



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Bodywork & Movement Therapies

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/jbmt

Comparative study

Immediate effects and one-week follow-up after neuromuscular electric stimulation alone or combined with stretching on hamstrings extensibility in healthy football players with hamstring shortening



Luis Espejo-Antúnez ^{a, *}, María Carracedo-Rodríguez ^a, Fernando Ribeiro ^b, João Venâncio ^c, Blanca De la Cruz-Torres ^d, Manuel Albornoz-Cabello ^d

^a Department of Medical-Surgical Therapy, Medicine Faculty, Extremadura University, Badajoz, Spain

^b School of Health Sciences and Institute of Biomedicine-iBiMED, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

^c Advanced Polytechnic and University Cooperative (CESPU), Institute of Research and Advanced Training in Health Sciences and Technologies, R. Central de Gandra, Gandra, Portugal

^d Physiotherapy Department, University of Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 September 2017

Received in revised form

15 January 2018

Accepted 22 January 2018

Keywords:

Muscle stretching

Electric current

Technique

Range of movement

Sport

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the immediate and mid-term (after 7 days) effects of electric current combined with simultaneous muscle stretching (EME technique) per comparison to the isolated use of the same current (without applying simultaneous muscle stretching), over the hamstring extensibility in football players with hamstring shortening, and to estimate the clinical benefit of the interventions according to the muscular extensibility.

Methods: Forty-eight participants were randomized to receive one session of EME technique (n = 26) or one session of the electrical current (EC) alone (n = 22). The measurement of the hamstrings extensibility through the active knee test was carried out before and immediately after each intervention and one week later.

Results: A significant interaction group x time was observed ($F_{2,84} = 7.112$, $p = 0.001$; partial eta squared = 0.145). The hamstrings extensibility changed significantly immediately after the EME technique ($147.3^\circ \pm 16.4^\circ$ to $153.5^\circ \pm 14.2^\circ$, $p < 0.05$), but not after the EC only ($144.2 \pm 10.2^\circ$ to $141.7 \pm 7.8^\circ$, $p > 0.05$). One week after the intervention no significant differences were found to the baseline values in both groups. The number needed to treat to prevent one new case of hamstring shortening was 3.

Conclusion: The combination of electric current with simultaneous stretching is an effective technique to acutely increase the hamstring extensibility of football players with hamstring shortness.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Impaired hamstring extensibility is associated with increased risk of injuries in the lower limbs (Croisier et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2005; Henderson et al., 2010) and incidence of low back pain (Feldman et al., 2001). Decreased hamstring extensibility has been reported in athletes of different sport disciplines (Reid and McNair, 2004). In stop-and-go sports like football, the incidence of hamstring injuries is estimated at approximately 6 players per season with each injured player missing three matches per season (Woods et al., 2004). Among the modifiable risk factors in

hamstring injury is the loss of range of motion (Heiderscheit et al., 2010; Croisier et al., 2008). However, its influence as potential factor involved in the development of a tear within the muscle/connective tissue is controversial. Studies that analyze the possible clinical benefits of each previous intervention are needed, in order to analyze its preventive effect on injuries.

In this sense, several stretching techniques have been used aiming to improve flexibility, although the protocols used are diverse, both regarding intervention duration and follow-up (Rogan et al., 2013). In recent years, alternative techniques have been proposed, such as techniques acting remotely like ischemic compression (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2016a,b), neurodynamic sliding (Castellote-Caballero et al., 2013) or Electric Muscle Elongation (EME) (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2012).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lusea@unex.es (L. Espejo-Antúnez).

The EME technique constitutes an electrotherapy procedure based on increasing muscle tension of the shortened muscle through the application of electrical current (interferential current or biphasic symmetrical pulsed current) combined with simultaneous stretching and contraction of the antagonist muscle group. This technique is hypothesized to increase muscle extensibility due to a decrease of the ortho-sympathetic activity by the reduction of nociceptive transmission and an increase of the temperature, which increases the sliding capacity of the collagen matrix (Maya and Albornoz, 2010). Stretching combined with interferential current and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) current have shown to increase the extensibility of the hamstrings (passive and active knee extension) (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2015, 2016a, 2016b; Piqueras-Rodríguez et al., 2016a,b). The *Gate Control Theory* (Melzack and Wall, 1965) and related neurophysiological mechanisms could explain the effect of electrical modalities versus non-electrical modalities (eg: static stretching) on stretch tolerance.

Despite this, the EME technique has been proposed as an alternative or adjunct tool to improve muscle extensibility. This is particularly interesting in athletes diagnosed with hamstrings shortening considering this syndrome as an intrinsic risk factor for hamstrings injury in football players (Gabbe et al., 2006). However, there are no studies that statistically analyse the clinical benefit obtained from stretching on this risk factor described.

Considering the greater effects of extensibility evidenced when stretching and electrical stimulation are combined, compared to stretching alone (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2016a,b; Piqueras-Rodríguez et al., 2016a,b), and the lack of studies evaluating the effect of the EME technique at short and mid-term in this population, the present study aims to assess the immediate and mid-term (after 7 days) effects of biphasic symmetrical pulsed current with simultaneous muscle stretching (i.e., EME technique) per comparison to the isolated use of the same current (without applying simultaneous muscle stretching), in the hamstring extensibility of football players with hamstring shortening. In addition, we propose to evaluate the clinical benefit of the intervention in the incidence of hamstring shortening after the procedure is performed.

2. Methods

2.1. Experimental approach to the problem

A single-blinded, randomized, controlled trial was carried out. Participants were randomized to either a group receiving an EME technique or a group receiving only the electrical current (EC). Both study groups continued regular soccer training. Randomization was performed by allowing the participants to choose one of two sealed numbered, opaque, envelopes containing the allocation to the groups. The required sample size was computed in advance based on the effect size calculated from a previous study evaluating the effect of EME technique in hamstrings extensibility (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2016a,b). The statistical power for the repeated measure analysis of variance (General Linear Model) was calculated with the G*Power software (version 3.1.2, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany) and revealed that 20 participants per group were required with a power of 90%, a correlation between repeated measures of 0.2 and a two-sided 5% significance level. A target of 26 participants per group was identified to accommodate a maximum dropout rate of 30%.

A physiotherapist aware of the study design performed the enrolment, assignment and provided the interventions. The measurements of the outcome, hamstrings extensibility, were carried out before and immediately after each intervention (not more than 2 min from completion) and one week later by a physiotherapist

who was blinded to group assignment.

2.2. Subjects

Based on a non-probabilistic convenience sampling, a total of 63 amateur football players voluntarily participated in this study (Fig. 1). All the study participants were registered in the Football Federation of the Extremadura Community. Recruitment occurred through verbal advertisement and research posts in the local sport clubs.

The inclusion criteria were: practicing football with a frequency of at least three times per week plus the weekend game; with previous history of hamstring injury (a year before) but not at the time of the intervention; less than 80° in the right-straight leg raise test (Kendall et al., 2005). Participants were excluded according to the following criteria: participation in a hamstrings muscle stretching programme; acute low back pain or acute musculoskeletal pain/injury in the lower limbs; and/or recent spinal/abdominal surgery or consumption of analgesics/anti-inflammatory drugs during the last six months before the evaluation and intervention of the study. The study was carried out after the approval of the Bioethics Committee of the University of Extremadura (Register n°:118/2015), fulfilling the recommendations of the Helsinki Declaration, and after obtaining an informed consent signed by the participants of the study.

2.3. Procedures

Before the data collection, all participants were informed about the study procedures. All data were collected in a quiet room at a temperature of 23 °C, with the participants wearing comfortable clothes (t-shirt and shorts). Height and weight measurements were attained using a standard scale and stadiometer (Seca 285, Seca, Birmingham, United Kingdom). Hamstring extensibility was assessed on the right leg using the active knee extension test by their specificity to the possible changes induced by the intervention (Gajdosik and Lusin, 1983).

Prior to the assessment, two pairs of markers were fixed to the skin of the lateral thigh and leg using tape (i.e., over the apex of the greater trochanter, ii. iliotibial tract level with the posterior crease of the knee when flexed to 80°, iii. neck of the fibula and iv. prominence of the lateral malleolus), representing the axis of the thigh and the axis of the leg. The participant was positioned in supine with the right hip and the knee at 90° of flexion and the ankle joint in neutral position. A solid box was used to keep the neutral lumbar area and avoid compensations on the pelvis. In addition, the left lower limb was fixed to the table with a strap (Fig. 2). Then, the participant was asked to “while maintaining contact with the thigh on the box, try to straighten the knee as much as you can”. When the participant reached the maximum knee extension, the angle of the knee joint was recorded in a sequence of seven consecutive photographs taken with a camera mounted on a tripod positioned 3 meters away from the participant, at the same level of the knee joint, in the sagittal plane. The measurement was performed twice, with a minute rest. The knee angle of each photograph was obtained with the Posture Assessment Software (SAPO); this method showed excellent reliability in the determination of knee angles [intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) = 0.96] (Ferreira et al., 2010). The knee angle was determined as the average of five consecutive (from picture number 2 to number 6) photographs of each position. The mean values were used for the statistical analysis.

The reliability of this method of hamstring extensibility assessment was tested in six subjects (age: 20–26 years old) outside the sample, but subject to the criteria for inclusion/




Fig. 1. Flow-chart diagram of the study selection process.



Fig. 2. Active knee extension test.

exclusion previously defined, in two sessions four days apart. The ICC for active extension knee test was $ICC = 0.992$. The ICC was used to calculate the standard error of measurement (SEM) and, then, the SEM was used to compute the smallest real difference (SRD). The SEM was 0.640° and the SRD was 1.774° .

2.4. Interventions

The participants of the group 1 received the EME technique as previously described (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2016a,b; Maya and

Albornoz, 2010). In brief, a low frequency current (biphasic symmetrical pulsed) through double-bipolar application (Sonopuls 692, Enraf Nonius, Rotterdam, The Netherlands) was applied to the hamstrings of the right lower limb. The current parameters were 50 Hz of frequency and $300 \mu\text{s}$ of phase length. To apply the current we used self-adhesive electrodes, 45 cm^2 size ($9 \times 5 \text{ cm}$) (StimCare Premium Electrodes, Empi Inc., St.Paul, MN, USA), placed longitudinally covering the length of the hamstrings (the upper electrodes were located approximately 3 cm below the common origin in ischial tuberosity and the lower electrodes above the myotendinous junction of the muscular bellies). The hair of the area was previously shaved. The participant was placed in the same position as to measure hamstrings extensibility with the right knee extended and the lower limb on the shoulder of the physiotherapist who was applying the technique. After that, the physiotherapist made a hip flexion to stretch the muscle, until a feeling of resistance or stiffness appeared; once the participant reported that he/she was feeling the stretch, the intensity of the electrical current was increased until a clear contraction was produced; at the same time, the physiotherapist asked the participant to contract isometrically the antagonist muscles (knee extensors). The stretching continued until the participant reported that he/she was feeling a new stretching sensation. At this point, the intensity of the current was increased again until the stretching sensation disappeared and a new cycle of the procedures above-mentioned was then performed. The duration of the procedure was 80 s, divided in two sets of 30 s with a 10-second rest period, as used in previous studies (Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2015, 2016a, 2016b; Piqueras Rodríguez et al., 2016a,b).

The group 2 received the same type of electric current, with the

same frequency and length parameters, in the same body position. In this group, the intensity of the electric current was increased up to reach the minimum threshold of noticeable muscle contraction, but without applying any stretching over the hamstring muscle and any contraction of the antagonist muscles. The instructions given to the participants were: “when you perceive an electrical sensation in the thigh which causes you a tingling and noticeable muscle contraction, say now”. At this point, the intensity of the electric current was no longer increased.

During the follow-up week, the participants did not perform any hamstring muscle stretching and no sports injuries in the lower limbs were recorded.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data analysis was made with the statistic software SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of data distribution was tested with the Shapiro–Wilk Test. Data are reported as mean \pm SD. The Student's independent *t*-test and the chi-square test were used for baseline comparisons between groups in scale and nominal data, respectively. A repeated-measures ANOVA was used to compare the changes in hamstrings extensibility between groups over time (group \times time). Post-hoc comparisons were performed using Bonferroni Tests. Effect size was reported using partial eta-squared (η^2p). Qualitatively, the clinical benefit of the intervention on the improvement in the optimal extensibility and therefore the impact on this associated risk factor was assessed by calculating clinical relevance indicators, such as relative risk (RR), absolute risk reduction (ARR), relative risk reduction (RRR), and number needed to treat (NNT). We take as normal reference values 180 (degrees)–extension value < 20 (Ayala et al., 2013). The significance level was established at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

From 63 participants assessed for eligibility, 48 met the inclusion criteria and agreed to participate in the study being randomized to the Group 1 ($n = 26$) or Group 2 ($n = 22$). After the baseline assessments and the intervention, 2 participants in each group dropped out; therefore, they were not included in the data analysis. Subsequently, 44 participants, 24 in Group 1 and 20 in Group 2 were included in the analysis (Fig. 1).

The groups were statistically similar regarding age, weight, height, body mass index, training hours and hamstring extensibility at baseline (Table 1). The number of females was significantly lower than males in both groups (Table 1). No correlation was found between gender and hamstrings extensibility at baseline and after the interventions.

At baseline, no differences were observed between groups in the active knee test (Group 1: $147.3^\circ \pm 16.4^\circ$ vs. Group 2: $144.2 \pm 10.2^\circ$, $p = 0.467$). A significant interaction group \times time was observed ($F_{2,84} = 7.112$, $p = 0.001$; partial eta squared = 0.145). The

hamstrings extensibility changed significantly in the Group 1 ($F_{2,46} = 8.873$, $p = 0.001$; partial eta squared = 0.278), but not in the Group 2 ($F_{2,38} = 1.342$, $p = 0.273$; partial eta squared = 0.066) (Fig. 3).

Compared to rest, a significant increase in active knee extension was observed immediately after the EME technique ($147.3^\circ \pm 16.4^\circ$ vs. $153.5^\circ \pm 14.2^\circ$; mean difference: 6.2° , 95% CI [2.4, 10.1], $p = 0.003$); one week after the intervention no significant differences were found to the baseline values ($147.3^\circ \pm 16.4^\circ$ vs. $143.7^\circ \pm 11.0^\circ$; mean difference: -3.6° , 95% CI [-9.1, 1.8], $p = 0.183$) (Fig. 3).

Immediately after the intervention the hamstring extensibility was significantly higher in the Group 1 in comparison to the Group 2 ($153.5^\circ \pm 14.2^\circ$ vs. $141.7 \pm 7.8^\circ$; mean difference: 11.9° , 95% CI [4.7, 19.0], $p = 0.002$) (Fig. 3). Table 2 shows the clinical relevance analysis. Comparison between groups showed significant variables for the RR (0.49 (0.29–0.82), RRR (0.51 (0.18–0.71), ARR (0.47 (0.20–0.73), and NNT 3(2–6).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the immediate and mid-term (after 7 days) effects of an EME technique in football players with limited hamstring extensibility. The main result indicates that a single application of a biphasic symmetrical pulsed current combined with stretching acutely increases hamstring extensibility but the results are not maintained at 1-week follow-up.

Our results are in agreement with previous studies (De la Cruz Rodríguez et al., 2002; Espejo-Antúnez et al., 2015) reporting immediate improvements in the knee extension test after applying EME. However, the magnitude of the improvement in the present study was lower than in those studies. This fact could be related with the differences in hamstring extensibility at baseline, as well as with the technique. Although in general the technique used was the same, there are some differences between studies in the type of current and electrodes. For instance, De la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2002) and Espejo-Antúnez et al. (2015, 2016a, 2016b) used an interferential current (medium frequency) and pad electrodes with wet sponge covers, the present study used a biphasic symmetrical pulsed current (low frequency) and self-adhesive electrodes.

The significant improvement in hamstring extensibility observed immediately after the intervention, could be related with two mechanisms. First, the increase of tolerance to stretching (Aquino et al., 2010; Halbertsma and Goeken, 1994). The tolerance to stretching has been described as the primary mechanism in the increase of muscle extensibility (La Roche and Connolly, 2006; Magnusson et al., 1996). Second, the structural/mechanical changes in the viscoelastic properties of the hamstring muscle (Reid and McNair, 2004). Furthermore, the EME technique could be considered a dynamic stretch to require an active contraction of the antagonist muscle. According to Amiri-Khorasani and Kellis (2013), the muscle activation possibly increases the activity of muscle spindles and subsequently the afferent proprioceptive feedback. This fact might have influenced the results in the active knee extension test.

Additionally, the effect of the current on pain relief (Maya and Albornoz, 2010) could have also played a role in our results. The influence of the current on the endogenous inhibitory analgesic systems could modify the perception of the stretching intensity, achieving a more effective stretching. These arguments regarding the action of TENS on immediate changes are partially consistent with those recently reported (Karasuno et al., 2016).

In this sense, the effect of the TENS as a preparatory stimulus may explain the clinical relevance of the immediate effect of the intervention that combined transcutaneous electrical nerve

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of the participants of the study.

	Group 1 (n = 24)	Group 2 (n = 20)	p value
Age (years)	22.2 \pm 3.8	21.0 \pm 1.8	0.191
Gender (male/female)	19/5	11/9	0.087
Weight (kg)	74.5 \pm 6.7	68.2 \pm 13.8	0.054
Height (m)	1.76 \pm 0.05	1.71 \pm 0.09	0.067
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.8 \pm 2.0	23.2 \pm 3.0	0.425
Sports Training (hours/week)	7.1 \pm 1.7	6.2 \pm 3.0	0.218

Group 1: Electric Muscle Elongation technique; Group 2: Electric current without stretching.




Fig. 3. Changes in active knee extension immediately after the intervention and 7 days after.

Table 2

Clinical Benefit of the improvement outcome for the football players.

	Relative Risk (RR) (95% CI)	Relative Risk Reduction (RRR) (95% CI)	Absolute Risk Reduction (ARR) (95% CI)	Number needed to treat (NNT) (95% CI)	p-value
AKE post-intervention (°)	0.49 (0.29–0.82)	0.51 (0.18–0.71)	0.47 (0.20–0.73)	3 (2–6)	0.0106*

RR: Relative Risk. Risk in the outcome in the treatment group/risk of the outcome in the control group. ARR: Absolute Risk Reduction: Difference in the risk of the outcome between groups; or the risk in the control group–risk of the outcome in the treatment group.

RRR: Relative Risk Reduction: the percent reduction in risk of the group 1 compared with the group 2; or the absolute risk reduction/risk of the outcome in the group 2.

NNT: Number needed to treat: the number of patients that must be treated over a given period of time to prevent 1 adverse event.

AKE: Active Knee Extension; CI: Confidence Interval; *p-value: level of significance.

stimulation to stretching (Table 2). Recently, some studies have analysed the clinical benefit of diverse procedures for the prevention of hamstring injuries in amateur football players (Nouni-Garcia et al., 2017; Van der Horst et al., 2015), nevertheless, none studied the preventive effect of stretching combined with electric current in this population. Nouni-Garcia et al., 2017, have found after two sessions performed ‘the FIFA 11 programme’ protocol twice a week in 43 football players that the number needed to treat to prevent one new case was 3.31 in biceps femoris injuries and 10.7 in recurrent hamstring injuries. The FIFA 11 programme includes strength exercises, plyometrics and hamstring strengthening, but not stretching. Previously Piqueras-Rodríguez et al., 2016a,b applied an intervention similar to ours reporting similar results (NNT: 2 [2 to 3] $p \leq 0.001$). The RR (0.26 (0.11–0.63)), RRR (0.74 (0.37–0.89)) and ARR (0.65 (0.41–0.90)) were also similar (Table 2). In this study, the same type of current was applied but with different application times (3 exercises of stretching of the hamstring without pain for 15 s, twice, with a total of 6 repetitions) and frequency (1 session/week for 8 weeks). In addition, the comparison between groups was performed by isolating the stretching component instead of the electric component, which hinders the discussion between studies.

The results obtained about the number of patients that must be treated over a given period of time to prevent 1 adverse event

(NNT) (Table 2), followed by a reduction of 70% in the incidence of hamstring injury (previously hypothesized by Van der Horst et al., 2015 after applying eccentric hamstring exercises), could be grounds for future studies. The conflicting evidence regarding the effectiveness of hamstring injury prevention programmes (Van Beijsterveldt et al., 2013a) and the strongly associated risk factors in male football players (Van Beijsterveldt et al., 2013b) makes it necessary to identify the influence of each component as well as establishing a clear definition of hamstring injury. In addition, the lack of evidence for stretching as a sole intervention for prevention of hamstring injury (Goldman and Jones, 2010) means that the results shown should be taken cautiously. The location of the injury and the importance of other risk factors constitute aspects that should also be investigated in future studies.

An unexpected finding was the return to baseline values one week after the intervention. This may be because the combination of electrical stimulation and stretching had similar effects to those produced by static stretching, with results limited to 1 h after the procedure (Magnusson et al., 1996). Malliaropoulos et al. (2004) observed the same evolution in 80 Greek athletes with hamstrings injury; after applying an intervention based on stretching, values returned to pre-test conditions in the active knee extension test, between the fifth and seventh day after the procedure. In this sense, some authors (Taylor et al., 1990; Reid and McNair, 2004)

suggest that short time effects are under the influence of the viscoelastic properties of the muscle. Therefore, the effects are temporary. Other authors support that any decrease may be explained by changes in the myoelectric activity, according to the mechanical tension supported by the muscle (La Roche and Connolly, 2006; Rade et al., 2012).

Regarding the group that received biphasic symmetrical pulsed current alone, no positive effects were found among male adult amateur soccer players in any of the assessments. The lack of significant differences in this group could be attributable to the lack of muscle stretching component, although, despite being a technique commonly used by health professionals, the clinical benefit of its use as a measure to improve hamstrings extensibility is not clear (Van Doormaal et al., 2017).

The present study has some limitations. First, the programme was tested as a single intervention. Also, the absence of repetitions during the following week may have caused the intervention to become ineffective at mid-term (after 7 days). In addition, the sample included both women and men. Although there are differences in the hamstring extensibility in function of gender (Youdas et al., 2005), the improvements produced by an intervention are similar between women and men (Cipriani et al., 2013). Another limitation was the fact that only the right leg was assessed. Studies comparing hamstring extensibility between legs in athletes have found a low frequency of unilateral shortness (López-Miñarro et al., 2011). Future studies should perform the intervention in both lower limbs, analysing the added value of this intervention applied in a repeated or isolated way. Future studies should also determine the influence of each component of the intervention, determining whether the acute increases in extensibility are only related with the stretching component of the technique or if the combination of stretching and electric current induces greater gains.

5. Practical applications

EME could provide a valuable treatment tool to those subjects in whom at a certain phase, extensibility gain in a quick and effective way is needed to improve athletic performance or the performance of classical stretching are not possible due to high levels of pain. These results together with the technological tools in app format for the diagnosis of hamstring shortening (Piqueras-Rodríguez et al., 2016b), could facilitate the sports decisions made by the clinician and the coach.

6. Conclusions

The combination of biphasic symmetrical pulsed current and stretching is an effective technique to acutely increase hamstring extensibility of football players with hamstring shortness. In accordance with the clinical benefit, the EME technique had an immediate lower risk of hamstring shortening compared with those in the EC group.

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

iBiMED is a research unit supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (REF: UID/BIM/04501/2013) and FEDER/Compete2020 funds.

References

- Amiri-Khorasani, M., Kellis, E., 2013. Static vs dynamic acute stretching effect on quadriceps muscle activity during soccer instep kicking. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 39, 37–47.
- Aquino, C.F., Fonseca, S.T., Gonçalves, G.G., Silva, P.L., Ocarino, J.M., Mancini, M.C., 2010. Stretching versus strength training in lengthened position in subjects with tight hamstring muscles: a randomized controlled trial. *Man. Ther.* 15 (1), 26–31.
- Ayala, F., Sainz de Baranda, P., Cejudo, A., Santonja, F., 2013. Pruebas angulares de estimación de la flexibilidad isquiosural: descripción de los procedimientos exploratorios y valores de referencia. *Rev. Andal. Med. Deporte* 6 (3), 120–128.
- Castellote-Caballero, Y., Valenza, M.C., Martín-Martín, L., Cabrera-Martos, I., Puente-dura, E.J., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas, C., 2013. Effects of a neurodynamic sliding technique on hamstring flexibility in healthy male soccer players. A pilot study. *Phys. Ther. Sport* 14 (3), 156–162.
- Cipriani, D.J., Terry, M.E., Haines, M.A., Tabibnia Amir, P., Lyssanova, O., 2013. Effect of stretch frequency and sex on rate of gain and rate of loss in muscle flexibility during a hamstring stretching program: a randomized single blind longitudinal study. *J. Strength Condit Res.* 26 (8), 2119–2129.
- Croisier, J.L., Forthomme, B., Namurois, M.H., Vandertommen, M., Crielaard, J.M., 2002. Hamstring muscle strain recurrence and strength performance disorders. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 30, 199–203.
- Croisier, J.L., Ganteaume, S., Binet, J., Genty, M., Ferret, J.M., 2008. Strength imbalances and prevention of hamstring injury in professional soccer players: a prospective study. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 36, 1469–1475.
- Davis, D.S., Ashby, P.E., McCale, K.L., McQuain, J.A., Wine, J.M., 2005. The effectiveness of 3 stretching techniques on hamstring flexibility using consistent stretching parameters. *J. Strength Condit Res.* 19 (1), 27–32.
- De la Cruz Rodríguez, H., Coutiño León, B., Mora Magaña, I., Mallart Miró, M., González Sandoval, M.E., 2002. Eficacia de las corrientes interferenciales para la mejoría de la angulación en niños mexicanos con escoliosis idiopática. *Acta Ortop Mex* 16 (4), 211–216.
- Espejo-Antúnez, L., Maya-Martín, J., Cardero-Durán, M.A., Albornoz-Cabello, M., 2012. Hamstring extensibility increase after applying electrical muscular elongation. *Fisioterapia* 34 (3), 112–117.
- Espejo-Antúnez, L., López-Miñarro, P.A., Garrido-Ardila, E., Castillo-Lozano, R., Domínguez-Vera, P., Maya-Martín, J., et al., 2015. A comparison of acute effects between kinesiotape and electrical muscle elongation in hamstring extensibility. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 28 (1), 93–100.
- Espejo-Antúnez, L., Castro-Valenzuela, E., Ribeiro, F., Albornoz-Cabello, M., Silva, A., Rodríguez-Mansilla, J., 2016a. Immediate effects of hamstring stretching alone or combined with ischemic compression of the masseter muscle on hamstrings extensibility, active mouth opening and pain in athletes with temporomandibular dysfunction. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 20 (3), 579–587.
- Espejo-Antúnez, L., López-Miñarro, P.A., Albornoz-Cabello, M., Garrido-Ardila, E.M., 2016b. Acute effect of electrical muscle elongation and static stretching in hamstring muscle extensibility. *Sci. Sports* 31, e1–e7.
- Feldman, D., Shrier, I., Rossignol, M., Abenhaim, L., 2001. Risk factors for the development of low-back pain in adolescence. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 154, 30–36.
- Ferreira, E.A., Duarte, M., Maldonado, E.P., Burke, T.N., Marques, A.P., 2010. Postural assessment software (PAS/SAPO): validation and reliability. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 65 (7), 675–681.
- Gabbe, B.J., Benell, K.L., Finch, C.F., 2006. Why are older Australian football players at greater risk of hamstring injury? *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 9, 327–333.
- Gajdosik, R.L., Lusin, G., 1983. Reliability of an active knee extension test. *Phys. Ther.* 63, 1085–1088.
- Goldman, E.F., Jones, D.E., 2010. Interventions for preventing hamstring injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* (1), CD006782.
- Halbertsma, J.P.K., Goeken, L.N.H., 1994. Stretching exercises: effect on passive extensibility and stiffness in short hamstrings of healthy subjects. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 75 (9), 976–981.
- Heiderscheit, B.C., Serry, M.A., Silder, A., Chumanov, E.S., Thelen, D.G., 2010. Hamstring strain injuries: recommendations for diagnosis, rehabilitation and injury prevention. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 40 (2), 67–81.
- Henderson, G., Barnes, Portas, M.D., 2010. Factors associated with increased propensity for hamstring injury in English Premier League soccer players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 13 (4), 397–402.
- Karasuno, H., Ogihara, H., Morishita, K., Yokoi, Y., Fujiwara, T., Ogoma, Y., et al., 2016. The combined effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and stretching on muscle hardness and pressure pain threshold. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* 28 (4), 1124–1130.
- Kendall, F.P., McCreary, E.K., Provance, P.G., Rodgers, M.M., Romani, W.A., 2005. *Muscles: Testing and Function with Posture and Pain*, fifth ed. Lipincott Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.
- La Roche, D.P., Connolly, D.A., 2006. Effects of stretching on passive muscle tension and response to eccentric exercise. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 34 (6), 1000–1007.
- López-Miñarro, P.A., Muñoy, J.M., Alacid, F., Rodríguez, P.L., 2011. Influence of sport training on sagittal spinal curvatures. In: Wright, A.M., Rothenberg, S.P. (Eds.), *Posture: Types, Assessment and Control*. Nova Publishers Pub Inc, New York, pp. 63–98.
- Magnusson, S.P., Simonsen, E.B., Aagaard, P., Kjaer, M., 1996. Biomechanical responses to repeated stretches in human hamstring muscle in vivo. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 24, 622–628.

- Malliaropoulos, N., Papalexandris, S., Papalada, A., Papacostas, E., 2004. The role of stretching in rehabilitation of hamstring injuries: 80 athletes follow-up. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36 (5), 756–759.
- Maya, J., Albornoz, M., 2010. Estimulación Eléctrica Transcutánea Y Neuromuscular. Elsevier, Barcelona.
- Melzack, R., Wall, P.D., 1965. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. *Science* 150 (3699), 971–979.
- Nouni-García, R., Carratala-Munuera, C., Orozco-Beltran, D., Lopez-Pineda, A., Asensio-García, M.R., Gil-Guillén, V.F., 2017. Clinical benefit of the FIFA 11 programme for the prevention of hamstring and lateral ankle ligament injuries among amateur soccer players. *Inj. Prev.* <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2016-042267> [Epub ahead of print].
- Piqueras-Rodríguez, F., Palazón-Bru, A., Gil-Guillén, V.F., 2016a. Effectiveness Analysis of active stretching versus active stretching plus low-frequency electrical stimulation in children who play soccer and who have the short hamstring syndrome. *Clin. J. Sport Med.* 26 (1), 59–68.
- Piqueras-Rodríguez, F., Palazón-Bru, A., Martínez-St John, D.R., Folgado-de la Rosa, D.M., Gil-Guillén, V.F., 2016b. A tool to quickly detect short hamstring syndrome in boys who play soccer. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 37 (1), 1–5.
- Rade, M., Shacklock, M., Peharec, S., Bacić, P., Candian, C., Kankaanpää, M., et al., 2012. Effect of cervical spine position on upper limb myoelectric activity during pre-manipulative stretch for Mills manipulation: a new model, relations to peripheral nerve biomechanics and specificity of Mills manipulation. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 22 (3), 363–369.
- Reid, D.A., McNair, P.J., 2004. Passive force, angle, and stiffness changes after stretching of hamstring muscles. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36 (11), 1944–1948.
- Rogan, S., Wüst, D., Schwitter, T., Schmidtbleicher, D., 2013. Static stretching of the hamstring muscle for injury prevention in football codes: a systematic review. *Asian J. Sports Med.* 4 (1), 1–9.
- Taylor, D.C., Dalton, J.D., Seaber, A.V., Garret, W.E., 1990. Viscoelastic properties of muscle-tendon units: the biomechanical effects of stretching. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 18, 300–309.
- Van Beijsterveldt, A.M., Van der Horst, N., Van de Port, I.G.L., Backx, F.J., 2013a. How effective are exercise-based injury prevention programmes for soccer Players? A systematic review. *Sports Med.* 43, 257–265.
- Van Beijsterveldt, A.M., Van de Port, I.G., Vereijken, A.J., Backx, F.J., 2013b. Risk factors for hamstring injuries in male soccer players: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 23 (3), 253–262.
- Van der Horst, N., Smits, D.W., Petersen, J., Goedhart, E.A., Backx, F.J., 2015. The preventive effect of the Nordic hamstring exercise on hamstring injuries in amateur soccer players: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 43 (6), 1316–1323.
- Van Doormaal, M.C., Van der Horst, N., Backx, F.J., Smits, D.W., Huisstede, B.M., 2017. No relationship between hamstring flexibility and hamstring injuries in male amateur soccer players: a prospective study. *Am. J. Sports Med.* 45 (1), 121–126.
- Woods, C., Hawkins, R.D., Maltby, S., Hulse, M., Thomas, A., Hodson, A., 2004. The Football Association Medical Research Programme: an audit of injuries in professional football—analysis of hamstring injuries. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 38, 36–41.
- Youdas, J., Krause, D., Hollman, J., Harsen, W., Laskowski, E., 2005. The influence of gender and age on hamstring muscle length in healthy adults. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys* 35, 246–252.