



# Immunotherapy in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Hiroto Inaba<sup>1,2</sup> · Ching-Hon Pui<sup>1,2</sup>

Published online: 6 December 2019

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

## Abstract

The 5-year survival rate for children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) has improved to more than 90% in high-income countries. However, further increases in the intensity of conventional chemotherapy would be associated with significant adverse effects; therefore, novel approaches are necessary. The last decade has seen significant advances in targeted therapy with immunotherapy and molecular therapeutics, as well as advances in risk stratification for therapy based on somatic and germline genetic analysis and monitoring of minimal residual disease. For immunotherapy, the approval of antibody-based therapy (with blinatumomab in 2014 and inotuzumab ozogamicin in 2017) and T cell-based therapy (with tisagenlecleucel in 2017) by the US Food and Drug Administration has significantly improved the response rate and outcomes in patients with relapsed/refractory B-ALL. These strategies have also been tested in the frontline setting, and immunotherapy against a new ALL-associated antigen has been developed. Incorporating effective immunotherapy into ALL therapy would enable the intensity of conventional chemotherapy to be decreased and thereby reduce associated toxicity, leading to further improvement in survival and quality of life for patients with ALL.

**Keywords** Immunotherapy · Acute lymphoblastic leukemia · Pediatric · Antibody · Chimeric antigen receptor T cells

## 1 Introduction

Contemporary risk-directed treatment has improved the 5-year event-free survival and overall survival rates for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) to over 80% and 90%, respectively, and has decreased the cumulative risk of relapse to less than 10% [1, 2]. In the recently completed St. Jude Total Therapy Study 16, the 5-year event-free survival rate was 88.2% and the cumulative risk of any relapse was 6.6% for the 598 evaluable patients [3]. Despite the reduction in the cumulative risk of relapse and the corresponding increase in event-free survival, the overall survival rate for Total Therapy Study 16 (94.1%) was similar to that for Total Therapy Study 15 (93.5%) [4]. This suggests that the intensity of conventional

chemotherapy has been pushed to the limit of tolerance. Therefore, further improvements in treatment outcome and quality of life for children with ALL in the coming decade will need to incorporate novel therapeutic approaches [5]. This review will focus on immunotherapy approaches in ALL, especially antibody therapy (with blinatumomab and inotuzumab) and the use of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells.

## 2 Blinatumomab

### 2.1 Mechanisms of action

T cells play a critical role in controlling tumor growth. Because T cells lack Fcγ receptors, conventional antibodies cannot recruit T cells after binding to the target leukemia cells. Therefore, an alternative approach has been developed to enhance the high cytotoxic potential of T cells by using bispecific T cell-engaging (BiTE) antibodies [6, 7]. Blinatumomab consists of 2 different single-chain Fv fragments joined by a glycine–serine linker. One specificity is directed against the CD3 antigen of human T cells, which activates the cytotoxicity of T cells, and the other site binds the pan-B cell marker CD19, which is uniformly expressed on the vast majority of B-ALL cells.

---

Supported in part by Cancer Center Core Grant CA21765 from the National Institutes of Health and by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC).

✉ Hiroto Inaba  
hiroto.inaba@stjude.org

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, MS 260, 262 Danny Thomas Place, Memphis, TN 38105-3678, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA

## 2.2 Clinical studies on blinatumomab in adults with refractory or relapsed B-ALL

A phase I study of blinatumomab demonstrated its efficacy against indolent non-Hodgkin lymphomas, such as follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma, and against chronic lymphocytic lymphoma [7]. A phase II study of blinatumomab (15  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$  for 4 weeks) in adults with relapsed or persistent minimal residual disease (MRD) ( $\geq 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ) B-ALL showed a negative MRD response in 16 of the 20 evaluable patients (80%) and a relapse-free survival of 61% (with a median follow-up of 33 months) (Table 1) [8, 9]. Relapse-free survival was 65% in the 9 patients who received a hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) after blinatumomab treatment and 60% in the 11 patients who did not receive an HSCT. These results suggest that some patients with MRD-positive B-ALL treated with blinatumomab can be cured without the need for HSCT.

Subsequently, a phase II study of blinatumomab was performed in adults with refractory/relapsed Philadelphia chromosome (Ph)-negative B-ALL with  $\geq 10\%$  blasts in the bone marrow (Table 1) [10, 11]. Because of the high disease burden, blinatumomab was given in stepwise increments (9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  for 1 week followed by 28  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  for 3 weeks for cycle 1) to prevent cytokine release syndrome (CRS). Of the 189 patients enrolled in the study, 81 (43%) experienced complete remission with or without hematologic recovery, and 32 (40%) of these 81 patients subsequently received an HSCT. Blinatumomab was also effective in patients who had previously received an HSCT, with a response rate of 45% (29 of 64), which was comparable to the rate in those patients who had not previously received an HSCT (43%; 54 of 125) [12]. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted accelerated approval of blinatumomab for patients with Ph-negative relapsed or refractory B-ALL in December 2014 [13].

In a multi-institutional randomized phase III trial in 405 adults with refractory/relapsed B-ALL with  $> 5\%$  bone marrow blasts (the TOWER study), patients received either blinatumomab (271 patients) or standard-of-care chemotherapy (134 patients) at a 2:1 ratio (Table 1) [14]. Patients treated with blinatumomab had a better complete remission rate with or without hematologic recovery (44% vs. 25%,  $P < 0.001$ ), better event-free survival (31% vs. 12% at 6 months,  $P < 0.001$ ), and better median overall survival (7.7 months vs. 4.0 months,  $P = 0.01$ ) when compared with those treated on the chemotherapy arm.

To confirm the effects of blinatumomab in an earlier phase II study [8, 9], blinatumomab was given to adults with B-ALL with positive MRD ( $\geq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ ) (Table 1) [15]. Of the 113 evaluable patients who received blinatumomab, 88 (78%) experienced a complete MRD response after 1 cycle of treatment. The median overall survival was 36.5 months, and the 18-month relapse-free survival was 54%, which was better than the pre-specified boundary of 28%. Of the 74 patients

who underwent a subsequent HSCT, 36 (49%) remained in remission. However, of the 36 patients who did not receive a subsequent HSCT or chemotherapy after blinatumomab treatment, 9 (25%) also remained in complete remission with a median follow-up of 24.0 months. The authors of the study suggested that this finding might be of therapeutic relevance for less fit and elderly patients [15]. This study included 41 patients (35% of the total) who were in second or third remission. Although the MRD response was similar in these patients and in those in first remission, relapse-free survival was, not surprisingly, worse among the former patients (11.0 months vs. 24.6 months,  $P = 0.004$ ). Hence, earlier administration of blinatumomab during the disease course should be considered for patients with persistent MRD [16]. In March 2018, the FDA expanded the indication for blinatumomab to pediatric and adult patients with B-ALL in first or second complete remission with MRD of  $\geq 0.1\%$  [17].

Blinatumomab was given to adults with Ph-positive ALL for which tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI)-based therapy was unsuccessful (Table 1) [18]. During the first 2 cycles, complete remission with or without hematologic recovery was observed in 16 of 45 patients (36%), including 4 of 10 patients with the T315I mutation. In another study, 9 adults with relapsed/refractory Ph-positive ALL and 3 patients with CML in blast crisis were treated with a combination of blinatumomab and a TKI (ponatinib [ $n = 8$ ], dasatinib [ $n = 3$ ], or bosutinib [ $n = 1$ ]) [19]. Three of 6 patients with overt hematologic disease and all 6 patients with MRD had complete remission with negative MRD response.

Due to its short half-life (approximately 2 h), blinatumomab is administered as a continuous infusion [17]. In one study, after an average of 2 days of infusion, B cell counts dropped to less than  $1/\text{mm}^3$  as a result of blinatumomab-induced apoptosis and they remained below the detection limit thereafter during infusion [20]. The T cell counts declined within a day, recovered to baseline after 8–9 days, and expanded to more than twice the baseline count after 2–3 weeks of treatment, with an increase in the number of CD69-positive cells, consistent with polyclonal T cell activation. During the first 2 days of infusion, transient release of cytokines, predominantly interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10, and interferon (INF)- $\gamma$ , was observed. Long-term survivors (MRD responders who survived for 30 months or longer) had greater expansion of T cells and effector memory T cells when compared with non-responders and those with shorter survival ( $< 30$  months), suggesting the importance of T cell expansion [21].

## 2.3 Pediatric experience with blinatumomab

In a phase I/II study, the recommended blinatumomab dosage for pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory ALL with a high disease burden ( $> 25\%$  bone marrow blasts) was 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$  for the first 7 days, followed by 15  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{day}$  thereafter (Table 1)

**Table 1** Blinatumomab studies and their results

Patients	Phase	N	Response	Survival	Adverse effects
Adults, relapsed/refractory MRD ( $\geq 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ) B-ALL <sup>8,9</sup>	II	20	MRD response, 80%	RFS, 61% (median 33 months) With HSCT (N = 9), 65% Without HSCT (N = 11), 60%	Grade 3 or 4 lymphopenia, 35% Grade 3 seizure, 5%
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>10</sup>	II	189	CR*, 43% (MRD negative**, 82%)	Median survival, 6.1 months	Grade 3 CRS, 2% Grade 3 or 4 neurologic, 13%
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>14</sup> (Blinatumomab vs. chemotherapy)	III	271 vs. 134	CR*, 44% vs. 25% (MRD negative**, 76% vs. 48%)	Median survival, 7.7 vs. 4.0 months	Grade $\geq 3$ neutropenia, 37.8% vs. 57.8% Grade $\geq 3$ infection, 34.1% vs. 52.3% Grade $\geq 3$ CRS, 4.9% vs. 0.0% Grade $\geq 3$ neurologic, 9.4% vs. 8.3%
Adults, relapsed/refractory MRD ( $\geq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ ) B-ALL <sup>15</sup>	II	113	MRD response, 78%	Median survival, 36.5 months	Grade 3 CRS, 2% Grade $\geq 3$ neurologic, 13%
Adults, relapsed/refractory Ph-positive B-ALL <sup>18</sup>	II	45	CR*, 36% (MRD negative**, 88%)	Median survival, 7.1 months	Grade $\geq 3$ CRS, 0% Grade 3 neurologic, 7%
Pediatric, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>22</sup>	I/II	49/44	CR*, 39% (MRD negative**, 52%)	Median survival, 7.5 months	Grade $\geq 3$ CRS, 6% Grade 3 neurologic, 4%

MRD, minimal residual disease; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; RFS, relapse-free survival; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant; CR, complete remission; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; Ph, Philadelphia chromosome

\*CR includes that with incomplete counts recovery

\*\*Percentage of MRD-negative patients among those with CR

[22]. Complete remission within the first 2 cycles was seen in 27 of 70 patients (39%) who were treated at the recommended dose, with 14 of these responders (52%) having negative MRD.

In another phase III trial in pediatric patients with B-ALL at high risk of relapse, blinatumomab was compared with conventional consolidation therapy. The patient enrollment was terminated early because superior disease-free survival and overall survival, markedly lower toxicities, and better MRD clearance were observed in the blinatumomab arm [23].

Blinatumomab was given to pediatric patients with ALL in the setting of overwhelming chemotherapy-associated bacterial and fungal infections [24]. This treatment resulted in the recovery of all patients and enabled successful bridging to further anti-leukemia therapy. Blinatumomab was also effective and safe for eliminating MRD as a bridging therapy before HSCT in 15 other pediatric patients with B-ALL [25].

#### 2.4 Adverse effects associated with blinatumomab treatment

The unique and significant toxicities associated with blinatumomab are CRS and neurologic events (Table 1) [10, 14]. In the randomized phase III TOWER study, the incidences of neutropenia and infection (grade 3 and above) were lower for the blinatumomab arm than for the chemotherapy arm, but CRS was seen only in patients treated with blinatumomab [14]. The incidences of grade 3 or higher neurologic adverse effects were similar for both arms. In this study, patients who received blinatumomab also had better health-related quality of life when compared with those who received chemotherapy [26].

Symptoms of CRS include pyrexia, headache, nausea, fatigue, and hypotension. CRS typically occurs within the first 2 days after the initiation of blinatumomab infusion, which coincides with the recovery and expansion of T cells and pro-inflammatory cytokine production [27]. The cytokines IL-6, IL-10, and INF- $\gamma$  are also elevated in patients with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) or macrophage activation syndrome (MAS). Severe CRS is associated with higher leukemia burden and higher initial starting dose of blinatumomab and can lead to secondary HLH/MAS [28]. To prevent CRS, pre-phase dexamethasone and stepwise increases in blinatumomab dosing should be used for patients with morphologically evident overt disease. As IL-6 is primarily responsible for an inflammatory response, IL-6 receptor blockade by tocilizumab is effective at ameliorating blinatumomab-induced CRS [29].

Neurologic toxicities of any grade have been reported in approximately 50% of patients, with a median onset of 7 days; these toxicities include headache, malaise, confusion, somnolence, disorientation, encephalopathy, and convulsions [27]. A possible mechanism for these neurologic effects is cytokine release after the disruption of the blood–brain barrier by

activated T cells [28]. Grade 3 or higher neurologic toxicities have occurred in approximately 15% of patients, and drug discontinuation and administration of dexamethasone are recommended for patients who experience such toxicities [15, 16]. In the low-MRD setting, CRS was infrequent, and most of the neurologic adverse events could be reversed by interrupting the infusion and providing supportive care [15].

Hypogammaglobulinemia develops as a result of B cell aplasia after blinatumomab treatment; therefore, monitoring of immunoglobulin G (IgG) levels is recommended, along with treatment with intravenous immunoglobulins as necessary [30]. Grade 3 or lower graft-*versus*-host disease has been reported in patients who received blinatumomab after HSCT, but no patients in that study required discontinuation of blinatumomab or hospitalization [12].

#### 2.5 Mechanisms of resistance to blinatumomab treatment

The loss of expression of CD19, the target of blinatumomab, is the major mechanism of resistance to blinatumomab treatment. This is described further in the section on CAR T cells. Blinatumomab can activate regulatory T cells, which leads to IL-10 production, suppresses effector T cell proliferation, and reduces the cytotoxic activity of CD8 T cells against B-ALL cells [31]. A higher percentage of regulatory T cells in peripheral blood were associated with a lower rate of response to blinatumomab. Treatment with cyclophosphamide and/or fludarabine can reduce regulatory T cells [32, 33]. Furthermore, the use of checkpoint inhibitors, as in PD-1 blockade therapy, may enhance the efficacy of blinatumomab by eliminating inhibitor signals.

### 3 Inotuzumab ozogamicin

#### 3.1 Mechanisms of action

CD22 is expressed on more than 90% of B-ALL cells and mature B lymphocytes, but not on normal hematopoietic B cell precursors, non-B lymphoid cells, myeloid cells, hematopoietic stem cells, or non-hematopoietic lineage cells [34, 35]. Therefore, CD22 is another attractive target for immunotherapy. Inotuzumab ozogamicin is a humanized CD22 monoclonal antibody conjugated to calicheamicin [36]. After inotuzumab binds to CD22, the complex is rapidly internalized to lysosomal vesicles. Similar to the treatment with gemtuzumab ozogamycin [37], which targets CD33-positive myeloid cells, the acidic pH environment in the lysosome liberates calicheamicin. As a potent cytotoxic antitumor antibiotic, calicheamicin binds to DNA in the minor groove and causes double-strand DNA breaks and apoptotic cell death [38].

### 3.2 Clinical studies on inotuzumab in adults and children with refractory or relapsed B-ALL

In phase I/II studies of inotuzumab at doses of 1.2 mg/m<sup>2</sup> to 1.8 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per cycle (over 3 to 4 weeks) for adults with CD22-positive refractory or relapsed ALL, the overall response rate was 57% to 68%, and 63% to 84% of the responders were MRD negative (Table 2) [39–41]. In a randomized phase III study in adults with relapsed ALL (the INO-VATE study), the complete remission rate was significantly higher in patients who received inotuzumab (80.7%) than in those who were treated with standard intensive chemotherapy (29.4%) ( $P < 0.001$ ), with a higher MRD-negative rate among patients who experienced remission (78.4% vs. 28.1%,  $P < 0.001$ ) [42]. Inotuzumab treatment resulted in significantly longer remission (4.6 months vs. 3.1 months,  $P = 0.03$ ), progression-free survival (5.0 months vs. 1.8 months,  $P < 0.001$ ), and overall survival (7.7 months vs. 6.7 months,  $P = 0.04$ ) than did standard intensive chemotherapy. In this study, inotuzumab ozogamicin was administered weekly for a total dose of 1.8 mg/m<sup>2</sup> per cycle (0.8 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1 and 0.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 8 and 15) and was reduced to 1.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> (0.5 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly) once patients experienced remission. Inotuzumab was approved by FDA as monotherapy for refractory and relapsed ALL in 2017 [43].

Inotuzumab has been combined with low-intensity chemotherapy agents such as mini-hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and dexamethasone, along with methotrexate and cytarabine (m-HCVD) (Table 2) [44]. In that study, one dose of inotuzumab was given in each cycle (1.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for cycle 1 and 1 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for cycles 2 to 4) and each cycle was administered every 4 weeks. Of the 59 patients treated, 46 (78%) had a morphologic complete response, and negative MRD was seen in 82% of these 46 patients within 3 cycles. Compared with historical controls treated with inotuzumab alone, patients treated with inotuzumab and m-HCVD, after adjusting for patient characteristics, had a significantly better overall response rate (75% vs. 63%,  $P = 0.02$ ) and 1-year overall survival rate (43% vs. 27%,  $P = 0.02$ ).

A retrospective analysis of an inotuzumab compassionate use program for pediatric patients found that, among 51 children with heavily pretreated relapsed/refractory ALL, complete remission was seen in 67% of the patients, with negative MRD in 71% of these responders (Table 2) [45].

### 3.3 Adverse effects and resistance mechanisms associated with inotuzumab treatment

In the phase 3 randomized INO-VATE study, patient-reported outcomes analysis showed that patients who received inotuzumab also reported better quality of life, better functioning, and better symptom scores than did those who received standard chemotherapy [46]. Although the incidence of grade

3 or higher thrombocytopenia was significantly lower for the inotuzumab arm, liver-associated adverse events were more common in that arm (occurring in 83 of 164 patients [51%]) than in the standard therapy arm (where they occurred in 49 of 143 patients [34%]) [42, 47]. Sinusoidal obstruction syndrome of any grade occurred in only 1 patient (< 1%) in the standard therapy arm but in 22 patients (13%) in the inotuzumab arm, including 17 patients after a subsequent HSCT and 2 who had previously received an HSCT [47]. Therefore, treatment with inotuzumab is associated with increased hepatotoxicity, especially sinusoidal obstruction syndrome after HSCT.

A study with a nonbinding antibody–calicheamicin conjugate, which contains the same linker as gemtuzumab ozogamicin and inotuzumab ozogamicin, was performed in cynomolgus monkeys [48]. Three days after the calicheamicin conjugate was administered, microscopic evaluation of the liver revealed midzonal degeneration, loss of sinusoidal endothelial cells, and marked platelet accumulation in the sinusoids, which coincided with acute thrombocytopenia. Furthermore, on day 63, liver histopathology showed variable endothelial recovery and progression to a combination of sinusoidal capillarization and sinusoidal dilation/hepatocellular atrophy; this is consistent with early signs of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome and supports the proposed mechanism of target-independent liver damage by calicheamicin.

In gemtuzumab, fractionated use and a longer interval between gemtuzumab and HSCT were associated with lower incidences of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome [49, 50]. Similarly, a weekly divided inotuzumab schedule, rather than a single dose during a treatment cycle, was associated with lower incidences of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, liver dysfunction, and fever/hypotension and had similar efficacy when compared with a single-dose schedule in each course, given every 3 to 4 weeks [40]. Bone marrow response was associated with inotuzumab cumulative AUC levels and not with peak inotuzumab levels, and sinusoidal obstruction syndrome was possibly related to peak inotuzumab levels. In adults with relapsed or refractory ALL and older patients with newly diagnosed ALL, a lower dose of inotuzumab with a fractionated schedule in combination with m-HCVD has been used: a total of 0.9 mg/m<sup>2</sup> during cycle 1 (fractionated into 0.6 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 2 and 0.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 8 of cycle 1) and a total of 0.6 mg/m<sup>2</sup> during each of cycles 2, 3, and 4 (fractionated into 0.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 2 and 0.3 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 8 of the subsequent 3 cycles) [51, 52]. Furthermore, this regimen prolongs the interval between the last dose of inotuzumab and HSCT and augments the depth of remission by adding 4 cycles of blinatumomab after the 4 inotuzumab-based cycles. These strategies have contributed to lower incidences of sinusoidal obstruction syndrome and improved survival when compared with historical controls.

**Table 2** Inotuzumab studies and their results

Patients	Phase	N	Response	Survival	Adverse effects
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>40</sup> (single dose or weekly inotuzumab)	II	90	CR*, 58% (MRD negative, 72%) Single dose CR*, 57% Weekly dose CR*, 59%	Median survival, 6.2 months Single dose, 5.0 months Weekly dose, 7.3 months	Fever Single dose, 59% (any), 18% (grade 3–4) Weekly dose, 22% (any), 15% (grade 3–4) LFT elevation Single dose, 57% (any), 2% (grade 3–4) Weekly dose, 27% (any), 5% (grade 3–4) SOS Single dose, 10% (23% of HSCT recipients) Weekly dose, 2% (7% of HSCT recipients)
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>41</sup> (weekly inotuzumab)	I/II	72	CR*, 68% (MRD negative**, 84%)	Median survival, 7.4 months	Thrombocytopenia, 36% (any), 33% (grade ≥ 3) Neutropenia, 28% (any), 25% (grade ≥ 3) AST increased, 26% (any), 3% (grade ≥ 3) SOS, 6% (8.3% of HSCT recipients)
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>42</sup> (weekly inotuzumab vs. chemotherapy)	III	109 vs. 109	CR*, 80.7% vs. 29.4% (MRD negative**, 78.4% vs. 28.1%)	Median survival, 7.7 vs. 6.7 months	Grade ≥ 3 thrombocytopenia, 37% vs. 59% Grade ≥ 3 febrile neutropenia, 24% vs. 49% Any grade AST increased, 23% vs. 11% Any grade bilirubin increased, 21% vs. 17% SOS, 11% (22% of HSCT recipients) vs. < 1% (3% of HSCT recipients)
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>44</sup> (single dose with mini-hyper-CVD)	II	59	CR*, 78% (MRD negative**, 82%)	Median survival, 11.0 months	Grade ≥ 3 bilirubin increased, 14% Grade ≥ 3 LFTs increased, 12% Grade ≥ 3 SOS, 15%
Pediatric, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>45</sup> (weekly dose)	NA	51	CR*, 67% (MRD negative**, 71%)	12-month overall survival, 36.3%	AST increased, 20% (any), 4% (grade ≥ 3) SOS, 22% (52% of HSCT recipients)
Adults (≥60 years), newly diagnosed B-ALL <sup>116</sup> (weekly dose with mini-hyper-CVD)	II	52	CR*, 98% (MRD negative**, 78%)	2-year overall survival, 66%	LFT increased, 90% (any), 19% (grade ≥ 3) Bilirubin increased, 90% (any), 17% (grade 3) SOS, 8% (any), 2% (grade 3)

ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CR, complete remission; MRD, minimal residual disease; LFT, liver function test; SOS, sinusoidal obstruction syndrome; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CVD, cyclophosphamide/vincristine/dexamethasone; NA, not applicable

\*CR includes that with incomplete counts recovery

\*\*Percentage of MRD-negative patients among those with CR

One mechanism of resistance to inotuzumab, downregulation of CD22, has been reported in a few pediatric cases [45, 53]. The modulation of the targeted antigen on leukemia cells is similar to the antigen loss observed in CD19-directed therapies, although the molecular mechanism of CD22 antigen loss has not yet been well characterized.

## 4 Chimeric antigen receptor T cells

### 4.1 Mechanisms of action

CD19 single-targeting CAR T cell therapy has recently emerged as a new modality to treat B-lineage malignancies. A single-chain variant fragment (scFv) domain directed against a B-lineage-associated CD19 antigen activates intracellular signaling domains such as 4-1BB with CD3 $\zeta$  (19-BBz) or CD28 with CD3 $\zeta$  (19-28z) [54, 55]. CAR gene-modified T cell interaction with target cells occurs in a human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-independent fashion; therefore, a single vector can be used to treat all patients with cancers that express the target antigen. The B cell marker CD19 is an excellent target in all B cell-derived malignancies because it is highly expressed on the surface of leukemia cells but is absent on cells of other lineages and on non-hematopoietic cells. Integrating signaling domains of the CD28 or 4-1BB costimulatory receptors in addition to the T cell receptor  $\zeta$ -chain domain in second-generation CARs improves their signaling properties and allows clonal expansion and persistence of CAR T cells *in vivo*. CAR T cells can be produced not only from autologous T cells but also from allogeneic T cells engrafted after a previous allogeneic HSCT at disease recurrence, which rarely cause graft-versus-host disease [56].

### 4.2 Clinical studies of CAR T cells in patients with refractory or relapsed B-ALL

The first experience of using CAR T cells to treat B-ALL was reported in 2013. Two pediatric patients received 19-BBz CAR T cells, which expanded more than 1000 fold and were subsequently identified in bone marrow and cerebrospinal fluid for at least 6 months, with complete remission being observed in both patients [57]. Thereafter, a case series of 30 children and adults with B-ALL treated with 19-BBz CAR T cells at a single institution was reported; complete remission was observed in 27 of the patients (90%), even in those with blinatumomab-refractory disease or relapse after HSCT (Table 3) [58]. At 6 months, the disease-free survival was 67% and the overall survival was 78%. Three patients subsequently received an HSCT. The persistence of the CAR T cells and B cell aplasia were important to maintaining remission.

These efficacy and toxicity profiles were confirmed by a global collaborative study (ELIANA) of 75 pediatric and

young adult patients (Table 3) [59]. The overall remission rate was 81%, with all patients being negative for MRD. The event-free survival and overall survival were 73% and 90%, respectively, at 6 months and 50% and 76%, respectively, at 12 months. Most events were observed within 12 months after the CAR T cell infusions. Persistence of CAR T cells was observed for up to 20 months. Only 8 patients in this study received a subsequent HSCT.

Patients treated with 19-28z CAR T cells have shown similar complete remission rates to patients treated with 19-BBz CAR T cells, with complete remission being observed in 67% of 21 pediatric and young adult patients in one study [60] and in 83% of 53 adults in another study [61] (Table 3). However, compared with 19-BBz CAR T cells, more patients treated with 19-28z CAR T cells received a subsequent HSCT for long-term survival.

The use of HSCT after CAR T cell therapy is controversial, especially in patients who have not previously received an HSCT [62]. Most of the patients who received 19-28z CAR T cells and had remission in the early trials went on to HSCT [60, 63]. However, Park et al. reported no difference in event-free survival after remission with 19-28z CAR T cell treatment between patients who received a subsequent HSCT and those who did not [61]. Long-term survival has been reported in patients who received 19-BBz CAR T cells only [58, 59]. However, in a study of 17 patients with no history of HSCT who received 19-BBz CAR T cells, relapse occurred in only 2 of 14 patients who received an HSCT and in 2 of 3 who did not receive a subsequent HSCT [64].

Interestingly, CAR T cells migrate to extramedullary sites such as the central nervous system (CNS) or testes and, thus, can be used to treat isolated and combined extramedullary relapses [58, 65, 66].

### 4.3 Adverse effects associated with CAR T cell treatment

The adverse effects of CAR T cells are on-target effects, which are usually reversible when the ALL cells have been eliminated or the engraftment/proliferation of the CAR T cells is complete (Table 3). Adverse effects associated with 19-BBz CAR T cells (tisagenlecleucel) included CRS (grade 3 + 4 [in 49% of patients]), neurologic events (grade 3 [in 18%]), febrile neutropenia (grade 3 + 4 [in 38%]), prolonged cytopenias (grade 3 + 4 [in 37%]), and infections (grade 3 + 4 [in 27%]) [67]. One patient died from each of the following conditions: cerebral hemorrhage in the context of coagulopathy and resolving cytokine release syndrome (15 days after infusion); HHV-6-positive encephalitis in association with prolonged neutropenia and lymphopenia; systemic mycosis in association with prolonged neutropenia; and unknown causes.

**Table 3** Chimeric antigen receptor T cell studies and their results

Patients	Phase	N	Response	Survival	Adverse effects
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>58</sup> (19-BBz)	I/II	30	CR*, 90% (MRD negative**, 88%)	6-month overall survival, 78%	CRS, 100% (mild/moderate), 27% (severe) Neurologic, 43%
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>59</sup> (19-BBz)	II	75	CR*, 81% (MRD negative, 100%)	6-month overall survival, 90%	CRS, 77% (any), 46% (grade ≥ 3) Neurologic, 40% (any), 13% (grade 3)
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL/NHL <sup>60</sup> (19-28z)	I	21	CR*, 67% (MRD negative**, 86%)	10-month overall survival, 51.6%	CRS, 76% (any), 29% (grade ≥ 3)
Adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>61</sup> (19-28z)	I	53	CR*, 83% (MRD negative**, 73%)	Median survival, 12.9 months	CRS, 85% (any), 26% (grade ≥ 3) Neurologic, 43% (grade ≥ 2), 42% (grade ≥ 3)
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>81</sup> (19-BBz)	I	43	MRD negative CR*, 93%	12-month overall survival, 69.5%	CRS, 93% (any), 23% (severe) Neurologic, 49% (any), 21% (severe)
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>101</sup> (22-BBz)	I	21	CR*, 57% (MRD negative**, 75%)	Median remission duration, 6 months	CRS, 76% (any), 0% (grade ≥ 3) No severe neurotoxicity
Pediatric and young adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL <sup>80</sup> (19 [low affinity]-BBz)	I	14	MRD-negative CR*, 86%	12-month overall survival, 63%	CRS, 93% (any), 0% (grade ≥ 3) Neurologic, 43% (any), 0% (grade ≥ 3)
Pediatric and adults, relapsed/refractory B-ALL/NHL <sup>103</sup> (19-28/BBz and 22-28/BBz cocktail)	II	89	MRD-negative CR* <sup>#</sup> , 96%	12-month overall survival <sup>#</sup> , 62.8%	CRS, 95.5% (any), 21.3% (grade ≥ 3) Neurologic, 13.5% (any), 1.1% (grade ≥ 3)

ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CR, complete remission; MRD, minimal residual disease; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; BBz, intracellular signaling domains of 4-1BB with CD3z; 28z, intracellular signaling domains of CD28 with CD3z

\*CR includes that with incomplete counts recovery

\*\*Percentage of MRD-negative patients among those with CR

<sup>#</sup> ALL only (51 patients)

In CRS, which has also been reported in blinatumomab therapy [68], the pretreatment leukemia burden is associated with the severity of CRS because of the higher level of CAR T cell expansion [58, 63]. The levels of cytokines such as IL-6 and IFN- $\gamma$  are elevated, and an anti-IL-6 receptor antagonist, tocilizumab, has been approved by the FDA for treating CAR T cell-induced CRS. Glucocorticoids are also considered when patients do not respond immediately to tocilizumab. Predictive biomarker combinations for CRS have been reported and may guide clinicians in providing cytokine-directed therapy prophylactically or in the early phase of CRS [69]. Early intervention for CRS with tocilizumab and/or corticosteroids reduced the incidence of transition from mild to severe CRS and had no detrimental effect on the MRD-negative complete remission rates or functional CAR T cell persistence [70].

Neurotoxicity symptoms include encephalopathy, aphasia, delirium, tremor, and seizures; rare cases of rapid-onset and lethal diffuse cerebral edema have also been reported [71, 72]. High pretreatment bone marrow disease burden, high CAR T cell expansion, CRS, and pre-existing neurologic comorbidities were risk factors. Patients with severe neurotoxicity had signs of increased blood–brain barrier permeability [71, 72]. Treatment is directed toward managing CRS and preventing seizures. As tocilizumab may not penetrate the CNS, an IL-6 antagonist may be considered. Updated CRS and neurologic toxicity grading scales have been published by the American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy [73].

Infection after CAR T cell therapy is more often seen during the first 28 days than at later time points, and the infections, which include invasive fungal infections, can be life threatening [74]. Heavily pre-treated patient, higher CAR T cell dose, and severe CRS were associated with an increased risk of infection. Appropriate prophylaxis and prompt administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics should be considered for such patients. The theoretical concerns regarding adverse reactions include an increased risk of secondary malignancy due to replication-competent retrovirus (RCR) or insertional mutagenesis [68]. However, there have been no reports of RCR infection or insertional mutagenesis occurring. Because of the lentivirus transduction technology used to produce CAR T cells, some nucleic acid testing for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 can yield false-positive results [75]. Persistence of CAR T cells is associated with a late adverse reaction of prolonged hypogammaglobulinemia resulting from the off-tumor, on-target elimination of normal B cells [68]. Patients experiencing this adverse event are treated with intravenous or subcutaneous immunoglobulins. However, several vaccine/pathogen-specific serum IgG and IgA titers remain relatively stable after CAR T cell treatment because they are produced by memory B cell-independent long-lived CD19-negative plasma cells [76].

#### 4.4 Mechanisms of resistance to CAR T cell treatment

One mechanism of resistance to CAR T cell treatment is the loss of CAR T cell persistence and B cell aplasia. Early exhaustion of robust CAR T cells can limit their anti-leukemia activity; CD28 co-stimulation appears to augment the exhaustion induced by persistent CAR signaling, whereas 4-1 BB co-stimulation reduces it [77]. This may explain why 19-BBz CAR T cells are more persistent *in vivo* (with a median duration of 168 days) than are 19-28z CAR T cells (which have a median duration of approximately 30 days) and why they are associated with longer remission without HSCT [59, 60]. Calibrating the CAR signaling activation potential by modifying a single immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif created 19-28z CAR T cells with strong effector functions as well as appropriate differentiation, proliferation, and longevity [78]. Whether third-generation CAR T cells, which have 2 costimulatory molecules, can further improve the quality remains to be studied [79]. Furthermore, the binding affinity of the CAR for the target can affect CAR T cell persistence [80]. CAR T cells with lower-affinity CD19 scFv were associated with increased *in vivo* proliferation and cytotoxicity and longer persistence (median, 215 days) (Table 3).

Reinfusion after CAR T cell loss has had limited success, possibly as a result of immune-mediated rejection of the CAR T cells [81]. As most of the scFv domains are of murine origin, the use of humanized CD19 CAR T cells has been reported to prevent anti-mouse reactivity [82]. In a humanized CD19 CAR T cell study, 7 of the 11 patients (64%) who were previously treated with CAR T cells with murine-origin scFv and all 19 of the patients who had never had a previous CAR T cell treatment had a complete response. Furthermore, periodic *in vivo* stimulation of the CAR with a CD19-expressing vaccine may enhance the persistence of CAR T cells once circulating CD19+ targets have been eliminated [83]. Early T cell lineage populations, such as naïve T cells and stem central memory cells, show enhanced expansion when compared with differentiated T cells (effector memory and terminal effector T cells) [84]. CAR T cells produced from multipotent T memory stem cells had robust and long-lasting anti-leukemia responses [85]. Chemotherapy agents such as clofarabine, cyclophosphamide, and cytarabine typically deplete T cells, especially early T-lineage cells. Therefore, it is recommended that patients have CD3 cell counts of  $\geq 150/\text{mm}^3$ , and early collection of T cells should be considered for patients with refractory or relapsed disease before intensive chemotherapy is given.

Target-antigen loss is another important resistance mechanism, even when there is persistence of CAR T cells and B cell aplasia, and has also been reported in patients treated with blinatumomab. Acquired genetic mutations in *CD19* exons 2–5 produce a truncated protein with a non-functional or absent transmembrane domain [86].

Alternative splicing at exon 2, which is considered to be the CAR T cell binding site, has also been reported [87]. Sustained pressure against CD19 resulting from the persistence of CAR T cells can induce lineage switches [88], especially in patients with *KMT2A*, *BCR-ABL1*, or *ZNF384* fusions [89–93]. Exposure of a B/myeloid mixed-phenotype leukemia cell line with *KMT2A-AFF1/t(4;11)(q21;q23)* to IL-6 induced its differentiation to myeloid lineage [94]. Alternatively, pre-existing CD19-negative leukemia progenitor cells can be selected, as seen in cases of *BCR-ABL1* and *ZNF384* fusion-positive ALL [91, 95]. Leukemia cells can develop reversible antigen-low status by trogocytosis, in which target antigen is transferred to T cells [96]. This results not only in a lower density of target antigen on leukemia cells but also in a reduction in CAR T cell activity by promoting fratricide and exhaustion of CAR T cells. During CAR T manufacture, the CAR gene can be introduced into B-ALL cells, which bind in *cis* to CD19-positive ALL cells; this masks the target molecule and inhibits recognition by CAR T cells [97]. An alteration in CD81, a chaperone protein for the maturation and trafficking of the CD19 molecule from the Golgi apparatus to the cell surface, has been also reported [98]. Although further studies are required, the use of immunotherapy with blinatumomab (anti-CD19/CD3) and inotuzumab (anti-CD22) before the respective CAR T cell therapies may predispose patients to antigen escape.

In B-ALL, other B-ALL-associated antigens, such as CD22 and thymic stromal lymphopoietic receptor, can be targeted [99, 100]. As CD22 is expressed on most B-ALL cells even after CD19 antigen loss, a phase I study of an anti-CD22 CAR T cell was performed in 21 children and adults with refractory/relapse B-ALL, 17 of whom had received CD19-targeted immunotherapy (Table 3) [101]. The response was cell-dose dependent; among 15 patients who received  $\geq 1 \times 10^6$  CD22 CAR T cells, complete remission was observed in 11 patients (73%), including all 5 patients in whose ALL cells CD19 expression had been lost or reduced. However, the median remission duration was 6 months, and diminished CD22 site density was associated with relapse. One-week exposure of ALL cells to bryostatin1, a natural product that modulates protein kinase C, upregulated CD22 expression on leukemia cells and improved the functionality and persistence of anti-CD22 CAR T cells [102]. Because of these escape mechanisms, anti-CD19 and anti-CD22 CAR T cells were administered sequentially [103]. An MRD-negative response was observed in 96% of patients with ALL, with median progression-free survival of 13.6 months. Antigen loss (CD19<sup>-</sup>/CD22<sup>dim</sup>) occurred in only 1 patient during follow-up. As an alternative, CAR T cells have been developed that can simultaneously target dual antigens, such as CD19/CD22, CD19/20, and CD19/CD123, and clinical studies with these cells are ongoing [101, 104, 105].

#### 4.5 Cost analysis of CAR T cell therapy

The price of a single infusion of 19-BBz CAR T cell therapy (tisagenlecleucel) is \$475,000, and it is planned to waive the charge for treatment that fails by 1 month after infusion. However, the actual cost of this therapy is estimated to be around \$1 million because of the costs of supportive care, which includes management of CRS and of chronic hypogammaglobulinemia. Several cost-effective analyses for tisagenlecleucel have been performed by measuring the quality and duration of life with quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) scores [106–109]. Lin et al. estimated that tisagenlecleucel will be cost effective, when compared with currently available alternative treatments (blinatumomab, clofarabine/cyclophosphamide/etoposide combination, or clofarabine monotherapy), if the 5-year relapse-free survival is 40% with CAR T cell therapy but that it is less likely to be cost effective if the 5-year relapse-free survival rate is 20% or less or if CAR T cell therapy is used for bridging therapy to HSCT unless the price of tisagenlecleucel is reduced [107].

In a global study of 19-BBz CAR T cell therapy, ELIANA, patient-reported quality of life was improved, according to all measured scores, at month 3 after infusion [110].

#### 4.6 CAR T cells against T-ALL and allogeneic CAR T cells

There have been several preclinical studies on the use of CAR T cells to treat T cell malignancies. As targetable surface antigens are expressed on both normal and malignant T cells, CAR T cell therapy can lead to fratricide of CAR T cells and immunodeficiency. In one study, anti-CD5 CAR T cells effectively eliminated CD5+ T cell malignancies and caused fratricide mostly in the naïve T cells, whereas differentiated effector and memory T cells were selectively reserved [111]. CD1a is expressed on cortical T-ALL cells and on developing cortical thymocytes, whereas neither CD34-positive progenitors nor extrathymic T cells express it [112]. Therefore, CD1a-CAR T cells were fratricide resistant, had persistent anti-leukemia activities, and responded to viral antigens. Other studies found that downregulation of CD7 by gene editing or the use of a protein expression blocker on anti-CD7 CAR T cells eliminated fratricide and that such CAR T cells were highly cytotoxic against CD7+ T-ALL cells [113, 114]. Interestingly, although these CAR T cells eliminated the primary T cells, they retained antiviral responses through their pre-existing naïve T cell receptors.

As it has been difficult to manufacture CAR T cells for infants or for heavily treated patients, allogeneic CAR T cells have been used in these patients [115]. Universal CD19 CAR T cells (UCART19) have been generated in non-HLA-matched donor cells with simultaneous gene editing of T cell receptor  $\alpha$ -chain and CD52 gene loci by a transcription

activator-like effector nuclease. Lymphodepleting chemotherapy and treatment with an anti-CD52 antibody followed by UCART19 infusion in 2 infants resulted in molecular remission, and UCART19 persisted until a subsequent HSCT as a consolidation therapy.

## 5 Immunotherapy in the frontline ALL protocol

Based on the excellent outcomes in patients with refractory or relapsed ALL, immunotherapy has been incorporated into the frontline therapy for this disease. Rational incorporation of immunotherapy into the frontline therapy will enable the intensity of conventional chemotherapy to be decreased. In one study in adults, this strategy was used in older ( $\geq 60$  years) patients with Ph-negative B-ALL (Table 2) [51, 116]. These patients received a combination of inotuzumab ozogamicin and mini-HCVD with or without blinatumomab and were compared with those who received the standard, intensive, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (HCVAD) regimen. The antibodies combined with mini-HCVD resulted in better response rates (98% vs. 88%), lower early death rates (0% vs. 8%), and fewer deaths in complete remission (5% vs. 17%). After adjusting for patient characteristics, the antibodies with reduced intensified therapy were also associated with better 3-year event-free survival (64% vs. 34%) when compared with standard chemotherapy.

In another study, adult patients with Ph-positive ALL were initially treated with prednisone and dasatinib, and those who had a complete hematologic response (CHR) received 2 to 5 courses of post-induction consolidation treatment with blinatumomab and dasatinib [117]. For the 63 patients enrolled in the study, the 12-month disease-free survival and overall survival are 91.6% and 96.2%, respectively.

Several current pediatric frontline ALL protocols incorporate immunotherapy. The Children's Oncology Group is performing randomized studies of blinatumomab in standard-risk ALL (AALL1731, NCT03914625) and inotuzumab in high-risk ALL (AALL1732, NCT03959085) in combination with conventional chemotherapy and of tisagenlecleucel for MRD-positive high-risk ALL (AALL1721, NCT03876769). The AIEOP-BFM ALL 2017 protocol (NCT03643276) randomly uses blinatumomab in intermediate- and high-risk patients. The St. Jude Total 17 protocol (NCT03117751) uses blinatumomab in patients with B-ALL and MRD of 0.01 to 1% at the end of induction and 19-BBz CAR T cells for those patients with B-ALL and MRD of 1% or more at the end of induction or isolated CNS relapse.

## 6 Conclusions

With the development of immunotherapy and molecular targeted therapy, as well as improved genomic sequencing, we are entering an exciting era of precision medicine. Replacing toxic chemotherapy with these novel therapies promises to improve not only the cure rate but also the quality of life for patients.

**Acknowledgments** The authors thank Keith A. Laycock, PhD, ELS, for scientific editing of the manuscript.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Pui, C. H., Nichols, K. E., & Yang, J. J. (2019). Somatic and germline genomics in paediatric acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 16(4), 227–240.
- Pui, C. H., Yang, J. J., Hunger, S. P., Pieters, R., Schrappe, M., Biondi, A., Vora, A., Baruchel, A., Silverman, L. B., Schmiegelow, K., Escherich, G., Horibe, K., Benoit, Y. C., Izraeli, S., Yeoh, A. E., Liang, D. C., Downing, J. R., Evans, W. E., Relling, M. V., & Mullighan, C. G. (2015). Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: progress through collaboration. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 33(27), 2938–2948.
- Jeha, S., Pei, D., Choi, J., Cheng, C., Sandlund, J. T., Coustan-Smith, E., et al. (2019). Improved CNS control of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia without cranial irradiation: St Jude Total Therapy Study 16. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.01692>.
- Pui, C. H., Campana, D., Pei, D., Bowman, W. P., Sandlund, J. T., Kaste, S. C., et al. (2009). Treating childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia without cranial irradiation. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360(26), 2730–2741.
- Teachey, D. T., & Pui, C. H. (2019). Comparative features and outcomes between paediatric T-cell and B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *The Lancet Oncology*, 20(3), e142–e154.
- Löffler, A., Kufer, P., Lutterbüse, R., Zettle, F., Daniel, P. T., Schwenkenbecher, J. M., et al. (2000). A recombinant bispecific single-chain antibody, CD19 x CD3, induces rapid and high lymphoma-directed cytotoxicity by unstimulated T lymphocytes. *Blood*, 95(96), 2098–2103.
- Bargou, R., Leo, E., Zugmaier, G., Klinger, M., Goebeler, M., Knop, S., Noppeney, R., Viardot, A., Hess, G., Schuler, M., Einsele, H., Brandl, C., Wolf, A., Kirchinger, P., Klappers, P., Schmidt, M., Riethmüller, G., Reinhardt, C., Baeuerle, P. A., & Kufer, P. (2008). Tumor regression in cancer patients by very low doses of a T cell-engaging antibody. *Science*, 321(5891), 974–977.
- Topp, M. S., Kufer, P., Gökbuget, N., Goebeler, M., Klinger, M., Neumann, S., et al. (2011). Targeted therapy with the T-cell-engaging antibody blinatumomab of chemotherapy-refractory minimal residual disease in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients results in high response rate and prolonged leukemia-free survival. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 29(18), 2493–2498.
- Topp, M. S., Gökbuget, N., Zugmaier, G., Degenhard, E., Goebeler, M. E., Klinger, M., Neumann, S. A., Horst, H. A.,

- Raff, T., Viardot, A., Stelljes, M., Schaich, M., Köhne-Volland, R., Brüggemann, M., Ottmann, O. G., Burmeister, T., Baeuerle, P. A., Nagorsen, D., Schmidt, M., Einsele, H., Riethmüller, G., Kneba, M., Hoelzer, D., Kufer, P., & Bargou, R. C. (2012). Long-term follow-up of hematologic relapse-free survival in a phase 2 study of blinatumomab in patients with MRD in B-lineage ALL. *Blood*, *120*(26), 5185–5187.
10. Topp, M. S., Gökbuget, N., Stein, A. S., Zugmaier, G., O'Brien, S., Bargou, R. C., et al. (2015). Safety and activity of blinatumomab for adult patients with relapsed or refractory B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *The Lancet Oncology*, *16*(1), 57–66.
  11. Kantarjian, H. M., Stein, A. S., Bargou, R. C., Grande Garcia, C., Larson, R. A., Stelljes, M., Gökbuget, N., Zugmaier, G., Benjamin, J. E., Zhang, A., Jia, C., & Topp, M. S. (2016). Blinatumomab treatment of older adults with relapsed/refractory B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results from 2 phase 2 studies. *Cancer*, *122*(14), 2178–2185.
  12. Stein, A. S., Kantarjian, H., Gökbuget, N., Bargou, R., Litzow, M. R., Rambaldi, A., Ribera, J. M., Zhang, A., Zimmerman, Z., Zugmaier, G., & Topp, M. S. (2019). Blinatumomab for acute lymphoblastic leukemia relapse after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, *25*(8), 1498–1504.
  13. Przepiorka, D., Ko, C. W., Deisseroth, A., Yancey, C. L., Candau-Chacon, R., Chiu, H. J., Gehrke, B. J., Gomez-Broughton, C., Kane, R. C., Kirshner, S., Mehrotra, N., Ricks, T. K., Schmiel, D., Song, P., Zhao, P., Zhou, Q., Farrell, A. T., & Pazdur, R. (2015). FDA approval: blinatumomab. *Clinical Cancer Research*, *21*(18), 4035–4039.
  14. Kantarjian, H., Stein, A., Gökbuget, N., Fielding, A. K., Schuh, A. C., Ribera, J. M., et al. (2017). Blinatumomab versus chemotherapy for advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *376*(9), 836–847.
  15. Gökbuget, N., Dombret, H., Bonifacio, M., Reichle, A., Graux, C., Faul, C., et al. (2018). Blinatumomab for minimal residual disease in adults with B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, *131*(14), 1522–1531.
  16. Brown, P. (2018). Blinatumomab for MRD<sup>+</sup> B-ALL: The evidence strengthens. *Blood*, *131*(14), 1497–1498.
  17. Jen, E. Y., Xu, Q., Schetter, A., Przepiorka, D., Shen, Y. L., Roscoe, D., et al. (2019). FDA approval: blinatumomab for patients with B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in morphologic remission with minimal residual disease. *Clinical Cancer Research*, *25*(2), 473–477.
  18. Martinelli, G., Boissel, N., Chevallier, P., Ottmann, O., Gökbuget, N., Topp, M. S., Fielding, A. K., Rambaldi, A., Ritchie, E. K., Papayannidis, C., Sterling, L. R., Benjamin, J., & Stein, A. (2017). Complete hematologic and molecular response in adult patients with relapsed/refractory Philadelphia chromosome-positive B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia following treatment with blinatumomab: results from a phase II, single-arm, multicenter study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *35*(16), 1795–1802.
  19. Assi, R., Kantarjian, H., Short, N. J., Daver, N., Takahashi, K., Garcia-Manero, G., et al. (2017). Safety and efficacy of blinatumomab in combination with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor for the treatment of relapsed Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemia. *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma, and Leukemia*, *17*(12), 897–901.
  20. Klinger, M., Brandl, C., Zugmaier, G., Hijazi, Y., Bargou, R. C., Topp, M. S., Gökbuget, N., Neumann, S., Goebeler, M., Viardot, A., Stelljes, M., Brüggemann, M., Hoelzer, D., Degenhard, E., Nagorsen, D., Baeuerle, P. A., Wolf, A., & Kufer, P. (2012). Immunopharmacologic response of patients with B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia to continuous infusion of T cell-engaging CD19/CD3-bispecific BiTE antibody blinatumomab. *Blood*, *119*(26), 6226–6233.
  21. Zugmaier, G., Gökbuget, N., Klinger, M., Viardot, A., Stelljes, M., Neumann, S., Horst, H. A., Marks, R., Faul, C., Diedrich, H., Reichle, A., Brüggemann, M., Holland, C., Schmidt, M., Einsele, H., Bargou, R. C., & Topp, M. S. (2015). Long-term survival and T-cell kinetics in relapsed/refractory ALL patients who achieved MRD response after blinatumomab treatment. *Blood*, *126*(24), 2578–2584.
  22. von Stackelberg, A., Locatelli, F., Zugmaier, G., Handgretinger, R., Tripplett, T. M., Rizzari, C., et al. (2016). Phase I/phase II study of blinatumomab in pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *34*(36), 4381–4389.
  23. Amgen (2019). Amgen announces positive results from two phase 3 BLINCYTO® (blinatumomab) studies in pediatric patients with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. <https://www.amgen.com/media/news-releases/2019/09/amgen-announces-positive-results-from-two-phase-3-bincyto-blinatumomab-studies-in-pediatric-patients-with-relapsed-acute-lymphoblastic-leukemia/>. Accessed 21 Nov 2019.
  24. Elitzur, S., Arad-Cohen, N., Barzilai-Birenboim, S., Ben-Harush, M., Bielora, B., Elhasid, R., Feuerstein, T., Gilad, G., Gural, A., Kharit, M., Litichever, N., Nirel, R., Weinreb, S., Wolach, O., Toren, A., Izraeli, S., & Jacoby, E. (2019). Blinatumomab as a bridge to further therapy in cases of overwhelming toxicity in pediatric B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: report from the Israeli Study Group of Childhood Leukemia. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, *66*(10), e27898.
  25. Keating, A. K., Gossai, N., Phillips, C. L., Maloney, K., Campbell, K., Doan, A., Bhojwani, D., Burke, M. J., & Verneris, M. R. (2019). Reducing minimal residual disease with blinatumomab prior to HCT for pediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Advances*, *3*(13), 1926–1929.
  26. Topp, M. S., Zimmerman, Z., Cannell, P., Dombret, H., Maertens, J., Stein, A., Franklin, J., Tran, Q., Cong, Z., & Schuh, A. C. (2018). Health-related quality of life in adults with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with blinatumomab. *Blood*, *131*(26), 2906–2914.
  27. Prescribing information. *Blinicyto® (blinatumomab) injection*.
  28. Jain, T., & Litzow, M. R. (2018). No free rides: management of toxicities of novel immunotherapies in ALL, including financial. *Hematology, American Society of Hematology Education Program*, *2018*(1), 25–34.
  29. Teachey, D. T., Rheingold, S. R., Maude, S. L., Zugmaier, G., Barrett, D. M., Seif, A. E., Nichols, K. E., Suppa, E. K., Kalos, M., Berg, R. A., Fitzgerald, J. C., Aplenc, R., Gore, L., & Grupp, S. A. (2013). Cytokine release syndrome after blinatumomab treatment related to abnormal macrophage activation and ameliorated with cytokine-directed therapy. *Blood*, *121*(26), 5154–5157.
  30. Maschmeyer, G., De Greef, J., Mellinshoff, S. C., Nosari, A., Thiebaut-Bertrand, A., Bergeron, A., et al. (2019). Infections associated with immunotherapeutic and molecular targeted agents in hematology and oncology. A position paper by the European Conference on Infections In Leukemia (ECIL). *Leukemia*, *33*(4), 844–862.
  31. Duell, J., Dittrich, M., Bedke, T., Mueller, T., Eisele, F., Rosenwald, A., et al. (2017). Frequency of regulatory T cells determines the outcome of the T-cell-engaging antibody blinatumomab in patients with B-precursor ALL. *Leukemia*, *31*(10), 2181–2190.
  32. Ghiringhelli, F., Menard, C., Puig, P. E., Ladoire, S., Roux, S., Martin, F., Solary, E., le Cesne, A., Zitvogel, L., & Chauffert, B. (2007). Metronomic cyclophosphamide regimen selectively depletes CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells and restores T and NK

- effector functions in end stage cancer patients. *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, 56(5), 641–648.
33. Beyer, M., Kochanek, M., Darabi, K., Popov, A., Jensen, M., Endl, E., Knolle, P. A., Thomas, R. K., von Bergwelt-Baildon, M., Debey, S., Hallek, M., & Schultze, J. L. (2005). Reduced frequencies and suppressive function of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>hi</sup> regulatory T cells in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia after therapy with fludarabine. *Blood*, 106(6), 2018–2025.
  34. Piccaluga, P. P., Arpinati, M., Candoni, A., Laterza, C., Paolini, S., Gazzola, A., Sabattini, E., Visani, G., & Pileri, S. A. (2011). Surface antigens analysis reveals significant expression of candidate targets for immunotherapy in adult acute lymphoid leukemia. *Leukemia & Lymphoma*, 52(2), 325–327.
  35. Tedder, T. F., Tuscano, J., Sato, S., & Kehrl, J. H. (1997). CD22, a B lymphocyte-specific adhesion molecule that regulates antigen receptor signaling. *Annual Review of Immunology*, 15, 481–504.
  36. DiJoseph, J. F., Armellino, D. C., Boghaert, E. R., Khandke, K., Dougher, M. M., Sridharan, L., et al. (2004). Antibody-targeted chemotherapy with CMC-544: a CD22-targeted immunoconjugate of calicheamicin for the treatment of B-lymphoid malignancies. *Blood*, 103(5), 1807–1814.
  37. Sievers, E. L., Larson, R. A., Stadtmauer, E. A., Estely, E., Löwenberg, B., Dombret, H., et al. (2001). Efficacy and safety of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in patients with CD33-positive acute myeloid leukemia in first relapse. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 19(13), 3244–3254.
  38. Zein, N., Sinha, A. M., McGahren, W. J., & Ellestad, G. A. (1988). Calicheamicin gamma II: an antitumor antibiotic that cleaves double-stranded DNA site specifically. *Science*, 240(4856), 1198–1201.
  39. Kantarjian, H., Thomas, D., Jorgensen, J., Jabbour, E., Kebriaei, P., Rytting, M., et al. (2012). Inotuzumab ozogamicin, an anti-CD22-calicheamicin conjugate, for refractory and relapsed acute lymphocytic leukaemia: a phase 2 study. *The Lancet Oncology*, 13(4), 403–411.
  40. Kantarjian, H., Thomas, D., Jorgensen, J., Kebriaei, P., Jabbour, E., Rytting, M., York, S., Ravandi, F., Garris, R., Kwari, M., Faderl, S., Cortes, J., Champlin, R., & O'Brien, S. (2013). Results of inotuzumab ozogamicin, a CD22 monoclonal antibody, in refractory and relapsed acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer*, 119(15), 2728–2736.
  41. DeAngelo, D. J., Stock, W., Stein, A. S., Shustov, A., Liedtke, M., Schiffer, C. A., et al. (2017). Inotuzumab ozogamicin in adults with relapsed or refractory CD22-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a phase 1/2 study. *Blood Advances*, 1(15), 1167–1180.
  42. Kantarjian, H. M., DeAngelo, D. J., Stelljes, M., Martinelli, G., Liedtke, M., Stock, W., et al. (2016). Inotuzumab ozogamicin versus standard therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(8), 740–753.
  43. Leslie, M. (2017). ADC approval likely to spur more research. *Cancer Discovery*, 7(10), 1054–1055.
  44. Jabbour, E., Ravandi, F., Kebriaei, P., Huang, X., Short, N. J., Thomas, D., Sasaki, K., Rytting, M., Jain, N., Konopleva, M., Garcia-Manero, G., Champlin, R., Marin, D., Kadia, T., Cortes, J., Estrov, Z., Takahashi, K., Patel, Y., Khouri, M. R., Jacob, J., Garris, R., O'Brien, S., & Kantarjian, H. (2018). Salvage chemoimmunotherapy with inotuzumab ozogamicin combined with mini-hyper-CVD for patients with relapsed or refractory Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a phase 2 clinical trial. *JAMA Oncology*, 4(2), 230–234.
  45. Bhojwani, D., Sposto, R., Shah, N. N., Rodriguez, V., Yuan, C., Stetler-Stevenson, M., O'Brien, M. M., McNeer, J., Qureshi, A., Cabannes, A., Schlegel, P., Rossig, C., Dalla-Pozza, L., August, K., Alexander, S., Bourquin, J. P., Zwaan, M., Raetz, E. A., Loh, M. L., & Rheingold, S. R. (2019). Inotuzumab ozogamicin in pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*, 33(4), 884–892.
  46. Kantarjian, H. M., Su, Y., Jabbour, E. J., Bhattacharyya, H., Yan, E., Cappelleri, J. C., & Marks, D. I. (2018). Patient-reported outcomes from a phase 3 randomized controlled trial of inotuzumab ozogamicin versus standard therapy for relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer*, 124(10), 2151–2160.
  47. Kantarjian, H. M., DeAngelo, D. J., Advani, A. S., Stelljes, M., Kebriaei, P., Cassday, R. D., et al. (2017). Hepatic adverse event profile of inotuzumab ozogamicin in adult patients with relapsed or refractory acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: results from the open-label, randomised, phase 3 INO-VATE study. *The Lancet Haematology*, 4(8), e387–e398.
  48. Guffroy, M., Falahatpisheh, H., Biddle, K., Kreeger, J., Obert, L., Walters, K., Goldstein, R., Boucher, G., Coskran, T., Reagan, W., Sullivan, D., Huang, C., Sokolowski, S., Giovanelli, R., Gerber, H. P., Finkelstein, M., & Khan, N. (2017). Liver microvascular injury and thrombocytopenia of antibody-calicheamicin conjugates in cynomolgus monkeys—mechanism and monitoring. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 23(7), 1760–1770.
  49. Taksin, A. L., Legrand, O., Raffoux, E., de Revel, T., Thomas, X., Contentin, N., Bouabdallah, R., Pautas, C., Turlure, P., Reman, O., Gardin, C., Varet, B., de Botton, S., Pousset, F., Farhat, H., Chevret, S., Dombret, H., & Castaigne, S. (2007). High efficacy and safety profile of fractionated doses of Mylotarg as induction therapy in patients with relapsed acute myeloblastic leukemia: A prospective study of the alfa group. *Leukemia*, 21(1), 66–71.
  50. Wadleigh, M., Richardson, P. G., Zahrieh, D., Lee, S. J., Cutler, C., Ho, V., Alyea, E. P., Antin, J. H., Stone, R. M., Soiffer, R. J., & DeAngelo, D. (2003). Prior gemtuzumab ozogamicin exposure significantly increases the risk of veno-occlusive disease in patients who undergo myeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*, 102(5), 1578–1582.
  51. Jabbour, E. J., Sasaki, K., Ravandi, F., Short, N. J., Garcia-Manero, G., Daver, N., Kadia, T., Konopleva, M., Jain, N., Cortes, J., Issa, G. C., Jacob, J., Kwari, M., Thompson, P., Garris, R., Pemmaraju, N., Yilmaz, M., O'Brien, S. M., & Kantarjian, H. M. (2019). Inotuzumab ozogamicin in combination with low-intensity chemotherapy (mini-HCVD) with or without blinatumomab versus standard intensive chemotherapy (HCVD) as frontline therapy for older patients with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a propensity score analysis. *Cancer*, 125(15), 2579–2586.
  52. Jabbour, E., Sasaki, K., Ravandi, F., Huang, X., Short, N. J., Khouri, M., Kebriaei, P., Burger, J., Khouri, J., Jorgensen, J., Jain, N., Konopleva, M., Garcia-Manero, G., Kadia, T., Cortes, J., Jacob, J., Montalbano, K., Garris, R., O'Brien, S., & Kantarjian, H. M. (2018). Chemoimmunotherapy with inotuzumab ozogamicin combined with mini-hyper-CVD, with or without blinatumomab, is highly effective in patients with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first salvage. *Cancer*, 124(20), 4044–4055.
  53. Paul, M. R., Wong, V., Aristizabal, P., & Kuo, D. J. (2019). Treatment of recurrent refractory pediatric pre-B acute lymphoblastic leukemia using inotuzumab ozogamicin monotherapy resulting in CD22 antigen expression loss as a mechanism of therapy resistance. *Journal of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology*, 41(8), e546–e549.
  54. Imai, C., Mihara, K., Andreansky, M., Nicholson, I. C., Pui, C. H., Geiger, T. L., & Campana, D. (2004). Chimeric receptors with 4-1BB signaling capacity provoke potent cytotoxicity against acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*, 18(4), 676–684.
  55. Brentjens, R. J., Santos, E., Nikhamin, Y., Yeh, R., Matsushita, M., La Perle, K., et al. (2007). Genetically targeted T cells eradicate systemic acute lymphoblastic leukemia xenografts. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 13(18 part 1), 5426–5435.

56. Brudno, J. N., Somerville, R. P., Shi, V., Rose, J. J., Halverson, D. C., Fowler, D. H., Gea-Banacloche, J. C., Pavletic, S. Z., Hickstein, D. D., Lu, T. L., Feldman, S. A., Iwamoto, A. T., Kurlander, R., Maric, I., Goy, A., Hansen, B. G., Wilder, J. S., Blacklock-Schuver, B., Hakim, F. T., Rosenberg, S. A., Gress, R. E., & Kochenderfer, J. N. (2016). Allogeneic T cells that express an anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor induce remissions of B-cell malignancies that progress after allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation without causing graft-versus-host disease. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *34*(10), 1112–1121.
57. Grupp, S. A., Kalos, M., Barrett, D., Aplenc, R., Porter, D. L., Rheingold, S. R., et al. (2013). Chimeric antigen receptor–modified T cells for acute lymphoid leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *368*(16), 1509–1518.
58. Maude, S. L., Frey, N., Shaw, P. A., Aplenc, R., Barrett, D. M., Bunin, N. J., Chew, A., Gonzalez, V. E., Zheng, Z., Lacey, S. F., Mahnke, Y. D., Melenhorst, J. J., Rheingold, S. R., Shen, A., Teachey, D. T., Levine, B. L., June, C. H., Porter, D. L., & Grupp, S. A. (2014). Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *371*(16), 1507–1517.
59. Maude, S. L., Laetsch, T. W., Buechner, J., Rives, S., Boyer, M., Bittencourt, H., Bader, P., Vermeris, M. R., Stefanski, H. E., Myers, G. D., Qayed, M., de Moerloose, B., Hiramatsu, H., Schlis, K., Davis, K. L., Martin, P. L., Nemecek, E. R., Yanik, G. A., Peters, C., Baruchel, A., Boissel, N., Mechinaud, F., Balduzzi, A., Krueger, J., June, C. H., Levine, B. L., Wood, P., Taran, T., Leung, M., Mueller, K. T., Zhang, Y., Sen, K., Lebwohl, D., Pulsipher, M. A., & Grupp, S. A. (2018). Tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with B-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *378*(5), 439–448.
60. Lee, D. W., Kochenderfer, J. N., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Cui, Y. K., Delbrook, C., Feldman, S. A., et al. (2015). T cells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *The Lancet*, *385*(9967), 517–528.
61. Park, J. H., Riviere, I., Gonen, M., Wang, X., Sénéchal, B., Curran, K. J., Curran, K. J., Sauter, C., Wang, Y., Santomaso, B., Mead, E., Roshal, M., Maslak, P., Davila, M., Brentjens, R. J., & Sadelain, M. (2018). Long-term follow-up of CD19 CAR therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *378*(5), 449–459.
62. Pulsipher, M. A. (2018). Are CAR T cells better than antibody or HCT therapy in B-ALL? *Hematology, American Society of Hematology Education Program*, *2018*(1), 16–24.
63. Davila, M. L., Riviere, I., Wang, X., Bartido, S., Park, J., Curran, K., et al. (2014). Efficacy and toxicity management of 19-28z CAR T cell therapy in B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Science Translational Medicine*, *6*(224), 224ra2.
64. Summers, C., Annesley, C., Bleakley, M., Dahlberg, A., Jensen, M. C., & Gardner, R. (2018). Long term follow-up after SCRI-CAR19v1 reveals late recurrences as well as a survival advantage to consolidation with HCT after CAR T cell induced remission [abstract]. *Blood*, *132*(supplement 1), 967.
65. Talekar, M. K., Maude, S. L., Hucks, G. E., Motley, L. S., Callahan, C., White, C. M., et al. (2017). Effect of chimeric antigen receptor-modified T (CAR-T) cells on responses in children with non-CNS extramedullary relapse of CD19+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) [abstract]. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *35*(15 supplement), 10507.
66. Chen, X., Wang, Y., Ruan, M., Li, J., Zhong, M., Li, Z., et al. (2019). Treatment of testicular relapse of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia with CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor T cells. *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma & Leukemia*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cml.2019.10.016>.
67. O’Leary, M. (2017). *BLA Clinical Review Memorandum*. <https://www.fda.gov/media/107973/download>. Accessed 21 Nov 2019.
68. June, C. H., & Sadelain, M. (2018). Chimeric antigen receptor therapy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *379*(1), 64–73.
69. Teachey, D. T., Lacey, S. F., Shaw, P. A., Melenhorst, J. J., Maude, S. L., Frey, N., Pequignot, E., Gonzalez, V. E., Chen, F., Finklestein, J., Barrett, D. M., Weiss, S. L., Fitzgerald, J. C., Berg, R. A., Aplenc, R., Callahan, C., Rheingold, S. R., Zheng, Z., Rose-John, S., White, J. C., Nazimuddin, F., Wertheim, G., Levine, B. L., June, C. H., Porter, D. L., & Grupp, S. A. (2016). Identification of predictive biomarkers for cytokine release syndrome after chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Discovery*, *6*(6), 664–679.
70. Gardner, R., Ceppi, F., Rivers, J., Annesley, C., Summers, C., Taraseviciute, A., et al. (2019). Preemptive mitigation of CD19 CAR T cell cytokine release syndrome without attenuation of anti-leukemic efficacy. *Blood*. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001463>.
71. Gust, J., Hay, K. A., Hanafi, L. A., Li, D., Myerson, D., Gonzalez-Cuyar, F., et al. (2017). Endothelial activation and blood-brain barrier disruption in neurotoxicity after adoptive immunotherapy with CD19 CAR-T cells. *Cancer Discovery*, *7*(12), 1404–1419.
72. Santomaso, B. D., Park, J. H., Salloum, D., Riviere, I., Flynn, J., Mead, E., Halton, E., Wang, X., Senechal, B., Purdon, T., Cross, J. R., Liu, H., Vachha, B., Chen, X., DeAngelis, L., Li, D., Bernal, Y., Gonen, M., Wendel, H. G., Sadelain, M., & Brentjens, R. J. (2018). Clinical and biological correlates of neurotoxicity associated with CAR T-cell therapy in patients with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Discovery*, *8*(8), 958–971.
73. Lee, D. W., Santomaso, B. D., Locke, F. L., Ghobadi, A., Turtle, C. J., Brudno, J. N., Maus, M. V., Park, J. H., Mead, E., Pavletic, S., Go, W. Y., Eldjerou, L., Gardner, R. A., Frey, N., Curran, K. J., Peggs, K., Pasquini, M., DiPersio, J., van den Brink, M., Komanduri, K. V., Grupp, S. A., & Neelapu, S. S. (2019). ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, *25*(4), 625–638.
74. Hill, J. A., Li, D., Hay, K. A., Green, M. L., Cherian, S., Chen, X., Riddell, S. R., Maloney, D. G., Boeckh, M., & Turtle, C. J. (2018). Infectious complications of CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor-modified T-cell immunotherapy. *Blood*, *131*(1), 121–130.
75. Laetsch, T. W., Maude, S. L., Milone, M. C., Davis, K. L., Krueger, J., Cardena, A. M., et al. (2018). False-positive results with select HIV-1 NAT methods following lentivirus-based tisagenlecleucel therapy. *Blood*, *131*(23), 2596–2598.
76. Bhoj, V. G., Arhontoulis, D., Wertheim, G., Capobianchi, J., Callahan, C. A., Ellebrecht, C. T., et al. (2016). Persistence of long-lived plasma cells and humoral immunity in individuals responding to CD19-directed CAR T-cell therapy. *Blood*, *128*(3), 360–370.
77. Long, A. H., Haso, W. M., Shern, J. F., Wanhainen, K. M., Murgai, M., Ingaramo, M., Smith, J. P., Walker, A. J., Kohler, M. E., Venkateshwara, V. R., Kaplan, R. N., Patterson, G. H., Fry, T. J., Orentas, R. J., & Mackall, C. L. (2015). 4-1BB costimulation ameliorates T cell exhaustion induced by tonic signaling of chimeric antigen receptors. *Nature Medicine*, *21*(6), 581–590.
78. Feucht, J., Sun, J., Eyquem, J., Ho, Y. J., Zhao, Z., Leibold, J., Dobrin, A., Cabriolu, A., Hamieh, M., & Sadelain, M. (2019). Calibration of CAR activation potential directs alternative T cell fates and therapeutic potency. *Nature Medicine*, *25*(1), 82–88.
79. Maude, S. L., Teachey, D. T., Porter, D. L., & Grupp, S. A. (2015). CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, *125*(26), 4017–4023.

80. Ghorashian, S., Kramer, A. M., Onuoha, S., Wright, G., Bartram, J., Richardson, R., Albon, S. J., Casanovas-Company, J., Castro, F., Popova, B., Villanueva, K., Yeung, J., Vetharoy, W., Guvenel, A., Wawrzyniecka, P. A., Mekkaoui, L., Cheung, G. W., Pinner, D., Chu, J., Lucchini, G., Silva, J., Ciocarlie, O., Lazareva, A., Inglott, S., Gilmour, K. C., Ahsan, G., Ferrari, M., Manzoor, S., Champion, K., Brooks, T., Lopes, A., Hackshaw, A., Farzaneh, F., Chiesa, R., Rao, K., Bonney, D., Samarasinghe, S., Goulden, N., Vora, A., Veys, P., Hough, R., Wynn, R., Pule, M. A., & Amrolia, P. J. (2019). Enhanced CAR T cell expansion and prolonged persistence in pediatric patients with ALL treated with a low-affinity CD19 CAR. *Nature Medicine*, *25*(9), 1408–1414.
81. Gardner, R. A., Finney, O., Annesley, C., Brakke, H., Summers, C., Leger, K., Bleakley, M., Brown, C., Mgebroff, S., Kelly-Spratt, K. S., Hognlund, V., Lindgren, C., Oron, A. P., Li, D., Riddell, S. R., Park, J. R., & Jensen, M. C. (2017). Intent-to-treat leukemia remission by CD19 CAR T cells of defined formulation and dose in children and young adults. *Blood*, *129*(25), 3322–3331.
82. Maude, S. L., Barrett, D. M., Rheingold, S. R., Aplenc, R., Teachey, D. T., Callahan, C., et al. (2016). Efficacy of humanized CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-modified T cells in children and young adults with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia [abstract]. *Blood*, *128*, 217.
83. Rossig, C., Pule, M., Altwater, B., Saiagh, S., Wright, G., Ghorashian, S., Clifton-Hadley, L., Champion, K., Sattar, Z., Popova, B., Hackshaw, A., Smith, P., Roberts, T., Biagi, E., Dreno, B., Rousseau, R., Kailayangiri, S., Ahlmann, M., Hough, R., Kremens, B., Sauer, M. G., Veys, P., Goulden, N., Cummins, M., & Amrolia, P. J. (2017). Vaccination to improve the persistence of CD19CAR gene-modified T cells in relapsed pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*, *31*(5), 1087–1095.
84. Singh, N., Perazzelli, J., Grupp, S. A., & Barrett, D. M. (2016). Early memory phenotypes drive T cell proliferation in patients with pediatric malignancies. *Science Translational Medicine*, *8*(320), 320ra3.
85. Sabatino, M., Hu, J., Sommariva, M., Gautam, S., Fellowes, V., Hocker, J. D., Dougherty, S., Qin, H., Klebanoff, C. A., Fry, T. J., Gress, R. E., Kochenderfer, J. N., Stroncek, D. F., Ji, Y., & Gattinoni, L. (2016). Generation of clinical-grade CD19-specific CAR-modified CD8+ memory stem cells for the treatment of human B-cell malignancies. *Blood*, *128*(4), 519–528.
86. Orlando, E. J., Han, X., Tribouley, C., Wood, P. A., Leary, R. J., Riester, M., Levine, J. E., Qayed, M., Grupp, S. A., Boyer, M., de Moerloose, B., Nemecek, E. R., Bittencourt, H., Hiramatsu, H., Buechner, J., Davies, S. M., Verneris, M. R., Nguyen, K., Brogdon, J. L., Bitter, H., Morrissey, M., Pierog, P., Pantano, S., Engelman, J. A., & Winckler, W. (2018). Genetic mechanisms of target antigen loss in CAR19 therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nature Medicine*, *24*(10), 1504–1506.
87. Sotillo, E., Barrett, D. M., Black, K. L., Bagashev, A., Oldridge, D., Wu, G., Sussman, R., Lanauze, C., Ruella, M., Gazzara, M. R., Martinez, N. M., Harrington, C. T., Chung, E. Y., Perazzelli, J., Hofmann, T. J., Maude, S. L., Raman, P., Barrera, A., Gill, S., Lacey, S. F., Melenhorst, J. J., Allman, D., Jacoby, E., Fry, T., Mackall, C., Barash, Y., Lynch, K. W., Maris, J. M., Grupp, S. A., & Thomas-Tikhonenko, A. (2015). Convergence of acquired mutations and alternative splicing of CD19 enables resistance to CART-19 immunotherapy. *Cancer Discovery*, *5*(12), 1282–1295.
88. Jacoby, E., Nguyen, S. M., Fountaine, T. J., Welp, K., Gryder, B., Qin, H., et al. (2016). CD19 CAR immune pressure induces B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia lineage switch exposing inherent leukaemic plasticity. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 12320.
89. Gardner, R., Wu, D., Cherian, S., Fang, M., Hanafi, L. A., Finney, O., Smithers, H., Jensen, M. C., Riddell, S. R., Maloney, D. G., & Turtle, C. J. (2016). Acquisition of a CD19-negative myeloid phenotype allows immune escape of MLL-rearranged B-ALL from CD19 CAR-T-cell therapy. *Blood*, *127*(20), 2406–2410.
90. Oberley, M. J., Gaynon, P. S., Bhojwani, D., Pulsipher, M. A., Gardner, R. A., Hiemenz, M. C., Ji, J., Han, J., O’Gorman, M. R. G., Wayne, A. S., & Raca, G. (2018). Myeloid lineage switch following chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy in a patient with TCF3-ZNF384 fusion-positive B-lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, *65*(9), e27265.
91. Nagel, I., Bartels, M., Duell, J., Oberg, H. H., Ussat, S., Bruckmueller, H., Ottmann, O., Pfeifer, H., Trautmann, H., Gökbuget, N., Caliebe, A., Kabelitz, D., Kneba, M., Horst, H. A., Hoelzer, D., Topp, M. S., Cascorbi, I., Siebert, R., & Brüggemann, M. (2017). Hematopoietic stem cell involvement in BCR-ABL1-positive ALL as a potential mechanism of resistance to blinatumomab therapy. *Blood*, *130*(18), 2027–2031.
92. Rayes, A., McMasters, R. L., & O’Brien, M. M. (2016). Lineage switch in MLL-rearranged infant leukemia following CD19-directed therapy. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, *63*(6), 1113–1115.
93. Balducci, E., Nivaggioni, V., Boudjarane, J., Bouriche, L., Rahal, I., Bernot, D., Alazard, E., Duployez, N., Gardel, N., Amoux, I., Lafage-Pochitaloff, M., Michel, G., Nadel, B., & Loosveld, M. (2017). Lineage switch from B acute lymphoblastic leukemia to acute monocytic leukemia with persistent t(4;11)(q21;q23) and cytogenetic evolution under CD19-targeted therapy. *Annals of Hematology*, *96*(9), 1579–1581.
94. Cohen, A., Petsche, D., Grunberger, T., & Freedman, M. H. (1992). Interleukin 6 induces myeloid differentiation of a human biphenotypic leukemic cell line. *Leukemia Research*, *16*(8), 751–760.
95. Alexander, T. B., Gu, Z., Iacobucci, I., Dickerson, K., Choi, J. K., Xu, B., Payne-Turner, D., Yoshihara, H., Loh, M. L., Horan, J., Buldini, B., Basso, G., Elitzur, S., de Haas, V., Zwaan, C. M., Yeoh, A., Reinhardt, D., Tomizawa, D., Kiyokawa, N., Lammens, T., de Moerloose, B., Catchpoole, D., Hori, H., Moorman, A., Moore, A. S., Hrusak, O., Meshinchi, S., Orgel, E., Devidas, M., Borowitz, M., Wood, B., Heerema, N. A., Carrol, A., Yang, Y. L., Smith, M. A., Davidsen, T. M., Hermida, L. C., Gesuwan, P., Marra, M. A., Ma, Y., Mungall, A. J., Moore, R. A., Jones, S. J. M., Valentine, M., Janke, L. J., Rubnitz, J. E., Pui, C. H., Ding, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Nichols, K. E., Downing, J. R., Cao, X., Shi, L., Pounds, S., Newman, S., Pei, D., Guidry Auvil, J. M., Gerhard, D. S., Hunger, S. P., Inaba, H., & Mullighan, C. G. (2018). The genetic basis and cell of origin of mixed phenotype acute leukaemia. *Nature*, *562*(7727), 373–379.
96. Hamieh, M., Dobrin, A., Cabriolu, A., van der Stegen, S. J. C., Giavridis, T., Mansilla-Soto, J., et al. (2019). CAR T cell trogocytosis and cooperative killing regulate tumour antigen escape. *Nature*, *568*(7750), 112–116.
97. Ruella, M., Xu, J., Barrett, D. M., Fraietta, J. A., Reich, T. J., Ambrose, D. E., Klichinsky, M., Shestova, O., Patel, P. R., Kulikovskaya, I., Nazimuddin, F., Bhoj, V. G., Orlando, E. J., Fry, T. J., Bitter, H., Maude, S. L., Levine, B. L., Nobles, C. L., Bushman, F. D., Young, R. M., Scholler, J., Gill, S. I., June, C. H., Grupp, S. A., Lacey, S. F., & Melenhorst, J. J. (2018). Induction of resistance to chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy by transduction of a single leukemic B cell. *Nature Medicine*, *24*(10), 1499–1503.
98. Braig, F., Brandt, A., Goebeler, M., Tony, H. P., Kurze, A. K., Nollau, P., Bumm, T., Böttcher, S., Bargou, R. C., & Binder, M. (2017). Resistance to anti-CD19/CD3 BiTE in acute lymphoblastic leukemia may be mediated by disrupted CD19 membrane trafficking. *Blood*, *129*(1), 100–104.
99. Haso, W., Lee, D. W., Shah, N. N., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Yuan, C. M., Pastan, I. H., Dimitrov, D. S., Morgan, R. A., FitzGerald, D., Barrett, D. M., Wayne, A. S., Mackall, C. L., & Orentas, R. J.

- (2013). Anti-CD22–chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, *121*(7), 1165–1174.
100. Qin, H., Cho, M., Haso, W., Zhang, L., Tasian, S. K., Oo, H. Z., Negri, G. L., Lin, Y., Zou, J., Mallon, B. S., Maude, S., Teachey, D. T., Barrett, D. M., Orentas, R. J., Daugaard, M., Sorensen, P. H., Grupp, S. A., & Fry, T. J. (2015). Eradication of B-ALL using chimeric antigen receptor-expressing T cells targeting the TSLPR oncoprotein. *Blood*, *126*(5), 629–639.
  101. Fry, T. J., Shah, N. N., Orentas, R. J., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Yuan, C. M., Ramakrishna, S., Wolters, P., Martin, S., Delbrook, C., Yates, B., Shalabi, H., Fountaine, T. J., Shern, J. F., Majzner, R. G., Stroncek, D. F., Sabatino, M., Feng, Y., Dimitrov, D. S., Zhang, L., Nguyen, S., Qin, H., Dropulic, B., Lee, D. W., & Mackall, C. L. (2018). CD22-targeted CAR T cells induce remission in B-ALL that is naive or resistant to CD19-targeted CAR immunotherapy. *Nature Medicine*, *24*(1), 20–28.
  102. Ramakrishna, S., Highfill, S. L., Walsh, Z., Nguyen, S. M., Lei, H., Shern, J. F., Qin, H., Kraft, I. L., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Yuan, C. M., Hwang, J. D., Feng, Y., Zhu, Z., Dimitrov, D., Shah, N. N., & Fry, T. J. (2019). Modulation of target antigen density improves CAR T-cell functionality and persistence. *Clinical Cancer Research*, *25*(17), 5329–5341.
  103. Wang, N., Hu, X., Cao, W., Li, C., Xiao, Y., Cao, Y., et al. (2019). Efficacy and safety of CAR19/22 T-cell “cocktail” therapy in patients with refractory/relapsed B-cell malignancies. *Blood*. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019000017>.
  104. Schneider, D., Xiong, Y., Wu, D., Nölle, V., Schmitz, S., Haso, W., et al. (2017). A tandem CD19/CD20 CAR lentiviral vector drives on-target and off-target antigen modulation in leukemia cell lines. *Journal for Immunotherapy of Cancer*, *5*, 42.
  105. Ruella, M., Barrett, D. M., Kenderian, S. S., Shestova, O., Hofmann, T. J., Perazelli, J., et al. (2016). Dual CD19 and CD123 targeting prevents antigen-loss relapses after CD19-directed immunotherapies. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, *126*(10), 3814–3826.
  106. Flowers, C. R., & Ramsey, S. D. (2018). What can cost-effectiveness analysis tell us about chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia? *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *36*(32), 3183–3185.
  107. Lin, J. K., Lerman, B. J., Barnes, J. I., Boursiquot, B. C., Tan, Y. J., Robinson, A. Q. L., et al. (2018). Cost effectiveness of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy in relapsed or refractory pediatric B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *36*(32), 3192–3202.
  108. Whittington, M. D., McQueen, R. B., Ollendorf, D. A., Kumar, V. M., Chapman, R. H., Tice, J. A., et al. (2018). Long-term survival and value of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for pediatric patients with relapsed or refractory leukemia. *JAMA Pediatrics*, *172*(12), 1161–1168.
  109. Sarkar, R. R., Gloude, N. J., Schiff, D., & Murphy, J. D. (2019). Cost-effectiveness of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy in pediatric relapsed/refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, *111*(7), 719–726.
  110. Laetsch, T. W., Myers, G. D., Baruchel, A., Dietz, A. C., Pulsipher, M. A., Bittencourt, H., et al. (2019). Patient-reported quality of life after tisagenlecleucel infusion in children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a global, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet Oncology*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(19\)30493-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30493-0).
  111. Mamonkin, M., Rouce, R. H., Tashiro, H., & Brenner, M. K. (2015). A T-cell–directed chimeric antigen receptor for the selective treatment of T-cell malignancies. *Blood*, *126*(8), 983–992.
  112. Sánchez-Martínez, D., Baroni, M. L., Gutierrez-Agüera, F., Roca-Ho, H., Blanch-Lombarte, O., González-García, S., Torreadell, M., Junca, J., Ramírez-Orellana, M., Velasco-Hernández, T., Bueno, C., Fuster, J. L., Prado, J. G., Calvo, J., Uzan, B., Cools, J., Camos, M., Pflumio, F., Toribio, M. L., & Menéndez, P. (2019). Fratricide-resistant CD1a-specific CAR T cells for the treatment of cortical T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, *133*(21), 2291–2304.
  113. Gomes-Silva, D., Srinivasan, M., Sharma, S., Lee, C. M., Wagner, D. L., Davis, T. H., Rouce, R. H., Bao, G., Brenner, M. K., & Mamonkin, M. (2017). CD7-edited T cells expressing a CD7-specific CAR for the therapy of T-cell malignancies. *Blood*, *130*(3), 285–296.
  114. Png, Y. T., Vinanica, N., Kamiya, T., Shimasaki, N., Coustan-Smith, E., & Campana, D. (2017). Blockade of CD7 expression in T cells for effective chimeric antigen receptor targeting of T-cell malignancies. *Blood Advances*, *1*(25), 2348–2360.
  115. Qasim, W., Zhan, H., Samarasinghe, S., Adams, S., Amrolia, P., Stafford, S., et al. (2017). Molecular remission of infant B-ALL after infusion of universal TALEN gene-edited CAR T cells. *Science Translational Medicine*, *9*(374), eaaj2013.
  116. Kantarjian, H., Ravandi, F., Short, N. J., Huang, X., Jain, N., Sasaki, K., Daver, N., Pemmaraju, N., Khoury, J. D., Jorgensen, J., Alvarado, Y., Konopleva, M., Garcia-Manero, G., Kadia, T., Yilmaz, M., Bortakur, G., Burger, J., Kornblau, S., Wierda, W., DiNardo, C., Ferrajoli, A., Jacob, J., Garris, R., O’Brien, S., & Jabbour, E. (2018). Inotuzumab ozogamicin in combination with low-intensity chemotherapy for older patients with Philadelphia chromosome–negative acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: a single-arm, phase 2 study. *The Lancet Oncology*, *19*(2), 240–248.
  117. Chiaretti, S., Bassan, R., Vitale, A., Elia, L., Piciocchi, A., Ferrara, F., et al. (2019). A dasatinib–blinatumomab combination for the front-line treatment of adult Ph+ ALL patients. Preliminary results of the GIMEMA LAL2116 D-ALBA trial; on behalf of Gimema Acute Leukemia Working Party. *HemaSphere*, *3*, 746.

**Publisher’s note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.