



Allergies and Eosinophilic Esophagitis—Current Updates for the Pediatric Gastroenterologist

Prerana Williamson^{1,2} · Seema Aceves^{3,4}

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Purpose of Review The purpose of this article is to review recent developments demonstrating the role of allergies, the utility of allergy testing, and the role of the allergist in eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) management.

Recent Findings The majority of patients with EoE have concurrent atopic disorders including food anaphylaxis, asthma, allergic rhinitis, and eczema. An atopic population likely is at greater risk for EoE. Delayed type hypersensitivity to food antigens is the most common pathogenic mechanism. Aeroallergens and pollen-food cross-reactivity also can trigger EoE. Th2 cell-mediated adaptive and innate immunity in response to epithelial damage occurs via IL-13- and IL-4-producing T cells and innate lymphoid cells. While IgE testing for foods is insufficient to build an elimination diet, IgE-mediated allergy may play a role in EoE severity and clinical course.

Summary There is strong evidence that Th2 immunity drives EoE. Optimal EoE management should include elucidating and managing EoE triggers and concurrent atopic diatheses.

Keywords Eosinophilic esophagitis · Food · Allergy testing · Atopy · Elimination diet · Allergist referral

Introduction

Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is a chronic antigen-mediated esophageal disease, characterized clinically by symptoms related to esophageal dysfunction and histologically by an eosinophil-predominant, Th2 inflammation when other secondary systemic and local causes of esophageal eosinophilia are excluded [1]. EoE has been the focus of ongoing research since the early 1990s when it emerged as its own disease entity.

Pediatric EoE incidence and prevalence are increasing. A systematic review determined that the overall incidence rates of pediatric EoE varied by geographic region, specifically up to 10 per 100,000 children in the USA (annual percentage increase of 12 to 17%). In the USA, prevalence of EoE was up to 42 per 100,000 children (annual percentage increase of 56% due to the chronic nature of the disease) [2]. Overall, rates of EoE are estimated at 0.5 to 1 case/1000 persons with an incidence of 10/10,000. The prevalence rates of EoE in the USA are similar to those in Australia, Switzerland, Spain, and Canada (range of 0.34 to 1/1000 persons), and incidence in these countries ranges from 6.4 to 12.8/100,000. Reported EoE rates in Denmark and the Netherlands are lower (prevalence of 0.02 and 0.04/1000 persons respectively) [3]. EoE is more prevalent in Caucasian males who have other concurrent atopic diatheses.

The pathogenesis of EoE is multifactorial, involving environmental, immune, and genetic factors. The most common EoE triggers are food antigens which incite a delayed hypersensitivity Th2 response. IL-13 is a master regulator of EoE and is expressed by adaptive CD4+, prostaglandin D synthase (HGPDS)+ effector memory Th2 cells [4, 5]. IL-13 is also likely produced by infiltrating type 2 innate lymphoid cells [6, 7]. Recently, single-cell RNA sequencing identified two T cell populations termed “T7” and “T8” as the key CD4+ T cell

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Pediatric Gastroenterology*

✉ Seema Aceves
saceves@ucsd.edu

¹ Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 0760, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

² Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 0760, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

³ Department of Medicine and Pediatrics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 0760, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

⁴ Division of Allergy, Immunology, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 0760, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

populations increased in active EoE patients [5]. These two cell populations resembled likely dysregulated T regulatory and memory effector Th2 cells respectively. Together, these cells appeared to be ineffective in appropriately suppressing the adaptive response and also stimulated Th2 cytokine production [5]. In line with this, therapies that target receptor blockade of the Th2 interleukins IL-4 and IL-13 have been preliminarily successful in adult EoE [8, 9].

Activated epithelial cells produce eotaxin-3 (CCL26), driving tissue eosinophil accumulation [10, 11]. Inflammatory cell-derived IL-5 activates eosinophils, and IL-9 can drive mast cell accumulation [12]. Structural cells such as epithelial cells and fibroblasts along with inflammatory cells such as mast cells and eosinophils produce pro-fibrotic factors such as TGF β 1 and TNF α , leading to changes in disease severity and complications such as food impactions and strictures [13, 14].

The purpose of this review is to describe the most recent studies associating the role of allergies and allergy testing with EoE.

Allergies and EoE

Environmental Allergies

The majority of patients with EoE have associated atopic disorders such as allergic rhinitis, asthma, and atopic dermatitis [15, 16, 17]. In a retrospective cross-sectional review including 456,128 patients, 59.8% of patients with EoE had asthma vs. 21.4% of those without EoE; 17.8% of EoE patients vs. 6.6% non-EoE patients had atopic dermatitis. In addition, the majority of EoE patients had concurrent sensitization to aeroallergens with 60% having allergic rhinoconjunctivitis [16]. Aeroallergens can be the sole driver of esophageal eosinophilia in some patients and can exacerbate existing disease in others [18, 19]. Seasonal variation in EoE diagnoses has been reported with more newly diagnosed EoE cases in the spring or summer months [20–22]. A recent study demonstrated that 70% of EoE patients ($n = 13$) experienced increased symptoms, endoscopic severity, and peak eosinophil counts (increasing from 6.8 to 86.8 eosinophil per high-power field ($p < .001$)) in fall and summer months [23]. A single-center pediatric study showed that children with EoE had seasonal esophageal eosinophil exacerbations that corresponded to their aeroallergen sensitization [19]. Murine models using *Aspergillus fumigatus*, cockroach, or dust mite antigen provide further proof of concept that aeroallergens drive esophageal eosinophil accumulation [24–26]. Indeed, recent data also demonstrate local deposition of dust mite antigen directly into the mucosal surface of EoE subjects [27, 28]. This aligns with reports that demonstrate that aeroallergen sublingual immunotherapy can incite EoE [29–31]. The role of subcutaneous immunotherapy to

aeroallergens as an isolated or adjuvant treatment for EoE has been successful in case reports, but randomized controlled trials of this intervention can be challenging, especially in children [32]. However, given the immunologic mechanism of desensitization using immunotherapy, the conceptual framework is likely sound [33].

Interestingly, there may be an interplay between acid and aeroallergens, whereby acid-induced esophageal epithelial damage could allow antigen penetration. Twenty-six percent of pollen-allergic patients without gastroesophageal reflux who were symptomatic during the pollen season had significantly increased esophageal eosinophilia when compared with control, non-allergic patients (0%). Patients without atopy but who had confirmed gastroesophageal reflux also had esophageal eosinophilia present (21%) [34]. Allergic rhinitis is reported to predispose to loss of PPI response in those initially identified to be PPI responsive [35]. Together, these data signify the role of aeroallergens functioning as EoE triggers.

Food Allergies

An abundance of literature supports the role of a delayed hypersensitivity reaction to common food antigens as a main driving event in EoE. In 1995, Kelly et al. demonstrated EoE resolution in children with gastroesophageal reflux and persistent symptoms despite various anti-reflux therapies after treatment with an exclusive elemental diet [36]. Since then, multiple studies have confirmed these findings [37–39]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis included 33 references analyzing a total of 1317 patients with EoE receiving different dietary treatments. Of these, 13 studies of 429 EoE patients showed an overall efficacy of elemental diet in achieving histologic remission of EoE in 90.8% of patients. An overlapping group of 14 studies with 626 patients who had allergy testing-directed food elimination diets showed an overall efficacy of 45.5%. A further seven studies of 197 patients demonstrated that empiric six-food elimination diet (SFED; diet excluding milk, eggs, wheat, soy, peanuts/tree nuts, and fish/shellfish) induced EoE remission in 72.1% of subjects [37]. More recently, a prospective trial in children demonstrated that 4-food elimination of milk, wheat, egg, and soy was as effective as the reported rates of 6-food elimination [40]. Thus, it appears that elemental diet establishes remission in nearly all patients; empiric four- and six-food elimination diets provide remission rates of close to 70% while allowing a more normal and less-expensive diet; and allergy testing-directed elimination may not increase the remission rate but may detect food antigens that are not detected by empiric avoidance.

Eighty-eight percent of patients undergoing reintroduction of foods following successful six-food elimination diet develop recurrence of disease [41]. Molina-Infante and colleagues reported a step-up elimination diet in which EoE patients

initially started a two-food group elimination diet (TFGED; cow's milk and wheat) and proceeded to four (FFGED; TFGED plus eggs and legumes) and then six-food group elimination diet (SFGED; FFGED plus peanuts, tree nuts, fish, and shellfish) in a stepwise approach based on clinico-histologic response. The efficacy of each diet was 43%, 60%, and 79% for the two-, four-, and six-food group elimination diets respectively. On reintroduction of foods, 70% of responders to TFGED had one identified trigger, 70% of FFGED responders had one or two triggers, and 100% of SFGED responders had three or more triggers [42]. In line with the delayed type hypersensitivity to foods, new-onset EoE disease prevalence of 2.7% has been reported to occur in patients undergoing peanut, milk, or egg oral immunotherapy as treatment for IgE-mediated food allergy, with EoE often resolving after OIT was discontinued [43]. Together, these data support the hypothesis that food allergens are a main trigger for EoE disease pathogenesis.

Immediate hypersensitivity reactions to foods are immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated, while EoE instigation is IgE independent. However, the prevalence of EoE in pediatric patients with IgE-mediated food allergy is higher than that in the general population (4.7% vs. 0.04%) [17]. In a cohort of 198 children with EoE analyzed retrospectively, the prevalence of IgE-associated food allergy at time of EoE diagnosis was 29%. Patients with immediate hypersensitivity to foods presented clinically at younger ages than those without food allergies (6.05 vs. 8.09 years; $p = 0.013$) and were more likely to have comorbid allergic disease. In addition, children with immediate food hypersensitivity presented with significantly more symptoms of dysphagia, gagging, cough, and poor appetite when compared with those without food allergies. Of note, younger age, allergic rhinitis, male gender, and presence of dysphagia were all independently associated with having EoE plus immediate food hypersensitivity [44]. In adults with EoE, gliadin antigen can be found to be deposited on the surface of the esophagus, suggesting a local mechanism for food reactions [45].

While IgE is not required for EoE instigation and isolated anti-IgE therapy is not effective in treating EoE, IgE food sensitization and, possibly IgG4, may exacerbate EoE [46, 47]. Children with genotype TT at the TGF β 1 promoter and IgE sensitization to EoE triggering foods have more severe histologic EoE, and children with an EoE risk allele in the thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) gene have greater numbers of foods that trigger their EoE [48, 49].

Allergy Testing in EoE

The three primary methods for testing for food sensitization in EoE are serum IgE testing, skin prick testing (SPT), and atopy patch testing (APT) (Table 1). IgE-mediated reactions can be

identified with serum IgE levels or SPT in the context of immediate and repeated clinical reaction to the food. APT is used to assess cell-mediated (delayed) reactions and has been standardized and validated for contact dermatitis and dust mite allergies. There are mixed data regarding whether food allergy testing is indeed useful in guiding dietary elimination therapy in EoE. The most commonly identified positive testing to food antigens in EoE patients includes milk, egg, wheat, and soy [39, 50]. However, diets exclusively based on isolated IgE serum or skin prick testing are not successful in eliminating the appropriate food triggers in EoE. In children, there is literature suggesting that the combined use of food patch and prick testing-based targeted food elimination can be successful in as many as 74% of patients ($n = 24$) [50]. However, overall, the rates for testing-based elimination diets are not superior to empiric elimination of common foods (milk, egg, soy, wheat), and testing-based diets tend to be unsuccessful in adults [38, 39, 51].

SPT alone has insufficient negative predictive values (NPV) for remission of 40–67% for various food groups, with the lowest NPV being to milk [38]. Individual or combined SPT and APT give low positive predictive values (44% on average) but better negative predictive values for most foods [39]. This is the general rule in food testing even for IgE—the predictive value of a negative test outweighs the positive predictive value of a positive test. In adult EoE patients, SPT, APT, serum IgE, basophil activation tests, and serum food-specific IgG were unable to accurately predict EoE triggers [52, 53]. Component-resolved diagnosis (CRD)-based diet therapy has also been attempted. However, due to failure of treatment in over 90% of patients, the study was not completed [54]. This indicates that currently available allergy testing is not adequate alone to design elimination diet therapy for EoE management.

In some cases, testing for food triggers can be important. For example, in children, a subgroup of patients may have improvement in their disease following allergy testing-based diets and such diets may remove fewer foods [38]. Since the prevalence of food allergies and anaphylaxis is higher in patients with EoE, skin prick testing or serum IgE testing for immediate hypersensitivity for foods is warranted for IgE-mediated allergy-eliciting foods and for education on which food avoidances could cause anaphylaxis as opposed to simply causing EoE [55]. Thus, food allergy testing may be useful to reduce unnecessary avoidance of non-trigger foods.

Esophageal prick testing (EPT) has been piloted in a group of 8 EoE and 3 control patients. Although no systemic anaphylaxis reactions occurred in any patients, at least half of EoE patients had chest pain and/or acute transient complete luminal obstruction and blanching of mucosa at injection site. There was poor concordance with EPT and SPT or serum testing [56]. Because of the undesirable reactions reported with EPT and the potential of anaphylaxis when antigen is

Table 1 Role of testing in eosinophilic esophagitis

Test	Serum IgE	Skin prick test	Atopy patch test	Utility
Food	NA	X	X	Antigen-based elimination diet
	X	X	NA	Food antigen avoidance needed for history of immediate food hypersensitivity; Food antigen that may require office challenge by allergist for loss of tolerance
Aeroallergen	X	X	NA	Identify triggering antigens to control allergic rhinitis, asthma, eczema, and EoE exacerbations; Identifying season of increased esophageal eosinophilia

injected into the mucosal surface, the risks likely outweigh the benefits of the procedure.

Esophageal tissue from EoE patients has demonstrated significantly increased IgG4 compared with controls. EoE patients also had increased serum levels of IgG4 for milk, wheat, egg, and nuts [46, 57]. Elevated total IgG4 and food-specific IgG4 have also been reported in the serum and esophageal tissue of EoE patients by several other authors [58–60]. However, the role of IgG4 in EoE still remains unclear. Patients with IgE-mediated food allergy have increases in specific IgG4 during successful desensitization processes. As such, elevated IgG4 in EoE may simply reflect the disease mechanism of cellular, rather than IgE, mediated disease. It is possible the serum food-specific IgG4 could function as a biomarker for EoE, but this remains to be systematically evaluated.

Testing for aeroallergens in patients with EoE is of utility. Asthma literature has long supported the avoidance of triggering environmental antigens for asthma control. Given the similar Th2 pathogenesis between EoE and asthma, the fact that intranasally deposited antigens can drive experimental EoE, that seasonal aeroallergens can clearly exacerbate EoE, and that asthmatic patients with EoE tend to have higher esophageal eosinophil counts, avoidance of those environmental antigens that test positive is a reasonable and important consideration in EoE patients [18–25, 26•, 27, 28•, 61].

Referral to an Allergist

The allergist is important in EoE management. This is especially true for elucidating EoE environmental triggers, for understanding the potential for IgE-mediated food anaphylaxis following avoidance of a food for which an individual had positive IgE testing, and for treating and considering the side effects of treatment for concurrent diatheses [33]. Loss of tolerance to specific foods in IgE food-sensitized children after an elimination diet creates the potential for onset of anaphylaxis on food reintroduction, and the decision to prescribe injectable epinephrine therapy can be made by the patient's

allergist [62]. In addition, an office-supervised food challenge may be a safer way to introduce a food that has been avoided but which carries a theoretically high risk of IgE-mediated allergy.

Allergic co-morbidities are higher in EoE patients than the general population. In other disorders such as allergic rhinitis and asthma, often considered “one airway,” chronic allergies and sinusitis can predispose to poor asthma control [63, 64]. Indeed, children with asthma and indoor allergen sensitizations are less likely to outgrow their asthma [63]. In addition, oral steroid-dependent asthmatics had asthma control upon house dust mite avoidance, an elegant and classic allergy study [65]. As such, concurrent atopic disorders in EoE patients should be evaluated and optimally treated. Knowing that EoE can worsen with aeroallergen exposure and that aeroallergens and foods can deposit directly into the esophagus, a strategy for escalating and de-escalating therapy during exacerbations can also be built and the timing of endoscopies can be tempered to seasonality if needed [27, 45]. Children with EoE who are mold- or cockroach-sensitized are more likely to fail combination diet and swallowed corticosteroid treatment ($p = 0.02$ and $p = 0.002$) [66]. This suggests that sensitization to perennial allergens may lead to nonresponsive EoE in some patients, and thus is important clinical information to obtain when treating EoE. Educating patients about allergen avoidance may therefore alter their response to therapy. In addition, the rates of oral allergy syndrome are high in EoE subjects (up to 50%) and the avoidance of triggering foods and cross-reacting pollens may be helpful in controlling disease [67–69].

Novel therapies, such as epicutaneous immunotherapy for milk, may be of utility in EoE [70]. The practicing allergist would be most knowledgeable for deciding which patients may benefit from such an intervention, the timing and follow-up required, and the potential need for further research-based testing such as that for activated milk-specific T cells [71].

As aeroallergen immunotherapy can be used to desensitize allergic patients, the allergist can help determine if immunotherapy is indicated in a patient who also has EoE. In contrast, there have been several reports of EoE occurrence with oral,

sublingual, and subcutaneous immunotherapy for foods and aeroallergens [29, 43, 71]. However, in cases where aeroallergens may be driving the disease, immunotherapy may be useful for the IgE-mediated processes [32, 72, 73]. This decision must consider the severity of allergic symptoms requiring immunotherapy and the patient's EoE disease phenotype and maintenance of EoE remission. It is also important to note that primary immunodeficiency disorders can present with secondary gastrointestinal eosinophilia [74–76]. In such cases, it is essential not to miss a primary immune disorder, since these carry a risk of complications such as autoimmunity and malignancy. The intrinsic complexity and constantly growing body of literature in allergy/immunology should be considered closely when managing EoE.

Conclusions

There is clear evidence that food and aeroallergens induce inflammation that leads to esophageal eosinophilia and associated symptoms and complications of EoE. Although both play a role in disease pathogenesis, foods are the predominant allergen trigger in EoE. Ongoing studies aim to delineate the pathways through which this inflammation is occurring. These pathways may be different depending on the antigen stimulus, the location and duration of exposure, patient age and genetic make-up, and EoE phenotype/endotype [77••]. Overall, recent studies demonstrate that EoE is a cell-mediated immune process and that the presence of IgE sensitization and concurrent atopic diseases can alter the course of EoE [33, 44, 48, 49, 77••, 78•].

In EoE, the goals of diagnosis, induction of remission, control of potential complications, and remission maintenance are best achieved through a multidisciplinary team of gastroenterologists, allergists, and dietitians whenever possible. The allergist's role in elucidating, treating, and educating patients on allergic triggers and inflammation in EoE is important for comprehensive EoE patient care.

Funding Information Seema Aceves reports grant funding for lab and salary from NIAID and NIDDK, grant funding for lab from Ferring Research Institute, and personal fees from AImmune. In addition, Dr. Aceves has a patent (oral viscous budesonide) licensed to Shire-Takeda and is a member of the Medical Advisory Board for CURED and APFED both non-profit patient advocacy groups. No payments received (volunteer).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Prerana Williamson declares no conflict of interest. Seema Aceves is a co-inventor of oral budesonide suspension, patented by UCSD and licensed by Shire-Takeda, is a consultant for AImmune, and has research funding from the NIH.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

- 1.•• Dellon ES, Liacouras CA, Molina-Infante J, Furuta GT, Spergel JM, Zevit N, et al. Updated international consensus diagnostic criteria for eosinophilic esophagitis: proceedings of the AGREE Conference. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;155(4):1022–33 e10. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.07.009> **These are the most recent international, multidisciplinary guidelines for the diagnosis and management of EoE.**
2. Soon IS, Butzner JD, Kaplan GG, deBruyn JC. Incidence and prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in children. *Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition*. 2013;57(1):72–80. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0b013e318291fee2>.
3. Dellon ES. Epidemiology of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am*. 2014;43(2):201–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gtc.2014.02.002>.
4. Mitson-Salazar A, Yin Y, Wansley DL, Young M, Bolan H, Arceo S, et al. Hematopoietic prostaglandin D synthase defines a proeosinophilic pathogenic effector human T(H)2 cell subpopulation with enhanced function. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;137(3):907–18 e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.08.007>.
- 5.• Wen T, Aronow BJ, Rochman Y, Rochman M, Kc K, Dexheimer PJ, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing identifies inflammatory tissue T cells in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Clin Invest*. 2019;130:2014–28. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI125917> **This study is the first to demonstrate the T cell subsets in active EoE biopsies using single-cell sequencing.**
6. Doherty TA, Baum R, Newbury RO, Yang T, Dohil R, Aquino M, et al. Group 2 innate lymphocytes (ILC2) are enriched in active eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(3):792–4 e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.05.048>.
7. Laky K, Kinard JL, Guerrero A, Li MJ, Guerrero P. TGFβRI mutation impairs esophageal epithelial cell homeostasis resulting in tissue-restricted increases in proteins that act directly, indirectly, and synergistically to drive accumulation and activation of eosinophils, mast cells, and type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2). *J Immunology*. 2019;67(15).
8. Hirano I, Collins MH, Assouline-Dayan Y, Evans L, Gupta S, et al. RPC4046, a monoclonal antibody against IL-13 reduces histologic and endoscopic activity in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterol*. 2019;156(3):592–603.
9. Moawad F, Nhu QM. New developments in the diagnosis and treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol*. 2019;17(1):48–62.
10. Blanchard C, Wang N, Stringer KF, Mishra A, Fulkerson PC, Abonia JP, et al. Eotaxin-3 and a uniquely conserved gene-expression profile in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Clin Invest*. 2006;116(2):536–47. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI26679>.
11. Blanchard C, Stucke EM, Rodriguez-Jimenez B, Burwinkel K, Collins MH, Ahrens A, et al. A striking local esophageal cytokine expression profile in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;127(1):208–17, 17 e1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2010.10.039>.

12. Otani IM, Anilkumar AA, Newbury RO, Bhagat M, Beppu LY, Dohil R, et al. Anti-IL-5 therapy reduces mast cell and IL-9 cell numbers in pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;131(6):1576–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.02.042>.
13. Aceves SS. Remodeling and fibrosis in chronic eosinophil inflammation. *Dig Dis*. 2014;32(1-2):15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000357004>.
14. Kasagi Y, Dods K, Wang JX, Chandramouleeswaran PM, Benitez AJ, Gambanga F, et al. Fibrostenotic eosinophilic esophagitis might reflect epithelial lysyl oxidase induction by fibroblast-derived TNF-alpha. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.10.067>.
15. Mohammad AA, Wu SZ, Ibrahim O, Bena J, Rizk M, Piliang M, et al. Prevalence of atopic comorbidities in eosinophilic esophagitis: a case-control study of 449 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;76(3):559–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2016.08.068>.
16. Capucilli P, Cianferoni A, Grundmeier RW, Spergel JM. Comparison of comorbid diagnoses in children with and without eosinophilic esophagitis in a large population. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2018;121(6):711–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2018.08.022>.
17. Hill DA, Dudley JW, Spergel JM. The prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in pediatric patients with IgE-mediated food allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2017;5(2):369–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2016.11.020> **This study demonstrates that children with food allergy have higher prevalence of EoE and set the stage for EoE as part of the atopic march.**
18. Fogg MI, Ruchelli E, Spergel JM. Pollen and eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2003;112(4):796–7.
19. Ram G, Lee J, Ott M, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Cianferoni A, Shuker M, et al. Seasonal exacerbation of esophageal eosinophilia in children with eosinophilic esophagitis and allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2015;115(3):224–8 e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2015.07.004>.
20. Wang FY, Gupta SK, Fitzgerald JF. Is there a seasonal variation in the incidence or intensity of allergic eosinophilic esophagitis in newly diagnosed children? *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2007;41(5):451–3. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mcg.0000248019.16139.67>.
21. Moawad FJ, Veerappan GR, Lake JM, Maydonovitch CL, Haymore BR, Kosisky SE, et al. Correlation between eosinophilic oesophagitis and aeroallergens. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;31(4):509–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04199.x>.
22. Almansa C, Krishna M, Buchner AM, Ghabril MS, Talley N, DeVault KR, et al. Seasonal distribution in newly diagnosed cases of eosinophilic esophagitis in adults. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2009;104(4):828–33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2008.169>.
23. Reed CC, Iglesia EGA, Commins SP, Dellon ES. Seasonal exacerbation of eosinophilic esophagitis histologic activity in adults and children implicates role of aeroallergens. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2018.12.013>.
24. Mishra A, Hogan SP, Brandt EB, Rothenberg ME. An etiological role for aeroallergens and eosinophils in experimental esophagitis. *J Clin Invest*. 2001;107(1):83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI10224>.
25. Akei HS, Mishra A, Blanchard C, Rothenberg ME. Epicutaneous antigen exposure primes for experimental eosinophilic esophagitis in mice. *Gastroenterology*. 2005;129(3):985–94. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2005.06.027>.
26. Rayapudi M, Mavi P, Zhu X, Pandey AK, Abonia JP, Rothenberg ME, et al. Indoor insect allergens are potent inducers of experimental eosinophilic esophagitis in mice. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2010;88(2):337–46. <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0110025>.
27. Ravi A, Marietta EV, Geno DM, Alexander JA, Murray JA, Katzka DA. Penetration of the esophageal epithelium by dust mite antigen in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.02.042>.
28. Aceves SS. Local antigen deposition in eosinophilic esophagitis: implications for immune activation. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;157(1):17–20. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.05.044> **A short review on the potential immune mechanisms of aeroallergen-induced EoE.**
29. Miehle S, Alpan O, Schroder S, Straumann A. Induction of eosinophilic esophagitis by sublingual pollen immunotherapy. *Case Rep Gastroenterol*. 2013;7(3):363–8. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000355161>.
30. Patel C, Menon, P. Eosinophilic esophagitis as a complication of sublingual immunotherapy Consultant360. 2017.
31. Rokosz M, Bauer C, Schroeder S. Eosinophilic esophagitis induced by aeroallergen sublingual immunotherapy in an enteral feeding tube-dependent pediatric patient. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2017;119(1):88–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2017.05.004>.
32. Ramirez RM, Jacobs RL. Eosinophilic esophagitis treated with immunotherapy to dust mites. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;132(2):503–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2013.04.053>.
33. Spergel J, Aceves SS. Allergic components of eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2018;142(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.05.001> **A review on the allergic triggers and interaction of allergy with EoE.**
34. Onbasi K, Sin AZ, Doganavsargil B, Onder GF, Bor S, Sebik F. Eosinophil infiltration of the oesophageal mucosa in patients with pollen allergy during the season. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2005;35(11):1423–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2005.02351.x>.
35. Molina-Infante J, Rodriguez-Sanchez J, Martinek J, van Rhijn BD, Krajcivova J, Rivas MD, et al. Long-term loss of response in proton pump inhibitor-responsive esophageal eosinophilia is uncommon and influenced by CYP2C19 genotype and rhinoconjunctivitis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110(11):1567–75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2015.314>.
36. Kelly KJ, Lazenby AJ, Rowe PC, Yardley JH, Perman JA, Sampson HA. Eosinophilic esophagitis attributed to gastroesophageal reflux: improvement with an amino acid-based formula. *Gastroenterology*. 1995;109(5):1503–12.
37. Arias A, Gonzalez-Cervera J, Tenias JM, Lucendo AJ. Efficacy of dietary interventions for inducing histologic remission in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;146(7):1639–48. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.02.006>.
38. Henderson CJ, Abonia JP, King EC, Putnam PE, Collins MH, Franciosi JP, et al. Comparative dietary therapy effectiveness in remission of pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2012;129(6):1570–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.03.023>.
39. Spergel JM, Brown-Whitehorn TF, Cianferoni A, Shuker M, Wang ML, Verma R, et al. Identification of causative foods in children with eosinophilic esophagitis treated with an elimination diet. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2012;130(2):461–7 e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.05.021>.
40. Kagalwalla AF, Wechsler JB, Amsden K, Schwartz S, Makhija M, Olive A, et al. Efficacy of a 4-food elimination diet for children with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;15(11):1698–707 e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.05.048>.
41. Kagalwalla AF, Shah A, Li BU, Sentongo TA, Ritz S, Manuel-Rubio M, et al. Identification of specific foods responsible for inflammation in children with eosinophilic esophagitis successfully treated with empiric elimination diet. *Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition*. 2011;53(2):145–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0b013e31821cf503>.
42. Molina-Infante J, Arias A, Alcedo J, Garcia-Romero R, Casabona-Frances S, Prieto-Garcia A, et al. Step-up empiric elimination diet for pediatric and adult eosinophilic esophagitis: the 2-4-6 study. *J*

- Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;141(4):1365–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2017.08.038>.
43. Lucendo AJ, Arias A, Tenias JM. Relation between eosinophilic esophagitis and oral immunotherapy for food allergy: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2014;113(6):624–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2014.08.004>.
 44. Pelz BJ, Wechsler JB, Amsden K, Johnson K, Singh AM, Wershil BK, et al. IgE-associated food allergy alters the presentation of paediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2016;46(11):1431–40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12776>.
 45. Marietta EV, Geno DM, Smyrk TC, Becker A, Alexander JA, Camilleri M, et al. Presence of intraepithelial food antigen in patients with active eosinophilic oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2017;45(3):427–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.13877>.
 46. Simon D, Cianferoni A, Spergel JM, Aceves S, Holbreich M, Venter C, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis is characterized by a non-IgE-mediated food hypersensitivity. *Allergy*. 2016;71(5):611–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12846>.
 47. Loizou D, Enav B, Komlodi-Pasztor E, Hider P, Kim-Chang J, Noonan L, et al. A pilot study of omalizumab in eosinophilic esophagitis. *PLoS one*. 2015;10(3). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113483>.
 48. Rawson R, Anilkumar A, Newbury RO, Bafna V, Aquino M, Palmquist J, et al. The TGFbeta1 promoter SNP C-509T and food sensitization promote esophageal remodeling in pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis. *PLoS one*. 2015;10(12):e0144651. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144651>.
 49. Fahey LM, Chandramouleeswaran PM, Guan S, Benitez AJ, Furuta GT, Aceves SS, et al. Food allergen triggers are increased in children with the TSLP risk allele and eosinophilic esophagitis. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. 2018;9(3):139. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41424-018-0003-x>.
 50. Spergel JM, Beausoleil JL, Mascarenhas M, Liacouras CA. The use of skin prick tests and patch tests to identify causative foods in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2002;109(2):363–8.
 51. Rodriguez-Sanchez J, Gomez Torrijos E, Lopez Viedma B, de la Santa BE, Martin Davila F, Garcia Rodriguez C, et al. Efficacy of IgE-targeted vs empiric six-food elimination diets for adult eosinophilic oesophagitis. *Allergy*. 2014;69(7):936–42. <https://doi.org/10.1111/all.12420>.
 52. Philpott H, Nandurkar S, Royce SG, Thien F, Gibson PR. Allergy tests do not predict food triggers in adult patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis. A comprehensive prospective study using five modalities. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2016;44(3):223–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.13676>.
 53. Lucendo AJ, Arias A, Gonzalez-Cervera J, Yague-Compadre JL, Guagnozzi D, Angueira T, et al. Empiric 6-food elimination diet induced and maintained prolonged remission in patients with adult eosinophilic esophagitis: a prospective study on the food cause of the disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2013;131(3):797–804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.664>.
 54. van Rhijn BD, Vlieg-Boerstra BJ, Versteeg SA, Akkerdaas JH, van Ree R, Terreehorst I, et al. Evaluation of allergen-microarray-guided dietary intervention as treatment of eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(4):1095–7 e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.02.038>.
 55. Liacouras CA, Furuta GT, Hirano I, Atkins D, Attwood SE, Bonis PA, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: updated consensus recommendations for children and adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;128(1):3–20 e6; quiz 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2011.02.040>.
 56. Warners MJ, Terreehorst I, van den Wijngaard RM, Akkerdaas J, van Esch B, van Ree R, et al. Abnormal responses to local esophageal food allergen injections in adult patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(1):57–60 e2. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2017.08.062>.
 57. Clayton F, Fang JC, Gleich GJ, Lucendo AJ, Olalla JM, Vinson LA, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in adults is associated with IgG4 and not mediated by IgE. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;147(3):602–9. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.05.036>.
 58. Wright BL, Kulis M, Guo R, Orgel KA, Wolf WA, Burks AW, et al. Food-specific IgG4 is associated with eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;138(4):1190–2 e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.02.024>.
 59. Zukerberg L, Mahadevan K, Selig M, Deshpande V. Oesophageal intrasquamous IgG4 deposits: an adjunctive marker to distinguish eosinophilic oesophagitis from reflux oesophagitis. *Histopathology*. 2016;68(7):968–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/his.12892>.
 60. Schuyler AJ, Wilson JM, Tripathi A, Commins SP, Ogbogu PU, Kruzsewski PG, et al. Specific IgG4 antibodies to cow's milk proteins in pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2018;142(1):139–48 e12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.02.049>.
 61. Rajan J, Newbury RO, Anilkumar A, Dohil R, Broide DH, Aceves SS. Long-term assessment of esophageal remodeling in patients with pediatric eosinophilic esophagitis treated with topical corticosteroids. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016;137(1):147–56 e8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.05.045>.
 62. Hill DA, Shuker M, Cianferoni A, Wong T, Ruchelli E, Spergel JM, et al. The development of IgE-mediated immediate hypersensitivity after the diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis to the same food. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2015;3(1):123–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2014.08.005>.
 63. Sears MR, Greene JM, Willan AR, Wiecek EM, Taylor DR, Flannery EM, et al. A longitudinal, population-based, cohort study of childhood asthma followed to adulthood. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349(15):1414–22. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa022363>.
 64. Chang EH, Stern DA, Willis AL, Guerra S, Wright AL, Martinez FD. Early life risk factors for chronic sinusitis: a longitudinal birth cohort study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2018;141(4):1291–7 e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2017.11.052>.
 65. Platts-Mills TA, Mitchell EB. House dust mite avoidance. *Lancet*. 1982;2(8311):1334. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(82\)91530-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(82)91530-6).
 66. Pesek RD, Rettiganti M, O'Brien E, Beckwith S, Daniel C, Luo C, et al. Effects of allergen sensitization on response to therapy in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2017;119(2):177–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2017.06.006>.
 67. Mahdavinia M, Bishehsari F, Hayat W, Elhassan A, Tobin MC, Ditto AM. Association of eosinophilic esophagitis and food pollen allergy syndrome. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2017;118(1):116–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2016.10.012>.
 68. Ho HCM. Eosinophile esophagitis triggered exclusively by birch and mugwort cross-reactive foods. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology*. 2018;121(4):S114–S5.
 69. Armentia A, Martin-Armentia S, Martin-Armentia B, Santos-Fernandez J, Alvarez R, Madrigal B, et al. Is eosinophilic esophagitis an equivalent of pollen allergic asthma? Analysis of biopsies and therapy guided by component resolved diagnosis. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)*. 2018;46(2):181–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2017.11.001>.
 70. Spergel JM, Elci OU, Muir AB, Liacouras CA, Wilkins BJ, et al. Efficacy of epicutaneous immunotherapy in children with milk-induced eosinophilic esophagitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019; Epub ahead of print.
 71. Cianferoni A, Ruffner MA, Guzek R, Guan S, Brown-Whitehorn, Spergel JM. Elevated expression of activated Th2 cells and milk-specific Th2 cells in milk-induced eosinophilic esophagitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2018;120(2):177–83.

72. Wells R, Fox AT, Furman M. Recurrence of eosinophilic oesophagitis with subcutaneous grass pollen immunotherapy. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2018;2018. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2017-223465>.
73. Perez J, Pongdee T, et al. Allergen specific immunotherapy as a treatment for eosinophilic esophagitis. *World Allergy Organization Journal.* 2012;5. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.WOX.0000412167.93101.0d>.
74. Ruffner MA, Group UBW, Sullivan KE. Complications associated with underweight primary immunodeficiency patients: prevalence and associations within the USIDNET Registry. *J Clin Immunol.* 2018;38(3):283–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-018-0492-0>.
75. Arora M, Bagi P, Strongin A, Heimall J, Zhao X, Lawrence MG, et al. Gastrointestinal manifestations of STAT3-deficient hyper-IgE syndrome. *J Clin Immunol.* 2017;37(7):695–700. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-017-0429-z>.
76. Chen M, Ko HM, Riffle ME, Andrae DA, Cunningham-Rundles C, Chehade M, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis diagnosed in a patient with common variable immunodeficiency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2016;4(5):995–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2016.03.023>.
77. Shoda T, Wen T, Aceves SS, Abonia JP, Atkins D, Bonis PA, et al. Eosinophilic oesophagitis endotype classification by molecular, clinical, and histopathological analyses: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;3(7):477–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253\(18\)30096-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(18)30096-7) **This study is the first to document molecular phenotypes in EoE.**
78. Collins C, Palmquist J, Proudfoot JA, Qian A, Wangberg H, Khosh-Hemmat E, et al. Evaluation of long-term course in children with eosinophilic esophagitis reveals distinct histologic patterns and clinical characteristics. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2019.06.015> **The first study of longitudinal EoE in children that show distinct response patterns that associate with clinical phenotypes of biological sex and first response to therapy.**

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.