



LETTER

## Reply to letter to the editor

M. Nickkho-Amiry<sup>1</sup>


Received: 29 October 2018 / Accepted: 16 November 2018 / Published online: 7 December 2018  
 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Dear Dr. Laursen,


We would like to thank you for your letter. We are grateful that you have directed our attention to the error in the axis for Fig. 2 in our recent publication. We have rectified this error and amended the axis accordingly.

### (A) Surgical treatment vs no treatment (10 studies)

#### 1. Live birth rate/cycle




#### 2. Clinical pregnancy/cycle




✉ M. Nickkho-Amiry  
 mamiry@me.com

<sup>1</sup> University Hospital of South Manchester, Southmoor Rd,  
 Wythenshawe, Manchester M23 9LT, UK


3. Pregnancy/cycle




4. Estradiol peak (pg/ml)




5. Total oocytes retrieved/cycle




6. Total no of embryos created/cycle



7. Gonadotrophin ampoules/cycle




8. Gonadotrophin total dose/cycle




(B) Surgical treatment vs aspiration (4 studies)

1. Pregnancy/cycle



2. Clinical pregnancy/cycle



Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest There are no conflicts of interest.