

serum prostate-specific antigen levels, PSA density, and previous biopsy histology to determine the most appropriate diagnostic pathway, as indicated in a recent publication by the PI-RADS steering committee.³

Andrei S. Purysko, MD, Section of Abdominal Imaging and Nuclear Radiology Department, Imaging Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

References

1. Purysko AS, Bittencourt LK, Bullen JA, Mostardeiro TR, Herts BR, Klein EA. Accuracy and interobserver agreement for prostate imaging reporting and data system, version 2, for the characterization of lesions identified on multiparametric MRI of the prostate. *AJR*. 2017;209:339–349.
2. Greer MD, Shih JH, Lay N, et al. Validation of the dominant sequence paradigm and role of dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging in PI-RADS version 2. *Radiology*. 2017;285:859–869.
3. Padhani AR, Weinreb J, Rosenkrantz AB, Villeirs G, Turkbey B, Barents J. Prostate imaging-reporting and data system steering committee: PI-RADS v2 status update and future directions. *Eur Urol* 2018; [Epub ahead of print].

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.068>
UROLOGY 123: 196–197, 2019. © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc.

AUTHOR REPLY



We thank the authors for their positive notes on our study. We agree with them that prostate lesions in the peripheral zone should probably be characterized on multiparametric MRI and clinically managed subsequently in a different manner compared to those in the transition zone. It is a fact that imaging accuracy for the latter ones is still far from optimal. We move a step further by saying that prostate lesions should probably be differently characterized on multiparametric MRI depending on their location (eg, apex vs base) even when lying in the same (peripheral) zone. The possibility for a region-dependent Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System with a different threshold for biopsy has already been alluded to by prominent experts in the field.¹

We also agree with the authors of this comment that the sole characterization of prostate lesions on multiparametric MRI should not trigger a biopsy, but this should come after a thorough

multifactorial risk assessment and tailored counseling on an individual basis. This holds true especially considering the potential implications of the recent randomized PRostate Evaluation for Clinically Important Disease: Sampling Using Image-guidance Or Not? (PRECISION) trial, where upfront multiparametric MRI followed by MRI-targeted biopsy in biopsy-naïve men allowed for a significantly higher rate of detection of clinically important prostate cancer compared to standard biopsy with no MRI.² The results of this study might lead to an epochal shift in the management of men referred to early detection of prostate cancer, where MRI might be liberally ordered even by nonurologists to any-risk individual. Clearly, not all men would benefit from an upfront MRI. Truong et al. developed and prospectively validated a calculator to predict the pretest probability of detecting high-risk prostate lesions on multiparametric MRI using age, prostate-specific antigen level, and prostate volume as input variables.³ Tools like this should help health care providers and patients make an informed decision on whether to undergo an upfront MRI.

Gianluca Giannarini, MD, Rossano Girometti, MD, Alessandro Crestani, MD, Vincenzo Ficarra, MD, the Urology Unit, Academic Medical Centre Hospital “Santa Maria della Misericordia”, Udine, Italy; the Department of Medicine, Institute of Radiology, University of Udine, Udine, Italy; the Department of Human and Pediatric Pathology “Gaetano Barresi”, Urologic Section, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

References

1. Schouten MG, van der Leest M, Pokorny M, et al. Why and where do we miss significant prostate cancer with multi-parametric magnetic resonance imaging followed by magnetic resonance-guided and transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy in biopsy-naïve men? *Eur Urol*. 2017;71:896–903.
2. Kasivisvanathan V, Rannikko AS, Borghi M, et al. MRI-targeted or standard biopsy for prostate-cancer diagnosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:1767–1777.
3. Truong M, Baack Kukreja JE, Rais-Bahrami S, et al. Multi-institutional clinical tool for predicting high-risk lesions on 3 tesla multiparametric prostate magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Urol Oncol* 2018 [Epub ahead of print].

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2018.07.069>
UROLOGY 123: 197, 2019. © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc.