post-conflict northern Uganda. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8:386. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1323-5>.
42. Mushi D, Hunter E, Mtuya C, Mshana G, Aris E, Walker R. Social-cultural aspects of epilepsy in Kilimanjaro Region, Tanzania: knowledge and experience among patients and carers. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2011;20(2):338–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2010.11.016>.
43. Auditeau E, Moyano LM, Bourdy G, Nizard M, Jost J, Ratsimbazafy V, et al. Herbal medicine uses to treat people with epilepsy: a survey in rural communities of northern Peru. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2018;215:184–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2018.01.003>.
44. Alhazzani AA, Alqahtani AM, Abouelyazid A, Alqahtani AM, Alqahtani NA, Asiri KM, et al. Public awareness, knowledge, and attitudes toward epilepsy in the Aseer region, Saudi Arabia - a community-based cross-sectional study. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2016;63:63–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.07.041>.
45. Lim K-S, Tan C-T. Epilepsy stigma in Asia: The meaning and impact of stigma. *Neurol Asia*. 2014;19(1):1–10.
46. Bhalla D. Availability and sufficiency of phenobarbital, an essential medication, in Bhutan: a survey of global and neuropsychiatric relevance. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018;11(1):549. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3617-x>.
47. Otte WM, van Diessen E, van Eijsden P, van der Maas F, Patsalos PN, Newton PN, et al. Counterfeit antiepileptic drugs threaten community services in Guinea-Bissau and Nigeria. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14(11):1075–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422\(15\)00255-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(15)00255-0).
48. Chomba EN, Haworth A, Mbewe E, Atadzhanov M, Ndubani P, Kansembe H, et al. The Current Availability of Antiepileptic Drugs in Zambia: Implications for the ILAE/WHO “Out of the Shadows” Campaign. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2010;83(3):571–4. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0100>.
49. Krauss G, Sandy S, Corbin DOC, Bird-Compton J, Jack F, Nelson B, et al. Epilepsy care in the southern Caribbean. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2015;51:267–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2015.07.011>.
50. Harimanana A, Chivorakul P, Souvong V, Preux PM, Barennes H. Is insufficient knowledge of epilepsy the reason for low levels of healthcare in the Lao PDR? *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2013;13:41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-41>.
51. Ogunbo B, Finkel MF, Ogun Y. Global perspectives. *Neurology*. 2013;81(24):2134–5. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000437293.13194.12>.
52. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Fiegggen G, Arraez MA, Servadei F, Boop FA, et al. Global neurosurgery: the current capacity and deficit in the provision of essential neurosurgical care. Executive summary of the global neurosurgery initiative at the program in global surgery and social change. *J Neurosurg*. 2018;1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2017.11.jns171500>.

53. Dua T, De Boer HM, Prilipko LL, Saxena S. Epilepsy Care in the World: Results of an ILAE/IBE/WHO Global Campaign Against Epilepsy Survey. *Epilepsia*. 2006;47(7):1225–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2006.00595.x>.
54. Sauro KM, Wiebe S, Perucca E, French J, Dunkley C, de Marinis A, et al. Developing clinical practice guidelines for epilepsy: A report from the ILAE Epilepsy Guidelines Working Group. *Epilepsia*. 2015;56(12):1859–69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13217>. **This easily understood, yet detailed, handbook done by an ILAE working group, represents one of the most comprehensive reviews of clinical practice guidelines specific to epilepsy. It gives practical suggestions and solutions towards providing and improving care for people with epilepsy.**
55. Innovation NAFc. Understanding the process to develop a model of care: an ACI framework. 2013. [https://www.aci.health.nsw.gov.au/\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0009/181935/HS13-034\\_Framework-DevelopMoC\\_D7.pdf](https://www.aci.health.nsw.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0009/181935/HS13-034_Framework-DevelopMoC_D7.pdf). 2019.
56. Wagenaar BH, Cumbe V, Raunig-Berho M, Rao D, Napua M, Hughes JP, et al. Health facility determinants and trends of ICD-10 outpatient psychiatric consultations across Sofala, Mozambique: time-series analyses from 2012 to 2014. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15:227. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0609-4>.
57. Lalkhen H, Mash R. Multimorbidity in non-communicable diseases in South African primary healthcare. *S Afr Med J*. 2015;105(2):134–8. <https://doi.org/10.7196/samj.8696>.
58. Johansson KA, Strand KB, Fekadu A, Chisholm D. Health gains and financial protection provided by the Ethiopian mental health strategy: an extended cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Policy Plan*. 2017;32(3):376–83. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czw134>.
59. Strand KB, Chisholm D, Fekadu A, Johansson KA. Scaling-up essential neuropsychiatric services in Ethiopia: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Policy Plan*. 2016;31(4):504–13. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czw093>.
60. Bitta MA, Kariuki SM, Chengo E, Newton C. An overview of mental health care system in Kilifi, Kenya: results from an initial assessment using the World Health Organization's Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2017;11:28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-017-0135-5>.
61. Smith SL, Misago CN, Osrow RA, Franke MF, Iyamuremye JD, Dusabeyezu JD, et al. Evaluating process and clinical outcomes of a primary care mental health integration project in rural Rwanda: a prospective mixed-methods protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(2):e014067. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014067>.
62. Vyas MV, Wong A, Yang JM, Thistle P, Lee L. The spectrum of neurological presentations in an outpatient clinic of rural Zimbabwe. *J Neurol Sci*. 2016;362:263–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2016.01.065>.
63. Allotey J, Aroyo-Manzano D, Lopez P, Viale L, Zamora J, Thangaratinam S. Global variation in pregnancy complications in women with epilepsy: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;215:12–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.05.016>.
64. Kossoff EH, Al-Macki N, Cervenka MC, Kim HD, Liao J, Megaw K, et al. What are the minimum requirements for ketogenic diet services in resource-limited regions? Recommendations from the International League Against Epilepsy Task Force for Dietary Therapy. *Epilepsia*. 2015;56(9):1337–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13039>.
65. Wilmschurst JM, Guekht A, Secco M, Helen Cross J, Perucca E. Advocacy for children with epilepsy: leveraging the WHA resolution. Advocacy Task Force, Commission of Pediatrics, International League Against Epilepsy. *Epilepsia Open*. 2018;3(2):167–74. <https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.12220>. **This paper describes the importance of advocacy for children with epilepsy, worldwide.**
66. Vidaurre J, Clarke D, Kija E, Chugani H, Moshe SL. The role of national and international neurology societies in global health. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2018;81:3–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2018.01.008>. **This paper highlights the importance of collaborative efforts and the important role of national and International societies in global health epilepsy work.**
67. Valdes Angues R, Suits A, Palmer VS, Okot C, Okot RA, Atonywalo C, et al. A real-time medical cartography of epidemic disease (Nodding syndrome) using village-based lay mHealth reporters. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2018;12(6):e0006588. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006588>.
68. Trinka E, Kwan P, Lee B, Dash A. Epilepsy in Asia: disease burden, management barriers, and challenges. *Epilepsia*. 2018;60:7–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.14458>.
69. Bruno E, Quattrocchi G, Crespo Gomes EB, Sofia V, Padilla S, Camargo M, et al. Prevalence and incidence of epilepsy associated with convulsive seizures in rural Bolivia. A global campaign against epilepsy project. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0139108. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139108>.
70. Singh M. Epilepsy care by rail. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol*. 2010;13(3):234. **This paper highlights a novel approach towards addressing country-specific access to adequate epilepsy care. It is a key example of the need to “think outside the box,” and variations of this idea may contribute towards reducing access disparities in other countries.**
71. Keikelame MJ, Swartz L. ‘A thing full of stories’: Traditional healers’ explanations of epilepsy and perspectives on collaboration with biomedical health care in Cape Town. *Transcult Psychiatr*. 2015;52(5):659–80. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461515571626>.
72. Carrizosa J, Braga P, Albuquerque M, Bogacz A, Burneo J, Coan AC, et al. Epilepsy for primary health care: a cost-effective Latin American E-learning initiative. *Epileptic Disord*. 2018;20(5):386–95. <https://doi.org/10.1684/epd.2018.0997>.
73. Charlson FJ, Baxter AJ, Dua T, Degenhardt L, Whiteford HA, Vos T, et al. Excess mortality from mental, neurological, and substance use disorders in the global burden of disease study 2010; 2016. [https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0426-7\\_ch3](https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0426-7_ch3).
74. van Diessen E, van der Maas F, Cabral V, Otte WM. Community-based rehabilitation offers cost-effective epilepsy treatment in rural Guinea-Bissau. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2018;79:23–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.11.009>.
75. Giuliano L, Cicero CE, Padilla S, Camargo M, Sofia V, Zappia M, et al. Knowledge and attitudes towards epilepsy among nonmedical health workers in rural Bolivia: results after a long-term activity in the Chaco region. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2018;85:58–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2018.05.026>.
76. Colebunders R, Suykerbuyk P, Jacob ST, van Oijen M. Nodding syndrome, other forms of epilepsy, and the Nakalanga syndrome most likely directly or indirectly caused by *Onchocerca volvulus*. *J Neurol Sci*. 2017;372:439–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2016.10.008>.
77. McKenzie ED, Nirola DK, Deki S, Tshering L, Patenaude B, Clark SJ, et al. Medication prescribing and patient-reported outcome measures in people with epilepsy in Bhutan. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2016;59:122–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2016.03.035>.
78. Leone M, Sulemane DI, Nardocci N, Bartolo M, Didato G. Long-term educational program to limit the burden of neurological disorders in sub-Saharan Africa: report from an Italy-Mozambique co-operation on epilepsy in children. *Eur J Neurol*. 2018;25(3):e39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.13549>.
79. Steven DA, Vasquez CM, Delgado JC, Zapata-Luyo W, Becerra A, Barreto E, et al. Establishment of epilepsy surgery in Peru. *Neurology*. 2018;91(8):368–70. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000006029>.

- 80.●● Covanis A, Guekht A, Li S, Secco M, Shakir R, Perucca E. From global campaign to global commitment: the World Health Assembly's Resolution on epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2015;56(11):1651–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13192>. **This paper outlines the World Health Assembly's resolution on epilepsy, which urged member states to implement coordinated plans to combat epilepsy.**
81. Litovchenko TA, Dubenko AE, Sukhonosova OY, Voitiuk AA. Dynamics of epidemiological indications of epilepsy prevalence, morbidity and disability among children in Kharkiv region. *Ukraine Wiad Lek*. 2018;71(4):883–7.
- 82.●● Reynolds EH. The ILAE/IBE/WHO global campaign against epilepsy: bringing epilepsy “out of the shadows”. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2000;1(4):S3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ebep.2000.0104>. **This paper reviews the ILAE/IBE/WHO joint effort to improve care for people with epilepsy worldwide. It reviews barriers and important global health work and also highlights the importance of collaborative efforts between international societies.**
83. Li S. Commentary: from global campaign to global commitment. *Epilepsia*. 2015;56(11):1658–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13208>.
84. Eaton J, Ryan G. Making Universal Health Coverage a reality: Bridging the gap between Global Mental Health and practical integration into local health systems. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2017;26(3):245–7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796016001001>.
85. Cochran MF, Berkowitz AL. A global health delivery framework approach to epilepsy care in resource-limited settings. *J Neurol Sci*. 2015;358(1–2):263–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2015.09.007>.
86. Chin JH. The global fund for epilepsy: a proposal. *Neurology*. 2013;80(8):754–5. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828250c5>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.