

## 2019 international clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of venous thromboembolism

We read with interest the article by Dominique Farge and colleagues<sup>1</sup>. The authors stated that, for ambulatory patients, primary pharmacological prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism (VTE) with low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) is indicated for those with locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer treated with systemic anticancer therapy and who have a low risk of bleeding, and primary prophylaxis with direct oral anticoagulant is recommended for those who are receiving systemic anticancer therapy at intermediate-to-high risk of VTE, identified by cancer type (ie, pancreatic) or by a validated risk assessment model (ie, Khorana score of  $\geq 2$ ), and not actively bleeding or not at a high risk of bleeding. We believe those recommendations are premature and would like to make a few comments.

Substantial heterogeneity in dosing regimens (prophylactic vs intermediate vs therapeutic) and duration of low-molecular-weight heparin therapy existed among studies evaluating primary outpatient thromboprophylaxis in advanced pancreatic cancer.<sup>2</sup>

The option of direct oral anti-coagulants in the primary outpatient thromboprophylaxis of cancer-associated thrombosis is exciting. However, caution is needed in interpreting the AVERT and CASSINI trial results owing to different trial designs and patient populations (table).<sup>3,4</sup> In the AVERT trial, apixaban decreased VTE events but increased the major bleeding events.<sup>3</sup> In the CASSINI trial, rivaroxaban did not reduce VTE events on intention-to-treat analysis.<sup>4</sup>

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) guidelines take a cautious approach to primary outpatient thromboprophylaxis (by use of the term “may offer thromboprophylaxis”) in solid malignancies.<sup>5</sup> Considering the quality of life, cost burden, and absence of strong evidence, the International Initiative on Thrombosis and Cancer recommendations are still premature, and more randomised controlled studies are required to resolve the uncertainties.

KZT declares no competing interests. THO received honoraria from Medical Education Speakers Network and was a site co-investigator for Janssen and Janssen.

Kyaw Zin Thein, \*Thein Hlaing Oo  
THOo@mdanderson.org

Department of Investigational Cancer Therapeutics (KZT), and Section of Thrombosis and Benign Hematology (THO), The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA

- 1 Farge D, Frere C, Connors JM, et al. 2019 International Clinical Practice Guidelines for the treatment and prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 2019; **20**: e566–81.
- 2 Tun NM, Guevara E, Oo TH. Benefit and risk of primary thromboprophylaxis in ambulatory patients with advanced pancreatic cancer receiving chemotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 2016; **27**: 270–74.
- 3 Carrier M, Abou-Nassar K, Mallick R, et al. Apixaban to prevent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **380**: 711–19.

- 4 Khorana AA, Soff GA, Kakkar AK, et al. Rivaroxaban for thromboprophylaxis in high-risk ambulatory patients with cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **380**: 720–28.
- 5 Key NS, Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis and treatment in patients with cancer; ASCO clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 2019; published online Aug 5. DOI:10.1200/JCO.19.01461.

	Patients	VTE events (%)	Major bleeding (%)	Clinically relevant non-major bleeding (%)	NNT to prevent one VTE event
<b>AVERT<sup>3</sup></b>					
Cancer type					
Gynaecological and genitourinary (26%), lymphoma (26%), pancreas (12%), lung (10%), stomach (8%), brain (5%), myeloma (3%), others (10%)	Apixaban (n=288); placebo (n=275)	4.2% for apixaban vs placebo; p<0.001	3.5% for apixaban vs placebo	7.3% for apixaban vs placebo; HR 1.28 (95% CI 0.89–1.84)	17
<b>CASSINI<sup>4</sup></b>					
Cancer type					
Pancreas (32%), gastric and gastro-oesophageal junction (21%), lung (16%), breast (9%), lymphoma (7%), others (15%)	Rivaroxaban (n=420); placebo (n=421)	6.0% for rivaroxaban vs placebo; p=0.10	2.0% for rivaroxaban vs placebo	2.7% for rivaroxaban vs placebo; HR 1.34 (95% CI 0.54–3.32); p=0.53	35
VTE=venous thromboembolism. NNT=number needed to treat. HR=hazard ratio.					
<b>Table: Patient characteristics and results of the AVERT and CASSINI trials</b>					