



Weak biofilm formation among carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*☆

Jaclyn A. Cusumano^{a,b}, Aisling R. Caffrey^{a,b,c}, Kathryn E. Daffinee^a, Megan K. Luther^{a,b,c},
Vrishali Lopes^a, Kerry L. LaPlante^{a,b,c,d,*}

^a Infectious Diseases Research Program, Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Providence, RI, USA

^b College of Pharmacy, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA

^c Center of Innovation in Long-Term Support Services, Providence Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Providence, RI, USA

^d Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Division of Infectious Diseases, Providence, RI

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 March 2019

Received in revised form 15 July 2019

Accepted 28 July 2019

Available online 31 July 2019

Keywords:

Klebsiella pneumoniae

Biofilm

Carbapenem resistance

ABSTRACT

Biofilm formation of multidrug and extensively drug resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates is poorly understood. We investigated 139 diverse clinical *K. pneumoniae* isolates that possess various resistance patterns to evaluate the relationship between biofilm formation and resistance. Antimicrobial resistance was compared among a diverse collection of weak versus strong biofilm-forming *K. pneumoniae*, and predictors of strong biofilm formation were identified. Multi-drug resistant isolates were more common among weak (97.9%) versus strong biofilm formers (76%; $P = 0.002$). Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* were 91% less likely to form strong biofilm (odds ratio 0.09; 95% confidence interval 0.02–0.33). The statistically significant inverse relationship between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance suggests that virulence may be a trade-off for survival.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

Klebsiella pneumoniae, the most common and most concerning carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) (Won et al. 2011), is associated with mortality rates up to 50% (Patel et al. 2008). Adding to this challenging infection is *K. pneumoniae*'s high propensity to form biofilms (Clegg and Murphy 2016; Ribeiro et al. 2016). Biofilm-forming *K. pneumoniae* are associated with foreign indwelling device related infections (Clegg and Murphy 2016), as well as urinary stones (Nickel et al. 1986; Lebeaux et al. 2013; Schwaderer and Wolfe 2017). The most common *K. pneumoniae* infections include urinary tract infections, pneumonia, as well as intra-abdominal infections, which are prone to biofilm formation (De Rosa et al. 2015; Clegg and Murphy 2016). Biofilm eradication requires high antimicrobial concentrations (Hoiby et al. 2014), which often cannot be physiologically achieved in the blood stream or at the site of infection, thus potentially leading to infection recurrence (Clegg and Murphy 2016). Unfortunately, clinical microbiology labs cannot routinely test for biofilm formation; however,

tested phenotypic characteristics may help clinicians predict biofilm potential.

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms have been associated with biofilm formation when *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013), *Staphylococcus aureus* (Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013), *Acinetobacter* spp. (Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013), *Escherichia coli* (Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013), coagulase-negative staphylococci (Subramanian et al. 2012), or *Enterococcus* spp. (Subramanian et al. 2012) are assessed together (Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013). However, the relationship between biofilm-forming *K. pneumoniae* alone and antimicrobial resistance has not been fully elucidated (Yang and Zhang 2008; Bocanegra-Ibarias et al. 2017; Vuotto et al. 2017). The study objective was to determine whether certain antimicrobial class resistance in *K. pneumoniae* was predictive of strong biofilm formation.

2. Materials and methods:

Our study included 139 unique *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; $n = 66$), Biodefense and Emerging Infections (BEI; $n = 36$), American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; $n = 3$), and Providence Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Center and Rhode Island Hospital ($n = 34$). These isolates were selected because they are known to be resistant to a range of antibiotic classes. Isolates from the Providence VA Medical Center were

☆ **Declarations of Interest:** Kerry L. LaPlante receives research funding or is advisor/consultant for Merck, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc., Nabriva Therapeutics US, Inc., Melinta Therapeutics, Inc., and Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals. Aisling Caffrey has received research funding from Pfizer, and Merck. Jaclyn A. Cusumano, Kathryn E. Daffinee, Vrishali Lopes, and Megan K. Luther report no financial relationships.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-401-874-5560 (office); fax: +1-401-874-2181.

E-mail address: KerryLaPlante@uri.edu (K.L. LaPlante).

collected per the VA approved Institutional Review Board (IRB), Research and Development (R&D), and safety committee protocols.

A previously described biofilm assay was performed to assess biofilm formation (Christensen et al. 1985; Stepanovic et al. 2007; de Campos et al. 2016; Silva et al. 2016; Luther et al. 2018). This assay is considered the standard for evaluation of bacterial attachment and biofilm formation in vitro (O'Toole 2011). Isolates were obtained from culture stocks, stored at -80°C . After streaking on tryptic soy agar and incubating for 18 to 24 hours, an inoculum of $6 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL in tryptic soy broth with added 25 mg/L calcium, 12.5 mg/L magnesium, and 1.25% total dextrose (Luther et al. 2015). Media was selected and validated based on the greatest biofilm formation, which is consistent with previously published data for *Escherichia coli* (Naves et al. 2008) and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (de Campos et al. 2016; Silva et al. 2016). Each isolate was incubated in a 96-well plate (Costar 3596) for 24 hours at 37°C and 120 rpm in octuplicate (de Campos et al. 2016; Silva et al. 2016). Wells were stained with 0.1% crystal violet (CV) and resuspended with 33% glacial acetic acid (Luther et al. 2018). *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 700603) served as the biofilm positive control and media alone was the negative control (Naparstek et al. 2014; Baldiris et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2016; Vuotto et al. 2017).

Biofilm formation was measured as an optical density (OD_{570}). We further categorized isolates as either weak, moderate, or strong biofilm formers based on tertiles of OD_{570} . In order to assess differences between the highest and lowest OD_{570} , we removed isolates in the moderate range (Guembe et al. 2017; Luther et al. 2018).

Organism susceptibility was obtained from the site of collection (e.g. CDC, BEI). When results were unavailable testing was performed by E-test or Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion on Mueller-Hinton agar, and were interpreted according to 2017 CLSI susceptibility breakpoints (Anonymous. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2015; Anonymous 2017a). The FDA package insert for tigecycline (E-test MIC ≤ 2) (2005) and EUCAST breakpoints for colistin (E-test MIC ≤ 2) and fosfomycin (E-test MIC ≤ 32 and disc diffusion ≥ 24 ; both contained glucose-6-phosphate) (Anonymous 2017b) were used as CLSI breakpoints were not available. Isolates were categorized as multi-drug resistant (MDR), extensively drug-resistant (XDR), or resistant to specific antimicrobial classes/agents, according to CDC and European CDC expert consensus definitions for *Enterobacteriaceae* (Magiorakos et al. 2012). MDR isolates demonstrated non-susceptibility to at least one agent in 3 or more antimicrobial categories out of 16 antimicrobial categories and XDR isolates demonstrated

susceptibility to at least one agent in less than or equal to two out of 16 antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al. 2012).

To assess the relationship between biofilm formation and resistance to specific antimicrobial classes/agents, we grouped the 16 antimicrobial categories into 12 categories based on mechanism of action (Table 1). Piperacillin/tazobactam and penicillin/ β -lactamase inhibitors were grouped as penicillins plus β -lactamase inhibitors, and non-extended spectrum cephalosporins, extended-spectrum cephalosporins, cephamycins, and ceftaroline were grouped as cephalosporins. This allowed us to avoid collinearity in our statistical models due to overlap in resistance between antimicrobial categories.

Differences in antimicrobial resistance among the weak and strong biofilm formation groups were assessed with chi-square, Fisher's exact, or t-test as appropriate. Predictors of strong biofilm formation were identified from a logistic regression model. A *P*-value of 0.1 was used for initial inclusion in the model (Table 1) and stepwise backward elimination was used to identify statistically significant predictors of strong biofilm formation (all *P*-values < 0.05). We assessed multicollinearity between potential predictors in the initial model from variance inflation factors, and confirmed the absence of collinearity. A sensitivity analysis was conducted to identify predictors of biofilm formation as a continuous measure (OD_{570}) using linear regression.

3. Results

Optical density (OD_{570}) for all 139 isolates were divided using tertiles as follows: weak ($n = 47$; $\text{OD}_{570} \leq 0.16$), moderate ($n = 46$; $0.16 < \text{OD}_{570} < 0.59$), and strong biofilm formers ($n = 46$; $\text{OD}_{570} \geq 0.59$) (Guembe et al. 2017). This method was internally validated as the positive control was consistently categorized as a strong biofilm former ($\text{OD}_{570} \geq 0.59$) (Guembe et al. 2017). Moderate isolates were removed for a total cohort of 93 isolates (Table 1) to best predict biofilm formation extremes (Guembe et al. 2017).

MDR isolates ($n = 81$) were more common among weak biofilm formers ($n = 46$, 97.9%) versus strong biofilm formers ($n = 35$, 76.1%; $P = 0.002$), and XDR ($n = 25$) isolates were similar between the groups ($n = 12$, 25.5% vs. $n = 13$, 28.3% $P = 0.77$). Number of resistant antimicrobial categories and OD_{570} are shown in Fig. 1. Resistance to all classes of beta-lactams (i.e. penicillins plus β -lactamase inhibitors, cephalosporins, monobactams, and carbapenems), aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol, and fluoroquinolones were more common among weak biofilm formers ($P < 0.05$). In the multivariate model, the only predictor of

Table 1
Klebsiella pneumoniae: antimicrobial resistance and biofilm formation.

Variable	Total cohort ($n = 93$)	Weak biofilm formation ($n = 47$)	Strong biofilm formation ($n = 46$)	<i>P</i> -value
Number of Resistant Categories ($n = 16$), Median, (IQR)	13 (11–14)	13 (12–14)	11.5 (3–14)	0.01
Multidrug-resistant (MDR), n (%) [*]	81 (87.1)	46 (97.9)	35 (76.1)	0.002
Extensively drug-resistant (XDR), n (%) ^{**}	25 (26.9)	12 (25.5)	13 (28.3)	0.77
Penicillins + β -lactamase inhibitors, n (%) [†]	79 (84.9)	46 (97.9)	33 (71.7)	0.0004
Cephalosporins, n (%)	82 (88.2)	46 (97.9)	36 (78.3)	0.003
Monobactam, n (%)	73 (78.5)	45 (95.7)	28 (60.9)	<0.0001
Carbapenems, n (%) ^{††}	70 (75.3)	44 (93.6)	26 (56.5)	<0.0001
Aminoglycosides, n (%)	72 (77.4)	43 (91.5)	29 (63.0)	0.001
Chloramphenicol, n (%)	65 (69.9)	38 (80.9)	27 (58.7)	0.02
Fluoroquinolones, n (%)	73 (78.5)	45 (95.7)	28 (60.9)	<0.0001
Tigecycline, n (%)	13 (14.0)	6 (12.8)	7 (15.2)	0.73
Tetracyclines, n (%)	44 (47.3)	23 (48.9)	21 (45.7)	0.75
Folate pathway inhibitor, n (%)	66 (71.0)	37 (78.7)	29 (63.0)	0.09
Fosfomycin, n (%)	61 (65.6)	29 (61.7)	32 (69.6)	0.42
Colistin, n (%)	11 (11.8)	8 (17.0)	3 (6.5)	0.12

Bolded *P*-values indicate potential predictors of strong biofilm formation included in the initial logistic regression model.

^{*} MDR isolates demonstrated non-susceptibility to at least one agent in 3 or more antimicrobial categories out of 16 antimicrobial categories.

^{**} XDR isolates demonstrated susceptibility to at least one agent in less than or equal to two out of 16 antimicrobial categories.

[†] penicillin + β -lactamase inhibitors category includes piperacillin/tazobactam and penicillin/ β -lactamase inhibitors.

^{††} cephalosporins category includes non-extended spectrum cephalosporins, extended-spectrum cephalosporins, cephamycins, and ceftaroline.




Fig. 1. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilm formation and resistance (n = 139).

biofilm formation was carbapenem resistance, which was inversely associated with strong biofilm formation (odds ratio, OR 0.09; 95% confidence interval, CI 0.02–0.33). Therefore, carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* were 91% less likely to form strong biofilm.

As the proportion of XDR isolates did not vary between weak and strong biofilm formers, we conducted a post-hoc sensitivity subgroup analysis excluding XDR isolates (n = 68) (Table 2). Predictors of strong biofilm formation were again identified from a stepwise backward elimination logistic regression model, with a P-value of 0.1 used for initial inclusion in the model (Table 2). The only predictor of strong biofilm formation was the number of resistant categories, with an odds ratio of 0.70 (95% CI 0.56–0.86), where the odds of strong biofilm formation decreased by 30% with each increase in the number of resistant categories.

In the sensitivity analysis of the continuous measure of biofilm formation, only fluoroquinolone resistance was predictive of the OD₅₇₀,

with a parameter estimate of −0.44 and an intercept of 0.86 (P < 0.001). According to this model, fluoroquinolone susceptibility had an OD₅₇₀ of 0.86, while fluoroquinolone resistance had an OD₅₇₀ of 0.42. In other words, fluoroquinolone susceptible isolates were predicted to be strong biofilm formers, and fluoroquinolone resistant isolates were predicted to be moderate biofilm formers.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to our knowledge, to identify a statistically significant inverse relationship between *K. pneumoniae* antimicrobial resistance and biofilm formation, where carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates were 91% less likely to be strong biofilm formers. Several published studies have described higher biofilm formation in resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates, however, these descriptive studies did not assess whether resistance was predictive of biofilm formation in

Table 2
Sensitivity analysis of non-XDR *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: antimicrobial resistance and biofilm formation.

Variable	Total Cohort (n = 68)	Weak Biofilm Formation (n = 35)	Strong Biofilm Formation (n = 33)	P-value
Number of Resistant Categories (n = 16), Median, (IQR)	12 (8–13)	13 (12–13)	9 (1–12)	<0.0001
Multidrug-resistant (MDR), n (%) [*]	56 (82.4)	34 (97.1)	22 (66.7)	0.001
Extensively drug-resistant (XDR), n (%) ^{**}	0	0	0	---
Penicillins + β-lactamase inhibitors, n (%) [‡]	54 (79.4)	34 (97.1)	20 (60.6)	0.0002
Cephalosporins, n (%) ^{‡‡}	57 (83.8)	34 (97.1)	23 (69.7)	0.002
Monobactam, n (%)	48 (70.6)	33 (94.3)	15 (45.5)	<0.0001
Carbapenems, n (%)	45 (66.2)	32 (91.4)	13 (39.4)	<0.0001
Aminoglycosides, n (%)	48 (70.6)	32 (91.4)	16 (48.5)	0.001
Chloramphenicol, n (%)	40 (58.8)	26 (74.3)	14 (42.4)	0.008
Fluoroquinolones, n (%)	48 (70.6)	33 (94.3)	15 (45.5)	<0.001
Tigecycline, n (%)	6 (8.8)	4 (11.4)	2 (6.1)	0.67
Tetracyclines, n (%)	20 (29.4)	12 (34.3)	8 (24.2)	0.36
Folate pathway inhibitor, n (%)	41 (60.3)	25 (71.4)	16 (48.5)	0.053
Fosfomycin, n (%)	41 (60.3)	19 (54.3)	22 (66.7)	0.30
Colistin, n (%)	6 (8.8)	4 (11.4)	2 (6.1)	0.44

Bolded P-values indicate potential predictors of strong biofilm formation included in the initial logistic regression model.

^{*} MDR isolates demonstrated non-susceptibility to at least one agent in 3 or more antimicrobial categories out of 16 antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al. 2012).

^{**} XDR isolates demonstrated susceptibility to at least one agent in less than or equal to two out of 16 antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al. 2012).

[‡] penicillin + β-lactamase inhibitors category includes piperacillin/tazobactam and penicillin/β-lactamase inhibitors (Magiorakos et al. 2012).

^{‡‡} cephalosporins category includes non-extended spectrum cephalosporins, extended-spectrum cephalosporins, cephamycins, and ceftaroline (Magiorakos et al. 2012).

multivariate analyses (Yang and Zhang 2008; Subramanian et al. 2012; Sanchez Jr. et al. 2013; Bocanegra-Ibarias et al. 2017; Vuotto et al. 2017). This is also the first study to assess resistance and biofilm formation of a diverse collection of *K. pneumoniae* isolates from multiple sources and centers. Inclusion of isolates only from a single-center introduces potential bias if patients are infected with the same organism, especially if isolates are from an outbreak (Bocanegra-Ibarias et al. 2017). Additional rationale for the difference in findings requires further research.

Potential limitations of our study include the overall resistance patterns of our isolates. The majority of our isolates ($n = 81$, 87.1%) were MDR, with the most isolates ($n = 64$, 68.8%) resistant to at least 12 out of 16 antimicrobial classes, but only 26.9% ($n = 25$) were XDR. Inclusion of more susceptible or XDR isolates may have resulted in different predictors of biofilm formation. As a post-hoc sensitivity analysis we excluded XDR isolates, which support the findings from the weak versus strong analysis, where strong biofilm formation was 30% less likely with each increase in the number of resistant categories.

Findings from previous studies may also be limited by misclassification of biofilm formation, which may affect conclusions that biofilm formation is more common among resistant isolates. The definition of biofilm formation varies across studies but the most common definition was originally described for *Staphylococcus spp.* (Christensen et al. 1985; Stepanovic et al. 2007). This method utilizes an OD cut-off (ODc), defined as 3 standard deviations above the average OD of the negative control, to determine biofilm formation. Isolates are either non-adherent ($OD \leq ODc$), weakly adherent ($ODc < OD \leq 2 \times ODc$), moderately adherent ($2 \times ODc < OD \leq 4 \times ODc$), or strongly adherent ($4 \times ODc < OD$). Categorization by this method however, is limited when the negative control has a negligible OD reading, which was the case for our study and previously described literature (Yang and Zhang 2008; Vuotto et al. 2017). Applying this method to our cohort, zero isolates were non-adherent, two weak, 12 moderate, and 125 strong biofilm formers. Therefore, we divided biofilm formation into tertiles (Guembe et al. 2017), to overcome potential bias of overestimating strong biofilm formation (Vuotto et al. 2017). Previous utilization of tertile biofilm categorization was also utilized for *S. aureus*; however, categorization should not be affected by organism as our biofilm quantification method utilized was adapted for *K. pneumoniae*. We also assessed biofilm formation as a continuous variable since there is no standard categorization. However, interpretation of resulting odds ratios is challenging when compared to a dichotomous variable of resistance versus susceptible, and is less clinically meaningful.

Optical density remains an indirect measurement of biofilm formation and standardized methods for both quantification and categorization of *K. pneumoniae* biofilm formation are needed. Varied definitions of biofilm formation are utilized, making direct comparisons across studies difficult. It is imperative to standardize biofilm quantification to allow for accurate assessment of predictors of biofilm across settings and to quantify the relationship between biofilm forming isolates and clinical outcomes.

In our study, strong biofilm formation was 91% less likely with carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae*, which allows clinicians to better predict *K. pneumoniae*'s ability to produce biofilm by phenotypic resistance. This inverse relationship between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance suggests that virulence may be a trade-off for bacterial survival.

Acknowledgements

The information provided in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs. This work was supported in part, by the Office of Academic Affiliations (OAA) at the Department of Veterans

Affairs, and by the COIN: Center of Innovation in Long-Term Services and Supports for Vulnerable Veterans; Providence, RI.

Funding

This work was unfunded and the authors received no outside funding for this work.

References

- Anonymous. Clinical and laboratory standards institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 27th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2017a. p. M100–S27.
- Anonymous. The European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 2017b;7:1<http://www.eucast.org>.
- Anonymous. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: Tenth edition. (M07-A10):CLSI; 2015.
- Baldiris RTV, Vivas-Reyes R, Montes A, Arzuza O. Anti-biofilm activity of ibuprofen and diclofenac against some biofilm producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* uropathogens. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 2016;10:1675–84.
- Bocanegra-Ibarias P, Garza-Gonzalez E, Morfin-Otero R, Barrios H, Villarreal-Trevino L, Rodriguez-Noriega E, et al. Molecular and microbiological report of a hospital outbreak of NDM-1-carrying Enterobacteriaceae in Mexico. *PLoS One* 2017;12, e0179651.
- Christensen GD, Simpson WA, Younger JJ, Baddour LM, Barrett FF, Melton DM, et al. Adherence of coagulase-negative staphylococci to plastic tissue culture plates: a quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. *J Clin Microbiol* 1985;22:996–1006.
- Clegg S, Murphy CN. Epidemiology and virulence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Microbiol Spectr* 2016;4.
- de Campos PA, Royer S, Batistao DW, Araujo BF, Queiroz LL, de Brito CS, et al. Multidrug resistance related to biofilm formation in *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical strains from different Pulsotypes. *Curr Microbiol* 2016;72: 617–27.
- De Rosa FG, Corcione S, Cavallo R, Di Perri G, Bassetti M. Critical issues for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* KPC-carbapenemase producing *K. pneumoniae* infections: a critical agenda. *Future Microbiol* 2015;10:283–94.
- Guembe M, Alonso B, Lucio J, Perez-Grandia MJ, Cruces R, Sanchez-Carrillo C, et al. Biofilm production is not associated with poor clinical outcome in 485 patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.10.018>.
- Hoiby N, Bjarnsholt T, Moser C, Bassi GL, Coenye T, Donelli G, et al. Consulting external expert Werner Z. 2015. ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;21(Suppl. 1):S1–25.
- Lebeaux D, Chauhan A, Rendueles O, Beloin C. From in vitro to in vivo models of bacterial biofilm-related infections. *Pathogens* 2013;2:288–356.
- Liu C, Zhou Y, Wang L, Han L, Lei J, Ishaq HM, et al. Photodynamic inactivation of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilms and planktonic cells by 5-aminolevulinic acid and 5-aminolevulinic acid methyl ester. *Lasers Med Sci* 2016;31:557–65.
- Luther MK, Bilida S, Mermel LA, LaPlante KL. Ethanol and isopropyl alcohol exposure increases biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Infect Dis Ther* 2015;4:219–26.
- Luther MK, Parente DM, Caffrey AR, Daffinee KE, Lopes VV, Martin ET, et al. Clinical and genetic risk factors for biofilm-forming *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018;62.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;18:268–81.
- Naparstek L, Carmeli Y, Navon-Venezia S, Banin E. Biofilm formation and susceptibility to gentamicin and colistin of extremely drug-resistant KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014;69:1027–34.
- Naves P, del Prado G, Huelves L, Gracia M, Ruiz V, Blanco J, et al. Measurement of biofilm formation by clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* is method-dependent. *J Appl Microbiol* 2008;105:585–90.
- Nickel JC, Reid G, Bruce AW, Costerton JW. Ultrastructural microbiology of infected urinary stone. *Urology* 1986;28:512–5.
- O'Toole GA. Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. *J Vis Exp* 2011. <https://doi.org/10.3791/2437>.
- Patel G, Huprikar S, Factor SH, Jenkins SG, Calfee DP. Outcomes of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection and the impact of antimicrobial and adjunctive therapies. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:1099–106.
- Ribeiro SM, Cardoso MH, Candido Ede S, Franco OL. Understanding, preventing and eradicating *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilms. *Future Microbiol* 2016;11:527–38.
- Sanchez Jr CJ, Mende K, Beckius ML, Akers KS, Romano DR, Wenke JC, et al. Biofilm formation by clinical isolates and the implications in chronic infections. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013;13:47.
- Schwaderer AL, Wolfe AJ. The association between bacteria and urinary stones. *Ann Transl Med* 2017;5:32.

- Silva A, Sousa AM, Alves D, Lourenco A, Pereira MO. Heteroresistance to colistin in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* is triggered by small colony variants sub-populations within biofilms. *Pathog Dis* 2016;74.
- Stepanovic S, Vukovic D, Hola V, Di Bonaventura G, Djukic S, Cirkovic I, et al. Quantification of biofilm in microtiter plates: overview of testing conditions and practical recommendations for assessment of biofilm production by staphylococci. *APMIS* 2007; 115:891–9.
- Subramanian P, Shanmugam N, Sivaraman U, Kumar S, Selvaraj S. Antibiotic resistance pattern of biofilm-forming uropathogens isolated from catheterised patients in Pondicherry. *India Australas Med J* 2012;5:344–8.
- Tygacil. (tigecycline) [package insert]. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc; Philadelphia (PA): 2005.
- Vuotto C, Longo F, Pascolini C, Donelli G, Balice MP, Libori MF, et al. Biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* urinary strains. *J Appl Microbiol* 2017; 123:1003–18.
- Won SY, Munoz-Price LS, Lolans K, Hota B, Weinstein RA, Hayden MK, et al. Emergence and rapid regional spread of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;53:532–40.
- Yang D, Zhang Z. Biofilm-forming *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains have greater likelihood of producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. *J Hosp Infect* 2008;68:369–71.