

References

1. Shanafelt TD, West CP, Sinsky C, et al. Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life integration in physicians and the general US working population between 2011 and 2017. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2019.
2. Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, et al. Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance in physicians and the general US working population between 2011 and 2014. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2015;90:1600–1613.
3. Dyrbye LN, Burke SE, Hardeman RR, et al. Association of clinical specialty with symptoms of burnout and career choice regret among US resident physicians. *JAMA.* 2018;320:1114–1130.
4. Marchalik D, Catomeris A, Krasnow R. The impact of burnout on professional and academic goals and career regret in urology trainees. *J Urol.* 2019;202:45–46.
5. Elmore LC, Jeffe DB, Jin L, Awad MM, Turnbull IR. National survey of burnout among US general surgery residents. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2016;223:440–451.
6. AUA. *Details of National Trainee Complement.* Personal Correspondence.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.04.045>
UROLOGY 131: 33–34, 2019. © 2019 Elsevier Inc.



EDITORIAL COMMENT

I commend Drs. Marchalik et al on their manuscript and work to help define contributors and mitigators to burnout among urology trainees. Their findings are consistent with a similar study by Elmore et al (2014) that demonstrated a 69% rate of burnout among general surgery trainees.¹ It is both curious and worrisome that rates of burnout are consistently this high, despite ACGME efforts to reduce resident work hours, improve learning: service ratios, and build programmatic supports into our training programs. Why is this so alarming? Burnout has been consistently linked to medical errors, suicidal ideation and attempts, and substance abuse and thus poses a great risk to our patients and our trainees.^{2–5} In a review of the role of healthcare leadership on physician burnout, Shanafelt and Noseworthy⁶ point out that the burden of prevention or treatment for burnout is often placed on the individual, with interventions like stress-reduction activities and coaching. However, in reality an integrated approach that focuses on treatment and prevention at all levels (individual, work unit, organization, National) is more effective. Two organizational programs noted here—access to mental health and structured mentoring programs—were shown to be important to reducing burnout. All trainees should have access to mental health treatments and be provided confidential opportunities to seek care. We need to work hard to reduce the stigma and shame surrounding mental health treatment by normalizing mental health as a part of self-care. Structured mentoring programs mitigated burnout in both this study and in the Elmore study. In an era of ever-increasing pressures for clinical productivity on faculty, demonstrating the value of faculty participation in mentoring and finding ways to protect these programs will be critical. In addition to programmatic supports like mentoring and mental health, the most important thing faculty can do is role model the primacy of self-care, seek meaning in joy in work, and build collegiality and community at work so that our future doctors can learn and hone their own resiliency skills.

Hadley M. Wood, Elyse A. Custódio, Department of Urology and Associate Professor, Case-Lerner COM; Department of Urology, Residency Training Program, Glickman Urological and Kidney Institute

References

1. Elmore LC, Jeffe DB, Jin L, et al. National survey of burnout among US general surgery residents. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2016;223:440–450.
2. Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Dyrbye LN, et al., et al. Special report: suicidal ideation among American surgeons. *Arch Surg.* 2011;146:54–62.
3. Balch CM, Oreskovich MR, Dyrbye LN, et al. Personal consequences of malpractice lawsuits on American surgeons. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2011;213:657–667.
4. Fridner A, Belkic K, Minucci D, et al. Work environment and recent suicidal thoughts among male university hospital physicians in Sweden and Italy: the health and organization among university hospital physicians in Europe (HOUPE) study. *Gend Med.* 2011;8:269–279.
5. Oreskovich MR, Shanafelt T, Dyrbye LN, et al. The prevalence of substance use disorders in American physicians. *Am J Addict.* 2015;24:30–38.
6. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive leadership and physician well-being: nine organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92:129–146.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2019.04.046>
UROLOGY 131: 34, 2019. © 2019 Elsevier Inc.



AUTHOR REPLY

We agree with the comment and commend it for its nuanced overview of the tension that currently exists in burnout science: on one hand, awareness of burnout and interventions related to it are increasing around the country; on the other hand, the needle seems to be moving too slowly. This slow progress has serious implication. A recent financial model of the economic impact of burnout to the US Healthcare system estimated the cost to be between \$2.6 and \$6.3 billion per year.¹ The impact on patient and physician lives is even more troubling.

While the numbers remain concerning, we feel that there is cause for optimism. Evidence-based intervention can indeed make a difference. A meta-analysis of 52 studies revealed that burnout-related programs had the ability to decrease burnout rates by an average of 10%.² In this analysis, organizational and structural interventions played a particularly important role.

We also fundamentally agree with the need to move away from the historic tendency to blame individuals for their burnout and then look to them to remedy it through resiliency training. While individual changes like mindfulness-based cognitive training³ and reading⁴ have been shown to have a positive effects on surgery trainee well-being, these interventions simply cannot exist in a vacuum. Instead, what we need is a clear recognition of the need for change and a commitment to that change from departmental and organizational leaders.

This is particularly important because leadership has a tremendous impact on physician well-being. An evaluation of a 12-question organizational leadership composite revealed that, even when controlling for gender, age, and specialty, each 1-point change on a 60-point leadership scale resulted in a 9% increase in the likelihood of professional satisfaction and a 3.3% decrease in the likelihood of burnout.⁵ These findings are staggering both in their magnitude and in the seeming simplicity of