ORIGINAL PAPER

Tremor in the Degenerative Cerebellum: Towards the Understanding of Brain Circuitry for Tremor

Ruo-Yah Lai[1] · Darya Tomishon[1] · Karla P. Figueroa[2] · Stefan M. Pulst[2] · Susan Perlman[3] · George Wilmot[4] ·
Christopher M. Gomez[5] · Jeremy D. Schmahmann[6] · Henry Paulson[7] · Vikram G. Shakkottai[7] · Sarah H. Ying[8] ·
Theresa Zesiewicz[9] · Khalaf Bushara[10] · Michael Geschwind[11] · Guangbin Xia[12] · S. H. Subramony[13] ·
Tetsuo Ashizawa[14] · Sheng-Han Kuo[1]

Abstract

Cerebellar degenerative pathology has been identified in tremor patients; however, how the degenerative pathology could contribute to tremor remains unclear. If the cerebellar degenerative pathology can directly drive tremor, one would hypothesize that tremor is likely to occur in the diseases of cerebellar ataxia and follows the disease progression in such disorders. To further test this hypothesis, we studied the occurrence of tremor in different disease stages of classical cerebellar degenerative disorders: spinocerebellar ataxias (SCAs). We further separately analyzed postural tremor and rest tremor, two forms of tremor that both involve the cerebellum. We also explored tremor in different subtypes of SCAs. We found that 18.1% of SCA patients have tremor. Interestingly, SCA patients with tremor have worse ataxia than those without tremor. When stratifying patients into mild, moderate, and severe disease stages according to the severity of ataxia, moderate and severe SCA patients more commonly have tremor than those with mild ataxia, the effect most prominently observed in postural tremor of SCA3 and SCA6 patients. Finally, tremor can independently contribute to worse functional status in SCA2 patients, even after adjusting for ataxia severity. Tremor is more likely to occur in the severe stage of cerebellar degeneration when compared to mild stages. Our results partially support the cerebellar degenerative model of tremor.

Keywords Tremor · Cerebellum · Neurodegeneration

✉ Sheng-Han Kuo
sk3295@columbia.edu

[1] Department of Neurology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

[2] Department of Neurology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

[3] Department of Neurology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[4] Department of Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

[5] Department of Neurology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

[6] Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[7] Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

[8] Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

[9] Department of Neurology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA

[10] Department of Neurology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

[11] Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[12] Department of Neurology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA

[13] Department of Neurology and McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[14] Houston Methodist Research Institute, Houston, TX, USA

Introduction

Tremor is the most common movement disorder phenomenology [1]; however, how tremor could be generated from the abnormal brain circuitry remains poorly understood, which is a major hurdle for developing effective therapy. Among tremor disorders, essential tremor (ET) is the most common, and many neuroimaging and clinical studies of ET point towards the cerebellum as the key brain region involved in tremor [2–6]. Therefore, there is an extensive search for brain structural changes within the cerebellum which may relate to tremor generation. As a result, several pathological features have been identified in the ET cerebellum, including Purkinje cell (PC) loss [7, 8], PC axonal torpedoes [9, 10], and heterotopic PCs [11], which can also be observed in cerebellar degenerative disorders such as spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 (SCA1) or multiple system atrophy [12]. These observations raise the question of whether ET is a degenerative disorder. Furthermore, whether degeneration of the cerebellum could cause both ataxia and tremor along a spectrum of cerebellar dysfunction still remains unknown.

Spinocerebellar ataxias (SCAs) are a group of genetic disorders that cause cerebellar ataxia [13]. Pathology of SCAs shows extensive PC loss and other associated cerebellar degeneration [14–17]. The most common SCAs are those with pathological CAG repeat expansions, including SCA1, 2, 3, and 6, with defined age of onset that is inversely correlated with the length of the CAG repeat [18]. In addition, the natural history of these SCAs is well-studied, allowing us to track the disease progression and to study the clinical features in different disease stages. Based on these unique features and past studies on SCAs, we choose to use SCAs as model systems of classical cerebellar degenerative disorders to test the hypothesis that cerebellar degeneration can cause tremor. We previously found from the natural history study of SCAs [19] that a subset of ataxia patients have tremor, however the association between cerebellar degeneration and tremor remains unclear. In order to study the relationship between tremor and cerebellar degeneration, we used the severity of ataxia as an approximation for the degree of cerebellar degeneration. If tremor could arise from the degenerating cerebellum, we would expect tremor to occur more often in SCAs with severe ataxia as compared to those with mild ataxia. The knowledge gained will help us to broadly advance our understanding of the cerebellar degeneration in ataxia and tremor.

Methods

Study Subjects

The study participants were genetically confirmed SCA patients, evaluated by ataxia specialists during January 2010 to August 2012 in the 12 medical centers of the Clinical Research Consortium for SCAs (CRC-SCA). The uniform study protocol was approved by the respective local institutional review boards, and all participants signed the consent forms. Our inclusion criteria were (1) the presence of ataxia, (2) definite genetic diagnosis of SCA1, 2, 3, or 6 by the determination of the pathological CAG repeat number, either for the subject or for another affected family member with ataxia, (3) willingness to participate in the study, and (4) age of 6 years or older. Our exclusion criteria were (1) known recessive, X-linked, and mitochondrial ataxias; (2) exclusion of SCA1, 2, 3, and 6 by genetic tests; and (3) concomitant disorders that affect ataxia measurement.

All participants were asked to provide blood samples for CAG repeat determination and associated repeats as previously described [19, 20], and basic demographics were recorded. Clinical interviews and neurological examinations were performed face-to-face with each participant by ataxia specialists, who assessed the presence or absence of postural and rest tremor. Ataxia specialists assessed postural tremor using the related maneuver from the Fahn-Tolosa-Marin tremor rating scale [21]. Tremors in both arms were assessed simultaneously in the neurological examination using two maneuvers, lateral "wing beating" posture and forward horizontal reach posture. Rest tremor was observed in both arms at the rest position, as instructed in the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) [22]. As the majority of SCA patients have intention tremor [19], which could be part of the ataxia symptom and thus likely non-specific, we did not use intention tremor as part of the tremor assessment. The severity of ataxia was measured by the Scale of Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA, 0–40), which measured eight domains of ataxia symptoms with the higher scores indicating more severe ataxia [23]. We measured the participants' functional status using the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale part IV (UHDRS-IV) which ranges from 0 to 25, with higher scores indicating better functional status.

Genetic Analyses

DNA samples from blood of the participants were obtained, and CAG repeat expansions in *ATXN1* (SCA1), *ATXN2* (SCA2), *ATXN3* (SCA3), and *CACNA1A* (SCA6) were determined in Dr. Stefan Pulst's laboratory. Multiplex PCR was used, followed by capillary electrophoresis with internal standards. Re-genotyping and Sanger sequencing were performed to verify the CAG repeat length in 10% of all samples.

Statistical Analysis

We first compared the basic demographics and ataxia severity in SCA patients, regardless of the genotypes, with and without tremor (postural tremor, rest tremor, or both) as the primary analysis since both postural and rest tremor are thought to

involve the cerebellum [7, 8, 24, 25]. We excluded subjects with missing information on postural or rest tremor (4 SCA1, 4 SCA2, 9 SCA3, 4 SCA6) in the CRC-SCA cohort. We further stratified SCA patients into mild, moderate, and severe ataxia based on the SARA scores (mild ≤ 10, moderate > 10 and < 20, severe ≥ 20) and studied the occurrence of tremor in these subgroups. We chose these cutoffs to define the severity of ataxia because these values are associated with the degree of gait dysfunction, which can indicate the milestones for ataxia progression (99.0% of mild ataxia patients can walk independently without support, 69.3% of moderate ataxia patients can at least walk with support of one stick, whereas 90.8% of severe ataxia patients need at least a stroller to walk or are wheelchair-dependent in CRC-SCA cohort).

We further divided SCA patients based on their genotypes (SCA1, 2, 3, or 6) or based on the tremor characteristics (postural tremor or rest tremor) to study in detail the interactions of SCA subtypes and tremor.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 24). For continuous variables, we first tested the normality of the variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For normally distributed variables, we compared between two groups using Student's t test. For non-normally distributed variables, we used Mann-Whitney U test. Chi-square tests were used for non-continuous variables. All tests were conducted at the two-tailed α-level of 0.05.

Results

Among the 315 SCA patients in the CRC-SCA cohort, tremor could be observed in 18.1% of patients. SCA patients with and without tremor did not differ in age of ataxia onset, gender, or age of the assessment (Table 1). Interestingly, SCA patients with tremor have significantly higher SARA scores than those without tremor (18.61 ± 6.81 vs. 14.32 ± 8.21, $p < 0.001$). We further explored the relationship between the severity of ataxia and tremor by dividing SCA patients into mild, moderate, and severe ataxia. We found that only 5.9% of SCA patients in the mild disease stage have tremor, whereas 21.3% and 27.6% of moderate and severe SCA patients, respectively, have tremor ($p < 0.001$), suggesting that tremor can occur more frequently in moderate and severe stages of the diseases (Table 1).

We next investigated the SCA subtypes and tremor. Tremor occurs most commonly in SCA2 (30.9%), followed by SCA6 (22.4%) and SCA3 (14.3%). SCA1 patients have the least tremor (5.6%). We found that SCA2, 3, and 6 patients with tremor had on average 4-point higher SARA scores than those without tremor (SCA2 19.92 ± 7.65 vs. 15.48 ± 6.51, $p = 0.027$; SCA3 18.42 ± 6.85 vs. 14.54 ± 9.00, $p = 0.036$; SCA6 17.38 ± 4.47 vs. 13.59 ± 8.05, $p = 0.023$), while SCA1 patients also have a similar trend but did not reach statistical significance (16.83 ± 11.86 vs. 13.52 ± 8.11, $p = 0.678$)

Table 1 Baseline features of 315 participants grouped by neurological features

	Tremor	No tremor	p value
n (%)	57 (18.1)	258 (81.9)	
Age (years)	54.95 ± 15.06	54.00 ± 13.32	0.486^c
	Median = 59.00	Median = 54.00	
Gender, M/W	32:25	136:122	0.639^a
Age of onset (years)	39.11 ± 13.45	41.91 ± 12.67	0.135^b
SARA score	18.61 ± 6.81	14.32 ± 8.21	0.000^c
	Median = 18.50	Median = 13.00	
Ataxia severity (SARA)			0.000^a
Mild (%)	6 (5.9)	95 (94.1)	
Moderate (%)	27 (21.3)	100 (78.7)	
Severe (%)	24 (27.6)	63 (72.4)	

Values represent mean ± standard deviation or number, and for variables with non-normal distribution, the median is reported as well. The values in italics represent statistical significance

SCA spinocerebellar ataxia, *SARA* Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia

[a] Chi-square test

[b] Two independent samples t test

[c] Two independent samples Mann-Whitney U test

(Table 2). When stratifying patients into mild, moderate, and severe disease stages, tremor occurs more commonly in moderate and severe disease stages in SCA3 ($p = 0.032$) and SCA6 ($p = 0.014$), whereas there are no significant differences in SCA1 ($p = 0.891$) and SCA2 ($p = 0.076$) subgroups (Table 2).

We further compared the interactions of tremor subtypes and SCA genotypes. Since tremor occurs in a similar degree in moderate and severe ataxic patients (Table 1), we grouped moderate and severe ataxic patients in this analysis. We found that postural tremor occurs more often in moderate and severe SCA3 and SCA6 patients compared to those with mild ataxias (SCA3 15.6% vs. 4.1%, $p = 0.045$; SCA6 27.7% vs. 0.0%, $p = 0.009$). Postural tremor occurs in a similar degree in moderate and severe vs. mild SCA1 and SCA2 patients (SCA1 3.1% vs. 4.5%, $p = 0.786$; SCA2 27.6% vs. 30.0%, $p = 0.875$) (Table 3). None of the mild SCA patients have rest tremor. Even in severe ataxic patients, rest tremor only occurs in 3–5% of the patients except for SCA2 (15.5%) (Table 3).

We next asked whether postural and rest tremor frequently co-occur in SCAs. In SCA1, 3, and 6, no patient had both types of tremor, while the majority of SCA3 and SCA6 patients with tremor have postural tremor without rest tremor (Table 4). In SCA2, there are 10.3% of patients with both types of tremor, suggesting that postural tremor and rest tremor frequently co-occur in SCA2 (Table 4).

We further studied the relationship between tremor and dystonia, two clinical features associated with cerebellar dysfunction. We found that tremor and dystonia are only marginally associated in SCA3 but not associated in other types of SCAs (Supplementary Table 1).

Table 2 Baseline features of 315 participants grouped by neurological features in the different subtypes of SCA

	SCA 1		p value	SCA 2		p value	SCA 3		p value	SCA 6		p value
	n = 54			n = 68			n = 126			n = 67		
	Tremor	No tremor		Tremor	No tremor		Tremor	No tremor		Tremor	No tremor	
n (%)	3 (5.6)	51 (94.4)		21 (30.9)	47 (69.1)		18 (14.3)	108 (85.7)		15 (22.4)	52 (77.6)	
Age (years)	49.33 ± 28.57 Median = 37.00	50.88 ± 11.83 Median = 52.00	0.590[c]	51.10 ± 13.90	50.83 ± 13.01	0.941[b]	51.56 ± 12.80 Median = 56.50	51.18 ± 12.25 Median = 50.00	0.853[c]	65.53 ± 12.32	65.79 ± 10.56	0.943[b]
Gender, M/W	3:0	25:26	0.086[a]	15:6	24:23	0.117[a]	9:9	55:53	0.942[a]	5:10	32:20	0.053[a]
CAG repeat (numbers)	50.00 ± 7.81 Median = 54.00	45.80 ± 4.08 Median = 46.00	0.271[c]	40.52 ± 3.82 Median = 40.00	39.66 ± 2.80 Median = 39.00	0.220[c]	72.00 ± 4.26 Median = 72.00	70.82 ± 4.16 Median = 71.00	0.198[c]	22.60 ± 1.45 Median = 22.00	22.31 ± 0.73 Median = 22.00	0.827[c]
Age of onset (years)	37.33 ± 15.37	40.67 ± 11.27	0.745[b]	36.00 ± 14.18	36.83 ± 11.19	0.814[b]	35.83 ± 11.70 Median = 38.50	39.34 ± 12.03 Median = 40.00	0.398[c]	47.73 ± 11.28	53.08 ± 10.06	0.113[b]
SARA score	16.83 ± 11.86	13.52 ± 8.11	0.678[b]	19.92 ± 7.65	15.48 ± 6.51	0.027[b]	18.42 ± 6.85 Median = 18.75	14.54 ± 9.00 Median = 13.25	0.036[c]	17.38 ± 4.47	13.59 ± 8.05	0.023[b]
Ataxia severity			0.891[a]			0.076[a]			0.032[a]			0.014[a]
Mild (%)	1 (4.5)	21 (95.5)		3 (30.0)	7 (70.0)		2 (4.1)	47 (95.9)		0 (0.0)	20 (100.0)	
Moderate (%)	1 (5.0)	19 (95.0)		8 (21.1)	30 (78.9)		8 (20.0)	32 (80.0)		10 (34.5)	19 (65.5)	
Severe (%)	1 (8.3)	11 (91.7)		10 (50.0)	10 (50.0)		8 (21.6)	29 (78.4)		5 (27.8)	13 (72.2)	

Values represent mean ± standard deviation or number, and for variables with non-normal distribution, the median is reported as well. The values in italics represent statistical significance

SCA spinocerebellar ataxia, SARA Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia

[a] Chi-square test

[b] Two independent samples t test

[c] Two independent samples Mann–Whitney U test

Table 3 Tremor prevalence grouped by ataxia severity in different subtypes of SCA

	SCA1 n = 54			SCA2 n = 68			SCA3 n = 126			SCA6 n = 67		
	Mild	Moderate or severe	p^a	Mild	Moderate or severe	p^a	Mild	Moderate or severe	p^a	Mild	Moderate or severe	p^a
Postural tremor			0.786			0.875			*0.045*			*0.009*
Yes (%)	1 (4.5)	1 (3.1)		3 (30.0)	16 (27.6)		2 (4.1)	12 (15.6)		0 (0.0)	13 (27.7)	
No (%)	21 (95.5)	31 (96.9)		7 (70.0)	42 (72.4)		47 (95.9)	65 (84.4)		20 (100.0)	34 (72.3)	
Rest tremor			0.403			0.181			0.105			0.349
Yes (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)		0 (0.0)	9 (15.5)		0 (0.0)	4 (5.2)		0 (0.0)	2 (4.3)	
No (%)	22 (100.0)	31 (96.9)		10 (100.0)	49 (84.5)		49 (100.0)	73 (94.8)		20 (100.0)	45 (95.7)	

The values in italics represent statistical significance

SCA spinocerebellar ataxia

[a] Chi-square test

One of the relevant clinical questions is whether tremor can independently contribute to worse functional status in SCA patients, suggesting that both tremor and ataxia generated from cerebellar degeneration can be disabling. To address this, we constructed linear regression models to test the contribution of tremor and ataxia in SCAs to functional status measurement by UHDRS after adjusting for age, gender, and pathological CAG repeats. When all SCA subtypes were combined, tremor was associated with worse functional status ($\beta = -1.71$, $p = 0.003$) (Table 5). When the different SCA subtypes were analyzed separately, tremor was associated with worse functional status in SCA2 ($\beta = -3.83$, $p < 0.001$), while the other subtypes of SCAs showed the same trend without statistical significance (SCA1: $\beta = -2.82$, $p = 0.186$; SCA3: $\beta = -1.03$, $p = 0.282$; SCA6: $\beta = -0.93$, $p = 0.464$) (Table 5).

Discussion

In the present study, we found that tremor occurs more frequently in the later stage of the cerebellar degeneration.

Interestingly, the association between tremor and ataxia is not linear. While mild ataxia is associated with less tremor, moderate and severe ataxias are associated with a similar degree of tremor (Table 1), suggesting the dynamic regulations of cerebellar circuitry for tremor and ataxia during the degenerative process. The association of tremor and ataxia is most obvious in SCA3 and SCA6 patients. Postural tremor is the tremor subtype that is mostly associated with ataxia, while the association of rest tremor and ataxia is less robust. In addition, we found that rest tremor and postural tremor might be independent of each other in the context of the degenerative cerebellum, with the exception of SCA2, suggesting that different circuitries are involved. Finally, we found that tremor could worsen the functional status of SCA patients, independent of ataxia. In summary, our research partly supports the cerebellar degenerative hypothesis of tremor. However, not all the SCA patients have tremor, raising the question that additional regulatory mechanisms exist, which requires further investigation.

ET is a classical tremor disorder, and several pathological features of ET exhibit similarities to SCA patients, but to a milder degree, implicating common components of brain

Table 4 The presence of postural tremor and rest tremor in the different subtypes of SCA

		SCA1 n = 54 Postural tremor			SCA2 n = 68 Postural tremor			SCA3 n = 126 Postural tremor			SCA6 n = 67 Postural tremor		
		Yes	No	p^a	Yes	No	p^a	Yes	No	p^a	Yes	No	p^a
Rest tremor	Yes	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	0.843	7 (10.3)	2 (2.9)	*0.000*	0 (0.0)	4 (3.2)	0.472	0 (0.0)	2 (3.0)	0.481
	No	2 (3.7)	51 (94.4)		12 (17.6)	47 (69.1)		14 (11.1)	108 (85.7)		13 (19.4)	52 (77.6)	

Values represent number (%). The value in italics represents statistical significance

SCA spinocerebellar ataxia

[a] Chi-square test

Table 5 Linear regression analyses for the influencing factors of UHDRS score

Variables	Regression coefficients of UHDRS score[a]				
	All SCAs	SCA1	SCA2	SCA3	SCA6
Age (years)	− 0.03	− 0.10	0.04	− 0.07	− 0.15**
Gender[b]	− 0.02	− 0.76	− 0.33	− 0.18	1.23
CAG repeat (numbers)	− 0.04***	− 0.25	0.02	− 0.36**	− 0.52
SARA score	− 0.59****	− 0.57****	− 0.62****	− 0.59****	− 0.42****
Tremor[c]	− 1.71***	− 2.82	− 3.83****	− 1.03	− 0.93

UHDRS Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale, *SCA* spinocerebellar ataxia, *SARA* Scale for Assessment and Rating of Ataxia

$*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; $***p < 0.005$; $****p < 0.001$

[a] All regression coefficients and p value were calculated in the linear regression model, adjusting for age of first visit, gender, CAG repeat, and neurological symptom

[b] Men = 0, Women = 1

[c] No tremor = 0, tremor = 1

circuitry alterations in tremor and ataxia. For example, loss of PCs, increased number of PC axonal torpedoes, and decreased climbing fiber synaptic density have been observed in both SCA and ET patients [26, 27]. However, a different cerebellar pathology has also been identified in these two groups of patients. For instance, ET patients have climbing fibers extending to the outer portion of the molecular layer, whereas SCA patients have prominent regression of climbing fibers [12]. Studying the similarities and differences between ET and SCA patients with and without tremor will further shed light in our future understanding of the cerebellar circuitries that produce ataxia and tremor.

There are limitations to this study. First, different SCAs may represent different types of cerebellar degeneration. For example, patients with SCA3 have relatively preserved PC counts, whereas patients with SCA1, 2, and 6 have prominent PC loss [28]. Nonetheless, we observed the most prominent effects on the association between ataxia and tremor in SCA3 and SCA6, but not SCA1 and SCA2, which suggests that other components such as compensatory changes within the cerebellar circuitry might be important for tremor generation. Second, the sample size remains moderate. A larger sample size with trans-continental collaboration is needed to further explore the heterogeneity of postural and rest tremor in SCA patients. Third, our study is not specifically designed to study tremor in SCAs; nonetheless, the majority of the ataxia specialists involved in the study have received movement disorders fellowship training. In addition, rest tremor measurement might not be accurate if the patients are not completely relaxed; therefore, our study includes the analysis to combine both rest and postural tremor, which provides information on overall tremor in cerebellar degeneration. Fourth, we did not use an objective measure to define the frequency or the rhythmicity of tremor, which might be distinct in different stages of subtypes of SCAs. Fifth, we used the ataxia severity as an

estimate for the degree of cerebellar degeneration. More detailed structural neuroimaging studies may yield a more accurate assessment of cerebellar degeneration and identify the region of the cerebellar atrophy associated with tremor. Sixth, many SCAs also have extra-cerebellar involvement, which can collectively contribute to tremor [29]. Finally, we did not have detailed characterization of tremor severity, which can be used in future studies to investigate whether tremor becomes more severe as ataxia progresses in SCA patients.

Our study can also help to advance current knowledge of the interplay between tremor, dystonia, and ataxia, three symptoms associated with cerebellar dysfunction. Ataxia is a classical symptom of cerebellar disorders. Tremor and dystonia have recently been identified to have cerebellar involvement [30, 31]. Our ataxia cohort provides evidence that tremor, dystonia, and ataxia could co-occur during cerebellar degeneration. Similarly, genetically modified mice with cerebellar dysfunction can also have these three symptoms [32], highlighting the abnormal cerebellar circuitry in these movement disorders. How can a dysfunctional cerebellum generate these symptoms? One explanation is that abnormal firing patterns of Purkinje cells can directly drive diverse movements, including tremor and/or dystonia [30]. Depending on the underlying disease processes affecting Purkinje cell firing, these three symptoms could co-occur or change over the course of disease. In addition, one of the most important functions of the cerebellum is motor timing; therefore, tremor and dystonia might be related to abnormal motor timing of the cerebellum [33–38]. In summary, our study provides a way to begin to understand the complex relationship between tremor, dystonia, and ataxia in humans, which will advance our knowledge in cerebellar motor control.

In conclusion, we found that both postural and rest tremor can occur in the degenerative cerebellum in patients with

SCAs but in a subtype-specific manner. Our findings support the theory that the cerebellar degenerative process can contribute to tremor and clinicians should also pay attention to tremor symptoms in caring for ataxia patients.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

References

1. Wenning GK, Kiechl S, Seppi K, Müller J, Högl B, Saletu M, et al. Prevalence of movement disorders in men and women aged 50–89 years (Bruneck study cohort): a population-based study. Lancet Neurol. 2005;4:815–20.

2. Pagan FL, Butman JA, Dambrosia JM, Hallett M. Evaluation of essential tremor with multi-voxel magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Neurology. 2003;60:1344–7.

3. Quattrone A, Cerasa A, Messina D, Nicoletti G, Hagberg G, Lemieux L, et al. Essential head tremor is associated with cerebellar vermis atrophy: a volumetric and voxel-based morphometry MR imaging study. Am J Neuroradiol. 2008;29:1692–7.

4. Passamonti L, Cerasa A, Quattrone A. Neuroimaging of essential tremor: what is the evidence for cerebellar involvement. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y). 2012;2. https://doi.org/10.7916/D8F76B8G.

5. Singer C, Sanchez-Ramos J, Weiner WJ. Gait abnormality in essential tremor. Mov Disord. 2004;9:193–6.

6. Bares M, Husarova I, Lungu OV. Essential tremor, the cerebellum, and motor timing: towards integrating them into one complex entity. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov. 2012;2.

7. Louis ED, Faust PL, Vonsattel JPG, Honig LS, Rajput A, Robinson CA, et al. Neuropathological changes in essential tremor: 33 cases compared with 21 controls. Brain. 2007;130:3297–307.

8. Louis ED, Babij R, Lee M, Cortés E, Vonsattel JPG. Quantification of cerebellar hemispheric Purkinje cell linear density: 32 ET cases versus 16 controls. Mov Disord. 2013;28:1854–9.

9. Babij R, Lee M, Cortés E, Vonsattel JPG, Faust PL, Louis ED. Purkinje cell axonal anatomy: quantifying morphometric changes in essential tremor versus control brains. Brain. 2013;136:3051–61.

10. Louis ED, Faust PL, Ma KJ, Yu M, Cortes E, Vonsattel JPG. Torpedoes in the cerebellar vermis in essential tremor cases vs. controls. Cerebellum. 2011;10:812–9.

11. Kuo SH, Erickson-Davis C, Gillman A, Faust PL, Vonsattel JPG, Louis ED. Increased number of heterotopic Purkinje cells in essential tremor. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2011;82:1038–40.

12. Kuo SH, Lin CY, Wang J, Sims PA, Pan MK, Liou JY, et al. Climbing fiber-Purkinje cell synaptic pathology in tremor and cerebellar degenerative diseases. Acta Neuropathol. 2017;133:121–38.

13. Schöls L, Bauer P, Schmidt T, Schulte T, Riess O. Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias: clinical features, genetics, and pathogenesis. Lancet Neurol. 2004;3:291–304.

14. Clark HB, Orr HT. Spinocerebellar ataxia type 1—modeling the pathogenesis of a polyglutamine neurodegenerative disorder in transgenic mice. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2000;59:265–70.

15. Lastres-Becker I, Rub U, Auburger G. Spinocerebellar ataxia 2 (SCA2). Cerebellum. 2008;7:115–24.

16. Scherzed W, Brunt ER, Heinsen H, Vos RAD, Seidel K, Bürk K, et al. Pathoanatomy of cerebellar degeneration in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 (SCA2) and type 3 (SCA3). Cerebellum. 2012;11:749–60.

17. Schulz B, Borkert J, Wolf S, Schmitz-Hubsch T, Rakowicz M, Mariotti C, et al. Visualization, quantification and correlation of brain atrophy with clinical symptoms in spinocerebellar ataxia types 1, 3 and 6. Neuroimage. 2010;49:158–68.

18. Van De Warrenburg BP, Hendriks H, Dürr A, van Zuijlen MCA, Stevanin G, Camuzat A, et al. Age at onset variance analysis in spinocerebellar ataxias: a study in a Dutch-French cohort. Ann Neurol. 2005;57:505–12.

19. Gan SR, Wang J, Figueroa KP, Pulst SM, Tomishon D, Lee D, et al. Postural tremor and ataxia progression in spinocerebellar ataxias. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov. 2017;7.

20. Kuo PH, Gan SR, Wang J, Lo RY, Figueroa KP, Tomishon D, et al. Dystonia and ataxia progression in spinocerebellar ataxias. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2017;45:75–80.

21. Fahn S, Tolosa E, Marin C. Clinical rating scale for tremor. In: Jankovic J, Tolosa E, editors. Parkinson's disease and movement disorders. 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1993. p. 271–80.

22. Fahn S, Elton R, members of the UPDRS development committee. In: Fahn S, Marsden CD, Calne DB, Goldstein M, editors. Recent developments in Parkinson's disease, vol. 2. Florham Park: Macmillan Health Care Information; 1987. p. 153–63. 293–304.

23. Schmitz-Hubsch T, du Montcel S, Tezenas S, Baliko L, Berciano J, Boesch S, et al. Scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia: development of a new clinical scale. Neurology. 2006;66:1717–20.

24. Helmich RC, Janssen MJR, Oyen WJG, Bloem BR, Toni I. Pallidal dysfunction drives a cerebellothalamic circuit into Parkinson tremor. Ann Neurol. 2011;69:269–81.

25. Helmich RC, Hallett M, Deuschl G, Toni I, Bloem BR. Cerebral causes and consequences of parkinsonian resting tremor: a tale of two circuits? Brain. 2012;135:3206–26.

26. Louis ED, Kuo SH, Vonsattel JPG, Faust PL. Torpedo formation and Purkinje cell loss: modeling their relationship in cerebellar disease. Cerebellum. 2014;13:433–9.

27. Louis RJ, Lee M, Kuo SH, Vonsattel JPG, Louis ED, Faust PL. Cellular density in the cerebellar molecular layer in essential tremor, spinocerebellar ataxia, and controls. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2014;20:1270–3.

28. Louis ED, Kuo SH, Tate WJ, Kelly GC, Gutierrez J, Cortes EP, et al. Heterotopic Purkinje cells: a comparative postmortem study of essential tremor and spinocerebellar ataxias 1, 2, 3, and 6. Cerebellum. 2018;17:104–10.

29. Seidel K, Siswanto S, Brunt ER, den Dunnen W, Korf HW, Rüb U. Brain pathology of spinocerebellar ataxias. Acta Neuropathol. 2012;124:1–21.

30. Pan MK, Ni CL, Wu YC, Li YS, Kuo SH. Animal models of tremor: relevance to human tremor disorders. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov. 2018;8:587.

31. Nibbeling EA, Delnooz CC, de Koning TJ, Sinke RJ, Jinnah HA, Tijssen MA, et al. Using the shared genetics of dystonia and ataxia to unravel their pathogenesis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2017;75:22–39.

32. White JJ, Sillitoe RV. Genetic silencing of olivocerebellar synapses causes dystonia-like behaviour in mice. Nat Commun. 2017;8: 14912.

33. Bares M, Lungu OV, Liu T, Waechter T, Gomez CM, Ashe J. The neural substrate of predictive motor timing in spinocerebellar ataxia. Cerebellum. 2011;10:233–44.

34. Lungu OV, Bares M, Liu T, Gomez CM, Cechova I, Ashe J. Trial-to-trial adaptation: parsing out the roles of cerebellum and BG in predictive motor timing. J Cogn Neurosci. 2016;28:920–34.

35. Filip P, Lungu OV, Manto MU, Bareš M. Linking essential tremor to the cerebellum: physiological evidence. Cerebellum. 2016;15: 774–80.

36. Avanzino L, Ravaschio A, Lagravinese G, Bonassi G, Abbruzzese G, Pelosin E. Adaptation of feedforward movement control is abnormal in patients with cervical dystonia and tremor. Clin Neurophysiol. 2018;129:319–26.

37. Filip P, Gallea C, Lehéricy S, Bertasi E, Popa T, Mareček R, et al. Disruption in cerebellar and basal ganglia networks during a visuospatial task in cervical dystonia. Mov Disord. 2017;32:757–68.

38. Lawrenson C, Bares M, Kamondi A, Kovács A, Lumb B, Apps R, et al. The mystery of the cerebellum: clues from experimental and clinical observations. Cerebellum Ataxias. 2018;5:8.