

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Annals of Emergency Medicine

Volume 74, NO. 3 : September 2019

NEWS AND PERSPECTIVE

17A Major Medical Organizations Support Gun Safety Research: Their Political Contributions Say Otherwise

C Huff

21A GLOBAL RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS

315 JOURNAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

HEALTH POLICY

- FREE**  **317 Emergency Department Out-of-Pocket Expenditures by Insurance, 1999 to 2016**
(Original Research)
ME Johansen, JD Yun
- FREE**  **325 Freestanding Emergency Departments: What Is Their Role in Emergency Care?**
(Review Article)
AJ Alexander, C Dark
-  **334 Postdischarge Unplanned Care Events Among Commercially Insured Patients With an Observation Stay Versus Short Inpatient Admission** (Original Research)
AK Sabbatini, B Wright, K Kocher, MK Hall, A Basu
-  **345 Associations Between Crowding and Ten-Day Mortality Among Patients Allocated Lower Triage Acuity Levels Without Need of Acute Hospital Care on Departure From the Emergency Department** (Original Research)
LM Berg, A Ehrenberg, J Florin, J Östergren, A Discacciati, KE Göransson
- 357 Another Look at the Persistent Moral Problem of Emergency Department Crowding**
(Concepts)
JC Moskop, JM Geiderman, KD Marshall, J McGreevy, AR Derse, K Bookman, N McGrath, KV Iserson

SRS designates Systematic Review Snapshot articles.

FREE designates free full-text access for nonsubscribers at www.annemergmed.com.

CME designates that Continuing Medical Education exam for this article is available at <http://www.acep.org/ACEPeCME/>.

 indicates a podcast is available at www.annemergmed.com.

JC designates that *Annals of Emergency Medicine* Journal Club questions and answers are available.

 designates content is only available at www.annemergmed.com.

 indicates related video files are available at www.annemergmed.com.



Annals of Emergency Medicine (ISSN: 0196-0644) is published monthly by Elsevier Inc., 230 Park Ave, Suite 800, New York, NY 10169-0901, USA. Periodicals postage paid at New York, NY, and at additional mailing offices.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Elsevier, Journal Returns, 1799 Highway 50 East, Linn, MO 65051, USA.

IMAGING

- 365 Diagnosis of Traumatic Eye Injuries With Point-of-Care Ocular Ultrasonography in the Emergency Department** (Original Research)
S Ojaghiahaghi, KM Lombardi, S Davis, SS Vahdati, R Sorkhabi, A Pourmand
-  **372 Effect of Initial Bedside Ultrasonography on Emergency Department Skin and Soft Tissue Infection Management** (Original Research)
WR Mower, JG Crisp, A Krishnadasan, GJ Moran, FM Abrahamian, F Lovecchio, DJ Karras, MT Steele, RE Rothman, DA Talan
- 381 The Utility and Survivorship of Peripheral Intravenous Catheters Inserted in the Emergency Department** (Original Research)
H Shokoohi, KS Boniface, P Kulie, A Long, M McCarthy
- 391 Imaging in Suspected Renal Colic: Systematic Review of the Literature and Multispecialty Consensus** (Brief Research Report)
CL Moore, CR Carpenter, ME Heilbrun, K Klauer, A Krambeck, C Moreno, EM Remer, C Scales, MM Shaw, KM Sternberg
-  **400 What Is the Diagnostic Accuracy of Point-of-Care Ultrasonography in Patients With Suspected Blunt Thoracoabdominal Trauma?** (Systematic Review Snapshot)
B Long, MD April

AIRWAY

-  **403 Emergency Department Management of Out-of-Hospital Laryngeal Tubes** (Original Research)
BE Driver, SK Scharber, GB Horton, DA Braude, NS Simpson, RF Reardon
-  **410 Use of End Tidal Oxygen Monitoring to Assess Preoxygenation During Rapid Sequence Intubation in the Emergency Department** (Original Research)
ND Caputo, M Oliver, JR West, R Hackett, JC Sakles
-   **416 Managing the Out-of-Hospital Extraglottic Airway Device** (Expert Clinical Management)
D Braude, M Steuerwald, T Wray, R Galgon

TOXICOLOGY

- 423 Efficacy of Oral Administration of Sodium Thiosulfate and Glycine in a Large, Swine Model of Oral Cyanide Toxicity** (Brief Research Report)
PC Ng, TB Hendry-Hofer, AE Witeof, SB Mahon, M Brenner, GR Boss, VS Beberta
- 430 Elective Naloxone-Induced Opioid Withdrawal for Rapid Initiation of Medication-Assisted Treatment of Opioid Use Disorder** (Case Report)
RH Phillips, M Salzman, R Haroz, R Rafeq, AJ Mazzairelli, A Pelletier-Bui
- 433 Balancing Benefits and Harms on the Frontier of Buprenorphine Initiation** (Editorial)
RJ Strayer, AA Herring, LS Nelson
- 436 Emergency Physicians and Opioid Overdoses: A Call to Aid** (Editorial)
D Houry, J Adams

-  **439 The Efficacy of Antivenin *Latrodectus* (Black Widow) Equine Immune F(ab')₂ Versus Placebo in the Treatment of Latrodectism: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Clinical Trial** (Original Research)
RC Dart, SP Bush, K Heard, TC Arnold, M Sutter, D Campagne, CP Holstege, SA Seifert, JCY Lo, D Quan, S Borron, DA Meurer, RI Burnham, J McNally, W Garcia-Ubbelohde, VE Anderson

-  **450 What History, Examination, and Laboratory Findings Suggest Severe Snake Envenomation?** (Systematic Review Snapshot)
B Long, MD April

IMAGES IN EMERGENCY MEDICINE

-  **332 Man With Chest Tightness**
W Li, Y Mei, Y Shao, X Chen, J Zhang

- 333 Female Teenager With Purple Toe**
CM Zeretzke-Bien, DJ Ticas

- 453 Newborn With a Swelling Cherry Eye**
M Trevisan, G Tamaro, E Conversano, G Cozzi, R Dall'Amico, E Barbi

-  **454 Male With Dyspnea**
C-H Lin, L-Y Li, S-H Tsai, C-Y Lin, C-J Yang

-  **e19 Elderly Man With Pain in Scrotum**
C-H Hsieh, Y-S Chou, M-Y Hsu

-  **e21 Young Girl With Intermittent Hematuria**
S Ghirardo, M Trevisan, AMC Galimberti, M Pennesi, E Barbi

-  **e23 Man With Painless Vision Loss**
AH Parsons, M Platt, M Huecker

-  **e25 Teenage Girl With a Vaginal Mass**
C-Y Huang, S-W Ho

CHANGE OF SHIFT

- 455 Like, a Whole Thing**
MN Boushra

ANNALS OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE JOURNAL CLUB

-  **457 Over-EXTENDING the Window for Thrombolytic Therapy in Cerebrovascular Accident**
RJ Spiegel, JP Donnelly, RP Radecki

CORRESPONDENCE

- 462 Swallowed Nitroglycerin to Treat Esophageal Food Impaction**
J Schimmel, S Slauson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

463 Cornea Specialists Do Not Recommend Routine Usage of Topical Anesthetics for Corneal Abrasions

MD Lee, TH Driver, GD Seitzman

467 Waterfalls and Handoffs: A Novel Physician Staffing Model to Decrease Handoffs in a Pediatric Emergency Department

Y Freund, B Bloom/H Yoshida, RT Migita, SS Mazor

468 Using High Sensitivity Troponin to Rule Out Myocardial Infarction

D Mayer/J Mirkin, R Radecki, R Spiegel

469 Correction

POLICY STATEMENTS

- Ⓢ **e27 Salary and Benefits Considerations for Emergency Medical Services Professionals**
- Ⓢ **e29 Small Motorized Recreational Vehicles**
- Ⓢ **e31 Violence Prevention and Intervention in Emergency Medical Services Systems**
- Ⓢ **e33 Crowding**
- Ⓢ **e35 Domestic Family Violence**
- Ⓢ **e37 Patient Support Services**
- Ⓢ **e39 Violence-Free Society**

READER SERVICES

24A Information for Readers

25A Calendar for Continuing Education

36A Classified Advertising Rates & Data

37A Classified Advertising

To read our Instructions for Authors, please go to
<http://www.annemergmed.com/content/instauth>

The Peer Review Process at *Annals of Emergency Medicine*

Most readers highly value the fact that articles in a journal like ours have undergone formal peer review. Many readers also have a relatively simple understanding of that term as describing a single well-defined process of review by expert reviewers, but it is a lot more complicated and nuanced than that. We therefore provide a very brief summary of our procedures to provide appropriate levels of review for most (but not entirely all) the journal content.

Although we try to be a model among journals for the rigor of our peer review process, like most of them (including the most prestigious) this does not mean that all content is peer reviewed in the same way. All original content (particularly research content) in the journal is peer reviewed by one of the many experts on our editorial board, but additional peer review of every submission by members of our reviewer panel is not always necessary or appropriate. Many submissions are not appropriate for the journal for one fairly obvious reason or another (eg, target audience), so like most other journals we reject many manuscripts after review by an editor. For those which are not obviously inappropriate, however, we receive far more submissions than we can publish, so our further process seeks to identify the best of the best.

The vast majority of scientific content that we publish is critically reviewed first by members of our editorial board with specific expertise, and then gets additional scrutiny from our expert reviewers. Our most stringent level of review is reserved for original research, which will form the basis of the scientific record in the future. These submissions are reviewed by at least two of our expert reviewers who are blinded to the identity of the authors. Quite a few papers are reviewed more than once, and sometimes in particularly complex cases 5 or 6 reviewers and editors may be involved, including deputy editors. During this process there is much consultation and discussion between editors, reviewers, and authors and recommendations are made to the authors. Sometimes that discussion exceeds the length of the original paper itself, and it certainly is a laborious and time-consuming process. Editors and reviewers must disclose potential conflicts of interest which are managed as per a rigorous policy (<http://www.annemergmed.com/content/policies-coi>). Virtually no original research is accepted with no revisions whatsoever, and our authors strongly agree that in general the process improves the quality of the final manuscript. Once it has been discussed, revised, and received the final stamp of approval from the supervising editor (whose name is always published with the manuscript for transparency), all original science content in the journal undergoes a final review by the editor in chief before acceptance.

None of this means the final article is irrefutable truth; such a thing does not exist in science where our state of knowledge is (we hope) constantly evolving and no study should be judged in isolation. But it does mean that we've asked all the appropriate questions we could think of, made suggestions, and required revisions to make the paper as complete and transparent to replication as possible.

This process for original research is the most rigorous and is probably what most readers think of as "formal peer review," but the journal contains much other content of a factual and scientific nature which does not lend itself to this approach. For example, we have a number of regular journal features (like News & Perspective, CDC Update, NHTSA Notes, etc) that are updates written by selected topic experts on a routine basis. These are also reviewed by an editor but not sent out for additional review. A very few items, such as ACEP Clinical Policies, are published verbatim from the experts that develop them and are not revised (for obvious reasons); this fact is published along with each.

There are always some exceptions to the above processes as we develop new types of content or relatively unique contributions occur. We try to describe the particular variants of peer review that were used for each of these, or if there was none, that is made clear as well. Our goal is to provide as much oversight as is needed and logistically practical, and to enable readers to determine what that level of oversight was as conveniently as possible.