

The authors collected data from 2004–2013 that addressed a transition period between slow-freezing protocols and vitrification of gametes and embryos. It is now established that vitrification is superior to slow freezing in terms of blastocyst survival rates and ART outcomes. Furthermore, vitrified embryos carry a decreased risk of being delivered preterm and with low birthweight. Specifically, when evaluated, pregnancies delivered after vitrified embryos and oocytes were not at an increased risk of obstetric or puerperal complications and appear to have higher birthweight when compared with slow-frozen or freshly transferred embryos.⁴ Because of these advancements in oocyte and embryo cryopreservation, most of the frozen gametes and embryos that now lead to transfer in the United States are vitrified.

Despite these factors, we thank the authors for a well-prepared study that will prove an invaluable tool for counseling patients who undergo ART in the many years to come. ■

Bruce D. Pier, MD

Sarah E. Ligon, MD

Gary Levy, MD

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Tripler Army Medical Center, Hawaii

bruce.d.pier3.mil@mail.mil

The authors report no conflict of interest.

The views expressed in this abstract/manuscript are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Army, Department of Defense, or the US Government.

REFERENCES

1. Luke B, Brown MB, Wantman E, et al. Risk of severe maternal morbidity by maternal fertility status: a US study in 8 states. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2019;220:195.e1–12.
2. Kalra SK, Ratcliffe SJ, Coutifaris C, Molinaro T, Barnhart K. Ovarian stimulation and low birth weight in newborns conceived through in vitro fertilization. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;118:863–71.
3. Chen HY, Chauhan SP, Ward TC, Mori N, Gass ET, Cisler RA. Aberrant fetal growth and early, late, and postneonatal mortality: an analysis of Milwaukee births, 1996–2007. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;204:261.e1–10.
4. Li Z, Wang YA, Ledger W, Edgar DH, Sullivan EA. Clinical outcomes following cryopreservation of blastocysts by vitrification or slow freezing: a population-based cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2014;29:2794–801.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.04.010>

REPLY



We thank Drs Pier, Ligon, and Levy for their interest in our study and their thoughtful comments. We agree that SGA is an important consideration. However, neither freezing an

embryo nor thawing a frozen embryo are physiologically normal states and potentially are associated with subtle changes, some of which have yet to be identified and may only manifest in early childhood or adolescence. Furthermore, the long-term health of offspring conceived after vitrification is essentially unknown because, as the authors point out, vitrification has not been practiced widely until recently. In our analysis of 7795 pairs of singleton siblings conceived with in vitro fertilization (IVF), the adjusted difference in birthweight when both siblings were from fresh embryos was ≥ 81 g; whereas when the first was from a fresh embryo and the second from a frozen embryo, the frozen–fresh birthweight difference was ≥ 222 g, and the risk of LGA increased (frozen vs fresh: adjusted odds ratio, 1.74; 95% confidence interval, 1.45–2.08).¹ In a recent analysis of fetal growth, frozen embryos had greater estimated fetal weight than the reference curve in all 3 trimesters compared with fresh embryos from IVF, intracytoplasmic sperm injection, and intrauterine insemination, which had greater than reference weights for only the first 2 trimesters.² The implications of this altered accelerated fetal growth for health during childhood and young adulthood are unknown.

In addition to potential consequences of protocol choices on offspring, factors that affect maternal health must be considered. As shown in our analysis, the use of donor oocytes or frozen embryos are associated with the highest risks for severe maternal morbidity, which includes blood transfusion, unplanned hysterectomy, and hysterectomy after cesarean delivery, and reflect alterations in placental structure and function. In pregnancies that use donor oocytes or frozen embryos, clinical data strongly implicate the absence of the corpus luteum as a potential explanation for the increased risk of placental complications and preeclampsia that is seen with frozen embryo transfers.³ The pathogenesis of preeclampsia in many women, especially those with early-onset preeclampsia, involves impaired placentation in early pregnancy and provokes an abnormal maternal response that manifests as endothelial dysfunction with the clinical signs of new-onset hypertension and proteinuria or impaired function of other organs. There is a continuing need for refinement of IVF protocols to reduce these morbidities and to close the gap between IVF-conceived and spontaneously conceived outcomes. ■

Barbara Luke, ScD, MPH

Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology

Michigan State University

East Lansing, MI

lukeb@msu.edu

Valerie L. Baker, MD

Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility

Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Baltimore, MD

Kevin J. Doody, MD
Center for Assisted Reproduction
Bedford, TX

B.L. is a research consultant to the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology. The remaining authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Luke B, Brown MB, Wantman E, Stern JE, Toner JP, Coddington CC. Increased risk of large-for-gestational age birthweight in singleton siblings

conceived with in vitro fertilization in frozen versus fresh cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2017;34:191–200.

2. Ginod P, Choux C, Barberet J, et al. Singleton fetal growth kinetics depend on the mode of conception. *Fertil Steril* 2018;110:1109–17.

3. Von Versen-Hoyneck F, Schaub AM, Chi YY, et al. Increased pre-eclampsia risk and reduced aortic compliance with in vitro fertilization cycles in the absence of a corpus luteum. *Hypertension* 2019;73:640–9.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.04.011>