



Review article

BRCA mutation in high grade epithelial ovarian cancers

Tarinee Manchana^{a,*}, Natacha Phoolcharoen^a, Patou Tantbirojn^b^a Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok 10330, Thailand^b Division of Gynecologic Pathology and Cytology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

BRCA mutation
Epithelial ovarian cancer
High grade serous carcinoma
High grade endometrioid carcinoma

ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify the frequency of BRCA mutation in patients with high grade epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC).

Methods: Patients with EOC included fallopian tube cancer or peritoneal cancer with high grade serous or high grade endometrioid were recruited. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations were tested and analyzed by next generation sequencing system.

Results: A total of 87 patients were recruited; majority of them (88.5%) were EOC, 5.7% fallopian tube cancer, 4.6% peritoneal cancer, and 1.1% synchronous primary ovarian and endometrial cancer. Seventy-four patients (85.1%) had high grade serous carcinoma and 13 patients (14.9%) had high grade endometrioid carcinoma. Germline BRCA mutation was detected in 19 patients (21.8%); 14 patients (16.1%) had BRCA1 mutation and 5 patients (5.7%) had BRCA2 mutation. All BRCA mutations were found in patients with high grade serous carcinoma (25.7%) but none in high grade endometrioid carcinoma. Six from 19 patients (31.6%) who had BRCA mutation had no family history of breast and ovarian cancers. Higher frequency of BRCA mutation was detected in patients with fallopian tube cancer; 3 in 5 patients (60%) followed by peritoneal cancer; 2 in 4 patients (50%), and EOC; 14 in 77 patients (18.2%).

Conclusion: The frequency of BRCA mutation in high grade serous carcinoma was 25.7%, none was found in high grade endometrioid carcinoma. High cost, unavailability of genetic testing, limited number of geneticists, may be barriers in limited resource countries. Selected patients especially high grade serous carcinoma should be considered initially.

1. Introduction

Approximately, 10–15% of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) patients carry germline mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2. (Zhang et al., 2011; Alsop et al., 2012) The prevalence of BRCA mutation varies among different EOC subtypes. It is highest in high grade serous subtype which was reported up to 20–25%. (Hennessy et al., 2010; Ledermann et al., 2016) BRCA mutation was reported < 10% in endometrioid subtype and very low frequency in clear cell carcinoma and the other subtypes. (Arts-de Jong et al., 2016) The knowledge about molecular studies showed that EOC is heterogeneous disease. It can be classified into two groups as type I and type II. Different in genomic variation characterizes by different in clinical presentation and prognosis. Type I is low grade and usually have indolent clinical course. (Rojas et al., 2016) High grade is classified as type II, more aggressive and poor prognosis. Type II is considered to be more genomically unstable than type I. Homologous

recombination genes defect including BRCA genes are commonly detected in type II EOC. (Ledermann et al., 2016) Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors have been reported to be a promising targeted therapy for BRCA deficient ovarian cancers. Phase 3 trials included recurrent platinum sensitive patients with high grade serous or high grade endometrioid EOC, primary peritoneal or fallopian tube carcinoma showed maintenance treatment with PARP inhibitors improved progression free survival. (Evans and Matulonis, 2017) The incidence of BRCA mutation might vary from one ethnicity and country to the others. The objective of this study was to identify the frequency of BRCA mutation in EOC Thai patients with high grade serous and high grade endometrioid subtype.

2. Materials and methods

Patients diagnosed with EOC included fallopian tube cancer or

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Tarinee.M@chula.ac.th (T. Manchana).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gore.2019.07.007>

Received 20 April 2019; Received in revised form 13 July 2019; Accepted 16 July 2019

Available online 13 August 2019

2352-5789/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

peritoneal cancer who had *BRCA* testing from January 2015 to December 2017 were reviewed. EOC patients with high grade subtypes included high grade serous carcinoma and high grade endometrioid carcinoma were included. Patients with clear cell, mucinous carcinoma, carcinosarcoma or borderline tumor were excluded. Pathological review was performed by gynecologic pathologist. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, the Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University.

BRCA1 and *BRCA2* mutations were tested using peripheral blood DNA samples or DNA extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded block (FFPE) or a fresh tumor specimen then analyzed by next generation sequencing system (The Illumina MiSeq System; Illumina). The variant pathogenicity was evaluated based on the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) standard and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants. (Richards et al., 2015) The variants conform to the guidelines of human genome variation society (HGVS) on mutation nomenclature and are referenced as sequence NM_007300.3 for *BRCA1* and NM_000059.3 for *BRCA2*. The pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants were confirmed using bi-directional Sanger sequencing. Patients who had *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation in their tumor specimens were investigated by bi-directional Sanger sequencing using peripheral blood DNA to confirm germline or somatic *BRCA* mutation.

Student's *t*-test and Chi-square or Fisher's exact test were used to compare the continuous and categorical data, respectively. Statistical significance was defined as *p*-value < .05.

3. Results

A total of 87 patients were recruited into this study. Majority of the patients (88.5%) were EOC. Five patients (5.7%) were fallopian tube cancer, 4 patients (4.6%) peritoneal cancer, and 1 patient (1.1%) synchronous primary ovarian and endometrial cancer. The mean age was 55.6 ± 10.1 years (range 33–80), only 19 patients were younger than 50 years (21.8%). Fifty-three patients (60.9%) were menopausal and 29 patients (33.3%) were nulliparous. Sixteen patients (18.4%) had family history of breast cancer and/or ovarian cancers. Six patients (6.9%) had personal history of breast cancer before diagnosis of EOC. Most patients presented at the advanced stage: stage 3 (63.2%) and stage 4 (11.5%). One-fourth of the patients had early stage cancer: 12.6% had stage 1 and 12.6% had stage 2 cancer. Seven-four patients (85.1%) had high grade serous carcinoma and 13 (14.9%) had high grade endometrioid carcinoma.

Germline *BRCA* mutation was detected in 17 from 54 peripheral blood samples (12 *BRCA1* and 5 *BRCA2*) but only 2 pathogenic *BRCA1* mutations were detected from 33 tumor specimens. As for both patients, *BRCA1* mutations were confirmed and detected in the peripheral blood. Thus, the frequency of germline *BRCA* mutation was 19 in 87 patients (21.8%); 14 patients (16.1%) had *BRCA1* mutation, and 5 patients (5.7%) had *BRCA2* mutation. There was no somatic *BRCA* mutation in this study. Details of germline *BRCA* mutation in 19 patients were shown in Table 1. All *BRCA* mutations were found in 19 patients with high grade serous carcinoma (25.7%). None with high grade endometrioid carcinoma had *BRCA* mutation. Clinicopathological characteristics were not different between patients with or without *BRCA* mutation, except significantly higher frequency of family history of breast and ovarian cancer in patients with *BRCA* mutation. (Table 2) Based on age at diagnosis, 26.3% of patients who were younger than 50 years had *BRCA* mutation, compared with 32.4% of those older than 50 years ($p = .78$). *BRCA* mutation was found in 68.4% of patients with family history of cancer but only 4.4% in patients without family history ($p < .001$). About 6 from 19 patients (31.6%) who had *BRCA* mutation had no family history of breast and ovarian cancers. Highest frequency of *BRCA* mutation was detected in fallopian tube cancer: 3 in 5 patients (60%) followed by peritoneal cancer: 2 in 4 patients (50%), and EOC: 14 in 77 patients (18.2%).

4. Discussion

The worldwide incidence of *BRCA* mutations reported about 10–15%, and it was particularly high in high grade serous subtype, which was reported at about 20–30%. (Network, 2011; Maffiini et al., 2016) Our study reported 25.7% incidence of germline *BRCA* mutation in high grade serous carcinoma which is the most common subtype worldwide; its incidence is around 70%. (Ledermann et al., 2016) In contrast, high grade serous carcinoma is much lower in Thailand; the incidence is only 22%. (Chirasophon et al., 2017; Manchana and Kobwitaya, 2018) The proportion of endometrioid and clear cell carcinoma are more frequent, up to 50%, whereas mucinous carcinoma was reported about 18% of them. (Manchana and Kobwitaya, 2018) Our previous study reported the frequency of germline *BRCA* mutation about 11.4% in selected EOC patients with risk factors. However, higher frequency of *BRCA* mutation was reported in 18.2% of EOC patients with high grade serous subtype. (Chirasophon et al., 2017) Thus, the frequency of *BRCA* mutation might be lower in unselected EOC patients in Thailand. The incidence of *BRCA* mutation might vary from one ethnicity and country to the others. Few studies reported the incidence of germline *BRCA* mutation in Asian countries, it was between 12 and 29%. (Choi et al., 2015; Chao et al., 2016; Hasmad et al., 2016; Sakamoto et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017). Highest incidence was reported in the Chinese (29%) and Koreans (26%). The incidence of high grade serous subtype in these two countries are similar to the western countries which was 73% and 63%, respectively. Most patients with *BRCA* mutation in those studies had high grade serous subtype. Incidence of *BRCA* mutation in high grade serous subtype were reported as high as 30–40%. (Choi et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017) This incidence is slightly higher than our study, which showed about 25.7%. Previous systematic review showed lower probability of having germline *BRCA* mutation in endometrioid subtype, which was reported about 7.7% (95%CI 4.8–10.6). (Arts-de Jong et al., 2016) However, no *BRCA* mutation was found in patients with high grade endometrioid carcinoma in our study.

The incidence of *BRCA* mutation in patients without family history of breast and/or ovarian cancers was reported about 10%. (Lim et al., 2009) In contrast, 60–70% of patients with family history of cancers had *BRCA* mutation. (Wu et al., 2017; Pal et al., 2005) This finding is in concordance with finding that showed 4.4% and 68.4% of patients without and with family history of cancers who had *BRCA* mutation. If patients were selected based on family history of cancers, at least 30% of patients may be missed.

Age of onset below 50 years is one important factor associated with *BRCA* status. Almost 50% of Israeli EOC patients younger than 50 years carried *BRCA* mutation. (Helpman et al., 2017) Jewish is a specific ethnicity associated with increased rate of *BRCA* mutation. As this result, this number is much higher than the other studies which reported 22–33% of patients younger than 50 years carried *BRCA* mutation. (Alsop et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2018) This number was concordance to our finding which reported about 26.3%.

In general, *BRCA* testing is recommended to offer in all patients with EOC including fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer. Fallopian tube is believed to be the original site for development of pelvic serous cancers. There is evidence that fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer also relate to *BRCA* mutations. The prevalence of *BRCA* mutation in fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer was reported to be higher than EOC. Previous studies showed 20–40% of patients with these cancers had *BRCA* mutation. (Choi et al., 2018; Levine et al., 2003) Although there were limited number of patients, *BRCA* mutation tended to be higher in fallopian tube and peritoneal cancers, 60% and 50%, respectively.

The limitation in this study was *BRCA* testing did not test in both tumor tissue and peripheral blood in all patients. Therefore, the exact incidence of somatic *BRCA* mutation can not be identified. However, 2 in 33 patients who found *BRCA* mutation in tumor tissue also had *BRCA* mutation in peripheral blood. Germline *BRCA* mutation was diagnosed

Table 1Details of epithelial ovarian cancer patients with germline *BRCA* mutation.

Age (years)	Gene	Mutation			Variant classification	Cancer	Family history of cancer	Synchronous cancers
		Nucleotide change	Protein change	Type				
64	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.981_982delAT	p.Cys328Ter	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIB	Ovarian cancer (sister)	–
59	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.981_982delAT	p.Cys328Ter	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Breast cancer (sister)	–
72	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3049G > T	p.Glu1017Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIA	Breast cancer (2 sisters) Endometrial cancer (mother)	–
45	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3049G > T	p.Glu1017Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IVB	–	–
46	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.2059C > T	p.Gln687Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Ovarian cancer (grandmother)	–
63	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.1889delA	p.Asn630IlefsTer2	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Ovarian cancer (sister)	–
57	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3770_3771delAG	p.Glu1257GlyfsTer9	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Breast cancer (aunt)	–
51	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.1426delC	p.His476MetfsTer2	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IVB	Breast cancer (Niece)	–
35	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3020C > A	p.Ser1007Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Breast cancer (mother)	–
62	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.4327C > T	p.Arg1443	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Peritoneal cancer IIB	Breast cancer (daughter) Breast and ovarian cancer (sister) Endometrial cancer (sister)	–
52	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3748G > T	p.Glu1250Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Peritoneal cancer IIIC	Breast cancer (mother) Ovarian cancer (sister)	–
56	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.5072C > A	p.Thr1691Lys	Missense	Likely pathogenic	Tubal cancer IIA	–	–
63	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.3181delA	p.Ile1061Ter	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Tubal cancer IVB	–	–
69	<i>BRCA1</i>	c.1155G > A	p.Trp385Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Tubal cancer IC	–	–
60	<i>BRCA2</i>	c.3109C > T	p.Gln1037Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IVB	Breast cancer (2 sisters)	Breast cancer
56	<i>BRCA2</i>	c.7558C > T	p.Arg2520Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	–	–
49	<i>BRCA2</i>	c.1367_1368delAG	p.Lys457GlufsTer4	Frameshift	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Ovarian cancer (mother)	Breast cancer
49	<i>BRCA2</i>	c.4126G > T	p.Gly1376Ter	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIIC	Breast cancer (sister) Prostate cancer (uncle) Colon cancer (uncle)	–
63	<i>BRCA2</i>	c.1405_1406delGA	p.Asp469	Nonsense	Pathogenic	Ovarian cancer IIB	–	Breast cancer

Table 2

Clinicopathologic characteristics.

	<i>BRCA</i> positive (N = 19)	<i>BRCA</i> negative (N = 68)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	56.2 ± 9.2	55.4 ± 10.4	0.49
Nulliparous	6 (31.6%)	23 (33.8%)	1.00
Menopause	12 (63.2%)	41 (60.3%)	1.00
Family history of breast and ovarian cancer	13 (68.4%)	3 (4.4%)	< 0.001
Personal history of breast cancer	3 (15.8%)	3 (4.4%)	0.12
Type of cancer			0.07
Epithelial ovarian cancer	14 (73.7%)	63 (92.6%)	
Fallopian tube cancer	3 (15.8%)	2 (2.9%)	
Peritoneal cancer	2 (10.5%)	2 (2.9%)	
Synchronous ovarian and endometrial cancer	0 (0%)	1 (1.5%)	
Histology			0.06
High grade serous	19 (100%)	55 (80.9%)	
High grade endometrioid	0 (0%)	13 (19.1%)	
Stage			0.35
I	1 (5.3%)	10 (14.7%)	
II	3 (15.8%)	8 (11.8%)	
III	11 (57.9%)	44 (64.7%)	
IV	4 (21.1%)	6 (8.8%)	
Platinum sensitive	18 (94.7%)	55 (80.9%)	0.29

and there was no somatic *BRCA* mutation in this small subset of patients. Moreover, this study was conducted at only one tertiary center; this may not represent the incidence of *BRCA* mutation in Thai population.

The incidence of germline mutation in high grade subtype in this study was 21.8%. However, all *BRCA* mutation was found in high grade serous subtype, none in high grade endometrioid subtype. Although, various societies such as American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), Society of Gynecologic Oncologists (SGO), and National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) have recommended universal genetic counseling and testing for all EOC patients, high cost, unavailability of genetic testing, and limited number of geneticists, may

be barriers in limited resource countries. From our previous survey, only 25% of patients knew that ovarian cancer may be inherited and only 16% of them knew that there is a test to evaluate. Interestingly, high rate of acceptance for genetic testing was reported up to 75% of them. (Chirasophon et al., 2017) It is one major challenge to improve knowledge and increase patient awareness. Although, increasing centers in both public and private sectors provide genetic testing service, limited number of geneticists and high cost are still important barriers. The expense for genetic testing is not covered by the Universal Coverage Scheme yet, only government or state enterprise officers can get partial reimbursement. Selected patients especially high grade serous subtype and/or patients who had strong family history of breast and/or ovarian cancers should be considered initially in Thailand.

Author contribution section

TM did conception and study design, acquisition of data, analysis, interpretation of data, drafting and revision of the manuscript.

NP did acquisition of data and revision of the manuscript.

PT did pathological revision and revision of the manuscript.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alsop, K., Fereday, S., Meldrum, C., deFazio, A., Emmanuel, C., George, J., et al., 2012. *BRCA* mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in *BRCA* mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian ovarian cancer study group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 30, 2654–2663.
- Arts-de Jong, M., de Bock, G.H., van Asperen, C.J., Mourits, M.J., de Hullu, J.A., Kets, C.M., 2016. Germline *BRCA1/2* mutation testing is indicated in every patient with epithelial ovarian cancer: a systematic review. *Eur. J. Cancer* 61, 137–145.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2011. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. *Nature* 474, 609–615.
- Chao, A., Chang, T.C., Lapke, N., et al., 2016. Prevalence and clinical significance of *BRCA1/2* germline and somatic mutations in Taiwanese patients with ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* 7, 85529–85541.
- Chirasophon, S., Manchana, T., Teerapakpinyo, C., 2017. High-risk epithelial ovarian

- cancer patients for hereditary ovarian cancer. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 43, 929–934.
- Choi, M.C., Heo, J.H., Jang, J.H., et al., 2015. Germline mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in Korean ovarian cancer patients: finding founder mutations. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* 25, 1386–1391.
- Choi, M.C., Bae, J.S., Jung, S.G., Park, H., Joo, W.D., Song, S.H., et al., 2018. Prevalence of germline BRCA mutations among women with carcinoma of the peritoneum or fallopian tube. *J. Gynecol. Oncol.* 29 (4), e43.
- Evans, T., Matulonis, U., 2017. PARP inhibitors in ovarian cancer: evidence, experience and clinical potential. *Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol.* 9, 253–267.
- Hasmad, H.N., Lai, K.N., Wen, W.X., et al., 2016. Evaluation of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a multi-ethnic Asian cohort of ovarian cancer patients. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 141, 318–322.
- Helpman, L., Zidan, O., Friedman, E., Kalfon, S., Perri, T., Ben-Baruch, G., et al., 2017. Young Israeli women with epithelial ovarian cancer: prevalence of BRCA mutations and clinical correlates. *J. Gynecol. Oncol.* 28 (5), e61.
- Hennessy, B.T., Timms, K.M., Carey, M.S., Gutin, A., Meyer, L.A., Flake 2nd, D.D., et al., 2010. Somatic mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 could expand the number of patients that benefit from poly (ADP ribose) polymerase inhibitors in ovarian cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 28, 3570–3576.
- Ledermann, J.A., Drew, Y., Kristeleit, R.S., 2016. Homologous recombination deficiency and ovarian cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer* 60, 49–58.
- Levine, D.A., Argenta, P.A., Yee, C.J., Marshall, D.S., Olvera, N., Bogomolny, F., et al., 2003. Fallopian tube and primary peritoneal carcinomas associated with BRCA mutations. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 21, 4222–4227.
- Lim, M.C., Kang, S., Seo, S.S., Kong, S.Y., Lee, B.Y., Lee, S.K., et al., 2009. BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations in Korean ovarian cancer patients. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 135, 1593–1599.
- Mafficini, A., Simbolo, M., Parisi, A., Rusev, B., Luchini, C., Cataldo, I., et al., 2016. BRCA somatic and germline mutation detection in paraffin embedded ovarian cancers by next-generation sequencing. *Oncotarget* 7, 1076–1083.
- Manchana, T., Kobwitaya, K., 2018. Survival outcomes for different subtypes of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Clin. Res. Obstet. Gynecol.* 1, 1–7.
- Pal, T., Permuth-Wey, J., Betts, J.A., Krischer, J.P., Fiorica, J., Arango, H., et al., 2005. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations account for a large proportion of ovarian carcinoma cases. *Cancer* 104, 2807–2816.
- Richards, S., Aziz, N., Bale, S., et al., 2015. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet. Med.* 17, 405–424.
- Rojas, V., Hirshfield, K.M., Ganesan, S., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, L., 2016 Dec 15. Molecular characterization of epithelial ovarian cancer: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17, 12 (pii: E2113).
- Sakamoto, I., Hirotsu, Y., Nakagomi, H., et al., 2016. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Japanese patients with ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancer. *Cancer* 122, 84–90.
- Wu, X., Wu, L., Kong, B., Liu, J., Yin, R., Wen, H., et al., 2017. The first nationwide multicenter prevalence study of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Chinese ovarian cancer patients. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* 27, 1650–1657.
- Zhang, S., Royer, R., Li, S., McLaughlin, J.R., Rosen, B., Risch, H.A., et al., 2011. Frequencies of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among 1,342 unselected patients with invasive ovarian cancer. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 121, 353–357.